ECONOMIC REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT



Transmitted to the Congress February 1968

Together With THE ANNUAL REPORT of the COUNCIL OF ECONOMIC ADVISERS i i i

.

Economic Report of the President



Transmitted to the Congress February 1968

TOGETHER WITH THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE COUNCIL OF ECONOMIC ADVISERS

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON : 1968

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20402 - Price \$1.25

CONTENTS

ECONOMIC REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT

A TIME FOR DECISIONS. 3 THE RECORD AND PROBLEMS OF PROSPERITY. 4 1967—A Year of Readjustment at Home. 4 1967—A Year of Readjustment at Home. 4 1967—A Year of External Problems and Promise. 5 Seven Years of Expansion. 6 The Role of Policy. 7 The Problems of Prosperity. 8 Fiscal Policy AND THE OUTLOOK FOR 1968. 8 The Current Economic Situation. 9 The Role of Fiscal Restraint. 10 The Economic Outlook with the Tax Increase. 11 Problems AND PROGRAMS IN OUR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC AFFAIRS. AFFAIRS. 12 The U.S. Balance-of-Payments Deficit. 12 Program for 1968. 14 The Dollar and the International Monetary System. 16 Trade. 17 Aid to Developing Countries. 18 THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY. 19 Responsible Wage and Price Behavior. 19 Structural Price Problems. 20 Cabinet Committee on Price Stability. 20 Chinet Roumit Insurance. 24 Education		Page
THE RECORD AND PROBLEMS OF PROSPERITY 4 1967—A Year of Readjustment at Home 4 1967—A Year of External Problems and Promise 5 Seven Years of Expansion 6 The Role of Policy 7 The Problems of Prosperity 8 Fiscal Policy AND THE OUTLOOK FOR 1968 8 The Current Economic Situation 9 The Role of Fiscal Restraint 10 The Economic Outlook with the Tax Increase 11 PROBLEMS AND PROGRAMS IN OUR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC 4 AFFAIRS 12 The Dollar and the International Monetary System 16 Trade 17 Aid to Developing Countries 18 THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY 19 Responsible Wage and Price Behavior 19 Structural Price Problems 20 Cabinet Committee on Price Stability 20 Carbinet Committee on Price Stability 22 Employment and Training 24 Education 24 Education 24 Education 24 Education 25 Income Maintenance	A TIME FOR DECISIONS	3
1967—A Year of Readjustment at Home.41967—A Year of External Problems and Promise.5Seven Years of Expansion6The Role of Policy.7The Problems of Prosperity.8FISCAL POLICY AND THE OUTLOOK FOR 1968.8The Current Economic Situation8The Current Fiscal Situation9The Role of Fiscal Restraint10The Economic Outlook with the Tax Increase.11PROBLEMS AND PROGRAMS IN OUR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC12AFFAIRS.12The U.S. Balance-of-Payments Deficit.12Program for 1968.14The Dollar and the International Monetary System16Trade.17Aid to Developing Countries.18THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY.19Responsible Wage and Price Behavior.20Cabinet Committee on Price Stability.20CITIES AND HOUSING.21EXPANDING INDIVIDUAL OPPORTUNITY22Employment and Training.23Unemployment Insurance24Education.24Health.25Income Maintenance.25Consumer Protection.26	THE RECORD AND PROBLEMS OF PROSPERITY	4
1967—A Year of External Problems and Promise. 5 Seven Years of Expansion 6 The Role of Policy 7 The Role of Policy 7 The Problems of Prosperity 8 FISCAL POLICY AND THE OUTLOOK FOR 1968 8 The Current Economic Situation 8 The Current Fiscal Situation 9 The Role of Fiscal Restraint 10 The Economic Outlook with the Tax Increase 11 Problems AND PROGRAMS IN OUR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC AFFAIRS AFFAIRS 12 The U.S. Balance-of-Payments Deficit 12 Program for 1968 14 The Dollar and the International Monetary System 16 Trade 17 Aid to Developing Countries 18 THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY 19 Responsible Wage and Price Behavior 19 Structural Price Problems 20 Cabinet Committee on Price Stability 20 Crites AND HOUSING 21 EXPANDING INDIVIDUAL OPPORTUNITY 22 Employment and Training 23 Unemployment Insurance 24 <	1967—A Year of Readjustment at Home	4
Seven Years of Expansion6The Role of Policy7The Role of Policy7The Problems of Prosperity8FISCAL POLICY AND THE OUTLOOK FOR 19688The Current Economic Situation8The Current Fiscal Situation9The Role of Fiscal Restraint10The Economic Outlook with the Tax Increase11PROBLEMS AND PROGRAMS IN OUR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMICAFFAIRSAFFAIRS12The U.S. Balance-of-Payments Deficit12Program for 196814The Dollar and the International Monetary System16Trade17Aid to Developing Countries18THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY19Responsible Wage and Price Behavior19Structural Price Problems20Cabinet Committee on Price Stability20CITTES AND HOUSING21EXPANDING INDIVIDUAL OPPORTUNITY22Employment and Training23Unemployment Insurance24Education24Health25Income Maintenance25CONSUMER PROTECTION26	1967—A Year of External Problems and Promise	5
The Role of Policy 7 The Problems of Prosperity 8 FISCAL POLICY AND THE OUTLOOK FOR 1968 8 The Current Economic Situation 8 The Current Fiscal Situation 9 The Role of Fiscal Restraint 10 The Economic Outlook with the Tax Increase 11 PROBLEMS AND PROGRAMS IN OUR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC 12 AFFAIRS 12 The U.S. Balance-of-Payments Deficit 12 Program for 1968 14 The Dollar and the International Monetary System 16 Trade 17 Aid to Developing Countries 18 THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY 19 Responsible Wage and Price Behavior 19 Structural Price Problems 20 Cabinet Committee on Price Stability 20 Catinet Committee on Price Stability 22 Employment and Training 23 Unemployment Insurance 24 Health 25 Income Maintenance 25 Consumer Protection 26	Seven Years of Expansion	6
The Problems of Prosperity.8FISCAL POLICY AND THE OUTLOOK FOR 1968.8The Current Economic Situation.9The Current Fiscal Situation.9The Role of Fiscal Restraint.10The Economic Outlook with the Tax Increase.11PROBLEMS AND PROGRAMS IN OUR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC12AFFAIRS.12The U.S. Balance-of-Payments Deficit.12Program for 1968.14The Dollar and the International Monetary System16Trade.17Aid to Developing Countries.18THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY.19Responsible Wage and Price Behavior.19Structural Price Problems.20Cabinet Committee on Price Stability.20Cittles AND HOUSING.21EXPANDING INDIVIDUAL OPPORTUNITY22Employment and Training.23Unemployment Insurance.24Education.24Health.25Income Maintenance.25Consumer Protection.26	The Role of Policy	7
FISCAL POLICY AND THE OUTLOOK FOR 1968. 8 The Current Economic Situation. 9 The Role of Fiscal Situation. 9 The Role of Fiscal Restraint. 10 The Economic Outlook with the Tax Increase. 11 PROBLEMS AND PROGRAMS IN OUR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC 12 AFFAIRS. 12 The U.S. Balance-of-Payments Deficit. 12 Program for 1968. 14 The Dollar and the International Monetary System. 16 Trade. 17 Aid to Developing Countries. 18 THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY. 19 Responsible Wage and Price Behavior. 19 Structural Price Problems. 20 Cabinet Committee on Price Stability. 20 Cittes AND HOUSING. 21 Expanding Individual OPPORTUNITY 22 Employment and Training. 23 Unemployment Insurance 24 Health. 25 Income Maintenance. 25 Consumer PROTECTION. 26 OTHER ECONOMIC POLICIES. 26	The Problems of Prosperity.	8
The Current Economic Situation8The Current Fiscal Situation9The Role of Fiscal Restraint10The Economic Outlook with the Tax Increase11PROBLEMS AND PROGRAMS IN OUR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMICAFFAIRSAFFAIRS12The U.S. Balance-of-Payments Deficit12Program for 196814The Dollar and the International Monetary System16Trade17Aid to Developing Countries18THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY19Responsible Wage and Price Behavior19Structural Price Problems20Cabinet Committee on Price Stability20Cittles AND HOUSING21EXPANDING INDIVIDUAL OPPORTUNITY22Employment And Training23Unemployment Insurance24Health25Income Maintenance25Consumer Protection26OTHER ECONOMIC POLICIES26	FISCAL POLICY AND THE OUTLOOK FOR 1968	8
The Current Fiscal Situation9The Role of Fiscal Restraint10The Economic Outlook with the Tax Increase11PROBLEMS AND PROGRAMS IN OUR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMICAFFAIRSAFFAIRS12The U.S. Balance-of-Payments Deficit12Program for 196814The Dollar and the International Monetary System16Trade17Aid to Developing Countries18THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY19Responsible Wage and Price Behavior19Structural Price Problems20Cabinet Committee on Price Stability20CITIES AND HOUSING21EXPANDING INDIVIDUAL OPPORTUNITY22Employment and Training23Unemployment Insurance24Education24Health25Income Maintenance25CONSUMER PROTECTION26OTHER ECONOMIC POLICIES26	The Current Economic Situation	8
The Role of Fiscal Restraint10The Economic Outlook with the Tax Increase11PROBLEMS AND PROGRAMS IN OUR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMICAFFAIRSAFFAIRS12The U.S. Balance-of-Payments Deficit12Program for 196814The Dollar and the International Monetary System16Trade17Aid to Developing Countries18THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY19Responsible Wage and Price Behavior19Structural Price Problems20Cabinet Committee on Price Stability20CITIES AND HOUSING21ExpANDING INDIVIDUAL OPPORTUNITY22Employment and Training23Unemployment Insurance24Health25Income Maintenance25Consumer Protection26OTHER ECONOMIC POLICIES26	The Current Fiscal Situation.	9
The Economic Outlook with the Tax Increase. 11 PROBLEMS AND PROGRAMS IN OUR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC AFFAIRS. AFFAIRS. 12 The U.S. Balance-of-Payments Deficit. 12 Program for 1968. 14 The Dollar and the International Monetary System 16 Trade. 17 Aid to Developing Countries. 18 THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY. 19 Responsible Wage and Price Behavior. 19 Structural Price Problems. 20 Cabinet Committee on Price Stability. 20 CITIES AND HOUSING. 21 Expanding Individual OPPORTUNITY. 22 Employment and Training. 23 Unemployment Insurance. 24 Education. 24 Health. 25 Income Maintenance. 25 Consumer Protection. 26 OTHER ECONOMIC POLICIES. 26	The Role of Fiscal Restraint	10
PROBLEMS AND PROGRAMS IN OUR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC AFFAIRS. 12 The U.S. Balance-of-Payments Deficit. 12 Program for 1968. 14 The Dollar and the International Monetary System 16 Trade. 17 Aid to Developing Countries. 18 THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY. 19 Responsible Wage and Price Behavior. 19 Structural Price Problems. 20 Cabinet Committee on Price Stability. 20 CITIES AND HOUSING. 21 EXPANDING INDIVIDUAL OPPORTUNITY. 22 Employment and Training. 23 Unemployment Insurance. 24 Health. 25 Income Maintenance. 25 Consumer Protection. 26 OTHER ECONOMIC POLICIES. 26	The Economic Outlook with the Tax Increase	11
AFFAIRS12The U.S. Balance-of-Payments Deficit12Program for 196814The Dollar and the International Monetary System16Trade17Aid to Developing Countries18THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY19Responsible Wage and Price Behavior19Structural Price Problems20Cabinet Committee on Price Stability20Cittles AND HOUSING21Expanding Individual OPPORTUNITY22Employment and Training23Unemployment Insurance24Health25Income Maintenance25Consumer Protection26Other Economic Policies26	PROBLEMS AND PROGRAMS IN OUR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC	
The U.S. Balance-of-Payments Deficit.12Program for 1968.14The Dollar and the International Monetary System.16Trade.17Aid to Developing Countries.18THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY.19Responsible Wage and Price Behavior.19Structural Price Problems.20Cabinet Committee on Price Stability.20Cittles AND HOUSING.21Expanding Individual OPPORTUNITY.22Employment and Training.23Unemployment Insurance.24Health.25Income Maintenance.25Consumer Protection.26Other Economic Policies.26	Affairs	12
Program for 1968.14The Dollar and the International Monetary System.16Trade.17Aid to Developing Countries.18THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY.19Responsible Wage and Price Behavior.19Structural Price Problems.20Cabinet Committee on Price Stability.20Cittles AND HOUSING.21Expanding Individual Opportunity.22Employment and Training.23Unemployment Insurance.24Education.24Health.25Income Maintenance.25Consumer Protection.26Other Economic Policies.26	The U.S. Balance-of-Payments Deficit.	12
The Dollar and the International Monetary System16Trade17Aid to Developing Countries18The Return to Price Stability19Responsible Wage and Price Behavior19Structural Price Problems20Cabinet Committee on Price Stability20Cittles AND HOUSING21Expanding Individual Opportunity22Employment and Training23Unemployment Insurance24Education24Health25Income Maintenance25Consumer Protection26Other Economic Policies26	Program for 1968	14
Trade.17Aid to Developing Countries.18The Return to Price Stability.19Responsible Wage and Price Behavior.19Structural Price Problems.20Cabinet Committee on Price Stability.20Cittles AND HOUSING.21Expanding Individual Opportunity.22Employment and Training.23Unemployment Insurance.24Education.24Health.25Income Maintenance.25Consumer Protection.26Other Economic Policies.26	The Dollar and the International Monetary System	16
Aid to Developing Countries. 18 THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY. 19 Responsible Wage and Price Behavior. 19 Structural Price Problems. 20 Cabinet Committee on Price Stability. 20 Cittles AND HOUSING. 21 Expanding Individual Opportunity. 22 Employment and Training. 23 Unemployment Insurance. 24 Health. 25 Income Maintenance. 25 Consumer Protection. 26 Other Economic Policies. 26	Trade	17
THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY. 19 Responsible Wage and Price Behavior. 19 Structural Price Problems. 20 Cabinet Committee on Price Stability. 20 Cittles AND HOUSING. 21 Expanding Individual Opportunity. 22 Employment and Training. 23 Unemployment Insurance. 24 Education. 24 Health. 25 Income Maintenance. 25 Consumer Protection. 26 Other Economic Policies. 26	Aid to Developing Countries.	18
Responsible Wage and Price Behavior19Structural Price Problems20Cabinet Committee on Price Stability20CITIES AND HOUSING21EXPANDING INDIVIDUAL OPPORTUNITY22Employment and Training23Unemployment Insurance24Education24Health25Income Maintenance25CONSUMER PROTECTION26OTHER ECONOMIC POLICIES26	THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY.	19
Structural Price Problems20Cabinet Committee on Price Stability20CITIES AND HOUSING21EXPANDING INDIVIDUAL OPPORTUNITY22Employment and Training23Unemployment Insurance24Education24Health25Income Maintenance25CONSUMER PROTECTION26OTHER ECONOMIC POLICIES26	Responsible Wage and Price Behavior	19
Cabinet Committee on Price Stability20CITIES AND HOUSING.21EXPANDING INDIVIDUAL OPPORTUNITY22Employment and Training.23Unemployment Insurance24Education.24Health.25Income Maintenance25Consumer Protection.26Other Economic Policies.26	Structural Price Problems.	20
CITIES AND HOUSING. 21 EXPANDING INDIVIDUAL OPPORTUNITY. 22 Employment and Training. 23 Unemployment Insurance. 24 Education. 24 Health. 25 Income Maintenance. 25 Consumer Protection. 26 OTHER ECONOMIC POLICIES. 26	Cabinet Committee on Price Stability	20
EXPANDING INDIVIDUAL OPPORTUNITY22Employment and Training.23Unemployment Insurance.24Education.24Health.25Income Maintenance.25Consumer Protection.26OTHER ECONOMIC POLICIES.26	CITIES AND HOUSING	21
Employment and Training.23Unemployment Insurance.24Education.24Health.25Income Maintenance.25Consumer Protection.26Other Economic Policies.26	EXPANDING INDIVIDUAL OPPORTUNITY	22
Unemployment Insurance24Education24Health25Income Maintenance25Consumer Protection26Other Economic Policies26	Employment and Training	23
Education. 24 Health. 25 Income Maintenance. 25 Consumer Protection. 26 Other Economic Policies. 26	Unemployment Insurance.	24
Health	Education	24
Income Maintenance 25 CONSUMER PROTECTION 26 OTHER ECONOMIC POLICIES 26	Health	25
CONSUMER PROTECTION	Income Maintenance.	25
OTHER ECONOMIC POLICIES	CONSUMER PROTECTION	26
	OTHER ECONOMIC POLICIES	26
CONCLUSION	Conclusion	27

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE COUNCIL OF ECONOMIC ADVISERS*	
	Page
CHAPTER 1. SUSTAINING PROSPERITY: RECORD AND PROSPECTS	39
Chapter 2. The Strategy of Stabilization Policy	58
CHAPTER 3. THE PROBLEM OF RISING PRICES	96
CHAPTER 4. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND INDIVIDUAL OPPOR-	
TUNITY	129
Chapter 5. The International Economy	163
Appendix A. Report to the President on the Activities of the	
Council of Economic Advisers During 1967	195
Appendix B. Statistical Tables Relating to Income, Employ-	
ment, and Production	203
*For a detailed table of contents of the Council's Report, see page 33.	

ECONOMIC REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT

ECONOMIC REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT

To the Congress of the United States:

Most Americans see the economy in terms of a particular job or farm or business. Yet the welfare of each of us depends significantly on the state of the economy as a whole.

It was never more necessary for all Americans to try to see the whole economy in perspective—to realize its achievements, to recognize its problems, to understand what must be done to develop its full potential for good. For, as a people, we face some important choices.

A TIME FOR DECISIONS

Seldom can any single choice make or break an economy as strong and healthy as ours. But the series of interrelated decisions we face will affect our economy and that of the whole free world for years to come.

We face these hard decisions with a confidence born of success. Our economy has never been stronger and more vigorous than during the 1960's.

Our achievements demonstrate that we *can* manage our economic affairs wisely—that we *can* make sound choices.

If we now choose responsibly, we can look forward—at home—to more years of healthy prosperity, and of social and economic progress.

If we choose responsibly, and our friends abroad cooperate responsibly, we and they can look forward in confidence to the continuing smooth and rapid expansion of the mutually rewarding international exchange of goods and services.

But if we temporize—try to avoid the hard choices before us—we will soon discover that we have even more difficult choices to make. In six months or a year, we could find our prices and interest rates rising far too fast. In a few months we and our friends abroad could face new uncertainty and turbulence in international financial affairs.

If we wait for the problems to become acute and obvious, then everyone will be ready to act. By then, the tasks could well be much harder. In the coming weeks and months we must choose

- --whether we will conduct our fiscal affairs sensibly; or whether we will allow a clearly excessive budgetary deficit to go uncorrected by failing to raise taxes, and thereby risk a feverish boom that could generate an unacceptable acceleration of price increases, a possible financial crisis, and perhaps ultimately a recession;
- ---whether as businessmen and workers we will behave prudently in setting prices and wages; or whether we will risk an intensified wage-price spiral that would threaten our trade surplus and the stability of our economy for years to come;
- -whether we will act firmly and wisely to control our balanceof-payments deficit; or whether we will risk a breakdown in the financial system that has underpinned world prosperity, a possible reversion toward economic isolationism, and a spiraling slowdown in world economic expansion;
- ---whether we will move constructively to deal with the urgent problems of our cities and compassionately to bring hope to our disadvantaged; or whether we are willing to risk irreversible urban deterioration and social explosion.

I know that Americans *can* face up to the tasks before us—that we *can* run our economic affairs responsibly. I am confident that we *will* take timely action to maintain the health and strength of our economy and our society in the months and years ahead.

THE RECORD AND PROBLEMS OF PROSPERITY

The year 1967 was one of uncertainties and difficulties both in our external and our internal economic affairs. Yet there were reasons for confidence as well as concern, both internationally and domestically.

1967—A Year of Readjustment at Home

For the domestic economy, 1967 was a year of readjustment—after the strains of 1966.

Growth in the first half was at an annual rate of only a little over 1 percent, after correction for price increases. But vigorous growth resumed in the second half—at a yearly rate of around $4\frac{1}{2}$ percent.

Last year had to be a year of readjustment because our economy began the year out of balance. Inventories were excessive, housing was in a slump, and business spending on new plant and equipment threatened to drop away from a level that seemed too high to be sustained. Those imbalances no longer exist. That is why our economy is again advancing so strongly.

Because readjustments were necessary, the gains of 1967 were not as great as were those of 1966, nor as those anticipated for 1968. Yet it was a year of important economic progress on most fronts.

During 1967

- -an additional 13/4 million persons found jobs;
- -our unemployment rate, at 3.8 percent, matched that of 1966 and was lower than in any previous year since 1953;
- -average earnings of factory workers rose by \$4.80 a week;
- -farm proprietors' net income dipped, but by yearend had returned to the level of a year earlier;
- —total consumer income after taxes climbed $35\frac{1}{2}$ billion;
- —industrial production, after dropping almost $2\frac{1}{2}$ percent, recovered by December to a new all-time peak; and
- -the annual rate of housing starts rose a half million.

During 1967, prices also advanced—more than we would have wished. Even so, real purchasing power per capita available to consumers after taxes rose 3 percent.

1967-A Year of External Problems and Promise

The U.S. balance-of-payments deficit—a chronic problem since 1957—worsened in 1967 after several years of substantial improvement. In important measure this deterioration reflected the fears and uncertainties surrounding the devaluation of the British pound in November.

The same uncertainties also fed a massive wave of private speculation against gold late in the year. This subsided only after the United States and other countries in the "gold pool" demonstrated their determination—backed by the use of their monetary reserves—not to allow a change in the price of gold.

In the absence of strong new action by the United States—and by the surplus countries of Western Europe—there was danger that the deterioration of the U.S. payments balance and speculation against gold and currencies might feed upon and reinforce one another in a way that could touch off an international financial crisis in 1968.

Even if the dangers were remote, the grave consequences of such a crisis for the world economy demanded bold and immediate preventive action. It was taken on January 1. The substance of our measures, plans, and priorities is discussed later in this Report.

But 1967 saw progress as well as problems on the international front. For it also brought the culmination of two giant forward steps in world international economic affairs, both long in gestation:

- In June, the Kennedy Round of negotiations produced agreement on the single most significant multilateral reduction in world trade barriers in history. It promises further to stimulate the expansion of international trade, already a major source of postwar economic growth throughout the world.
- In September, the member nations of the IMF reached agreement on plans to create by deliberate cooperative action a new form of world reserves, supplementing gold and the dollar. Once this plan comes into full operation, the vulnerability of the present system to speculation should gradually fade away, and so should any threat of a possible future strangulation of the growth of world trade and production.

SEVEN YEARS OF EXPANSION

If 1967 stood alone, it would have to be judged a satisfactory year, despite its problems.

But 1967 must not be seen in isolation—rather as the seventh year of the longest and strongest economic expansion in our history. The opening months of 1967 were merely a brief pause in the broad sweep of economic advance.

Over these seven years

- -our total real output of goods and services has increased more than 40 percent;
- ---per capita income after taxes and valued in dollars of constant purchasing power has risen 29 percent;
- -10 million more people are at work;
- -more than 12 million Americans have moved above the poverty line.

Over just the past four years

- $-2\frac{1}{4}$ million more students are in college;
- $-5\frac{1}{2}$ million new homes have been built;
- -35 million new cars have been sold;
- -use of electricity has risen one-third;
- --5 million more families own stock, 23 million more have savings accounts, and the assets of private pension funds have grown by \$40 billion; and
- -35 percent more Negroes have found professional, technical, and managerial jobs.

Had the path of real output in 1961-67 followed the bumpy path of 1954-60

- -the Nation's total real output over the past seven years would have been \$340 billion lower (valued in today's prices) than it actually was—this cumulative difference is about equal, in real terms, to the Nation's total output in 1942.

Truly, the American people have enjoyed exceptional economic benefits over these seven years. But these striking benefits confer obligations.

- Over this period 8 million more families have achieved yearly incomes above \$10,000. They—and the 6½ million who already enjoyed such incomes in 1960—have a special obligation to the more than 10 million households still in poverty.
- The seven-year *increase* of \$820 in real per capita income (valued in today's prices) exceeds the current *total* average per capita income in nations with 70 percent of the world's population. This fact makes inescapable the obligation of the American people for helping to maintain security and for providing economic assistance to the developing world.

I believe that the American people—whose present affluence would have been beyond the belief of most of us only 20 years ago—accept these obligations. My policies, at home and abroad, continue to be founded on a vision of the opportunities and obligations for the wealthy to help the poor to help themselves.

THE ROLE OF POLICY

It is far more than coincidence that, during these seven years of achievement, fiscal and monetary policy have been actively and consciously employed to promote prosperity.

No longer does Federal economic policy rely primarily on the "automatic stabilizers" built into our system, or wait for a recession or serious inflation to occur before measures are taken.

Fiscal and monetary policies have not been perfectly executed nor perfectly coordinated in the past few years. But our policies have remained under continuous and coordinated review. And our actions have been consistently in the right direction, if not always perfectly timed nor in precisely the right degree.

THE PROBLEMS OF PROSPERITY

Healthy prosperity has brought exceptional gains in production, incomes, and jobs.

But prosperity has not solved all of our economic problems, and it has created some of its own. These are the priority problems facing us in 1968.

- 1. First and foremost, we must take the necessary steps to put our fiscal affairs in order. Unless we do we shall be unable to deal effectively with the other problems that confront us.
- 2. We must slow down the wage-price spiral. Although we cannot achieve stability all at once, we must make progress in 1968 toward our goal of reasonable price stability in a steadily growing, high-employment economy.
- 3. We must push forward vigorously to restore equilibrium in our international accounts. We shall do so in full awareness of our responsibilities to promote and sustain a strong and expanding world economy. And we will enlist the cooperation of all other nations who share those responsibilities.
- 4. We must deal more effectively with our urban problems. More and more of our people live in cities. Yet cities threaten to become less and less livable—unless we take decisive steps to correct: slum housing; inadequate public services; congestion, noise, and pollution; inadequate transportation; unplanned sprawl; segregation, discrimination, and deficient job opportunities; crime, delinquency, and alienation.
- 5. We must continue the struggle to expand the opportunities available to every citizen—especially our disadvantaged. They require education, training, and adequate health care to prepare them for useful careers, and freedom from discrimination in finding jobs and housing. Those unable to work need adequate income protection. The war on poverty must go forward.

FISCAL POLICY AND THE OUTLOOK FOR 1968

THE CURRENT ECONOMIC SITUATION

The month-to-month changes of our economic indicators were often puzzling in 1967. But, when seen in perspective, economic developments reveal

-a slowdown-though not a decline-in the first half, as we predicted a year ago; and

-a strong and sustained recovery in the second half, as we predicted last January and again in August when I renewed my request for a tax increase.

- In the second half of last year, the annual rate of our gross national product advanced by \$321/2 billion. In only one earlier half-year—the second half of 1965—has it advanced by more.
- The unemployment rate in December was 3.7 percent. In only 2 months of the last 169 has it been lower.
- Factory orders and shipments of durable goods were at an all-time high.
- Personal income rose more than \$12 billion in November and December.
- And, disturbingly, the rate of increase in industrial wholesale prices in the second half of 1967 has been exceeded in only 4 other half-year periods in the past 16 years.

Every prospect is for continued rapid increase of output in the months ahead. Most experienced observers agree that the pace now is—and in the months ahead will be—too fast for safety. The gain in gross national product in the current quarter is generally expected to be one of the largest in our history—a record we could gladly do without at this time.

THE CURRENT FISCAL SITUATION

Following the major tax cuts of 1964 and 1965—equivalent to about \$23 billion in today's economy—the booming economy of 1965 and 1966 brought Federal revenues into balance with Federal spending. In both years there was a small Federal surplus on the comprehensive national income accounts basis.

The slowdown in economic growth that began in late 1966 dampened the growth of revenues. At the same time, the cost of our commitment to freedom in Southeast Asia was steadily rising.

As a result, the Federal sector account plunged into deficit— $$12\frac{1}{2}$ billion in calendar year 1967.

Sharply rising Federal spending was a strong expansionary force in the economy between mid-1965 and mid-1967. While housing was still recovering from the after-effects of tight money, and private demand was sluggish—during the first half of last year—the stimulus from Federal spending was welcome.

Federal spending has not been growing rapidly since mid-1967, nor will it increase rapidly in the next year and a half. But because of the already high level of defense outlays, total Federal expenditures are too large to be piled on top of *normal* private demand without overheating our economy. It is because private demand has now returned to normal after its temporary weakness that we now need new measures of fiscal restraint.

Without the proposed income tax surcharge and the maintenance of current excise tax rates, the Federal sector deficit on national income account would remain close to the level of 1967.

Unless action is quickly taken to expand Federal revenues, a deficit that large—in combination with a resurgent private economy—would have these consequences:

- It would speed up a wage-price spiral already turning far too rapidly.
- It would seriously impair our already difficult international economic position—by damaging confidence in the dollar, and by stimulating imports and putting exports at a competitive disadvantage.
- Financing such a deficit would increasingly strain financial markets, pushing interest rates further above present record highs, and threatening another financial squeeze and another slump in homebuilding.

THE ROLE OF FISCAL RESTRAINT

The extraordinary achievements of our economy during the past seven years were made possible by our willingness to use fiscal and monetary policies to stimulate adequate expansion of total demand.

Now, however, restraint is essential to our economic health. High interest rates and tight money can restrain the economy—and will do so if fiscal policy fails to do it. But the cost of monetary restraint is high and unfair, imposed primarily on a single industry—homebuilding.

We must demonstrate that we can use fiscal policies flexibly—that we can raise as well as lower taxes.

I therefore urgently renew my request that the Congress enact a temporary 10-percent surcharge on corporate and individual income taxes.

- For corporations, the surcharge would become effective January 1, 1968, and continue through June 30, 1969.
- For individuals the surcharge would become effective on April 1. The 10-percent increase in withholding tax would continue through June 30, 1969. Taxpayers in the lower income brackets would be exempted from any surcharge.
- The legislation should, as I recommended last year, put all corporations on a fully current payments basis, and extend tem-

porarily the telephone and automobile excise taxes otherwise scheduled to drop on April 1, 1968.

These measures would increase tax revenues in fiscal year 1968 by \$3 billion, and in fiscal year 1969 by \$13 billion.

If future circumstances should permit ending the surcharge before June 30, 1969, it can be promptly repealed.

The surcharge of 10 percent on individual income taxes would reduce individual incomes by about 1 percent on the average. With the lowincome exemption, the surcharge would add nothing to the taxes of a family of four with an income of \$5,000. It would increase the tax bill for a family of four making \$25,000 by about 2 percent of income.

Effective Federal tax rates on individual income would still remain, on the average, about 10 percent lower than in 1963.

A tax increase in the form of a surcharge on present taxes has many advantages:

- ---it is simple, requiring no additional administrative expense or inconvenience to the taxpayer;
- —it preserves the present progressiveness of the system as it applies to middle and upper incomes, and the present division between corporate and personal taxes;
- -it is easy to identify and repeal when no longer needed.

The Economic Outlook With the Tax Increase

The fiscal policies I am now proposing will

- -accomplish a sharp reduction in the Federal deficit on national income account, and erase it early in 1969;
- encourage balanced economic expansion to continue at a rate appropriate to our rising productive potential;
- ---permit the unemployment rate to remain below 4 percent for the third straight year;
- -allow credit to remain available, without soaring interest rates, to meet the needs of housing and other key areas;
- ---promote a gradual slowing down of price increases;
- ----in combination with the other measures we are taking, encourage an expansion of our foreign trade surplus.

Even with the surcharge, GNP should increase by some \$60 billion, about 73/4 percent. With prices rising more than 3 percent, real output of goods and services in 1968 will be more than 4 percent above 1967.

- Consumer purchases and homebuilding activity will rise strongly.
- Expenditures to expand and modernize productive capacity will grow at the moderate pace consistent with business needs.

- While State and local governments will continue to increase spending at a fairly rapid rate, Federal purchases will grow by less than half as much as in 1967.
- There will be further large gains in private incomes, even after higher taxes and prices.

The economic outlook is thus favorable—assuming fiscal restraint is forthcoming. Damage has already been done to interest rates, to our trade surplus, and to the level of prices by the failure of Congress to act last fall. But it is still not too late to avoid far more serious problems if action is taken in the next few weeks.

I again urge the Congress to act promptly on my tax proposals.

PROBLEMS AND PROGRAMS IN OUR INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC AFFAIRS

THE U.S. BALANCE-OF-PAYMENTS DEFICIT

On January 1, I announced the main elements of our new balanceof-payments program for 1968. That program deals decisively with the threat to the dollar that developed in 1967.

Nature of the Problem

It is important to be clear about the nature of our balance-of-payments problem. The United States has a sizable surplus of exports of goods and services over imports. Our past overseas investments bring in excellent and growing earnings, and our new overseas investments are running at a very high level. There is a small but growing reverse flow of foreign investment here.

We have heavy military expenditures overseas, which are not fully offset by our allies; and our aid program still accounts for a small outflow of dollars.

Our export sales, our investment return, and the inflow of investment from abroad are not large enough to finance our imports, our new investments abroad, and our net Government overseas expenditures.

The difference—the deficit—is financed partly by sales of gold and partly by increased foreign holdings of short-term dollar investments by foreign businesses, banks, individuals, and governments.

The position of the United States in its international economic affairs is thus much like that of a wealthy and prosperous businessman whose liquidity has come under strain.

His commercial operations remain highly successful, with the value of his sales well in excess of his costs.

His large long-term investments in other enterprises are yielding an excellent return, and he sees an abundance of further opportunities for profitable investments that will bring large future returns.

Both his income and his net worth are growing strongly every year. And he does not hesitate to spend freely on the good things of life, while also making large gifts to worthy causes.

But he has been borrowing extensively at short term to help finance his long-term investments. Each year, he adds more to his short-term debts than to his liquid assets. It is in this sense—but only this—that he has an annual deficit. It is a liquidity deficit. It is not a deficit in his profit and loss account, nor an overspending of his income.

Some of his short-term creditors—although not really doubting the strong excess of his assets over his liabilities—are nevertheless getting a bit concerned about continuing to expand—or even to renew—their short-term credits.

Should some of them refuse to renew their loans, his situation could become awkward. Other creditors might become nervous and would rush to present their claims. Financial pressures would extend to other, smaller businessmen with whom he had strong commercial ties, and whose basic positions were less sound.

That man—like the United States—needs to pull back for a while to strengthen his liquidity.

He will want to cut costs and increase sales in his commercial operations.

He will have to pass up for a while many of his attractive opportunities for profitable long-term investments.

He will need to review the terms of his spending and gifts---to ease their impact on his cash position.

Most of all, he wants no doubt to arise about his ability to meet his debts as they come due. He would easily survive a financial crisis with no major impairment of his income or net worth. But some other businessmen who bought from or sold to him could easily be dragged into bankruptcy.

Reducing the Deficit

Since 1961, the United States has been making a determined effort to reduce its liquidity deficit. Through 1965, steady progress had been made.

In 1966 the deficit held even, in spite of the rising overseas costs of Vietnam. But the deficit increased in 1967—particularly sharply in the fourth quarter—reversing that progress. The instability generated by devaluation of the British pound was responsible for a significant part of the deterioration, but not for all of it.

284-593 O-68---2

- Overseas defense costs rose despite tight controls on spending.
- The net balance of tourist expenditures shifted further against the United States.
- Private U.S. capital outflows rose, even though direct investment was held in check by the voluntary program; and foreign capital inflows decreased.
- Our trade balance failed to improve as much as we expected, mainly because of the economic slowdown in Europe.

Some of the steps we might consider to reduce our payments abroad such as reverting to high tariffs or quotas—would reverse long-term policies and, by provoking retaliation, reduce our receipts by as much as or more than our payments. And many of the other things we could do would seriously and irresponsibly harm our domestic economy, friendly countries overseas, or the flow of world trade.

PROGRAM FOR 1968

We have a clear duty to act. And we are taking action-as constructively and responsibly as we can.

Domestic Economic Policies

The avoidance of excessive demand in our economy is crucial to the strength of the dollar as well as to our domestic prosperity.

If we place too much pressure on our resources, U.S. buyers will turn abroad for supplies and our imports will soar. And if our prices rise, we will weaken our export competitiveness and attract even more imports—not just immediately, but for years to come.

That is why the first order of business in defense of the dollar is to pass the tax bill.

We must also exert every effort to avoid the possible destructive effects on our trade surplus of strikes or the threat of strikes in key industries. I urge business and labor to cooperate with the Secretaries of Labor and Commerce in dealing with this danger to our export surplus.

Direct Balance-of-Payments Measures

In addition to assuring the health of our economy at home, we must act directly on the key international flows that contribute to our deficit. Our direct balance-of-payments measures are designed to move us strongly toward equilibrium—this year. Some measures are temporary and will be removed as soon as conditions permit. Others are designed for longer range needs. Several will require congressional action.

We have already put into effect

-a new mandatory program to restrain direct investment abroad, which will reduce outflows by at least \$1 billion from 1967.

-a tighter Federal Reserve program to restrain foreign lending by U.S. banks and other financial institutions, to achieve an inflow of at least \$500 million.

We have begun action to save \$500 million on Government expenditures overseas. Negotiations are already underway to minimize the foreign exchange costs of our essential security commitments abroad. Orders have already been issued to cut the number of civilian personnel abroad.

We are organizing major efforts to encourage foreign investment and travel in the United States.

I announced on January 1 that the Secretary of the Treasury would explore with the Congress legislative measures to help us achieve our objective of reducing our travel deficit abroad by \$500 million this year. Those explorations are proceeding.

In the meantime, I again ask the American people to defer for the next two years all nonessential travel outside the Western Hemisphere.

I also announced on January 1

The consultations have been in progress since January 1. When they are completed, I will announce their outcome, and indicate what if any legislation we shall seek.

I am asking the Congress for the funds necessary to support long-term measures to stimulate exports, by

-intensifying promotion of American goods overseas; and

-expanding and strengthening the role of the Export-Import Bank.

Responsibilities of Surplus Countries

As we fulfill our responsibilities, other nations have an equal obligation to act. The balance-of-payments surpluses of our trading partners in continental Europe are essentially the mirror image of our deficit. Their constructive adjustments, as well as our own, can contribute to remedying our mutual imbalance.

For them, as for us, action at home heads the list. The nations of continental Europe should use their fiscal and monetary policies to pursue steady expansion of their domestic economies. Indeed, if they were to tighten credit and budgets in order to protect their surpluses, then we could not succeed in our efforts to come into equilibrium in a healthy world economy. Even worse, a competitive slowdown in world economic expansion could ensue, to the detriment of all peoples everywhere. Surplus countries can also contribute to a smooth process of adjustment by reducing their barriers to trade, by increasing their economic assistance to developing countries, by expanding their capital markets to finance their own investment, by permitting wider access to these capital markets by other nations, and by meeting their full share of the foreignexchange costs of our collective defense effort.

The world tried competitive beggar-my-neighbor policies in the 1930's and they ended in chaos. The surplus countries have the obligation to assure that this does not happen again.

The Dollar and the International Monetary System

The interests of major nations are also linked together in the international monetary system. For us, there is a special responsibility, since the dollar is a world currency

---widely used by businesses abroad,

---held along with gold as a reserve asset by foreign central banks. Our deficits in the past decade have sent more dollars abroad than businesses there needed to acquire, or than governments have wanted to hold as reserves. Many of these dollars were used to purchase gold from the United States.

Speculation generated by the strains on the international monetary system has caused further drains of gold from international reserves much of it from our own.

As a result, U.S. gold reserves have declined to about \$12 billion. This is still ample to cope with foreseeable demands on our gold stock. But persistent large U.S. deficits would threaten the entire international mone-tary system.

Our commitment to maintain dollar convertibility into gold at \$35 an ounce is firm and clear. We will not be a party to raising its price. The dollar will continue to be kept as good as or better than gold.

Freeing Our Gold Reserves

I am therefore asking the Congress to take prompt action to free our gold reserves so that they can unequivocally fulfill their true purpose to insure the international convertibility of the dollar into gold at \$35 per ounce.

- The gold reserve requirement against Federal Reserve notes is not needed to tell us what prudent monetary policy should be that myth was destroyed long ago.
- It is not needed to give value to the dollar—that value derives from our productive economy.

• The reserve requirement does make some foreigners question whether all of our gold is really available to guarantee our commitment to sell gold at the \$35 price. Removing the requirement will prove to them that we mean what we say.

I ask speedy action from the Congress—because it will demonstrate to the world the determination of America to meet its international economic obligations.

Special Drawing Rights

Through U.S. deficits the dollar has been the major element of the recent growth of international reserves.

As we move into balance, the world can no longer look to the dollar for major future additions to reserves.

Neither can it depend on gold. Gold production has been leveling off in the face of rising industrial use and a steady drain into private hoards. What is needed is a reserve asset universally acceptable as a supplement to gold and dollars, that can be created in the amount needed to meet the desired expansion of world reserves.

The Special Drawing Rights plan agreed on in Rio de Janeiro last September provides such an asset. This plan will fundamentally strengthen—and ultimately transform—the international monetary system in the years ahead.

The agreement should be promptly ratified and swiftly activated on an adequate scale. I will call upon the Congress to approve U.S. participation.

TRADE

The Kennedy Round was completed on June 30, the most successful multilateral agreement on tariff reduction ever negotiated. Four years of hard negotiating were required—but the ultimate success was worth it. A fair bargain was struck. Our farmers and businessmen will get major benefits as new markets are opened to them.

We will continue to work with our trading partners—in the GATT and in other bodies—to find new approaches to the liberalization of world trade, with urgent consideration given to nontariff barriers.

Some would throw away the gains from three decades of liberal trade policy, retreating into shortsighted protectionism. Mandatory quotas on American imports would meet prompt retaliation abroad. All Americans would pay a high price for the benefit of a few.

Protectionism is no answer to our balance-of-payments problem. Its solution depends on expanding world trade.

The Government stands ready to help the few that may be hurt by rising imports—but in ways that expand trade, strengthen our economy, and improve our international relations.

Accordingly, I will shortly send to the Congress legislation which will

- ---provide an extension of unused tariff-reducing authority;
- -liberalize the criteria for adjustment assistance to firms and workers; and

--eliminate the American selling price system of customs valuation. During the year ahead, opportunities may develop to expand peaceful trade with the countries of Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union. I again urge the Congress to provide the necessary authority for us to pursue such opportunities should they develop.

The United States has been discussing with other industrial countries a system of temporary generalized tariff preferences by all developed countries for all developing countries. Agreement was reached in the OECD on the general principles of such a system. It will be presented to the developing countries at the UNCTAD meeting in New Delhi.

We shall continue to consult with Members of Congress and representatives of American industry, agriculture, and labor as these discussions proceed.

AID TO DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

If economic progress were now to slow down in the developing countries that make up two-thirds of the free world—in the arc of Asia from Turkey to Korea, in Latin America, and in Africa—our hopes for a peaceful world would be menaced. In 1968 this means that we should

- -approve a prudent AID program;
- -quickly agree with other donor countries on a substantially increased replenishment of funds for the International Development Association;
- ---extend the Food for Freedom Act;
- -authorize the United States to share with other donors in establishing the Special Funds of the Asian Development Bank.

Several less-developed countries have made great strides in the promotion of family planning. We must be prepared to assist their efforts if the grim race between food supplies and population is to be won decisively.

We can do these things—as in conscience we must—without detriment to our international payments. AID has already made great progress in reducing the impact of its program on the U.S. balance of payments. In 1968 that impact would be reduced by another \$100 million, so that less than 8 percent of AID's dollar expenditures will be for non-U.S. goods and services.

THE RETURN TO PRICE STABILITY

Neither the United States nor any other free industrial nation has yet learned how to couple steady growth at high employment with reasonable stability of prices.

Our price record since 1960 has been superior to that of any other major industrial country. Even since mid-1965, we have done better than in past periods of hostilities—when direct controls were used.

But our recent record has clearly not been good enough. For one reason, firm discipline with respect to U.S. costs and prices is essential to a strong balance-of-payments position.

Rising prices are not just a last-year problem or a this- and next-year problem. They are a persistent, long-term problem for a high-employment economy—one that will not fade away by itself.

We must do what we can to minimize price increases in 1968. But we must also settle in for a long hard fight aimed toward 1969, 1970—and 1980.

One source of inflationary pressure is a rate of economic expansion that strains available productive resources. Too much demand will lift prices and wages all across the line.

Thus the readiness to apply fiscal and monetary restraint when demand threatens to become too strong must be the fundamental reliance in our battle to restore and then maintain stable prices.

RESPONSIBLE WAGE AND PRICE BEHAVIOR

But inflationary pressures also arise when labor and business each seek to expand their claims against the national product—through excessive wage settlements or unnecessary price hikes—at a faster rate than real national product is growing.

If labor seeks 80 percent of the total national pie and business 25 percent, the only result can be rising prices. This inflates the pie—but does not increase its substance.

Whatever the initial source which starts prices rising, the rise tends to perpetuate itself. Higher prices enlarge labor's wage demands. Faster wage increases raise costs, which makes prices rise some more. Once a wage-price spiral has begun, it is exceedingly difficult to slow it down.

In each of the last two years, our price level has risen by about 3 percent, and in the last six months by about 4 percent. With a somewhat stronger economy in 1968, and with labor unions building the expectation of further price rises into their wage demands, there is danger the spiral will accelerate. If it does, we face the prospect that the spiral will still be turning steadily in 1969 and into 1970. The longer it turns the harder it is to stop. A highly restrictive fiscal and monetary policy could throttle the economy and create widespread unemployment and idle capacity in order to dampen upward pressures on wages and prices. But it would serve the objective of price stability only by sacrificing most of our other key economic objectives.

Dealing with inflation by creating a recession or persistent slack is succumbing to the disease—not curing it. The experience of 1957 and 1958—when the unemployment rate reached $7\frac{1}{2}$ percent and consumer prices still rose 5 percent—is a clear reminder of the large costs of such a policy and of its limited effectiveness in halting a spiral in motion. This is a course which I reject—and which I am confident that the American people reject.

Therefore, in addition to urging prompt action by the Congress on my tax proposals, I must again urge—in the strongest terms I know that unions and business firms exercise the most rigorous restraint in their wage and price determinations in 1968.

We must make a *decisive* turn back toward price stability this year. This will only be possible

- —if the average gain in wages and fringe benefits incorporated in new labor agreements this year begins to move back toward parity with our gains in productivity; and
- —if businesses absorb cost increases wherever possible, and avoid any price decision which would, on the average, increase their margins over labor and materials cost.

STRUCTURAL PRICE PROBLEMS

There are other sources of price increase we can begin to attack in 1968. We should not expect quick results. But, over the longer pull, an important contribution can be made.

There are a number of industries in which prices have climbed persistently because of supply bottlenecks in labor, materials, or capacity; because of backward technology; because of inefficient distribution systems or trade practices; or for other so-called "structural" reasons.

If we regard the battle against rising prices as a long-term task, it is time to begin to fight on every front where long-term results can be achieved.

Existing Government organization is not effectively suited to dealing with the full range and dimensions of the problem of prices.

CABINET COMMITTEE ON PRICE STABILITY

I am therefore establishing a Cabinet Committee on Price Stability, including the heads of the major relevant departments and offices of Government, coordinated by the Chairman of the Council of Economic Advisers and served by a small professional staff.

The Committee will focus the attention both of the private economy and of the Federal Government on the objective of price stability.

It will study and recommend—both for private and for public action—measures which can improve efficiency, remove bottlenecks, and improve technology in industries which are the source of persistent inflation. And it will give price stability a high priority in the formulation and administration of all Government programs.

The Committee will work closely with representatives of business, labor, and the public to seek ideas and initiatives to correct persistent structural problems that cause prices to rise and to inform them of the consequences of irresponsible wage and price behavior. It will not, however, become involved in specific current wage or price matters.

Through this new machinery, we seek to achieve a new and more effective cooperation among business, labor, and government in the pursuit of price stability in a free market economy.

CITIES AND HOUSING

The American city is in distress, plagued by poverty, unemployment, and slums; hobbled by inadequate public services, inefficient transportation, pollution, and congestion.

The city is also the source of an unprecedented affluence. Bitter poverty amidst spreading affluence spotlights the problems of the disadvantaged.

Yet that very affluence should be the source of great hope. For general affluence makes it possible to erase pockets of deprivation. We now have the means for a massive reconstruction of urban America.

The first step in an effective attack on urban problems came last year when 63 cities received the first round of Model Cities planning grants. By the end of this year, many of these cities will be ready to begin work. This first round will ultimately permit the transformation of 65 blighted areas, housing 3.7 million people, into decent places to live and work.

I will ask the Congress to fund fully the \$1 billion authorization for the Model Cities program in fiscal year 1969.

Our next step will be to fulfill the commitment of the Housing Act of 1949—to provide every American family with decent housing. Our goal is to eliminate substandard housing in ten years. This task will require the full cooperation of labor, business, local government—and the residents of blighted areas.

Too long we have regarded the unemployed slum dweller as a national burden. The time has come to recognize him as a national resource, and to offer him a job rebuilding the slums in which he lives.

Our target for fiscal year 1969 is to begin 300,000 new and rehabilitated units—several times the current rate. Rent supplement and "turnkey" public housing programs will be modified and enlarged to engage private enterprise on a massive scale.

The expansion of federally assisted housing must not shrink the private housing market. During the next ten years we will need 20 million housing units in addition to those receiving Federal assistance.

Their production will balloon the need for mortgage money. I will therefore propose legislation to strengthen the mortgage market and the financial institutions that supply mortgage credit. I also propose that current interest rate ceilings on FHA and VA mortgages be lifted to allow them to compete on equal terms with other assets.

I also urge the Congress to complete action on legislation

---to strengthen regulation of savings and loan holding companies, ---to provide Federal charters for mutual savings institutions.

If we are to reconstruct the American city, we need knowledge and innovation as much as men and money. We lead the world in technology. Yet little of its power is directed to the problems of cities.

As a first step, I have named a panel to establish an Institute for Urban Development. This Institute will undertake the systematic analysis of fundamental urban problems for Government agencies.

The agonies of our cities will not yield easily or quickly—nor to simple solutions. Yet the breadth of our vision must be scaled to the magnitude of our problem—and our opportunity.

In the coming weeks, I shall send the Congress a message containing my detailed recommendations.

EXPANDING INDIVIDUAL OPPORTUNITY

America has historically taken pride in being the "land of opportunity." To a far greater extent than any earlier civilization, American society has provided opportunities for the majority of its citizens to achieve whatever their ambitions and abilities might permit.

Yet for a minority—steadily diminishing in every generation—opportunity has remained a myth.

The recent experience of prolonged prosperity and high employment has pried open the doors of opportunity for many who formerly were shut off from the main circle of abundance. Indeed, sustained prosperity is the single most important source of expanding opportunity. But even prolonged and general prosperity leaves too many Americans untouched, unable to share in its rewards.

Despite our prosperity, there are still more than 10 million families whom we classify as poor. They include about one-seventh of our people. Many are Negro. But two-thirds are white. Many are old. But nearly half are children. Many live in urban areas. But about half live in small towns or in rural areas. Most were born poor.

Regardless of race, age, or where they live, they are not statistics, they are people. We cannot turn our backs on our fellow Americans who need help.

I regard it as a primary purpose of government to expand the opportunities for all citizens to share in our economic and social progress. For most, this means the opportunity for rewarding employment. For millions who are retired, disabled, or otherwise unable to seek active work, a share in prosperity requires wise and humane programs of income maintenance and social insurance. For all, it means full access to education and to health care.

America has made great progress in recent years—in the creation of jobs, the provision of adequate incomes, and the improvement of health and education. The future holds promise of further advance.

EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING

More Americans entered the labor force last year than in any year since World War II. And these job seekers were accommodated to a remarkable degree.

- The over-all unemployment rate averaged 3.8 percent, as it did in 1966. Except for the years of World War II and the Korean war, this two-year average was the best in four decades.
- The unemployment rate for adult men—both white and Negrowas the lowest since World War II.

Yet there is no room for complacency in these achievements. The unemployment rate for Negroes, Mexican-Americans, and other minorities remains distressingly high, and far too many of our teenagers look for work and fail to find it.

We have already made impressive progress in improving job opportunities—through the Neighborhood Youth Corps, the Job Corps, our other manpower training and retraining programs, provision of daycare facilities for working mothers, and in many other ways.

Increasingly our efforts are concentrated on the disadvantaged who have been unable to share in our prosperity. In continuing partnership with State and local governments, we will expand our training and related manpower activities, with special emphasis on an enlarged Concentrated Employment program.

But this year the Federal Government is also seeking a new partnership with private industry to train and hire the disadvantaged. I believe this partnership can succeed—and must—in providing work opportunities for every American who wants a job and who will make reasonable efforts to prepare himself to hold it.

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

Even when there are enough jobs to go around and manpower is better matched to jobs, some will inevitably experience unemployment in our dynamic economy.

Our present unemployment compensation system was designed in the 1930's. The economy has greatly changed since then, but the unemployment compensation system has not.

In many cases, the man or woman unemployed today lost his job because his skills have become obsolete, not because his employer lost his market. That worker needs long-term benefits which can support him through a substantial period of retraining, guidance, and similar services—not merely cash benefits which run out at a critical moment. Further, the benefits provided under many State systems have proved inadequate to current needs.

I am therefore asking the Congress for new legislation to strengthen the Federal-State unemployment insurance system by increasing coverage, raising benefits, modifying eligibility conditions, increasing the Federal unemployment tax base and rate, providing federally financed extended benefits to be triggered by high unemployment; and to link extended benefits to the training and employment rehabilitation of the recipients.

EDUCATION

The Federal Government has done more to improve educational opportunities in the past three years than in all its previous history. In particular, attention has been focused on providing opportunities for children to throw off their legacy of poverty. Head Start, the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, and higher education legislation stand as landmarks of our progress.

One key program for 1968—based on the Education Professions Development Act of last year—gives special emphasis to the single most important element in the educational process—our teachers. We must attract more teachers to work with disadvantaged youth, and help such teachers develop the new skills and new sensitivities needed to teach the children from poor families.

I shall propose an Educational Opportunity Act—continuing our efforts to break down the financial barriers which keep young people from poor families from entering or remaining in college.

Health

Victories in the progress of health care have recently been written in headlines. Soon a failing heart may no longer be an inevitable prelude to death. Less dramatic but equally important is that Medicare and Medicaid have brought the gains of medical research within the reach of millions.

But this is no time to pause. Our rising standards and our expanding powers to cure press against present limitations on our ability to supply medical care.

Much recent effort has centered on the health needs of our older citizens. This was right, for the elderly often combine high medical need with limited financial resources.

Now we must turn attention to our children. Millions of young Americans today receive inadequate medical attention—both a result and a cause of poverty. I therefore propose a five-year plan to bring complete health services to children of low-income families, beginning with prenatal care for mothers, and continuing through the first year of infancy.

The supply of qualified health personnel has lagged behind the expanding demand. I will shortly propose new measures to increase this supply.

Last year, medical care prices rose 7 percent, more than twice as fast as other prices. I shall propose new measures to slow down the spiraling cost of health care.

INCOME MAINTENANCE

I have recently appointed a Presidential Commission on Income Maintenance. This distinguished group of citizens, under the chairmanship of Mr. Ben Heineman, has a broad charter to examine every aspect of our present public welfare and income maintenance programs and to propose necessary reforms. The Commission will examine a number of major reforms proposed in recent years—including several varieties of minimum income guarantees. It will evaluate the costs and benefits of these proposals in terms of their effects both on the recipients and on the economy. The true test of the efficiency of any economic system is its ability to meet the needs of consumers. The American economy—with its free markets—has far surpassed all others in meeting this test.

But the market does not always give the consumer the protection he needs. There is a role, too, for Government action, especially as our wants and our products become more complex.

Last year the Congress enacted, and I approved, important new legislation to protect our consumers.

Important new measures are being proposed to the Congress for the protection of consumers. I hope that this Congress will go down in history as the consumer-conscious Congress.

OTHER ECONOMIC POLICIES

1. The Department of Transportation, now one year old, is moving vigorously toward rationalization and coordination of our transportation policies. I have asked its Secretary to develop new proposals to improve air safety and air service.

The number of air passengers has doubled in the past five years and will more than double again in the next ten. Airway and airport facilities must keep up with this growth. These facilities are costly and benefit primarily their users—who should pay the necessary costs.

2. Total holdings of our stockpile of strategic and critical materials now stand at \$6.4 billion, of which \$3.3 billion exceeds our stockpile requirements as presently determined. Continuing to carry these excess materials in the stockpile both imposes an unnecessary burden on our taxpayers and restricts their availability to our industries.

I renew my recommendation that I be given authority to dispose of many of these excesses, especially of nickel, platinum, beryl ore, magnesium, and castor oil, all currently in short supply in the commercial market.

3. Accurate, comprehensive, and timely statistics are essential to the development of sound economic policies by government, business, and labor.

Our economic statistics are the best and most comprehensive in the world. But they can be and need to be further improved. The costs will be exceedingly small relative to the benefits.

To this end, my 1969 budget provides for several new statistical efforts which can be rapidly and inexpensively translated into improved guides for public and private decisions.

CONCLUSION

A strong and sustained advance of production surely does not mean we have solved all economic problems—much less that the Nation is making satisfactory progress toward its broader and more fundamental goals.

Americans know how to create an expanding abundance. But we are still learning how to use it wisely and compassionately to further the self-development and happiness of men, women, and children.

Similarly, merely to achieve a balance in our international payments would not assure that our international economic relations amply serve the interests of this Nation and of world progress. We could bring our balance of payments into equilibrium by means which would weaken our domestic economy, forfeit our foreign policy objectives, or impair the vitality of world economic development.

This Administration will never forget that the purpose of our economy and of our economic policies is to serve the American people—not the reverse.

Yet this recognition would not justify policies which ignore the dangers of inflation, economic distortions, and ultimately recession. For these are equally enemies of our public purposes.

Nor will we forget that balance-of-payments policies should serve the Nation's basic goals abroad and at home—not the reverse.

Yet this recognition makes it no less necessary to deal firmly and decisively with our balance-of-payments problem. For a breakdown of the international financial system would bring incalculable harm not only to ourselves and free peoples around the world, but even to world peace and progress.

I am determined that our economic policies in 1968 will be prudent as well as creative; safe as well as ambitious; responsible as well as compassionate.

The American people are giving their sons and brothers to fight for freedom abroad. At home we must support their sacrifice by preserving a sound economy. I believe that the American people will accept the cost of doing that

---by paying an extra cent of each dollar of income in taxes,

- -by accepting the cutback of lower-priority Federal programs, and
- ---by limiting the expansion of Federal spending to a few areas of the most vital priority.

Today the war in Vietnam is costing us 3 percent of our total production. That is a burden a wealthy people can bear. It represents less than one year's growth in our total output. But one day peace will return. If we plan wisely—as the committee on post-Vietnam adjustment I announced in my Economic Report last year has been doing—and act boldly, we will have that 3 percent of output to add—over a year or two—to our normal 4 percent a year of economic growth.

If we preserve a healthy economy in the meantime, we will be prepared when our sons and brothers return to take full advantage of that bonus.

Our obligation to them demands that we do no less.

hyndonkfolmes

February 1, 1968.

THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE COUNCIL OF ECONOMIC ADVISERS

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

COUNCIL OF ECONOMIC ADVISERS, Washington, D.C., January 25, 1968.

THE PRESIDENT:

SIR: The Council of Economic Advisers herewith submits its Annual Report, February 1968, in accordance with Section 4(c)(2) of the Employment Act of 1946.

Respectfully,

Gardner Ackley

GARDNER ACKLEY

Chairman.

ames A

JAMES S. DUESENBERRY

ARTHUR M. OKUN

CONTENTS

	Page
Chapter 1. Sustaining Prosperity: Record and Prospects	39
Economic Activity in 1967	40
Income and Employment	40
Production	40
Pattern Within the Year	41
The Composition of Output	45
Investment Sectors	46
Government	48
Personal Saving	48
Balance of Saving and Investment	50
Resource Use in 1967	51
Prospects and Policies for 1968	53
Federal Fiscal Program	54
Economic Outlook	55
Outlook by Sectors	55
CHAPTER 2. THE STRATEGY OF STABILIZATION POLICY	58
Seven Years of Economic Expansion	58
Measures of Gains	<u>5</u> 8
Realization of Potential	60
Fiscal Policy in the 1960's	62
The Heritage of Current Fiscal Policy	63
Budgetary Actions, 1961–65	65
Challenges of Prosperity	68
Monetary Developments	71
Balanced Expansion, 1961–65	71
Monetary Policy in 1966–67	74
Present Tasks of Policy	82
Inflationary Pressures With No Restraint	82
The Impact of Monetary Restraint	83
The Program of Fiscal Restraint	85
Agenda for Policymaking	88
Flexibility and Forecasting	88
Planning for Peace	89
Impovements in Economic Statistics	91
Reducing the Vulnerability of the Mortgage Market	92

	P
CHAPTER 3. THE PROBLEM OF RISING PRICES	
Price Stability and High Employment	
Inflationary Bias in a Prosperous Economy	
The Goal of Price Stability	1
The Recent Record	1
Price Changes Since 1961	1
Developments in 1967	1
Labor Supply and Demand	1
Prices of Consumer Services	1
Prices of Industrial Products	1
Farm and Food Prices	1
Retail Prices	1
Construction	1
Price and Wage Policy	1
Direct Controls	1
Fiscal and Monetary Measures	1
Improving Market Efficiency	1
Incomes Policies	1
Wage-Price Policy for 1968	1
CHAPTER 4. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND INDIVIDUAL OPPORTUNITY.	1
The Changing Structure of Opportunities	1
Changes in the Farm Economy	1
The Growth of Nonfarm Jobs	1
Recent Changes in Population Distribution	1
Implications for Public Policy	1
Population Distribution and Public Policy	1
Clarifying Some Issues	1
The Demography of Poverty	1
Poverty Among the Aged	1
Families Headed by Women	1
Households With a Male Earner.	1
Income Maintenance	1
Housing	1
Changes in Housing Quality	1
The Need for Further Federal Assistance	1
Education	1
Education and Economic Opportunity	1
Education and Economic Opportunity	1
Luuvauon riograms	1
Economia Status and Health Care	1
Moonung to Improve Upolth Care	1
The Operation Approve relatin Gare	1
The Comprehensive Approach to Community Redevelopment.	1

CHAPTER 5. THE INTERNATIONAL ECONOMY
A Year of Major Developments
Adjustment Process
Mutual Responsibilities
Principles of Adjustment
The U.S. Balance of Payments
The Recent Record
The 1968 Program
Prospects for 1968
Long-Term Prospects
The International Monetary System
The Gold-Exchange Standard
Gold Reserves
Dollars as Reserves
Meeting Reserve Needs
The Rio Agreement.
Trade Policies
Kennedy Round
Trade With Less-Developed Countries
Conclusion
Appendixes:
A. Report to the President on the Activities of the Council of
Economic Advisers During 1967
B. Statistical Tables Relating to Income, Employment, and
Production
List of Tables and Charts
Tables
1. Changes in Gross National Product and Federal Sector of the
National Income and Product Accounts Since Second Quarter
1966
2. Disposition of Disposable Personal Income in Selected Periods,
2 Gross Saving and Investment in Selected Verse of Delatively
High Employment 1059-67
4 Employment and Uperployment by Demographic and Occur
national Croups Selected Vears 1061-67
pational Groups, Selected Tears, 1901–07

5. Measures of Economic Activity During the Current Expansion. 59

		P
6.	Federal Fiscal Actions in Two Periods Since Fourth Quarter	
7	Net Inflow of Household Time and Savings Deposits to Main	
1.	Financial Institutions 1054-67	
g	Net Funds Raised by Nonfinancial Sectors 1961-67	
9.	Change in Income Tax Liability for a Married Couple With	
	Two Dependents, 1963–68	
10.	Price Increases During Periods of High Employment	
11.	Consumer Price Increases in Major OECD Countries Since Jan- uary 1961 and June 1965	
12.	Major Price Trends During Selected Periods Since December 1960.	1
13.	Wage and Benefit Changes in Collective Bargaining Situations, 1962-67	
14.	Changes in Average Gross Hourly Earnings, by Industry, 1960–67.]
15.	Changes in Compensation, Productivity, Unit Labor Cost, and Output Price in the Private Economy Since 1947	
16	Changes in Consumer Prices for Services 1965–67	
17.	Changes in Medical Care Components of Consumer Prices for Services, 1950–67	
18.	Changes in Selected Economic Indicators by Industry Division,	
10	1947-00	
19.	1959, 1964, and 1966.	
20.	Components of Population Change by Area, 1950-65	
21.	Number of Poor Households and Incidence of Poverty, 1959 and 1966	
22.	The Poor and Their Work Experience, 1965–66	
23.	Occupied Housing Units by Quality, 1960 and 1966	
24.	Percentage of Males 20-24 Years Old Who Completed High School 1960	
25.	Routine Medical Checkups and Number of Physician Visits for	
	Children, by Selected Age Groups, 1963–64	
26.	United States Balance of Payments, 1961-67	
27.	United States Balance of Payments: Capital Transactions, 1961-67	
28.	Unit Labor Costs in Manufacturing For Selected Industralized Countries Since 1961	
29	Growth of Exports of Less-Developed Countries in Two Selected	
	Periods	

Charts	Pag
1. Total Gross National Product and Final Sales	4
2. Selected Shares of Gross National Product.	4
3. Real Gross National Product After the Recession Troughs of 1954 and 1961	6
4. Gross National Product, Actual and Potential, and Unemploy- ment Rate	6
5. Selected Interest Rates	7
6. Changes in Money Supply, Time Deposits, and Selected Bank Assets	7
7. Gross Investment and Saving of Nonfinancial Corporations	8
8. Consumer Prices	10
9. Wholesale Prices	10
10. Food Prices	11
11. Prices and Unit Labor Costs, 1959–66	12
12. Changing Farm Structure	13
13. Average Annual Net Migration by Regions	13
14. U.S. Balance of International Payments	16
15. World Monetary Reserves	18

Chapter 1

Sustaining Prosperity: Record and Prospects

THE U.S. ECONOMY recorded further progress in 1967. Despite a pronounced inventory adjustment in the first half of the year, expansion continued through an unprecedented seventh consecutive year. The unemployment rate remained at its lowest level in more than a decade—averaging 3.8 percent for the second straight year. The value of the Nation's total output of goods and services—gross national product (GNP)—rose \$42 billion to a level now estimated at \$785 billion; after allowance for price changes, GNP grew by 2¹/₂ percent.

Expansionary fiscal and monetary policies worked to sustain expansion when private demand was sluggish in the first half of 1967. The rebound of the second half reflected the contribution of these forces. As 1968 opens, fiscal policy remains highly stimulative, and it is now overly expansionary in an economy again growing at a rapid pace. The ready availability of credit for housing and other high-priority needs is in jeopardy today, after a rapid rise of interest rates in the second half of 1967.

To avoid a return to severe credit stringency, to promote price stability, and to safeguard the balance of payments, the President is asking Congress to make enactment of a tax surcharge the first order of business in 1968. The outlook for 1968 and its dependence on tax action is set forth in this Chapter. A more detailed discussion of fiscal and monetary policy—past, present, and future—is the subject of Chapter 2.

The unsatisfactory price performance of 1966 continued through 1967; consumer prices again rose nearly 3 percent. The task of decelerating price and cost increases and of gradually restoring price stability, while maintaining high employment, is a key assignment for economic policy in 1968, as Chapter 3 makes clear. Poverty in our cities has received increasing attention. Chapter 4 discusses this and other pressing problems involved in assuring the opportunities of all Americans to obtain adequate health care, housing, and education. The balance of payments was a serious problem in 1967, especially in the closing months. The President has set forth a comprehensive new program to deal decisively with our payments deficit. The key international aspects of our economy are discussed in Chapter 5.

ECONOMIC ACTIVITY IN 1967

Employment expanded in 1967 and the real incomes of American families continued to grow. The purchasing power of the average Americanpersonal disposable income per capita, corrected for price changes---rose 3.2 percent, less than the 3.9 percent annual rate of the 1961-66 period, although well above the 2.3 percent average yearly advance for the entire postwar period.

INCOME AND EMPLOYMENT

Most of the income gains of households came from increased employment and higher wage rates. Incomes from social insurance benefits were also an important contributor; they rose \$5 billion, partly reflecting new and expanded programs of health insurance. Dividends, interest, and rental incomes advanced moderately. The income of farm proprietors, however, declined \$1¼ billion from its record level of 1966.

The civilian labor force registered an unusually large gain of nearly 1.6 million from 1966 to 1967, and the growth of civilian jobs kept pace. The number of workers on nonfarm payrolls increased by 2.1 million. Manufacturing employment rose only 150,000, the smallest advance since 1963. But private nonfarm employment outside manufacturing increased about as rapidly as in 1965 and 1966, with a gain of 1.2 million. Government employment—predominantly State and local—advanced 750,000. The Armed Forces expanded by an additional 325,000. Total compensation of employees increased \$34 billion or 8 percent, reflecting the combination of higher employment and increased wages and fringe benefits.

Corporate profits fared less well in 1967. For the year as a whole, profits before tax are now estimated at \$80 billion, down nearly \$4 billion from 1966 although well above any previous year. The dip in profits interrupted a sustained six-year advance, which had provided a sharp contrast with the 1950's, when profits did not increase significantly in any two consecutive years. Profits typically decline in a period of slow expansion partly because lagging productivity growth tends to raise unit labor costs. In early 1967 the erosion of profits was accentuated as sluggish output and sales followed a period of particularly rapid growth of capacity and other overhead elements.

PRODUCTION

The growth of output in 1967 was not impressive by the standards of recent years. The $2\frac{1}{2}$ -percent increase in real GNP---total output, after adjustment for price changes----was the smallest since 1961, and far short of the $5\frac{1}{2}$ -percent yearly average of 1961-66.

Growth rates differed widely among sectors. Industrial production rose only 1 percent from 1966 to 1967, as manufacturing industries bore the brunt of the inventory adjustment and capital goods slowdown. Durable goods output was particularly affected. In the breakdown of real GNP by major product classes, durable goods output rose less than one-half of 1 percent for the year as a whole, while output of nondurable goods rose $3\frac{1}{2}$ percent. The real volume of new structures actually declined from 1966 to 1967. Services registered the largest yearly gain, an advance of $4\frac{1}{2}$ percent in real terms.

PATTERN WITHIN THE YEAR

The annual record for 1967 does not tell the whole story, for there was a marked change of pace between the first and second halves of the year.

Inventory Adjustment in the First Half

The performance of the economy during the first half of 1967 was dominated by a massive adjustment in the rate of inventory accumulation. In many respects, the first half of 1967 resembled other periods of inventory adjustment. But this time the marked reduction in inventory investment did not cumulate into a decline in over-all economic activity.

An inventory adjustment is generated by excessive growth of stocks in relation to sales. In a smoothly expanding economy, production and sales tend to move approximately in parallel, with production exceeding final sales by about 1 percent. This margin allows for the larger inventories of goods at all stages in the pipeline—raw materials, work-inprocess, and finished products—that are needed as production and sales advance. When producers are optimistic about sales, they tend to step up their production. If actual demands fail to live up to expectations, sales fall below production by an abnormally wide margin, and inventories pile up.

Such a pattern evolved in 1966. Early in that year, demand was advancing at an unusually rapid pace, led by major increases in defense outlays, an investment boom, and rising consumer expenditures. Business expectations were buoyed up by the vigor of demand. Production expanded steadily. But as the year developed, the optimistic expectations of producers were not completely fulfilled. Final sales slowed down, in part because of monetary and fiscal policy actions designed to moderate the pace of advance. Residential construction fell sharply during the course of 1966, reflecting an extreme shortage of mortgage credit. In the closing months of the year, the end of the plant and equipment boom and a sudden rise in consumer saving weakened over-all demand.

As production continued to increase, the rate of inventory accumulation soared. In the fourth quarter of 1966, inventory investment reached a record \$18.5 billion (annual rate). The absolute level of stocks was not greatly excessive in relation to final sales, but the rate of inventory investment had to be decreased sharply to limit further accumulation. A sharp reduction in the rate of inventory investment tends to weaken final sales as well. When firms cut back production to curb the growth of inventories, the workweek is shortened and some workers are laid off. The cutback of employment lowers household incomes, thereby dampening consumer buying. Meanwhile, declining operating rates in industry weaken incentives for business fixed investment. The process tends to feed on itself. As a result of the initial effort to bring production into line with sales, final demands slow further, and inventories must be curtailed even more to restore balance between stocks and sales.

Inventory adjustment was a basic feature of the four postwar recessions: 1948-49, 1953-54, 1957-58, 1960-61. In each of those periods, real GNP fell between $1\frac{1}{2}$ and 4 percent over a period of two to four quarters, and the unemployment rate rose to 6 percent or more. But the inventory adjustment of 1967 did not lead to a recession. Real GNP was virtually unchanged from the fourth quarter of 1966 to the first quarter of 1967 and then resumed its advance. The unemployment rate remained in a narrow range close to 4 percent throughout the slowdown.

From the fourth quarter of 1966 to the second quarter of 1967, inventory investment fell \$18 billion to a near-zero rate. In absolute size (although not as a percentage of total output), this was the largest drop ever over a twoquarter period. Nevertheless, GNP continued to advance, although the pace slowed markedly, as seen in Table 1.

 TABLE 1.—Changes in gross national product and Federal sector of the national income and product accounts since second quarter 1966

	Change				
Item	1966 11 to 1966 IV	1966 IV to 1967 II	1967 to 1967 V 1		
Gross national product	25. 4	13.0	32. 5		
Change in business inventories	4, 5	-18.0	8.5		
Final sales	21. 0	31.0	24. 1		
Personal consumption expenditures Business fixed investment Residential structures Net exports Government purchases of goods and services:	12.2 4.1 -4.9 1.1	15.9 -1.3 2.2 1.0	11.7 2.3 4.8 -1.3		
Federal State and local	6.6 4.0	8.0 5.2	2.5 4.1		
Federal sector, national income and product accounts:					
Receipts	7.0	5	8, 1		
Expenditures	13. 5	10. 9	4.7		
Defense purchases Other purchases Other expenditures	7.2 7 6.9	6.9 1.1 2.9	1.8 .7 2.2		
Surplus or deficit (—)	-6.5	-11.4	3.4		

[Billions of dollars, seasonally adjusted annual rates]

¹ Preliminary.

Note .-- Detail will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.

Sources: Department of Commerce and Council of Economic Advisers.

An unusually large increase in final sales provided the thrust for continued expansion. As shown in Chart 1, the \$31 billion advance in final sales over the two quarters represented a marked acceleration from the pace of the preceding three quarters. In fact, the gains in final sales in each of the first two quarters of 1967 had been exceeded only in the booming fourth quarter of 1965 and the first quarter of 1966.

This acceleration in final sales was due mainly to the stimulus provided by rising Federal expenditures and expansionary monetary policy. As recorded in Table 1, Federal defense purchases (annual rate) rose \$6.9 billion between the fourth quarter of 1966 and the second quarter of 1967. Meanwhile, Federal transfer payments to persons-principally for increased social insurance and health benefits-rose \$31/2 billion. While Federal outlays advanced rapidly, receipts remained on a plateau, partly because the slowdown of economic activity automatically held down the tax base. The Federal deficit, which had been \$3 billion (annual rate) in the fourth quarter of 1966, rose to a postwar record of nearly \$15 billion in the second quarter of 1967. Federal receipts and expenditures, and the accompanying surplus or deficit, are cited throughout this Annual Report as they are recorded in the Federal sector of the national income and product accounts. These measures are closely comparable to the new concept of the "expenditure account," described in The Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 1969.

The strongly expansionary fiscal program supported the growth of personal incomes and hence of consumption. Although the saving rate remained high during the first two quarters of 1967, consumer outlays increased \$16 billion, nearly matching the growth of disposable income.

The easing of monetary policy in the closing months of 1966 was clearly reflected in the recovery of residential construction in the first half of 1967—a major contrast with its previous rapid decline.

The monetary stimulus during this period came from a deliberately expansionary policy. The fiscal stimulus reflected primarily the continued rapid expansion of defense purchases and rising outlays from previously enacted social insurance legislation. But some discretionary fiscal steps to strengthen expansion were taken—early restoration of the investment tax credit and a rescheduling of some Federal outlays that had been postponed in the fall of 1966.

The balance between stocks and final sales improved during the first half of 1967. Inventory investment bottomed out by midyear with no significant liquidation of total stocks and with a continued advance in over-all economic activity.

While the avoidance of recession was a major favorable development, it cannot be read as an indication that the business cycle is dead. On the contrary, the sharp inventory swing showed the continued vulnerability of the economy to cyclical forces. It was only because fiscal and monetary policy were operating in a stimulative direction that the expansion endured. Chart 1

Total Gross National Product and Final Sales





*SEASONALLY ADJUSTED ANNUAL RATES. SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

Rebound in the Second Half

The slowdown ended in the spring. Thereafter, the economy maintained a brisk pace for the remainder of 1967. The only marked departure from this course occurred during September and October, when major work stoppages—most notably, the strike at the Ford Motor Company held activity back.

As sales kept rising and confidence returned, inventory accumulation was gradually resumed. This turnaround accounted for most of the difference in the pace of activity between the first and second halves of the year. Homebuilding outlays continued to rise dramatically, surpassing their 1965 level in the last quarter of 1967. Business fixed investment showed signs of an upturn. Final sales increased substantially, but not as rapidly as in the first half. Federal purchases for defense advanced much more moderately and the growth of consumer spending slowed. The saving rate rose further, in part because of the limited availability of new automobiles in the fourth quarter.

GNP rose $32\frac{1}{2}$ billion from the second to the fourth quarter. In real terms, the advance was $4\frac{1}{2}$ percent (annual rate). The Ford strike held down the rise in GNP by an estimated \$4 billion and curtailed the annual rate of real growth by 1 percentage point over this period.

As strikes were settled at Ford and elsewhere, the economy ended the year on a strong note. Industrial production displayed an especially vigorous upsurge and finally surpassed its earlier peak of December 1966. According to preliminary data, the new orders and shipments of durable goods manufacturers rose by 12 percent and 13 percent, respectively, over the final two months of 1967, and exceeded the all-time monthly records set in 1966. Over the same two months, personal income increased by \$12 billion and nonfarm payroll employment rose by 900,000. To a large extent, the extraordinary size of these gains represented post-strike recovery rather than underlying growth forces. Nevertheless, the economy was advancing rapidly as 1968 began.

THE COMPOSITION OF OUTPUT

Shifts in the pattern of demand among major sectors of the private economy in 1967 generally worked toward restoring the balance that had been upset in 1966. The years 1961–65 had been characterized by a remarkably balanced expansion among the various sectors: Inventories advanced in line with sales; business fixed investment, though rising rapidly in 1964 and 1965, was geared appropriately to the expansion of markets; homebuilding rose to a high level, which was maintained for an unusually long period; net exports advanced strongly; and consumer spending kept pace with rapidly growing incomes.

In 1966, however, this orderly pattern was interrupted. In particular, marked imbalances arose in the various areas of investment. Business capital spending continued to rise rapidly, and began to add to capacity at a rate

284-593 O-68----4

exceeding the long-term sustainable growth of demand. Inventory investment reached record levels, and stocks outpaced sales. Residential construction declined sharply as the flow of mortgage credit dwindled. Net exports slipped badly as consumers and business turned to foreign sources for products which the domestic market could not supply.

INVESTMENT SECTORS

The economy became better balanced as the composition of investment moved toward a more normal pattern (Chart 2).

Business Fixed Investment

Business fixed investment has averaged 9.8 percent of GNP during the entire post-Korean period. The share rose from the beginning of 1964 to the end of 1966, ultimately reaching a peak of 10.9 percent. The unusually sharp increase in plant and equipment expenditures was finally ended by the slowdown in over-all expansion, the suspension of the investment tax credit in the fall of 1966, and monetary stringency. Business capital spending dipped a little for a time, but it remained essentially on a high plateau in 1967. By yearend, its share had fallen to 10.4 percent of GNP.

Inventory Investment

During the past 15 years, inventory investment has fluctuated markedly around an average level of 1 percent of GNP. As noted earlier, it accelerated sharply in 1966 to reach the unsustainable rate of 2.4 percent of GNP at yearend. In the second quarter of 1967, inventory investment was negligible. It recovered thereafter, reaching a rather normal 1.1 percent of GNP in the fourth quarter.

Residential Construction

During the period 1961–65, expenditures on residential construction activity averaged 4.3 percent of GNP, and private nonfarm housing starts averaged just under 1.5 million units a year. As a result of monetary tightness, homebuilding declined sharply during 1966, with housing starts falling to an annual rate of 0.9 million units in December. Residential construction expenditures fell from 3.7 percent of GNP in the first quarter to a low of 2.7 percent in the fourth quarter.

The year 1967 witnessed a steady and spectacular recovery in residential construction, reflecting renewed availability of mortgage financing and strong underlying demand. By the fourth quarter, the share of homebuilding in GNP had increased to 3.5 percent.

Chart 2



Selected Shares of Gross National Product

NOTE.-BASED ON SEASONALLY ADJUSTED DATA. SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

Net Exports

Exports of goods and services exceeded imports by an average of 1.1 percent of GNP during the 1961-65 period. Demands for imports rose sharply in 1966, and the share of net exports in GNP dropped to a low point of 0.6 percent in the fourth quarter of 1966. During the first three quarters of 1967, net exports recovered somewhat and averaged 0.7 percent of GNP. In the fourth quarter, however, a disturbing new decline in net exports was registered, as sluggishness of demand abroad held U.S. export sales on a plateau, while the economic rebound at home generated a renewed growth of imports.

GOVERNMENT

Purchases of goods and services by State and local governments have risen steadily and rapidly during the post-Korean period, supported in part by the strong expansion of Federal grants-in-aid. State and local purchases amounted to 7.5 percent of GNP in 1954, advanced to 9.7 percent in 1961, increased further to 10.4 percent in 1966, and reached 11.1 percent of GNP in the fourth quarter of 1967. The total growth of State and local purchases has been fairly steady. But employment has accelerated in recent years, with increases of nearly 600,000 workers in both 1966 and 1967. State and local payrolls absorbed about two-fifths of the growth in the total civilian labor force in these two years.

Federal purchases of goods and services have shown much more erratic movements, reflecting marked shifts in defense requirements. As a share of GNP, they reached a post-Korean low of 9.7 percent in the second quarter of 1965, but have been rising since then because of the conflict in Vietnam. Still, by standards of earlier years, Federal purchases as a share of GNP are currently not particularly high. In the fourth quarter of 1967, they amounted to 11.4 percent of GNP, not much different from the 11.1 percent average share in 1955–61 and far below the 15.7 percent share at the close of the Korean war.

PERSONAL SAVING

Beginning in the fourth quarter of 1966 and persisting throughout 1967, individuals have been saving an especially large share of their after-tax incomes, and thus have been spending a reduced share on consumer goods and services. At current income levels, an increase of 1 percentage point in the saving rate corresponds to a $51/_{2}$ billion reduction in consumer spending. The ratio of personal saving to disposable personal income was 7.1 percent in 1967. Table 2 shows that this is unusually high by standards of recent years, although not in comparison with 1956–58. In the analysis of current data, it must be recognized that revisions in the national accounts have, at times in the past, markedly changed the initial estimates of the saving rate. But other statistical evidence also suggests that saving in 1967 was much higher than in previous years.

Category	1956-58 average	1959–64 average	1965	1966	1 967 1			
Disposable personal income	100. 0	100. 0	100. 0	100.0	100. 0			
Personal consumption expenditures	91.1	92.2	91.7	91.6	90. 3			
Durable goods	12.8	13.0	14.0	13.8	13.2			
Autos and parts Other durables	5.4 7.3	5.7 7.3	6.3 7.6	5.9 8.0	5.4 7.9			
Nondurable goods	44. 0	42.3	40.5	40.8	39. 9			
Food and beverages Other nondurables	2 3. 9 20. 1	22. 3 19. 9	21.0 1 9 .5	21.0 19.8	20.3 19.6			
Services	34. 3	37.0	37.3	37.0	37.1			
Interest paid and transfer payments to foreigners	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.6			
Saving	6.9	5. 5	5.8	5.9	7.1			

TABLE 2.—Disposition of disposable personal income in selected periods, 1956-67

[Percent]

¹ Preliminary.

Note .--- Detail will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.

Sources: Department of Commerce and Council of Economic Advisers.

A significant part of the rise in the saving rate in 1967 may be attributable to a decline in the proportion of disposable income spent on automobiles from an average of 6.0 percent in 1963-66 to 5.4 percent in 1967. The proportion in 1963-66 seems unusually high, and the decline in 1967 may be partly the backwash of that earlier experience. Moreover, it is quite normal in light of past performance for a decline in the share of income spent on autos to be associated with a rise in the saving rate.

A variety of other factors, many of them short run in character, may also have influenced saving. The recent increase in the saving rate may have been caused, in part, by the Medicare program introduced in mid-1966. This program contributed \$4 billion to disposable income in 1967. Some part of these benefits must have covered health care which otherwise would have drawn down personal saving.

Developments in financial markets may also have affected saving. During 1966 households bought large amounts of bonds; as a result, the growth in their holdings of liquid assets—such as currency, demand deposits and saving deposits—was curtailed. During the course of 1967, however, consumers rebuilt their liquidity at a rapid rate. To a degree, this was accomplished by a shift in the composition of financial assets away from less liquid types of securities, but in part the additional liquidity may have been accumulated through increased saving.

Some economists have also suggested that consumer saving is likely to be unusually high in the period immediately following an acceleration of prices. They cite 1948, 1951, and 1952 as precedents.

While few of these factors would imply a permanently higher saving rate, past evidence indicates that a reversion to a more normal rate is most likely to occur gradually rather than abruptly.

BALANCE OF SAVING AND INVESTMENT

The shift in saving and investment demands in 1967 can also be viewed in terms of the balance of total investment and saving in the aggregate economy.

The difference between gross private investment and gross private saving is, in principle, always matched by the surplus or deficit of Federal, State and local governments. In fact, a statistical discrepancy generally creeps into the measurement of these flows and prevents complete realization of the definitional equality (Table 3).

In 1966, despite its unbalanced composition, gross private investment amounted to 16.2 percent of GNP, a quite typical share for a full employment year. It exceeded the total saving of individuals and corporations by a small margin. This small excess of private investment over private saving was essentially matched by the moderate surplus of State and local governments. Federal receipts, meanwhile, virtually equalled expenditures; thus the Federal Government neither drew down nor added to total national saving.

	Percent of gross national product						
Source of use of saving	1952	1956	1965	1966	1967 1		
Private sector:							
Gross investment.	14.9	17.1	16.3	16. 2	14. 5		
Business fixed investment Residential structures Change in business inventories Net foreign investment	9.1 5.0 .9 —.1	10. 4 5. 2 1. 1 . 4	10. 4 3. 9 1. 4 . 6	10.8 3.3 1.8 .3	10, 5 3, 1 . 6 . 3		
Gross saving	15, 4	16. 2	16, 2	16, 1	16, 5		
Personal saving Gross business saving	5. 2 10. 2	4.9 11.3	4. 0 12. 2	4.0 12.1	4.9 11.5		
Excess of private saving or investment (–)	.5	9	1	1	1.9		
Government sector:				i			
Federal surplus or deficit (–) State and local surplus or deficit (–)	(³)	1.4 2	.2 .2	⁽²⁾ . 4	-1.6 (3)		
Government surplus or deficit ()	-1.1	1. 2	.4	. 4	1.6		
Statistical discrepancy	.6	3	3	3	3		

TABLE 3.—Gross saving and investment in selected years of relatively high employment, 1952-67

¹ Preliminary. ² Less than 0.05 percent. ³ Less than -0.05 percent.

Sources: Department of Commerce and Council of Economic Advisers.

For the year 1967, however, gross private investment amounted to an unusually low 14.5 percent of GNP. Inventory accumulation, residential building, and net foreign investment were all below their average shares of recent years. Meanwhile, personal saving was unusually large as a share of GNP. While a drop in the share of gross business saving provided a partial offset, total private saving as a share of GNP still rose in 1967. The resulting large excess of private saving over private investment implied a comparable major swing toward deficits in the Government sectors. The surplus of State and local governments vanished, and the Federal sector recorded a deficit amounting to 1.6 percent of GNP.

The expansionary fiscal position helped to offset the weakness of private demand and thus to maintain the economy at essentially full employment. If fiscal and monetary policies had been less expansionary, the sag in private demand would have lowered output, employment, and incomes; saving and investment would then have come into balance at lower levels of economic activity.

As the 1967 experience demonstrates, under conditions of unusual weakness in private demand, a large Federal deficit at full employment can help to sustain total demand at an appropriate level. Table 3 also contains the data for 1952, which was similar to 1967 in this respect. But, under conditions of strong private demand, a similarly expansionary budget would be inappropriate, causing inflationary pressures and requiring monetary restraint to curb investment demand. Some of the major factors operating to produce the excess of private saving in 1967 were clearly temporary; these included the inventory overhang at the beginning of the year and the lingering impact of tight money on residential construction. The relative weakness of private demand in the first half of 1967 gave way to growing strength in the latter part of the year, and this is continuing into 1968.

RESOURCE USE IN 1967

Although real GNP increased only $2\frac{1}{2}$ percent from 1966 to 1967, the average unemployment rate did not rise. The growth of the economy's supply capabilities generally allows output to increase about 4 percent per year with constant utilization rates. A growth of actual output much below that rate would normally be expected to be accompanied by a rise in the unemployment rate. But this did not occur last year.

Much of the production slowdown early in the year was reflected in a decline of average hours worked per man rather than in a reduction of employment. For 1967 as a whole, average hours worked per man in private nonfarm employment were 1.3 percent below the high level of 1966. Firms correctly viewed the slowdown as temporary and they were reluctant to release the skilled labor which had been so difficult to find in 1966.

For the private economy, man-hour productivity appears to have risen only 1.4 percent in 1967. A decline in utilization rates normally holds down the growth of productivity. Moreover, business firms were apparently still making up shortages of professional and managerial workers. For example, employment of nonproduction workers in manufacturing grew 1.7 percent in the first half of the year when output was falling.

Labor Force

The unemployment rate for 1967 as a whole was held down by the shortened workweek and the slowdown in the rate of productivity growth, even though the growth of the labor force exceeded normal demographic trends by a wide margin.

From the fourth quarter of 1966 to the second quarter of 1967, there was a moderate decline in the number of women in the labor force and the total civilian labor force grew only slightly. As the pace of economic expansion quickened in the second half, an unusually large number of women entered the labor force and the expansion of adult female employment accounted for over 80 percent of the increase in total civilian employment. This parallel movement of labor force participation and employment is the chief reason why the unemployment rate did not rise significantly in the first half nor decrease notably in the second half.

It should be noted that the unemployment data for 1967 are difficult to interpret and to compare with former years because of the introduction of an improved questionnaire in the monthly survey of employment. There is some evidence that the new procedures may result in a measured unemployment rate slightly below that yielded by the old questionnaire. Thus it is possible that, on a strictly comparable basis, unemployment would have registered an increase of about 0.1 percent of the labor force from 1966 to 1967.

As shown in Table 4, there were only small changes in the demographic and occupational patterns of unemployment rates in 1967. The unemployment rate of nonwhite men continued the steady decline that has taken place since 1961—although the rate is still more than twice that for white men. The unemployment rate increased somewhat for adult females, both white and nonwhite. It also rose further for nonwhite teenagers, the only group that has not experienced a significant decline in unemployment since 1961.

The burden of unemployment remains rather heavily concentrated among nonwhites, who represent 21 percent of the unemployed, but only 11 percent of the employed. Many of these workers suffer particularly from discrimination, lack of education, and inadequate skills and experience. Much of the unemployment in other groups stems from short layoffs, voluntary quits, and—particularly in the case of women and teenagers—from frequent temporary movements into the labor force.

Many workers did have difficulty in finding jobs in 1967, but many employers were still having recruiting problems, even though the labor market was less strained than in 1966. While there was room for an increase in the workweek at the end of the year, labor markets were not generally characterized by excess supplies of labor.

TABLE 4.—Employment and unemployment by demographic and occupational groups, selected years, 1961–67

Group	Une	employment (Percentage distribu- tion of employment status, 1967			
	1961	1965	1966	1967	Employ- ment	Unemploy- ment ²
Totai	6.7	4.5	3.8	3. 8	100. 0	100.0
Demographic groups:						
White	6.0	4, 1	3. 3	3. 4	89. 2	78.6
Teenagers Adult males Adult females	15. 3 5. 1 5. 7	13.4 2.9 4.0	11.2 2.2 3.3	11.0 2.1 3.8	6.9 53.8 28.6	21. 3 29. 1 28. 1
Nonwhite	12.4	8.1	7.3	7.4	10.8	21.4
Teenagers Adult males Adult females	27.6 11.7 10.6	26. 2 6. 0 7. 5	25.4 4.9 6.6	26.4 4.3 7.1	.8 5.8 4.2	6.9 6.5 8.1
Occupational groups:						
White collar workers Blue collar workers Service workers Farm workers	3.3 9.2 7.2 2.8	2.3 5.3 5.3 2.6	2.0 4.2 4.6 2.2	2.2 4.4 4.5 2.3	46. 0 36. 7 12. 5 4. 8	29.6 49.8 17.3 3.3

¹ Number unemployed in each group as percent of labor force for the group. ² Distribution by occupational groups relates to experienced workers.

Note.—Data relate to persons 16 years of age and over. Detail will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding. Source: Department of Labor.

Capacity Utilization

In contrast with employment of manpower, plant and equipment utilization in manufacturing was markedly reduced in 1967 from the strained conditions of 1966. Average operating rates fell from more than 90 percent in 1966 to 85 percent in 1967, as manufacturing capacity grew by $6\frac{1}{2}$ percent while output barely increased for the year as a whole. The decline in utilization was limited to the first half of 1967—except in the case of the automobile industry where output was heavily affected by strikes. From June to December, total manufacturing production increased at an annual rate of $8\frac{1}{2}$ percent, outpacing the growth of capacity; at yearend, operating rates were rising in most manufacturing sectors.

PROSPECTS AND POLICIES FOR 1968

At the end of 1967, the economy was in a strong position to move into its eighth year of uninterrupted expansion. As noted above, the composition of demand is now fairly well balanced with that of supply.

Because some slack developed during 1967, real GNP can rise in 1968 by somewhat more than 4 percent without straining resources. But a growth of demand much above that rate would tend to accelerate the increase of wages and prices. An excessive rate of growth of demand could also upset the balance among the sectors of the economy by generating a surge of business investment, and ultimately placing renewed pressures on the capital goods industries. The financial conditions which would inevitably be associated with such developments would again severely depress homebuilding. And a new wave of imports would impair the needed improvement in the U.S. balance of payments.

In 1968, the main objectives of fiscal and monetary policy are to sustain economic expansion, to maintain reasonable balance between demand and resources in the economy as a whole and among its major sectors, to promote a return toward over-all price stability, and to support progress toward balance-of-payments equilibrium.

FEDERAL FISCAL PROGRAM

Federal expenditures in 1968 are expected to rise by about \$15 billion, considerably less than the \$21 billion increase of last year. Defense purchases, including military pay increases, are scheduled to rise only \$4 billion as compared with \$12 billion in 1967. The remaining increases in expenditures cover requirements under existing law and provide for high priority civilian programs. Nondefense purchases, also including pay increases, will rise \$2 billion. Medicaid, manpower training, and housing and community development programs will add to the total of grants-in-aid in 1968.

A scheduled increase in social security benefits in March will add \$3 billion to transfer payments for the year 1968. This increase will be offset, in large part, by a \$2 billion rise in payroll taxes, which became effective January 1. Reflecting continued growth of Medicare health benefits and ongoing retirement pensions as well as the new social security programs, total transfers to persons should rise \$5 billion.

Excluding the scheduled changes in social security benefits, the remaining expected increase in Federal expenditures is approximately equal to the normal annual growth of Federal revenues at existing tax rates. Thus, in the absence of tax rate increases, the Federal deficit would change little from its estimated level of $12\frac{1}{2}$ billion for 1967. It would remain overly expansionary in relation to the expected growth of private demand.

Accordingly, the President has again asked Congress to enact a temporary 10-percent surcharge on personal and corporate income taxes, effective April 1 for individuals and January 1 for corporations. The proposed surcharge will yield an estimated \$8 billion of additional revenues in 1968. The President is also recommending the retention of certain excise taxes, now scheduled to expire in April, in order to avoid a revenue loss of nearly \$2 billion in 1968. With the President's tax program, the Federal deficit will be reduced to an estimated \$5 billion for the calendar year. Thus the proposed tax changes will eliminate much of the expansionary thrust of the Federal budget as private demand continues to grow. The President's tax proposals are discussed in detail in Chapter 2. Assuming enactment of the President's fiscal program early in the current legislative session, GNP for 1968 is expected to approximate \$846 billion—given the \$785 billion now estimated for 1967. This projection, of course, is intended to represent the midpoint of a range of possible outcomes, rather than a precise estimate. After correction for an anticipated over-all price increase somewhat in excess of 3 percent, the midpoint estimate would imply an increase of somewhat more than 4 percent in real GNP. With this output increase and an expected growth of 134 percent in the civilian labor force, the unemployment rate for the year as a whole should be essentially unchanged from its current level.

Just as it was evident a year ago that 1967 had inherited a slow start from the conditions which prevailed at the end of 1966, it is equally clear now that 1968 has inherited a running start from the economic conditions of the closing months of 1967. Automobile production schedules for the beginning of 1968 are exceptionally large. The latest surveys of business plans for plant and equipment and the recent strength of new orders for machinery and equipment both point to an advance in business investment in the first half. There is also evidence that a buildup of steel inventories has already begun in anticipation of labor negotiations. Most of the catchup in automobile production is expected to be concentrated in the first quarter and the steel stockpiling in the first half.

Although the rate of advance may be excessive in the early part of 1968, prompt enactment of the President's tax proposals will insure moderate and appropriate expansion after midyear.

When the prospective pattern of economic activity is uneven, forecasting involves special uncertainties. One year ago, the Council foresaw an uneven pattern of activity for 1967, with an advance of \$47 billion in GNP for the year as a whole. The actual gain was \$5 billion smaller. Nevertheless, the Council did project a slowdown in the first half, followed by a marked rebound in the second. Developments during the year generally corresponded to this pattern.

The ability of economists to forecast is far from perfect, but a projection carefully distilled from the available evidence is indispensable in the formulation of economic policy.

OUTLOOK BY SECTORS

The over-all outlook can be better understood by examining the major expenditure categories.

Business Fixed Investment

The recovery of business fixed investment which seems to have begun late in 1967 is likely to continue at a moderate rate throughout 1968. In the most recent Commerce-SEC investment survey—the results of which are in substantial agreement with other yearend surveys—business firms in utilities, airlines, and a few manufacturing sectors including nonelectrical machinery reported plans for considerable increases in investment in the first half of 1968. Responding to some improvement in operating rates and profits in the first part of the year, other manufacturing industries—currently planning little change in outlays for the first half of 1968—may be expected to raise their investment outlays later in the year. For the year as a whole, the gain over 1967 is expected to be about \$4 or \$5 billion.

Business Inventories

For 1967 as a whole, inventory accumulation is estimated at only \$5 billion. Inventory accumulation in the first half of 1968—spurred by the rebuilding of automobile stocks and forward buying of steel inventories might run at twice the 1967 rate. The accumulation rate should be approximately normal in the second half of 1968, so that, for the year as a whole, the net addition to stocks is expected to total several billion dollars above that of 1967.

Homebuilding

Provided the tax increase is enacted early in 1968, the relief of pressures on financial markets should be sufficient to permit continued growth in residential building. Private nonfarm housing starts in 1968 are expected to exceed $1\frac{1}{2}$ million for the first time since 1964—a substantial increase over 1967, though still below the basic demand of our expanding population. Expenditures on homebuilding and modernization of existing residences should rise through the year, and, for 1968 as a whole, exceed 1967 outlays by \$5 to \$6 billion.

The events of 1967 have shown quite clearly that housing demand is strong enough to support a high and rising level of building even when mortgage interest rates are high—provided funds are available at thrift institutions. Over the past 12 to 15 months, the monetary authorities—as explained in Chapter 2—have been especially mindful of the need to provide financial support for building activity. If they are able to maintain this course, residential building will continue to be an important stimulus to general economic expansion while providing the improved housing capacity needed in many areas of the country.

Government

Purchases of goods and services by State and local governments should rise by \$8 or \$9 billion in 1968. Here, too, part of the expected growth is dependent on the existence of financial conditions that will permit State and local governments to carry out planned construction projects.

For the year as a whole, Federal purchases are expected to rise by \$6 billion. The quarterly pattern of advance during the year is expected to

be fairly smooth, except that the third quarter rate will be enlarged by a Federal pay increase estimated at $1\frac{1}{2}$ billion (annual rate). The timing of this bulge should serve to offset some of the effect on aggregate demand of the reduction in inventory accumulation expected in the second half of the year.

Consumption

An expected gain of about \$35 billion in disposable income—consumer income after tax and surtax—should promote a sizable advance in consumer spending. Recent increases in consumer liquidity should reinforce the gains in income. Expenditures on household durables should receive particular support from the continued high level of homebuilding. Another contributing element is the prospective catchup in automobile sales.

For 1968, the consumer sector is clearly an area of particular uncertainty in forecasting private demand. As noted above, the saving rate has been unusually high for the past five quarters. And the latest evidence indicates that consumers are still spending cautiously. Nevertheless, the weight of past evidence would suggest that, following a period of abnormally high saving, the most likely possibility is a gradual decline in the saving rate.

The saving rate implicit in the projection of an \$846 billion GNP is only slightly below the 7.1 percent rate of 1967. The automobile catchup essentially accounts for the small projected decline. On this basis, consumer spending is expected to rise about \$33 billion in 1968.

With the prompt enactment of the President's tax proposals, the prospects outlined above suggest that, while price increases will continue to be troublesome, the U.S. economy should experience healthy and balanced economic growth in 1968.

Chapter 2

The Strategy of Stabilization Policy

THE EMPLOYMENT ACT of 1946 charged the Federal Government with the responsibility to promote "maximum employment, production, and purchasing power." Active pursuit of these goals through the use of discretionary economic policy over the past seven years has led to new standards of economic performance. This chapter deals with some of the lessons of recent economic experience as they apply to the current and foreseeable problems facing the economy.

SEVEN YEARS OF ECONOMIC EXPANSION

Since February 1961 the United States has experienced an unprecedented period of sustained economic expansion. This long uninterrupted advance represents a marked contrast with our historical pattern of ups and downs. During the years from 1854—when the relevant economic records begin—to 1960, there were 26 periods of expansion, averaging $2\frac{1}{2}$ years in length. Each terminated with a relapse into recession or depression. The longest previous advance was the 80-month expansion that accompanied World War II; and the next longest was the anemic 50-month recovery from the Great Depression.

MEASURES OF GAINS

The present prosperity has been outstanding in strength as well as in length (Table 5). Over nearly seven years of expansion, gross national product (GNP), measured in constant prices, increased 41 percent, an average of 5.2 percent a year. The addition of \$231 billion (in 1967 prices) was greater than the entire real output of the Nation only 30 years ago. All major expenditure components shared in the increase, with the most marked advance occurring in business fixed investment.

Over the 6¾-year period, real disposable income per capita—the after-tax spendable income of the average American, corrected for price changes rose 29 percent, a greater gain than that of the preceding 18 years. Civilian employment increased by 9.4 million jobs. These employment gains outpaced the growth of the labor force and permitted unemployment rates to decline for all major groups of workers.

58

Series	Unit or base	Am	ount	Percentage change 1		
		1 96 1	1967 IV 1	Total	Per year	
Production :						
Gross national product	Billions of dollars, 1958 prices 2	482.6	679.4	40.8	5. 2	
Personal consumption expenditures Business fixed investment Residential structures Government purchases	do do do	316. 2 44. 9 20. 9 97. 6	433.2 73.2 21.3 140.4	37, 0 63, 0 1, 9 43, 9	4.8 7.5 .3 5.5	
Federal State and local	do	52. 2 45. 4	74.4 66.0	42. 5 45. 4	5. 4 5. 7	
Industrial production	1957-59=100	103.7	15 9 . 2	53. 5	6.6	
Income:						
Disposable personal income Corporate profits after tax Per capita disposable personal income	Billions of dollars, 1958 prices ² Billions of dollars ² Dollars, 1958 prices ²	341. 8 24, 4 1, 871	481. 8 3 47. 1 2, 409	41. 0 93. 0 28. 8	5.2 10.6 3.8	
Employment:						
Civilian employment Nonagricultural payroll employment	Millions of personsdo	65.7 53.5	75.1 66.8	14.3 24.9	2.0 3.3	
Unemployment rate: Total Males 20 years and over Teenagers Nonwhite	Percent 4 do do do	6.8 5.9 17.2 12.4	4.0 2.4 14.0 7.6			
Prices:						
Gross national product deflator Personal consumption expenditures de- flator Wholesale prices Consumer prices	1958=100 1957-59=100	104.3 103.8 101.0	118.9 115.7 106.4	14.0 11.5 5.3	2.0	
	wv	103.9	117.0	15.4	1.9	

TABLE 5.—Measures of economic activity during the current expansion

¹ Preliminary.

Annual rates.
 1967 IV not available; 1967 III used.
 Percent of civilian labor force in each group unemployed.

Note .--- All data seasonally adjusted except wholesale and consumer prices.

Sources: Department of Commerce, Department of Labor, and Council of Economic Advisers.

The price performance for much of the period was outstanding, although the record of the past two years is blemished. For the period as a whole, the over-all GNP price deflator rose 2.0 percent a year, the consumer price index increased at an average yearly rate of 1.9 percent, and wholesale prices rose at an annual rate of only 0.8 percent. During the preceding seven years of slow growth and intermittent recession, the annual rates of increase had been: 2.2 percent for the GNP deflator, 1.5 percent for consumer prices, and 1.2 percent for wholesale prices.

The steady and sustained growth since early 1961 contrasts sharply with the record of the preceding seven years. Chart 3 shows the path of real GNP in the current expansion in comparison with the cyclical path following the recession trough in 1954. If our real GNP in 1961-67 had plodded and bumped along as it did in the earlier period, it would have reached \$688 billion at the end of 1967 (in end-of-1967 prices). In fact, the actual per-

Real Gross National Product After the Recession Troughs of 1954 and 1961



NOTE.-BASED ON SEASONALLY ADJUSTED QUARTERLY DATA. SOURCES: DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND COUNCIL OF ECONOMIC ADVISERS.

formance of the economy topped this by \$120 billion-a difference larger than the current total of Federal purchases of goods and services.

REALIZATION OF POTENTIAL

The large recent gains in output reflect the fact that over-all demand has caught up and kept up with the economy's rising productive capacity. In the late 1950's and early 1960's, the Nation was sacrificing the opportunity to consume and invest a substantial amount of the output it was capable of producing (Chart 4). Potentially productive men and machines were idle because of inadequate demand for their services. At the recession trough in the first quarter of 1961, actual GNP was \$50 billion (1958 prices) below the estimated potential output of the economy at a 4-percent unemployment rate. This "gap" was gradually reduced and finally closed in the last half of 1965. Since then, actual GNP has fluctuated in a relatively narrow range around its growing potential—exceeding it somewhat in the boom of 1966 and falling a little short during 1967.

Gross National Product, Actual and Potential, and Unemployment Rate



*SEASONALLY ADJUSTED ANNUAL RATES.

 $\Delta T \rm Rend line of 31.5\%$ through middle of 1955 to 1962 IV, 31.4% from 1962 IV to 1965 IV, and 4% from 1965 IV to 1967 IV.

2/UNEMPLOYMENT AS PERCENT OF CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE; SEASONALLY ADJUSTED. SOURCES: DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, AND COUNCIL OF ECONOMIC ADVISERS. The Nation's potential output has grown by an estimated 28 percent since early 1961. The rate of increase is currently about 4 percent a year, reflecting a $1\frac{1}{2}$ -percent rise in available man-hours and a $2\frac{1}{2}$ -percent rate of increase in output per man-hour.

Available man-hours grow slightly less rapidly than the labor force. The recent normal growth trend of the labor force is about 13/4 percent a year. This is rapid by previous standards and reflects the high birth rates of the immediate postwar years. Under steady employment conditions, however, longer vacations, shorter workweeks, and an increasing employment of part-time workers—mostly women—lead to a decline of about 1/4 percent in average hours worked per year. This holds the trend of growth in total man-hours to about 1/4 percent a year.

As a result of a more skilled and better trained labor force, improved management and technology, and the enlarged quantity and higher quality of the capital stock, the Nation experiences growing productivity—as measured by output per man-hour. In the postwar era, the trend in the growth of productivity in the private economy has been somewhat over 3 percent a year. However, improvements in the efficiency of Government workers are not statistically measured, and are arbitrarily taken at zero. Therefore, the trend rate of increase in output per man-hour for the total economy—private and public—is just over $2\frac{1}{2}$ percent a year. The growth rate of potential output is therefore about 4 percent a year currently. It exceeds the $3\frac{1}{2}$ -percent rate estimated for the late 1950's and early 1960's primarily because the growth of the labor force has accelerated.

The vigorous advance of aggregate demand in recent years has assured that the economy's great and growing productive potential has been generally realized in actual output and not squandered in idleness.

FISCAL POLICY IN THE 1960'S

The improved and fuller use of our productive capabilities in the 1960's has been significantly influenced by fiscal and monetary policy. It is no accident that this most successful period of sustained growth in our economic history has coincided with a new and determined commitment to apply economic policies in active pursuit of the goals of the Employment Act.

The balanced expansion of 1961–65 was strongly supported by stimulative fiscal measures. Federal tax liabilities were lowered through depreciation reform, the investment tax credit, a sharp reduction in personal and corporate tax rates, and the reduction or elimination of many Federal excise taxes. Increases in expenditures during the period provided for the introduction and expansion of high priority social programs and, in 1961–62, for stepped-up defense needs.

As discussed in detail below, monetary policy played a vital role during this period in insuring that the growing credit needs of the expanding economy were adequately met. The rapid expansion of spending for the Vietnam conflict threw the economy off stride after mid-1965. But the economic strains inherent in the defense buildup were moderated by adjustments in policy. In particular, monetary policy played a much more active role in 1966 and 1967 than in the preceding period.

A review of fiscal policy prior to the 1960's is helpful in understanding the more recent developments.

THE HERITAGE OF CURRENT FISCAL POLICY

The conscious use of Federal tax and expenditure policy to help promote high employment and healthy growth dates back at least to the 1930's. Since World War II, fiscal policy has contributed to the improved record of economic stability both through the greater importance of automatic stabilizers and the growing use of discretionary policies.

Automatic Stabilizers

Several features of the postwar budgetary system have helped to increase economic stability passively and automatically. Because the Federal tax system relies heavily on personal and corporate income taxes, tax liabilities increase or decrease along with economic activity. A decline in activity reduces incomes, which, in turn, automatically results in reduced tax receipts even if tax rates are not changed. The fall in after-tax spendable incomes of individuals and corporations is thus cushioned by the amount of the decline in tax revenues. As a result, secondary reductions in spending are smaller than they would otherwise have been, and the ultimate decline in output and incomes is more limited. The same kind of stabilizing effect occurs, to a degree, in Federal expenditures, because certain outlays—especially unemployment benefits and welfare payments—automatically increase in a period of contracting economic activity and thus support consumer spending.

When economic activity rises, these same stabilizers work in the opposite direction. Every rise in income leads to higher tax collections which given the level of Federal expenditures—restrict further increases in private spending. To the extent that the rise in private incomes reduces Federal outlays for unemployment benefits and welfare payments, the dampening effect is further strengthened.

Automatic fiscal stabilizers are more important now than they were before World War II. Over much of the postwar period, they have been the primary reliance of stabilization policy. To a large extent, the increased strength of the stabilizers simply reflects the higher tax rates in the postwar period, accompanying the greater importance of defense spending and the enlarged civilian responsibilities of the Federal Government. The automatic stabilizers were also made more powerful as a result of structural changes, such as the introduction of unemployment insurance and the greater reliance on progressive income taxes—receipts from which fluctuate proportionately more in response to income changes than those from other taxes.

The frequency of recessions from 1948 to 1961 was not notably reduced from earlier times, which is not surprising. Automatic stabilizers cannot prevent a decline; they merely help to limit one once it starts. But the automatic stabilizers have helped to make postwar recessions brief and relatively mild. The workings of the automatic stabilizers created substantial Federal deficits in each postwar recession, which were accepted by each Administration as a beneficial stabilizing influence.

Discretionary Policies

Discretionary fiscal actions were also used at times to stimulate the economy during recession periods and early stages of recovery. Certain tax rates were allowed to fall as scheduled at the start of 1954, in full recognition that this would further unbalance the Federal budget. Increases in Federal expenditures helped to insure and accelerate recovery in 1958. Although these actions were taken considerably after the onset of recession, they played a constructive role in strengthening recovery.

In one major instance, discretionary fiscal actions also were taken to curb inflationary pressures of excess demand. Three separate legislative actions to raise corporate and individual tax rates in 1950-51 helped to restrain a booming Korean defense economy. Civilian budgetary expenditures were also substantially trimmed. Although most support for these actions reflected the traditional view that more money was needed simply to "pay for the war," there were many—both within and outside the Government—who understood fully the role of fiscal policy in stabilizing the economy.

The discretionary actions that were taken during the decade of the 1950's seem, in retrospect, to have worked in the right direction. The cases in which fiscal policy seems to have gone astray involve errors of omission rather than commission.

A particularly instructive case was the reliance on automatic stabilizers during the upswing from 1958 to 1960. When the economy is in an inflationary surge, restraint from the automatic stabilizers is a welcome force. Under some circumstances, however, the expansion of tax revenues that accompanies economic growth can exert an undesirable restraint. As the 1958–60 period illustrated, it can become a "fiscal drag" preventing the attainment or maintenance of high employment. Unless there is some combination of higher Federal expenditures and reduction in tax rates equivalent to the normal growth of revenues, the Federal budget becomes increasingly restrictive over time.

The possibility of reductions in tax rates was widely advocated and seriously discussed early in 1958, but no action was taken. Although expenditures rose sharply during the course of that year, they leveled off thereafter and showed no upward trend from the end of 1958 to mid-1960. Because Federal expenditures stood still and tax rates were unchanged, the budget began to exert a significant fiscal drag. For a time, private demand strengthened enough to keep the economy advancing. But as private demand lost its vigor, the economy turned down in the spring of 1960, and the fourth postwar recession began.

BUDGETARY ACTIONS, 1961-65

When the Kennedy Administration took office, the 1960–61 recession had essentially run its course, cushioned by the automatic stabilizers and by a prompt shift to a strongly expansive monetary policy. But the Nation's output was far below its potential and the unemployment rate stood at 6.8 percent, close to a postwar record high.

The Federal sector was in deficit by \$1/2 billion (annual rate) in the fourth quarter of 1960 on the national income account basis (which is the measure employed throughout this Report). At the same time, however, tax receipts were being held down by the major shortfall of incomes below the economy's potential. If, in fact, the economy had been operating at its potential, there would have been a Federal surplus of an estimated \$13 billion. This hypothetical measure of the "full-employment surplus" is abstract and imprecise, but it is a useful way of distinguishing between the passive operation of automatic stabilizers and discretionary shifts in the budget. If private demand weakens and the economy contracts, thereby lowering tax revenues, the actual Federal surplus will be substantially reduced (or deficit increased), even with no discretionary changes in expenditures or tax rates. But the full-employment surplus would not thereby be altered; it would continue to reflect what expenditures and tax yields would be at potential output levels. On the other hand, higher discretionary expenditures or a reduction in tax rates would be reflected in a lower fullemployment surplus, as well as in an initial decline of the actual surplus.

The huge gap between actual and potential output early in 1961 was a clear signal that expansionary fiscal actions were needed. If the restraining impact of the large full-employment surplus continued, the economy's potential could be realized only through a compensating excess of private investment over private saving at potential output in an amount larger than 2 percent of GNP. That would have required extraordinary buoyancy of private demand, which did not appear to be present or forthcoming.

Expansionary Actions in 1961-62

Significant fiscal steps were taken in 1961 to stimulate the economy. A liberalization of social security benefits was accelerated and increases in public assistance were initiated. Advances in defense spending were required by growing international tensions, and these accomplished a part of the stimulative job which might otherwise have been carried out by tax cuts or strengthened civilian programs. The full-employment surplus was brought down to \$9 billion by the end of 1961. Meanwhile, the economy's early re-

covery from recession was strong and brisk, narrowing the gap between actual and potential output by some \$15 billion (1958 prices) from the first to the fourth quarter.

It was expected that this initial stimulus would touch off a strong and sustained rise in business spending. But after five years of experience with sluggish markets and excess capacity, businessmen were not prepared to raise plant and equipment spending far in advance of the growth of demand. The economy continued to advance but at a much slower pace; progress toward full employment was interrupted early in 1962. The gap between actual and potential output remained between \$25 and \$30 billion (1958 prices) and the unemployment rate hovered around $5\frac{1}{2}$ percent.

Two key tax measures were adopted in 1962 to stimulate investment: depreciation rules were liberalized and an investment tax credit of 7 percent on machinery and equipment was enacted. These measures were designed for the long run and were not expected to yield large results immediately. The tax actions were combined with moderate further increases in Federal expenditures. Even so, the revenue growth of a normally expanding economy swung both the full-employment surplus and the actual deficit toward restraint in the second half of 1962.

Tax Reduction in 1964 and 1965

Against this background, President Kennedy announced in August of 1962 his intention to propose a major stimulative tax reduction, along with important tax reforms. The proposal was subsequently spelled out in the January 1963 Budget program. This was an unprecedented step; it initiated a major expansionary fiscal action at a time when the economy was neither in recession nor threatened by imminent recession, the Federal sector was in deficit, and Federal expenditures were continuing on an upward trend. The tax program was based on the diagnosis and forecast that a substantial further reduction in the Federal full-employment surplus was needed, given the state of private demand, to produce a sustained and balanced expansion of output up to the potential level.

The tax proposal was intensively debated in Congress, but action on it was not completed in 1963. Meanwhile, expenditures grew less rapidly than either the actual or potential advance of revenues and the budget became even more restrictive. The march toward full employment was resumed with the enactment in February of the Revenue Act of 1964—President Johnson's first major legislative achievement. Individuals received an average cut of one-fifth in their tax liabilities in two stages covering 1964 and 1965. The reduction for corporations was about one-tenth; combined with the earlier tax measures of 1962, corporate taxes were brought one-fifth below the level of 1961. When the cut in tax liabilities had become fully effective in 1965, it totaled \$15 billion. (By 1967 the annual saving to taxpayers due to the tax reductions in the 1964 act had grown to more than \$18 billion.)
The effects of the tax reduction on private demand were clear and dramatic. An upsurge in consumer spending indicated that most of the extra take-home pay resulting from tax reduction was being spent in the Nation's shops and markets. Responding to the vigor of consumer demand, business investment spending forged ahead. In late 1964 and early 1965 the unemployment rate dropped below 5 percent for an extended period for the first time in seven years. The estimated gap between actual and potential output was narrowed to \$11 billion (1958 prices) in the first half of 1965. The gains in income produced a huge rebound in Federal receipts, bringing the Federal sector into surplus in the first half of 1965.

New stimulative policies were prepared in the spring of 1965 in order to complete the advance to full employment. In line with the President's proposals, Congress enacted a major, phased reduction of excise taxes. The first stage took effect in June 1965, cutting taxes by \$13/4 billion (annual rate). A retroactive liberalization of social security benefits was enacted.

Summary

The over-all operation of fiscal policy from the end of 1960 to the middle of 1965 is summarized in Table 6. Expansionary fiscal actions over the period totaled \$38 billion-\$251/2 billion through expenditure increases and $12\frac{1}{2}$ billion through net tax reductions. A gross total of $15\frac{1}{2}$ billion of tax cuts was offset in part by social security tax increases of \$3 billion.

If tax rates had remained unchanged, normal revenue growth (calculated at full employment) over the $4\frac{1}{2}$ -year period would have amounted to

TABLE 6.—Federal fisca	l actions in two periods since fourth	quarter 1960
[Billions of do	llars, seasonally adjusted annual rates]	

.....

ltern	1960 IV to 1965 II	1965 to 1967 V 1	
Federal expenditure increases ²	25, 5	48.0	
Defense purchases Other purchases OASDHI 3 benefits All other 2 4	3.5 7.5 5.0 9.5	25.0 1.5 10.0 11.5	
Federal tax reductions ⁵	12, 5	6 , 0	
Corporate Personal OASDHI & payroll taxes Indirect business	5.5 8.5 —3.0 1.5	8, 5 2, 5	
Total expansionary actions 6	38, 0	42.0	
Normal revenue growth at full employment.	30, 5	27.0	
Change in full employment surplus 7	-7.5	15. 0	

¹ Preliminary

7 Normal revenue growth minus expansionary actions.

Sources: Department of Commerce and Council of Economic Advisers.

Includes adjustment in unemployment insurance benefits for change in unemployment rate.
 Old-age, survivors, disability, and hospital and related insurance (OASDH1).
 Consists of transfers other than OASDH1, grants, interest, and subsidies.

Minus sign indicates an increase in tax.
 Sum of expenditure increases and tax reductions

 $301/_{2}$ billion. Because expansionary actions exceeded this "fiscal dividend," the full-employment surplus was reduced by $71/_{2}$ billion. In contrast, the actual balance shifted from a fractional deficit to a surplus of nearly \$5 billion, reflecting the vigorous advance of private demand.

The precise movements of the budget during the 1961-65 period were not perfect in size or timing. But they clearly did the job of promoting orderly progress toward full employment without straining over-all productive capacity or creating serious bottlenecks.

CHALLENGES OF PROSPERITY

As of mid-1965, there was every reason to believe that the record of orderly progress could be extended. The expansion was characterized by remarkable balance in all sectors and strong forward momentum. The fiscal program and monetary policy that ruled at the time seemed appropriate to the economy's needs. The main future task of budgetary policy appeared to be that of distributing the fiscal dividend—providing for expenditure increases and tax reductions that, in combination, approximately matched the economy's normal revenue growth along a rising trend of full-employment GNP.

Nevertheless, the fuller use of resources posed new problems of diagnosis and policy application. Previously, the risks had been almost entirely on the side of insufficient demand; and the primary task of policy had been to provide stimulus. As the unemployment rate fell toward 4 percent, the economy entered territory that had been uninhabited for nearly a decade. There were now risks on both sides—not only of inadequate but of excessive stimulus.

No one could know precisely how fully resources might be used without unleashing inflationary forces. In 1961, the Council had set an interim target of a 4-percent unemployment rate, intending to review the possibility of adopting a more ambitious goal in light of the actual operation of the economy in the neighborhood of 4-percent unemployment.

In the period of slack, excess supply and unused productive capabilities created buyers' markets. In such circumstances, the human costs of inadequate demand are very large while the risks of price inflation are likely to be small. As full utilization is attained, the pressures toward higher prices increase, as Chapter 3 indicates. And, if excess demand becomes widespread and sellers' markets generally come to prevail, a wage-price spiral and accelerating inflation can result. Finding acceptable and feasible ways to reconcile high employment with reasonable price stability thus becomes a major challenge to policy in a prosperous economy.

In 1965, the Nation stood ready to face this welcome challenge. However, the task of stabilization was immensely complicated by the sharp increase in defense spending after mid-1965.

Defense and the Budget, 1965-67

A marked rise in defense spending inevitably creates problems for fiscal management, especially when the economy is close to full employment. The additional defense requirements since mid-1965 have absorbed about one-fourth of the Nation's growth in real output. Thus, the production available for private use has continued to rise. Yet the advance in defense spending has been sufficiently large to dominate the Federal Budget in this period. It accounts for the fact that we now face the need for tax increases rather than further opportunities for a welcome tax reduction.

From the middle of 1965 to the end of 1967, the increase in Federal expenditures was \$48 billion, as shown in Table 6. Some \$25 billion of this was for defense. Of the \$10 billion increase in OASDHI benefits, about \$6 billion represented the landmark social decision to provide improved health care for the aged under social security, and the balance represented normal growth in the ongoing programs. The \$10 billion increase in OASDHI benefits was more than covered by increased payroll taxes. Other nondefense expenditures increased by \$13 billion. Over the same period, normal growth of Federal revenues at full employment—at constant tax rates amounted to about \$27 billion. In addition, there were net tax rate increases which added about \$6 billion to revenues.

All in all, with the large rise in defense outlays and the high priorities for certain public civilian programs, the \$48 billion increase in expenditures far outpaced the normal expansion of revenues plus the effect of tax rate increases. As a result, the Federal budget became very expansionary over these $2\frac{1}{2}$ years, with a drop of \$15 billion in the full-employment surplus.

It should be noted that the advance of \$13 billion in nondefense expenditures other than for social insurance was only about half the normal growth of revenues other than from payroll taxes.

Fiscal Policy, 1966-67

A variety of policy measures—both fiscal and monetary—was adopted over the $2\frac{1}{2}$ -year period to cope with the pressures resulting from the increase in the defense budget. The design of policy actions was made especially difficult by uncertainties about the future path of defense outlays.

After the exceedingly rapid economic advance during the second half of 1965, the need for restraint in policy was clearly recognized at the beginning of 1966. An already scheduled rise in payroll taxes for social insurance amounting to \$6 billion (annual rate) took effect at the start of the year. The President's budgetary program reinforced this restraining influence with requests for a new graduated withholding system on individual income taxes, for a reversal of certain scheduled excise tax reductions, and a speedup in the collection of corporate income taxes. As enacted by the Congress in

March, these measures siphoned 21/2 billion (annual rate) from the private economy. Nevertheless, a large part of the burden of providing restraint fell on monetary policy.

The effects of tight money were evident in a sharp contraction of homebuilding during the course of 1966, which in turn contributed to a moderation of the over-all economic advance. But business investment spending proved unresponsive to monetary tightness—at least in the short run—and continued to advance at a rapid rate during the spring and summer of 1966. The investment boom put severe strain on the plant capacity and labor supplies of the machinery and construction industries. There was also danger that an excessive and unsustainable surge of plant and equipment spending might set the stage for a subsequent slump in investment demand. Finally, the investment boom added mightily to the pressures on financial markets during the spring and summer of 1966.

The dramatic decline in homebuilding, the highly disturbed atmosphere of financial markets, and the pressures of business fixed investment on capital goods industries clearly indicated that fiscal policy needed to assume a larger share of the responsibility for restraining the economy.

In the light of these considerations, the Administration in September 1966 requested a temporary suspension of the investment tax credit, initiated certain cutbacks in Federal spending, and placed stringent limits on net new issues by Federal agencies. At the same time, the monetary authorities took various complementary steps to ease the pressure on financial markets, including, in particular, a direct request to member banks to restrict their business lending. In addition, legislative and other action was taken to moderate the competition for savings—and improve the flow of credit—through the adoption of new rules governing interest-rate ceilings on time and savings accounts at banks and thrift institutions.

The suspension of the tax credit was enacted by the Congress in October. The capital goods boom halted, and business spending on plant and equipment declined slightly during the first half of 1967. The suspension of the investment credit contributed to this result, but monetary policy and the other activities cited, as well as the general slowdown of the economy, were also partially responsible. It can be argued, in retrospect, that investment demand might have slowed down adequately without suspension of the tax credit. But the impact of the September fiscal program on financial markets was clear and beneficial beyond any reasonable doubt.

Long-term interest rates responded quickly to the President's fiscal proposals and declined from the sharp peaks reached during the first week of September 1966. Subsequently, the Federal Reserve System relaxed its monetary restraints. As a result of this shift in the mix of stabilization policies, the recovery of homebuilding got a head start of several months. After the suspension of the investment credit had done its job, the credit was restored by Congress in the spring of 1967 upon recommendation by the President. As described in Chapter 1, fiscal policy exerted a major expansionary influence in the first half of 1967 when the economy was particularly sluggish. The large and growing full-employment deficit, reinforced by an expansionary monetary policy, helped maintain the forward motion of the economy. When economic activity strengthened in the second half of the year, the President called for prompt tax action to moderate the stimulus of fiscal policy, and initiated a program to curb Federal expenditures. As Congress adjourned without acting on the proposed tax surcharge, the year ended with renewed financial strains and with the recovery in homebuilding once again threatened by credit stringency.

MONETARY DEVELOPMENTS

Through nearly five years of economic expansion, monetary policy reinforced expansionary fiscal measures. In 1966, however, monetary policy became a major restraining force. When inflationary pressures diminished late in 1966, a relaxation of credit policies was initiated and subsequently maintained through most of 1967.

BALANCED EXPANSION, 1961-65

From 1961 until late in 1965, monetary policy was consistently expansionary; it made a major contribution to the advance of the economy by accommodating growing credit demands at remarkably stable interest rates.

To be sure, short-term interest rates rose during this period, as monetary policy and debt management actions deliberately sought to keep key shortterm rates in the United States reasonably aligned with those in foreign money centers so as to limit outflows of interest-sensitive funds. Long-term rates, however, were only slightly higher in mid-1965 than in early 1961 (Chart 5). Indeed, some important interest rates—those on mortgages and State and local government bonds—were lower than they had been at the beginning of the period of expansion.

A policy of monetary ease was indispensable to provide the 60-percent increase in funds raised by businesses, governments, and individuals without any substantial tightening of availability or increase in long-term rates. Meanwhile, demands for funds burgeoned mainly because of the invigoration of demands for goods and services that stemmed from an actively expansionary fiscal policy.

Institutional Changes

During this period, there were significant changes in the character of financial instruments and in the behavior and practices of financial institutions.

In particular, the long-term tendency for businesses to economize in the holding of demand deposits was reinforced by the development of new money market instruments, notably the negotiable time certificate of deposit (CD). Upward revisions in the maximum interest rates which Federal regulations allowed to be paid on time and savings deposits enabled commercial banks to attract large inflows of such deposits.



Selected Interest Rates



In addition to promoting the sale—mainly to corporations—of negotiable CD's of large denominations, the banks also developed various attractive forms of nonnegotiable certificates of deposit which effectively tapped house-hold savings. While the deposits of savings and loan associations continued to grow, these institutions encountered increasingly strong competition from the commercial banks, and their share in the total flow of time and savings deposits of households declined from 49 percent in 1961 to 33 percent in 1965 (Table 7). Some of these institutions also began to experiment with special savings certificates of various kinds.

As banks and other financial institutions developed new, more convenient, and higher-yielding forms of liquid assets, they induced a continued substitution of these new liquid assets for demand deposits in the portfolios of households and businesses.

 TABLE 7.—Net inflow of household time and savings deposits to main financial institutions,

 1954-67

Type of financial institution	1954–60 annual average	1961	1962	1963	1964	1 9 65	1966	1967 1
Total net inflow (billions of dollars)	11.0	17.4	23, 4	23, 0	23. 9	26. 4	18.9	36.6
Percent of total going to: Commercial banks. Mutual savings banks Savings and loan associations. Credit unions.	29 16 51 4	36 11 49 4	44 13 40 3	34 14 48 4	34 17 44 5	50 14 33 3	61 14 20 5	50 15 32 3

¹ Preliminary.

Source: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

The substitution of new forms of liquid assets for demand deposits was particularly marked in corporate portfolios. Between the end of 1960 and the end of 1965, nonfinancial corporations reduced their holdings of demand deposits and currency by \$4 billion and of Treasury securities by \$3 billion, while increasing their holdings of CD's by \$17 billion.

Business firms and households were willing to reduce their money holdings relative to their holdings of other liquid assets, and relative to the volume of their transactions, only as interest rates on such assets rose. After a brief decline in 1960, short-term interest rates rose steadily, and by mid-1965 approached the peak levels of 1959. Rates offered on CD's and similar obligations by banks and thrift institutions also rose.

In the two previous expansions, rising interest rates had induced corporate treasurers to shift from demand deposits to Treasury bills. In the early 1960's, rate increases induced a further shift to CD's. However, the process differed in important respects from that which occurred in the expansion periods of the 1950's. In earlier periods, the rise in short-term interest rates was brought about through a restricted growth of bank credit. Growth of reserves was inadequate to permit demand deposits to grow in pace with GNP. And since demand deposits were then the principal source of funds to the banks, they were forced to restrict credit.

In the early 1960's—as the use of CD's expanded—bank credit increased rapidly, even though the expansion of bank reserves and of demand deposits occurred at a comparatively low rate. Since CD's carried lower reserve requirements than demand deposits, a given rise in the reserve base permitted more rapid growth of bank credit.

By raising CD ceilings, providing only a moderate growth of bank reserves, and raising the discount rate in 1963 and 1964, the Federal Reserve was able to maintain upward pressure on short-term rates without restricting bank lending. At the same time, the expansion in their time liabilities encouraged commercial banks to increase their investments in longer term assets (mainly mortgages and tax-exempt securities). This served to limit upward pressure on key long-term rates that otherwise might have risen in response to increased borrowing to finance State and local and private investment. The outcome was consistent with the double objective of encouraging domestic expansion while preventing an excessive outflow of short-term funds to foreign markets where interest rates were higher.

The changing financial patterns that emerged during this period thus played an important part in the support of steady and balanced expansion. But these same patterns held risks for the future. A significant portion of the funds secured by financial institutions through aggressive competition was obtained from interest-sensitive investors who would be quick to withdraw their funds if interest rates elsewhere became relatively more attractive. Such risks, however, were not particularly serious for the banks, so long as over-all financial conditions continued to evolve gradually and without sudden changes in interest rate levels. On the other hand, the risks for savings and loan associations-and, to a lesser extent, for mutual savings banksbecame substantially greater. Such institutions have far less flexibility than commercial banks in managing their portfolios, which are heavily concentrated in mortgages. Moreover, in an attempt to meet commercial bank competition, they had in some cases engaged in overly aggressive efforts to attract interest-sensitive funds and had thus become rather vulnerable to changes in the financial environment.

MONETARY POLICY IN 1966-67

In the latter part of 1965, as defense expenditures turned sharply upward and business investment spending began to accelerate, credit requirements mounted at an extraordinary rate. There followed a period of intense and often uneven pressure on financial markets which differed sharply from the earlier patterns of orderly adaptation to changing needs.

The period was marked by a steep upward movement in both short- and long-term interest rates (Chart 5). Indeed, long-term rates reached the highest level in over 40 years during 1966, and, after receding for a relatively brief period, advanced substantially further during the course of 1967, in some cases rising to the highest levels since the 1860's or 1870's.

While, in both 1966 and 1967, rising interest rates reflected unusually heavy credit demands, the factors giving rise to these demands differed significantly in these two years—as did the direction of monetary policy and the availability of credit.

The 1966 Credit Squeeze

The response of the monetary authorities to the extraordinary rise in credit demands in late 1965 was a clearcut shift toward a policy of credit restraint. This was signified initially by an increase in the discount rate in December 1965. At the same time the ceiling rate on CD's was raised. Thereafter, credit tightening was reinforced by increasingly limited growth of the reserve base. Monetary restriction continued until September 1966. By November, monetary policy had clearly shifted in an expansionary direction. During the first half of the year, the nonborrowed reserves of the commercial banks grew at an annual rate of only 2.9 percent. Since banks were faced with a very strong loan demand, they borrowed reserves from the Federal Reserve banks. The money supply was thus able to increase at an annual rate of 4.6 percent. Banks also competed vigorously for CD's and for consumers' time deposits. While this competition helped to drive up rates, it also enabled banks to accommodate their loan customers. Total bank credit increased at an annual rate of 8.6 percent over this period.

To accommodate business demands, banks rationed mortgage lending and other types of credit, competed strongly for time deposits, and made inroads into their liquidity through the sale of securities. Even so, bank lending could not fully keep pace with the business demand for funds. Corporations consequently also issued large amounts of new debt securities in an effort to meet their needs. They were thus brought into increasingly active open market competition with other seekers of funds, including particularly the Federal Government and State and local governments. As a result, longterm interest rates rose markedly from late 1965 through mid-1966.

It was only after midyear, however, that monetary stringency reached its peak. During the summer months, as Federal Reserve actions actually reduced the volume of nonborrowed reserves, the spread between the $5\frac{1}{2}$ -percent ceiling rate on CD's and the yield on Treasury bills narrowed. Banks found it increasingly difficult to replace maturing CD's as corporations shifted to still higher yielding alternative liquid assets. Although banks increased their borrowings from the Federal Reserve and their sales of securities, it became progressively harder for them to accommodate business loan demands. Yet, in order to restrict the funds available for bank lending to business, the Federal Reserve left the ceiling rate on CD's unchanged.

The rise in open market interest rates induced by large corporate and taxexempt security issues and by bank sales of securities attracted an unusually large share of household savings directly into market issues, at the expense of the growth of household deposits at banks and thrift institutions. The attraction became particularly strong when short- and medium-term open market rates rose above the maximum interest rates payable on CD's and savings accounts.

As was explained in more detail in the Council's 1967 Annual Report, it was the thrift institutions—and particularly the savings and loan associations—which suffered most severely from this process of "disintermediation". Because they had only limited scope for raising the rates they offer on deposits, thrift institutions were at a special disadvantage in the ensuing competition for deposits both with open market instruments and with commercial banks.

By the third quarter of 1966, withdrawals of interest-sensitive deposits from thrift institutions had become so large that their usually sizable net inflow of funds was reduced to a trickle. Following the various steps taken by the Administration and the monetary and regulatory authorities in September—when the credit scarcity had reached acute proportions—a calmer atmosphere was restored in the financial markets. The rate of growth of bank lending to business slowed markedly in September, and with the moderating level of business activity, continued low throughout the remainder of the year (Chart 6). At the same time, the levels of nonborrowed reserves and of demand deposits were further reduced, and banks, in adjusting to lower reserve levels, liquidated a large volume of security holdings. As monetary restraint was significantly relaxed in November, bank reserve and deposit levels stabilized. By yearend, a rapid pace of monetary expansion was underway that carried through into the following year.

In 1966, monetary policies played a major active role in determining the over-all path of economic activity. Tight credit was clearly the primary factor accounting for a sharp decline in homebuilding of \$6 billion (annual rate) from the first to fourth quarter. The impact of tight money also extended to mortgage-financed business construction as well as certain other plant and equipment expenditures. The ability of tight money to restrain the economy was clearly demonstrated in 1966, but so were its uneven impact and the troublesome side effects of a financial squeeze.

The 1967 Experience

The moderation of the rate of economic advance at the end of 1966 produced a setting for monetary and credit developments in 1967 which was in several respects—the opposite of that which had prevailed a year earlier. Plant and equipment expenditures had leveled off following a period of exceptionally rapid advance and the prospect of a substantial first half inventory adjustment was clear. This pointed to some moderation in underlying credit demands, although it could be expected that reductions in private borrowing needs would, to an important extent, be counterbalanced by a larger Federal deficit.

Further, monetary policy had turned expansionary, in order to help cushion the inventory adjustment, and to assist actively in the recovery of homebuilding. The Administration's proposal for a special income tax surcharge in the second half of the year was intended to reduce Government demands on the capital market, and to give fiscal policy a larger role in restraining demand.

In a more balanced economic and financial setting, these circumstances could have been expected to produce a marked easing in money and capital market pressures, manifested both in greater availability of credit and in moderation of the pressure on interest rates.

The actual course of financial development proved rather different. Although credit did become more easily available, pressures on the capital markets remained intense for most of the year, and interest rates resumed an upward course after only a relatively brief interruption. To a major

Changes in Money Supply, Time Deposits, and Selected Bank Assets

PERCENT CHANGE PER YEAR 1/



DAVERAGE ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE DURING PERIOD; BASED ON SEASONALLY ADJUSTED DATA. NOTE.-FOR MONEY SUPPLY AND TIME DEPOSITS, BASED ON AVERAGES OF DAILY FIGURES; FOR INVESTMENTS AND LOANS, ON END-OF-PERIOD DATA. SOURCE: BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM. extent, these developments could be attributed to the after-effects of the unusual strains and imbalances which the financial system had experienced in 1966, as well as to congressional inaction on the President's fiscal program to correct these imbalances.

Availability and Cost of Credit

Monetary conditions— at least as measured by changes in bank reserves, money supply, and bank credit—remained easy until very late in the year. During the first 11 months of 1967, total member bank reserves increased at an annual rate of 11 percent, nonborrowed reserves by 14 percent, and the money supply by 7 percent, compared to increases of only 1.2 percent, 0.7 percent, and 2.2 percent, respectively, in the corresponding months of 1966. Total bank credit, which had shown almost no change in the second half of 1966, expanded at an annual rate of 12 percent during these months. In March, the Federal Reserve lowered reserve requirements against savings deposits and the first \$5 million of time deposits in member banks, and, in April, it reduced the discount rate from $4\frac{1}{2}$ to 4 percent.

The changed monetary environment was associated with marked improvements in the liquidity positions of thrift institutions and commercial banks. With an unusually high rate of financial saving by consumers, and with commercial banks and thrift institutions in a better position to compete effectively against open market instruments, both types of institutions experienced record inflows of time and savings deposits. Savings and loan associations were able to step up relatively rapidly their acquisitions of mortgages during the second and third quarters of the year, thus contributing greatly to the recovery of the construction industry.

Credit usually becomes cheaper when it becomes more readily available. But in 1967, and particularly during the second half of the year, there was a marked contrast between the ready availability of credit and the unusually high level of long-term interest rates.

Both short and long rates had begun to fall sharply in late 1966. Shortterm rates continued to decline until early June, with the rate on 3-month Treasury bills reaching a low of $3\frac{1}{3}$ percent, compared to the peak of $5\frac{1}{2}$ percent in the previous September. Declines in other short-term rates were in the range of $1\frac{1}{2}$ to 2 percentage points.

Long-term rates, however, reached their low in late February, when they stood $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ percentage points below the 1966 highs. They turned upward in early April and continued to rise, with only occasional interruptions, through the rest of the year. By July, they had regained the levels of the previous fall. By yearend, they stood at the highest levels in 40 years or more, with long-term Treasury bonds yielding 5.4 percent, and highest grade corporate bonds about 6.2 percent. After midyear, short-term rates also began to move upward, and continued to do so through the remainder of the year, though they remained below the peaks reached in the fall of 1966 (Chart 5). Thus the spread between long- and short-term rates widened significantly during the first half of the year, and, in spite of some reduction around midyear, remained relatively large in the second half. The typical pattern, when interest rates are high and rising, is for short-term rates to rise relative to long-term rates and, indeed, often to exceed them.

In attempting to explain both the unusual contrast between the relatively easy availability of credit and the sharp rise of interest rates, and the unusually wide spread between long-term and short-term rates, it is useful to begin by summarizing the more notable aspects of the demand for funds in 1967.

The Demand for Funds

The total volume of borrowing in 1967 set a new record, 33 percent above the average of 1961-64 (Table 8). To be sure, the volume of mortgage borrowing remained at the low average level of 1966-although, in sharp contrast with 1966, the movement within the year was strongly upward. Consumer borrowing-while much stronger in the second half than in the firstalso remained low. Bank lending to business fell to about half the 1966 level, and was particularly small in the second half. Corporate and taxexempt bond issues, on the other hand, both reached new record highs. Federal borrowing, too, was up considerably. State and local securities outstanding rose by \$9.8 billion, including an unprecedented \$1.3 billion of industrial revenue bonds. Some of the high 1967 borrowing, however, represented a postponement from 1966.

The Federal Government borrowed \$2.7 billion more in 1967 than in 1966. Its borrowing was unusually concentrated in the second half of the

		[DIIIIOIIS U	n nonarsi						
	1961-64			1966		1967			
Type of credit	annual average	1965	Total	First half ¹	Second half 1	Total ²	First half 1	Second half 1 2	
All nonfinancial sectors	56.0	72.1	71.1	83.5	58.6	74.4	58.1	90.8	
Private domestic nonfinancial sectors.	46.0	66.0	62.9	72.4	53.4	60.9	60.4	61.5	
Consumer credit Bank loans ³ Commercial paper State and local obligations Corporate securities Home mortgages ⁴ Other mortgages Other	5.6 4.7 .1 5.6 5.3 13.8 8.1 2.8	9.4 13.6 3 7.4 5.4 16.0 9.5 5.0	6.9 10.8 .9 5.9 11.4 12.5 8.5 6.0	8.1 13.2 .7 6.3 13.6 14.6 9.8 6.1	5.8 8.4 1.2 5.5 9.3 10.4 7.2 5.8	5.4 5.6 2.5 9.8 15.1 12.4 7.7 2.4	4.2 8.2 3.9 10.8 14.7 10.7 6.6 1.1	6.5 2.9 1.2 8.9 15.6 14.1 8.8 3.5	
U.S. Government	6.9	3, 5	6.7	8.9	4.6	9.4	-7.0	25.7	
Rest of world	3.1	2.6	1.4	2.4	.5	4.1	4. 7	3.6	

Fable	8.—	-Net	funds	raised	by	nonfinancial	sectors,	1961–67

(Dillion of dollows)

¹ Seasonally adjusted annual rates.

Preliminary; includes estimate for fourth quarter.
Bank loans not elsewhere classified.
Mortgages on 1- to 4-family homes.

Note.-Detail will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.

Source: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

year. The speed-up of corporate tax payments and the unusually large surpluses in Government agency accounts held down Treasury cash needs during the first half.

The volume of corporate security issues registered a substantial increase in volume of \$3.7 billion in 1967, even though the gap between the flow of corporate internal funds and corporate expenditures for investment was smaller than in 1966 (Chart 7). In part, the explanation for the exceedingly large volume of corporate borrowing in 1967 lies in the events of 1966; in part it reflected expectations of what might happen in 1968.

Some corporate issues were postponed during the market squeeze of 1966; further postponements may have occurred late in 1966 and early in 1967, as interest rates began to fall, and when many expected that rates would continue to decline.

A more important legacy from 1966, however, was the lesson that corporations learned about the costs of excessive dependence on commercial banks. The lesson that bank credit could become very difficult to obtain,

Chart 7



Gross Investment and Saving of Nonfinancial Corporations

J'FIXED INVESTMENT PLUS CHANGE IN INVENTORIES.

2/CORPORATE PROFITS AND INVENTORY VALUATION ADJUSTMENT, LESS PROFITS TAX ACCRUALS AND DIVIDEND PAYMENTS, PLUS CAPITAL CONSUMPTION ALLOWANCES. SOURCE: BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM. even by highly credit-worthy borrowers, led many of them to conclude that a larger part of their debt capital should be obtained at long term. The impetus this gave to bond issues was strengthened as the expectation began to spread that long-term rates would increase as economic activity rebounded.

The President's renewed tax proposals of August brought some relief to credit markets. But, as Congress delayed action, the pressure on rates was renewed. Each time the prospects for passage of the surcharge seemed to improve, rates tended to level off; each time the prospects appeared to worsen, the rise was renewed.

The continuing and increasing prospect of a strongly resurgent economy without fiscal restraint—and therefore subject to renewed monetary restraint—strengthened the expectation of rising rates and of the possibility of a renewed squeeze on bank lending. This encouraged corporations to borrow even at exceedingly high rates.

The Imbalance in Security Markets

The impact of enlarged corporate borrowing on long-term interest rates and on corporate rates in particular—was reinforced by the unusual distribution of demand relative to supply. On the demand side of the credit market, there was a notable bulge of corporate bond issues. On the supply side, the most notable feature was the large financial surplus of households. The two did not easily mesh.

Household accumulation of financial assets in 1967 was about 30 percent higher than in the preceding year, as consumer saving proceeded at an unusually high rate while outstanding consumer debt increased less than in 1966. Part of the increase in household financial assets took the form of contractual increases in pension and life insurance reserves. The bulk of it, however, went into liquid assets, as did most of the proceeds from the net sale by households of some \$6.7 billion of securities.

The remarkable rise in the demand and thrift deposits of households reflected the higher rate of total financial saving, the unusually low acquisition of liquid assets during the previous year, and changes in relative yields on competing forms of financial assets. Declining yields on short-term Treasury and Federal agency securities in the first part of the year made thrift deposits relatively more attractive than in 1966. In the second half of the year, the spread between thrift deposit rates and yields on competing marketable securities narrowed sharply, but households continued to rebuild liquidity. However, the flow of savings into deposits began to moderate at yearend.

The bulk of corporate bond issues over the last decade has been purchased by life insurance companies and private pension funds. In 1967, however, the volume of corporate bond issues was unusually large relative to the increase in the assets of these institutions. Consequently, corporate bonds had to be sold to buyers who commanded a limited proportion of the total available funds, and these sales could be made only at sharply rising yields. Mutual savings banks and State and local government pension funds responded to high and rising yields by increasing their purchases. Higher interest rates—and the availability of many attractive convertible issues apparently also induced households to increase considerably their purchases of corporate bonds.

The Situation at Yearend

Late in the year, the Federal Reserve slowed the growth of reserves and the rate of growth of the money supply declined. Inflows of time deposits also tapered off, while business loans advanced somewhat more rapidly. During November and December, banks sold Government securities in significant volume. The devaluation of sterling caused a speculative reaction in the security markets. After the November rise in the discount rate, shortterm rates increased.

The year ended with interest rates far above year-earlier levels, but with credit still readily available. There was little evidence that the high rates in 1967 had as yet dampened business investment expenditures to any significant degree. And outlays on residential construction exceeded their 1963–65 average by the fourth quarter. Banks remained relatively liquid, and able to accommodate their customers without difficulty. Although mortgage markets had been fearing disintermediation for months, actual inflows into thrift institutions had slowed only moderately. Nevertheless, the spread between rates on savings deposits and those available on open market securities had narrowed significantly. Any further rise in open-market rates could seriously endanger the ability of the thrift institutions to finance an adequate level of residential construction.

PRESENT TASKS OF POLICY

As was emphasized in Chapter 1, the current and prospective economic climate is such that restraint upon the expansion of demand is vitally needed. Enactment of the President's fiscal program will provide the needed restraint, while enabling the economy to advance at a healthy rate. As explained in Chapter 3, price increases in 1968 will still be unacceptably large. But, with the tax increase, there is the prospect that price increases will decelerate during the year. In absence of fiscal restraint, however, the economy would be subject to serious inflationary pressures, or serious financial stringency—and, most probably, some combination of the two. The improved balance among the sectors that was achieved in 1967 would once again be upset.

INFLATIONARY PRESSURES WITH NO RESTRAINT

In the absence of any added restraint from either higher taxes or monetary policy, the growth of total demand in 1968 would be substantially greater than the \$61 billion forecast given in Chapter 1. The forecast assumed that the surcharge would withdraw about \$61/4 billion of purchasing power (annual rate) from consumers starting in April, and that it would reduce after-tax corporate profits by $3\frac{1}{2}$ billion for the entire year.

Without the withdrawal from personal incomes, consumer spending in the second quarter and thereafter would be substantially higher than that contemplated in the forecast given in Chapter 1. Responding to the additional consumer demand, business would attempt to raise output and employment. The resulting increases in wages, dividends, and other income payments would swell consumer incomes, and, in turn, lead to still further additions to consumer expenditures. Rising consumer spending, together with the failure of corporate tax rates to rise, would add to after-tax profits, providing both incentives and means for financing more business investment expenditures than would be the case if the tax increase were enacted. It is likely, in addition, that the greater consumer and business spending would lead to more rapid accumulation of inventories.

Thus the interacting forces of consumer and business spending would, via the well-known multiplier process, generate increases in money income and total demand that would far exceed the magnitude of the surcharge.

In an economy at substantially full employment, a rapid growth of demand, far in excess of the economy's growth potential, would result primarily in rising prices and only marginally in additional output. With additional workers hard to find and employees tempted by alternative opportunities, wage rates would rise rapidly. To be sure, it might be possible to mobilize some additional manpower from among the remaining unemployed. Frictionally unemployed workers would find jobs more quickly; some seasonally unemployed workers would take second jobs; and some poorly qualified workers would be hired. Nevertheless the number of unfilled jobs would increase greatly and the recruiting difficulties of employers would be accentuated. The strains in labor supplies would slow productivity growth, and with accelerating wages, would generate rapidly increasing costs.

As discussed in Chapter 3, an acceleration of wage and cost increases would provide the impetus to keep the wage-price spiral turning long after the excessive demand had vanished. The absence of restraint not only implies a more rapid price rise in 1968, but greatly increases the difficulty of moving back to price stability in future years. It would, moreover, weaken our balance of payments by impairing our export competitiveness for years to come and by generating a rapid rise in imports. Finally, rapid expansion of demand and soaring profits would be likely to touch off a capital goods boom in 1969, if not sooner; such a development could sow the seeds of a subsequent collapse of investment in plant and equipment. Whatever additional gains in output and employment might be obtained during the inflationary boom would be paid for many times over in such a subsequent bust.

THE IMPACT OF MONETARY RESTRAINT

If there is no tax increase, the Nation would not in fact experience the unrestrained inflationary pressures outlined above. It is certain that the expansion of demand would be checked to some degree by credit restraint. In present circumstances, the accompanying further rapid expansion of credit demand would impose severe strains on financial markets—even under an expansionary Federal Reserve policy. The competition for liquidity, the imbalances in the flows of funds, and the expectational disturbances which operated in 1967 would be intensified in 1968. With credit markets under strain on the one hand, and an economy operating under serious inflationary pressures on the other, the making of credit policy would be unusually difficult. To the extent that monetary policy accommodated credit demands in the interest of avoiding strains on the financial markets, prices and wages would rise more rapidly. To the extent that policy was aimed at moderating inflationary pressures, the more interest rates would rise and the more homebuilding would be depressed.

A monetary policy which imposed the same degree of over-all restraint on demand as the proposed tax increase could do so only at the risk of creating serious imbalances in the economy. As in 1966, the mortgage markets and the homebuilding industry would, in all probability, be more seriously restricted than other sectors of the market. They might fare somewhat better than in 1966, since the thrift institutions are now more liquid, rate ceilings limit bank competition for savings funds, and some interestsensitive deposits have already been withdrawn. On the other hand, both mortgage lenders and builders are more sensitive than formerly to the dangers of credit restraint. Signs of increasing credit tightness could lead to a major restriction of mortgage commitments and an abrupt scaling down of building plans even before lenders found their resources seriously strained.

Credit restraint would affect other sectors as well. Mortgage-financed nonresidential construction, small businesses, users of consumer credit, and State and local capital projects would all feel the pinch. The effects of a further rise in the general level of long-term interest rates into territory unknown in this century could restrict investment and retard economic growth for a long time after the need for restraint had passed.

To be sure, sharply rising interest rates might also reduce our balanceof-payments deficits by attracting funds from abroad. But this would be only a temporary gain—one that, at best, would continue only so long as interest rates stayed at a level which disrupted our domestic economy. Moreover, if other major countries allowed their own interest rates to rise to avoid the loss of funds, they would threaten to throw not merely their own economies but the world economy into recession.

The actual course of events with no tax increase would probably involve both an increase in inflationary pressures and a tightening of credit conditions.

After a hard look at the alternatives, it has been and remains the conviction of both the Administration and the Federal Reserve System that the Nation should depend on fiscal policy, not monetary policy, to carry the main burden of the additional restraint on the growth of demand that now appears necessary for 1968.

THE PROGRAM OF FISCAL RESTRAINT

The expenditure program in the budget for fiscal year 1969 was reviewed in Chapter 1. It is a budget consistent with a program of fiscal restraint, but it cannot alone provide the degree of restraint that is required.

Without new tax legislation, the full-employment deficit would remain close to its recent $12\frac{1}{2}$ billion rate. The health of the economy therefore requires prompt enactment of a temporary surcharge on income taxes, initially proposed by the President last January, amended last August, and reaffirmed in the current fiscal program.

Surcharge Proposal

The Administration's tax recommendation calls for a 10-percent surcharge on the income taxes of corporations, to be in effect from January 1, 1968, through mid-1969; and on the income taxes of individuals—with low-income families exempted—to be in effect from April 1, 1968, through mid-1969. For the year 1968 as a whole, the surcharge on individuals would equal 7.5 percent of annual tax liability. For the average family, a surcharge of 7.5 percent of *taxes* would amount to approximately three-fourths of 1 percent of *income*. Since the surcharge would share the progressive character of our basic income tax structure, it would take a somewhat larger percentage of the income of more affluent Americans—e.g., nearly $1\frac{1}{2}$ percent for a family of four with a \$25,000 income, as indicated in Table 9. The table also shows that the surcharge would leave individual tax liabilities well below their 1963 levels.

The recommended form of the tax increase parallels the conclusion of the Subcommittee on Fiscal Policy of the Joint Economic Committee in 1966 that "... a uniform percentage addition ... to corporate and

Annual wage income	Amou	nt of tax lial	bility 1	Change liab	e in tax ility	Change in tax as percent of income		
	1963 1967		1968 ²	1967 to 1968	1963 to 1968	1967 to 1968	1963 to 1968	
\$5,000	\$420 877 1, 372 2, 486 5, 318	\$290 686 1, 114 2, 062 4, 412	\$290 737 1, 198 2, 217 4, 743	(3) 51 84 155 331	\$130 140 174 269 575	0.6 .8 1.0 1.3	-2.6 -1.9 -1.7 -1.8 -2.3	

 TABLE 9.—Change in income tax liability for a married couple with two dependents, 1963–68

¹ Tax liability computation assumes minimum deduction or deduction equal to 10 percent of income, whichever is greater.

² Proposed tax surcharge of 10 percent beginning April 1; equivalent to 7.5 percent increase for the year 1968.
³ No increase for married couples with two dependents whose tax at 1967 rates is \$290 or less.

Source: Treasury Department.

personal income tax liabilities . . . to be effective for a stated period, best satisfies criteria for short-run stabilizing revenue changes."

Obviously, other types of tax increases would also provide fiscal restraint, but they would be inferior to the proposed surcharge in many respects. Excise tax increases—if imposed on broad groups of consumer goods and services would have a much larger relative impact on the poor. If, on the other hand, the excises were confined to luxuries, the revenue gain could not be sufficient to provide adequate fiscal restraint. Moreover, excise taxes have the disadvantage of exerting direct upward pressure on prices.

Another alternative would be to increase revenues via "loophole"-closing tax reforms. Certain reforms are desirable in themselves to improve equity and efficiency in the tax structure; these should be enacted on a permanent basis-not linked to a temporary tax increase designed to meet stabilization needs. In any case, reforms could not be enacted promptly enough to insure the needed fiscal restraint in the first half of 1968. Congressional debates on tax reform have repeatedly demonstrated that legislation in this area can be enacted only after lengthy consideration. Moreover, because tax reform measures cannot normally be incorporated into the withholding system, there would be a further lag in their contribution to revenue and restraint, even after enactment. Significant additional revenue from reform could not realistically be provided before the middle of 1969 at the very earliest. Major reforms would drastically change the situation of taxpayers who had based important economic decisions on the present law. For this reason, major reforms probably need to contain transition provisions to avoid imposing large initial losses on the affected taxpayers. This further reduces the potential of tax reform to meet present revenue needs.

Economic Impact of the Tax Increase

The increase in taxes is intended to moderate the growth of demand and to allocate a portion of the Nation's extraordinary defense costs broadly and equitably among individuals and businesses.

As indicated above, the economic effects of a tax increase are the mirrorimage of the expansionary effects accomplished by tax reduction. But a tax cut enacted when there are ample idle resources, *es* in 1964, has its main expansionary effect on output, with only a minor impact on prices. Under present circumstances, however, with rapidly expanding demands and essentially full employment, the main restraining impact of the tax increase will be on prices, and only secondarily on output.

Under current circumstances, the tax increase will add to Federal revenues. To be sure, under conditions of widespread slack, raising tax rates would merely lower employment and production and could even pull down incomes so much that Federal revenues would actually prove to be smaller. This is the counterpart of the proposition that, in such an economy, a tax reduction can actually increase Federal revenues by stimulating a strong economic advance. But, in the present situation, a tax increase of the magnitude proposed will still permit a healthy expansion of employment, output, and real income. Revenues will rise substantially, as higher effective tax rates are applied to a rising revenue base.

Of course, an inflation which was not checked by either fiscal or monetary action might expand the revenue base even more rapidly. But such extra expansion would primarily reflect higher prices rather than increased production. Therefore, although revenues might conceivably then rise even more than with a tax increase, the real purchasing power of those revenues would not. Federal outlays would have to be increased to maintain the same real level of public services in the face of higher prices for the items bought by the Government.

The tax increase works to curb price increases by moderating the pressures of demand. However, like any other fiscal or monetary measure, it cannot cope immediately with cost pressures already built into the system. To be sure, some have argued that a rise in the corporate profits tax may in fact add to cost pressures, by inducing firms to raise prices in order to protect their profits from the impact of the higher tax. But any firm which was already taking full advantage of its opportunities to earn profits would have no incentive to raise its prices as a result of a higher corporate tax rate. The price which results in the largest profits *before* taxes yields the largest profits *after* taxes, regardless of the tax rate.

There may be cases—particularly among firms with substantial market power—where businessmen typically forego potentially available profits and aim at a target rate of after-tax return (based on "standard" costs and volume). In such instances—which some economists regard as quantitatively important—firms might make a one-shot price increase to reestablish the target after-tax profit when the profits tax rate rises. In such cases, the profits tax would work like an excise tax—no better and no worse. It would then reduce the growth of the real spendable incomes and the market demand of consumers rather than of business firms.

Workers, too, may wish to achieve greater wage increases to compensate for the downward impact of income tax surcharge on their take-home pay. But their wishes are not likely to be fulfilled, since firms will also have higher tax bills to pay, and will be facing less buoyant markets.

In addition to its immediate contribution to the stabilization of prices, wages, and interest rates—and to the U.S. balance of payments—the tax surcharge has major implications for the long-term management of stabilization policy. Congressional response in the weeks ahead will demonstrate the political feasibility of making fiscal policy work in the unpleasant task of restraint, as well as in the more welcome task of providing tax cuts and added public programs. The proof that taxes can be raised when necessary will strengthen the ability of the Nation to resume a long-run policy of tax reduction when the defense emergency ends.

AGENDA FOR POLICYMAKING

Recent experience reveals the benefits and costs, the potentialities and limitations of policy adjustments. Active discretionary policy is indispensable despite its imperfections. These very imperfections point to the need for flexibility in policymaking and for improvements in the techniques of diagnosis and application.

FLEXIBILITY AND FORECASTING

Large and sometimes imperfectly foreseeable increases in defense spending have recently required sizable and frequent adjustments in monetary and fiscal policies—such as the temporary suspension and early restoration of the investment tax credit. In a peacetime world, policy moves would not ordinarily need to be as frequent or as abrupt. Yet policy will not be able to stand still.

Even in the ideal situation of smoothly rising private demands—when budgetary policy merely needs to allocate the fiscal dividend of economic growth—tax adjustments will be called for from time to time. In particular, tax reductions are likely to be a frequent aspect of the annual budget program. The desirable expansion of Government expenditures will seldom equal the revenue increase accompanying high-employment growth.

Moreover, private demands will not grow smoothly at all times. Changes in consumer buying, in technology, in the growth and composition of the population, and in interregional migration can lead to alterations in the vigor of private demand. In a full-employment economy, a spurt in the growth of demand can trigger a burst of inflation, and tendencies toward sluggishness, if not offset, can cumulate into recession.

Small and temporary fluctuations will not throw the economy off course. The full-employment path is not a tightrope. Policy action cannot, need not, and—in view of the costs—should not try to offset every minor wiggle. But policy decisions must be alert to major disturbances. And they cannot be blind to the economic impact of budgetary decisions in social or national security areas. The shortcomings of our policy record under the Employment Act reflect inaction or inadequate action far more often than excessive or inappropriate action.

To carry out their tasks, policymakers must have the benefit of accurate diagnoses of the current state of demand and the best possible forecasts of prospective demand.

Indeed, forecasting of some kind is indispensable. The Government cannot avoid making fiscal and monetary policy decisions which influence the future course of the economy. Because policy cannot be devised and implemented instantly, and because its effects on the economy operate with a lag, decisions are inevitably tied to predictions. Only an illusory escape is offered by rules which suggest basing decisions on the "facts of the present" or on holding some particular magnitudes unchanged through time or changing some magnitudes by specified preordained amounts. Such rules themselves involve some form of implicit or naive projections. And, for all their limitations, explicit forecasts carefully prepared by professional experts are demonstrably superior to implicit forecasts.

The limitations of the economist's ability to predict the future argues for prudence in policy decisions, flexibility in the use of instruments, and continuing efforts to improve the reliability of forecasting techniques. It also points up the fact that, to the policymaker, knowledge of the nature and magnitude of the uncertainties surrounding the projection can be as crucial as the best-judgment forecast itself.

The Federal Government is currently taking steps to improve the environment in which future policies will be formulated. Three of these steps are discussed below. First, as directed by the President a year ago, Federal agencies have been considering economic policies to make full use of the opportunities afforded by peace, when a welcome cessation of hostilities in Vietnam occurs. Second, new efforts are being made to improve the quantity and quality of the economic data so vital in determining the current position and future prospects of the economy. Finally, serious attention is being given to certain institutional aspects of the mortgage market, in order to improve its functioning and to insure more adequate and equitable supplies of credit for housing.

PLANNING FOR PEACE

When hostilities end in Vietnam, the subsequent reduction of defense expenditures will free resources to meet additional private and public wants. But, just as the sharp buildup of war spending has raised stabilization problems for the economy during the past two years, so too will the cutback in spending. Further, the reconversion process will be complicated by the uneven impact of the current defense effort upon various industries, geographical areas, and types of manpower.

Policy will be challenged both to smooth the transition and, most especially, to avoid the economic downturn that a large drop in defense outlays would bring, if not offset by rising demands elsewhere. With the benefit of forward planning efforts now underway, an active use of appropriate fiscal and monetary measures will be able to meet the welcome challenge of peace.

The cost of hostilities in Southeast Asia is currently estimated at about \$25 billion annually, a large dollar magnitude, although only some 3 percent of our GNP. In the post-Vietnam adjustment, the precise downward course of defense spending will depend on many dimensions of our international relations, including the nature of the peace arrangement. The committee working on this problem has studied, and is continuing to study, what this transition would look like under various assumptions as to the magnitude and the timing of the phasing down of military activities in Southeast Asia. One such assumption—and it is only an assumption, not a statement of policy or a prediction—is that, over a period of time, defense outlays in real terms would return essentially to the level prevailing in 1963–65. The major part of the manpower and expenditure reductions associated with this pattern might be accomplished over a period of approximately $1\frac{1}{2}$ years after hostilities cease. This assumes about as rapid a phasing down as could be reasonably expected on the basis of past experience.

In this case, the Armed Forces might be reduced by roughly 50,000 men per month over an 18-month period to about 2.6 million, a little below the pre-Vietnam level. Perhaps a quarter to a third of the discharged veterans would resume their schooling; the remainder would become full-time participants in the civilian job market. Since the Nation's job market currently absorbs about $1\frac{1}{2}$ million net new entrants a year, an additional 400,000 a year for a time should not pose an insuperable problem if total demand is strong. A variety of measures to improve the training and placement of returning servicemen is now being explored.

The reduction in the Armed Forces would lower military payrolls by nearly \$5 billion over the 6-quarter period, as measured in 1967 prices. Other defense purchases—mainly items bought from private business for procurement, operation, and maintenance—might decline by about \$10 billion (1967 prices) over this year-and-one-half interval, and minor further reductions would continue for an additional year. This illustrative pattern of demobilization—which, as indicated, is about as rapid as could reasonably be expected—implies a reduction in real outlays for defense amounting to \$15 billion (1967 prices) over a year and a half.

The freed resources would become available for civilian use. But if no steps were taken to strengthen demands for civilian output, such a sharp reduction in the Federal contribution to aggregate demand would almost certainly result in a contraction of economic activity.

During the period of demobilization, fiscal actions would be required to distribute the fiscal dividend from peace as well as the dividend associated with economic growth. Over a period of a year and a half, the two might total more than \$30 billion. Thus the requirements and opportunities for fiscal action in a demobilization period would be large.

If it were still in effect, removal of the temporary surcharge now proposed by the President would be first on the agenda of possible stimulative measures. Further tax reductions would be a welcome and effective way to invigorate private civilian demands. Opportunities would also be provided to progress more rapidly on urgent social programs. As Chapter 4 makes clear, there are many areas where added public expenditures could yield a very high social return. Among them are improvements in educational opportunities and standards, the extension of health programs, the provision of more adequate housing, the control of air and water pollution, the promotion of highway beautification and safety, the elimination of urban blight, and the development of low-cost rapid transit. Some new programs might be undertaken directly by the Federal Government; others would be made effective through an expansion of grants-in-aid to State and local governments; some would involve a partnership of public and private enterprise. Other competitors for a share of the peace dividend could include a number of possible new initiatives in fiscal policy, such as the proposal for a negative income tax and a variety of proposals for providing broad and flexible grants out of Federal revenues to States and cities. The analysis necessary to establish priorities among the various proposals is being pursued by interagency working groups.

Monetary policy would also have a key role to play. The demobilization period might provide an excellent opportunity to move toward financial conditions—in terms of both interest rates and availability—that would actively encourage investment spending in the private sector and assure a vigorous expansion of housing construction.

IMPROVEMENTS IN ECONOMIC STATISTICS

The Federal statistics recording current economic developments are the compass by which policymakers must chart their course. The United States has the most accurate, comprehensive, and detailed economic statistics in the world, based on information that has consistently improved in accuracy, speed, and coverage. Yet the need for accurate and timely statistical data to guide vital policy decisions keeps outrunning the available information.

That need is accentuated by the current state of the economy and the current aims of policy. Sustaining expansion close to the economy's potential growth path is a more difficult task than that of merely attempting to moderate wide swings in output. In a slack economy, it was often sufficient for the indicators merely to point in the right direction. Now more accurate information about the speed of the movement and the distance from full employment is called for. The need for early and careful diagnosis of the extent and location of inflationary dangers also requires comprehensive information about the price, cost, and productivity performance of various sectors of the economy. Capital markets and especially the mortgage market have taken on a key role, calling for more comprehensive data and indicators. The current importance of our international trade position places added emphasis on the need for better information about export and import prices.

The President's program for fiscal 1969 includes a number of particularly urgent improvements in economic statistics. Each improvement has been recommended because it meets these tests: that it assist current policy formulation, that the proposal be capable of rapid implementation, and that its costs be moderate, given the present budgetary stringency. These are the key items in the President's program:

(1) Nonmanufacturing industries—additional information on employment, wages, investments, sales, and other indicators for trade, services, and finance that will bring the data closer to the coverage and quality of the data now available for manufacturing industries. (2) Construction—an enlarged effort to collect more accurate and more timely information on the value of construction activity.

(3) Business investment—extension of coverage of the plant and equipment survey to all nonfarm industries, and collection of separate quarterly data on business investment in plant, as distinguished from equipment.

(4) International price competitiveness—a better comparison of price trends of internationally traded goods.

(5) Improved price indexes—covering individual industries systematically, emphasizing actual transactions rather than quoted prices, and developing methods to make more adequate allowance for quality changes in our measurement of prices.

(6) Quarterly data on national product by industry—a new economic tableau that will ultimately provide comprehensive information on output, labor input, prices, and productivity by major sectors on a quarterly basis.

(7) Manufacturing inventories-expanded coverage and increased detail.

(8) Mortgage flows and commitments—a comprehensive system of quarterly and ultimately monthly statistics.

(9) Bank deposits—more adequate information on ownership and turnover to be collected by the Federal Reserve; and

(10) Securities markets—new information on purchases and sales by institutional investors, and more comprehensive and accurate data on new issues and retirements.

The total program of improvements, which involves an annual budget cost of about $2\frac{1}{2}$ million, could make a critical difference in guiding decisions involving billions of dollars.

REDUCING THE VULNERABILITY OF THE MORTGAGE MARKET

The recent sharp fluctuations in the availability of mortgage funds have demonstrated the need for action to reduce the excessive vulnerability of the mortgage market and the homebuilding industry to variations in monetary conditions. The basic demand for mortgage financing is expected to grow rapidly in the next few years, while the ability of thrift institutions to meet this demand may diminish as commercial banks compete more effectively for time deposits. Thus, both long-term and cyclical considerations suggest the need to strengthen the thrift institutions which supply the bulk of mortgage funds and to devise new means of attracting funds into mortgages.

In recent years, savings and loan associations and mutual savings banks have accounted for about two-thirds of total private mortgage financing. In earlier periods of tight money, mutual savings banks showed some sensitivity to monetary conditions, but savings and loan associations had little difficulty in maintaining the level of their lending activities. In 1966, however, the flow of funds to both types of institutions declined sharply.

Because their funds are primarily invested in mortgages with fairly long maturities and fixed interest charges, the thrift institutions were unable to raise their earnings enough to permit payment of interest rates in line with those available from banks and open market instruments. Earnings of commercial banks, which carry more diversified portfolios, adjust more promptly to changes in interest rates. While rate competition among financial institutions has, as noted earlier, been limited since 1966 through ceilings on the rates paid by both thrift institutions and commercial banks, thrift deposits remain in competition with corporate bonds and other "open market" instruments. Moreover, thrift institutions have lacked sufficient liquidity or "secondary" reserves to permit them to maintain an even flow of funds into mortgages when the flow of deposits shrinks. The squeeze on mortgages is intensified when commercial banks and insurance companies cut back their contributions to that market in such periods in order to take advantage of higher yielding open market investments or to continue serving their customers for business loans.

Savings and loan associations would have a stronger basic competitive position if they held more secondary reserves and more diversified portfolios. A large part of their funds comes from savers with moderate assets whose savings are not particularly rate-sensitive. These funds can appropriately be invested in long-term, relatively nonliquid assets. But when thrift institutions attract funds from rate-sensitive investors, they should hold a sufficient amount of assets which are either liquid or which mature in a shorter period than mortgages.

The scope for improved portfolio structure of thrift institutions would be enlarged through the chartering of Federal savings associations (formerly described as the Federal chartering of mutual savings banks). Pending legislation-besides providing the Federal Home Loan Bank Board with needed additional supervisory powers in this area-would enable the newly chartered thrift institutions to carry a more diversified asset portfolio than is now permissible for savings and loan associations. (Such revision would require some adjustment in the existing tax provisions governing thrift institutions, which are keyed to portfolio composition.) Adoption of the legislation would increase the institutions' over-all efficiency and competitive strength. The position of thrift institutions would also be strengthened by the further development of instruments not redeemable on demand. Such instruments enable thrift institutions to attract funds from "marginal," interest-sensitive investors at premium rates without requiring an across-theboard dividend or interest-rate increase on all other accounts. With the authorization and encouragement of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, the institutions have in the recent past already created a considerable range of certificate and bonus accounts, with maturities ranging from as little as six months to more than 14 years.

By using these special instruments to attract interest-sensitive funds without pushing up rates on all accounts, the thrift institutions would be better

284-593 0-68---7

able to maintain their competitive position in tight money periods and to reduce fluctuations in their provision of mortgage funds.

New Sources of Funds

To the extent that thrift institutions shift to more diversified portfolios, the amount of funds available to the mortgage market will be initially reduced. In the longer run, however, the savings and loan associations will better serve the mortgage market by maintaining a steadier inflow of funds and by strengthening their own competitive position. Nonetheless, it is important that new channels be opened to bring funds into the mortgage market from other sources. The principal possibilities here lie in the development of techniques for tapping other sources of funds—particularly pension funds and trust accounts. These large institutional investors find it costly as well as inconvenient to manage a portfolio consisting of many individual mortgages; to acquire such a portfolio is usually far less attractive than to purchase corporate or municipal securities—which enjoy a high credit rating, tend to be carefully tailored to the investor's requirements, and are easily marketable.

It has been proposed, in this connection, that the FHA be authorized to issue, for a fee, "full recourse" guarantees for bonds issued against pools of FHA-guaranteed or VA-insured residential mortgages. Such pools of mortgages would be created by mortgage bankers, individual thrift institutions, or mortgage bond corporations that might be specially formed to take advantage of the new guarantee. This arrangement would relieve the bond buyer but not the mortgage originator or banker—of the already small risk of delays and costs in exercising the guarantee on the mortgages underlying the bonds. These obligations would constitute a fairly attractive and marketable outlet for the funds of institutional investors, capable of competing effectively with various other open market instruments. This and other proposals for channeling funds from the bond market into the mortgage market are being actively studied within the Administration.

Reducing Legal Limitations on Interest Rates

Effective implementation of some of the steps cited above may, in practice, prove very difficult unless action is taken to modify or eliminate various existing legal limitations on interest rate charges or payments. In particular, the statutory interest rate ceilings on FHA-insured and VA-guaranteed mortgages have impeded the flow of mortgage credit. For an adequate flow, it is essential that seekers of such credit be able to compete in the capital market by offering yields comparable to those available from other borrowers. Because of the ceilings, however, the yields on FHA and VA mortgages could, during the past two years, be rendered competitive only through the use of heavy initial discounts on such mortgages. This has meant, in some cases, a sharp increase in the initial payment required of the FHA home buyer—generally imposing a much more severe burden on him than is entailed by a somewhat higher interest rate payable over many years, especially after allowing for the partially offsetting tax benefit. More often, it has forced builders or sellers to absorb a substantially greater part of the initial financing cost, reducing their incentive to enter into the transaction.

Given the need for allowing effective yields on FHA and VA mortgages to rise above the present legal ceilings if funds are to flow into such mortgages at all when market rates are high, the lifting of the ceiling proposed by the President in his *Economic Report* would clearly be to the benefit of both buyers and sellers of residential properties. Similarly, it would be desirable if comparable remedial action were taken by the nine States (with 26 percent of the total population) that now set legal maximum interest rates on conventional mortgages at 6 percent or less. These ceilings are already inhibiting many lenders from originating or purchasing mortgages in the States involved.

Conclusion

The measures discussed here would not, of course, entirely insulate the mortgage market from the effects of tight money. But they would give mortgage borrowers an opportunity to compete with other borrowers for the available supply of funds even under tight money conditions. Funds would, of course, be available to mortgage borrowers only to the extent that they were willing to pay a competitive rate. Many buyers might still choose to defer home purchase during a period of monetary restraint, and the economy is served by such voluntary deferrals when resources are under strain. There is no reason to insulate the mortgage market completely from general credit conditions. But homebuyers as well as builders and other property sellers should not be completely frozen out simply as the result of existing institutional limitations on the mortgage market.

Chapter 3

The Problem of Rising Prices

THE MOST IMPORTANT and most gratifying economic development in 1967 was the maintenance of high employment. The most disturbing economic news was the continuation of the creeping inflation that began in 1965.

Neither the United States nor any other major industrial country has fully succeeded in combining price stability with high employment. Table 10 shows the average rise in U.S. prices during each of the four postwar periods when the unemployment rate was consistently below 4.5 percent. The price record of the current period compares favorably with the experience of earlier periods, including that of 1955–57, when the unemployment rate averaged somewhat higher.

The recent price record of the United States also compares favorably with that of other major industrial nations. Table 11 shows the average rate of price increase in the principal member nations of the OECD over the past $6\frac{1}{2}$ years and the past $2\frac{1}{2}$ years. For the period from January 1961 to November 1967, the U.S. record is by far the best. Since June 1965, however, three countries—Germany, France, and the Netherlands—have had better price records than the United States. But in each of these countries a slowing down of price increases has been achieved only at the expense of a loss of jobs, and, in at least the first two, a reduction of economic growth.

PRICE STABILITY AND HIGH EMPLOYMENT

The problem of rising prices is thus neither new nor confined to the United States. In some instances both at home and abroad, rapidly rising prices have reflected pressures on productive capacity from excessive demand, which ideally could have been moderated by fiscal and monetary restraint. But industrial economies have also displayed an "inflationary bias"—a tendency for prices to rise even when over-all demand does not exceed productive capacity. Since high employment of resources—especially manpower—is obviously a top priority for public policy, it is important that we learn to control this inflationary bias.

	Average un-	Percentage increase per year ²					
Period	employment rate (percent)	Consumer price index	Wholesale price index	GNP deflator			
January 1947–January 1949. September 1950–November 1953. May 1955–September 1957. July 1965–December 1967.	3.8 3.2 4.1 3.9	5. 5 3. 2 2. 4 2. 9	5.7 1.3 2.8 1.5	³ 5.6 3.3 3.4 2.9			

¹ Periods during which the monthly seasonally adjusted unemployment rate was less than 4.5 percent, except that ² Price change is measured between the month (quarter) prior to the first month (quarter) of the period and the last month (quarter) of rought and the last a GNP deflator for fourth quarter 1946 is estimated.

Note .- Changes in consumer and wholesale price indexes based on monthly data and changes in the GNP deflator on seasonally adjusted quarterly data.

Sources: Department of Commerce, Department of Labor, and Council of Economic Advisers.

Tabli	s 11.–	–Consumer	price	increases	in	major	OECD	countries	since	January	1961	and
			-		\mathcal{J}	une 19	65		-			

	Percentage inc	crease per year
Country	January 1961 to November 1967	June 1965 to November 1967
United States	1.9	2.8
Belgium Canada France Germany Italy Japan Netherlands Sweden Switzerland United Kingdom	3.0 2.3 3.5 2.6 4.4 5.7 3.8 4.1 3.8 3.4	3. 3 3. 5 2. 4 1. 7 2. 8 4. 3 2. 7 5. 1 4. 3 2. 8

Sources: Department of Labor and Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development.

INFLATIONARY BIAS IN A PROSPEROUS ECONOMY

There are several sources of inflationary bias in a high-employment economy, in which output grows in pace with and does not generally strain productive capacity.

Market Power

The first source of bias, which clearly interacts with and strengthens the others, lies in the fact that, at high employment of manpower and capital resources, the competitive pressures which limit the ability of firms and unions to raise prices and wages are weaker than in a slack economy. Some firms are likely to attempt to use their market power to widen their profit margins, and some groups of workers may attempt to raise their wages relative to wages of others. There is also an increased resistance to price reductions when costs fall or demand slackens. Wage rates seldom decline even when unemployment is widespread. But, in a generally strong economy, many groups of workers try-often successfully-to resist even any relative reduction in their own wages compared to those of other workers.

If a significant number of firms or unions take advantage of their market power to seek improvements in their profits or relative wages, those who have to pay higher prices or wages will seek to maintain their *absolute* positions by adding their cost increases to their prices, or their cost-of-living increases to their wages. They may even seek to restore their *relative* positions by taking a markup on cost increases or demanding wage increases as large as other workers obtained.

There are many reasons why the effective market power of large firms and unions is greater at high levels of resource use than at lower ones. At high levels of employment and capacity utilization, firms have less reason to fear that, if they raise prices, they will lose customers to competitors. For their competitors are then not in a position to take on a large volume of additional business. Similiarly, when profits are high throughout the economy, there is less of a threat that new firms will enter a particular industry in response to higher prices. In wage bargaining, when union members are fully employed, organized labor is naturally less concerned that a large settlement may curtail the growth of employment. Moreover, a firm which is operating close to capacity is less willing to risk a protracted strike, and therefore more likely to accede to demands for large settlements, since it would have difficulty making up for output lost during a work stoppage.

Uneven Pressures of Demand

A second source of bias arises from the fact that—at high but not excessive over-all employment of manpower and capital resources—the pressures of demand on supply will not be equal in all sectors of either the product or labor markets. In some industries, the growth of demand may be so large that it cannot be immediately matched by additional output. This pulls up prices even where individual firms possess little or no market power. Likewise, in those labor markets that are particularly tight, the rise of wages tends to speed up even if workers are unorganized. But the rise in prices and the faster rise in wages where markets are under pressure is not automatically offset by price reductions or a slower advance of wages in the rest of the economy. Moreover, other firms which buy the products which have risen in price will pass along the cost increase—perhaps with a markup applied—and other groups of workers will try to match the wage gains secured in the tight labor markets.

An extraneous reduction of supply in a key sector—e.g., agriculture—can have similar effects. Prices then rise in that sector without falling elsewhere, leading to larger wage increases that raise costs and prices generally. Though these influences may be temporary, the wage and price movements they induce are not reversed fully when the supply problem is overcome.

Temporary Spurts of Demand

The third source of inflationary bias lies in the fact that the growth of over-all demand is unlikely to be completely smooth, even with the best of fiscal and monetary policies. When demand is already adequate to use most productive resources, a sudden speedup in the growth of demand may create temporary upward pressures on prices and costs in a wide range of sectors. And even if such a spurt in demand is subsequently balanced out by a temporarily reduced growth of demand, costs and prices do not then correspondingly fall. Indeed, the spreading and spiraling effect of the previous cost and price increases will continue to be felt. Thus, although a spurt of demand in 1965 and 1966 was followed by a balancing slowdown, the wageprice spiral set off by the initial spurt continued to turn in the relatively slack period of 1967.

Inefficiencies and Bottlenecks

Even in an economy as efficient and progressive as ours, there are a few industries and labor markets where gross inefficiencies persist—stagnant technology, weak management, firms of inefficient size, restrictive labor practices, unnecessarily costly systems of distribution, Government policies that weaken incentives to economize, avoidable seasonality of production and employment, inadequate methods for training and recruiting workers, and similar factors. Prices or wages or both tend to rise faster in these industries than in others; in a high-employment economy this tendency is aggravated. These industries constitute a further source of inflationary bias in the sense that they offer unexploited opportunities for significant cost reductions.

Workings of the Spiral

Any of these sources—or their interaction—can easily trip off a price-wage spiral. In such a spiral, rising living costs support demands for wage increases in excess of productivity gains. The resulting bulge in labor costs is reflected in prices. Price and wage increases can continue to reinforce each other long after the initial source of inflation has ceased to operate. Thus, the spiral can continue to turn more or less on its own momentum. But, unfortunately, one or another of the basic sources of the bias is likely to provide new motive power, so long as resources remain adequately utilized.

On the other hand, the circular relationship between wages and prices can support price stability as well as a spiral. If prices remain stable, the demand for wage increases is not swollen to cover rising living costs. And if wage increases remain moderate, costs and prices may remain stable. But the stability can be broken on the side of either wages or prices. And once broken it is difficult to reestablish.

A general rise in prices and wages does not directly reduce total private demands, for the higher prices and wages provide additions to money incomes sufficient to permit the higher prices to be paid. But the spiral can be curtailed by Government policies. The existence of a wage-price spiral requires that the rate of growth of aggregate demand in money terms exceed the rate of growth of real productive capacity. If fiscal and monetary policies should fail to permit the expansion of demand in money terms necessary to "validate" the price rise, real growth would not keep up with the growth of capacity. Unemployment would rise and utilization rates decline. This would lead to the gradual slowing down and termination of the spiral. Obviously, the greater the restraint through fiscal and monetary policy, the more quickly the spiral can be brought under control. But this may require an unacceptably high level of unemployment.

THE GOAL OF PRICE STABILITY

Since minimum unemployment and high utilization of our productive resources bring so many obvious benefits to the Nation and to most individuals, the temptation is great to dismiss the accompanying inflationary bias as a minor inconvenience, a cost far outweighed by the benefits. Nevertheless, price stability is an important goal because the costs of inflation are serious and pervasive. Inflation impairs economic efficiency, redistributes income capriciously, and weakens the Nation's competitiveness in world markets.

A realistic stabilization policy cannot expect to hold down to zero the average change of prices of consumer goods and services. From 1961 to 1965, although wholesale prices remained virtually constant and there was obvious slack in the economy, consumer prices rose between 1 and $1\frac{1}{2}$ percent each year. Such a moderate rate of price increase, however, does not represent a significant erosion in the purchasing power of the consumer's dollar. This is especially true because improvements in quality and the introduction of new goods add to consumption opportunities even when they are not fully reflected in price indexes as reductions in prices.

Furthermore, the objective of price stability does not aim to hold any specific price constant. Within a stable average price level, there can and must be constant adjustments to changing levels and patterns of demand, to diverse movement of costs in different sectors, and to technological change. Costs and prices of some items rise; others fall. These relative price changes attract resources toward those areas where the need is greatest.

Similarly, price stability is compatible with rising wages. Labor compensation can move up in line with the average growth of labor productivity without adding to the labor costs of output. And the stability of over-all labor costs is thoroughly consistent with readjustments in the relationships among wages in different sectors and for different occupations. These changes tend to be gradual and the disparities self-correcting, as workers—particularly new entrants—are attracted into the areas where labor is in greatest demand.

The Impairment of Efficiency

Once prices start moving up on a broad front, however, the necessary relative adjustments of prices and wages become much more difficult. Some prices respond immediately to changes in underlying economic conditions, others only after a long delay, but then often with a big change. Thus, while each change may appear to correct one set of disparities, it creates others; adjustment of the latter, in turn, creates new problems. Relative prices, wages, and profits cannot achieve the patterns appropriate to the changing needs of the economy when all of them are ratcheting upward at an uncertain and uneven rate. The efficient allocation and use of resources inevitably suffers. Thus, the objective of price stability is linked to the aim of economic efficiency.

Arbitrary Redistribution of Income

Inflation has a significant effect on the distribution of income and wealth. By and large, that impact is haphazard and inequitable from a social point of view. Some groups in the economy are more vulnerable to inflation than others; this is why inflation has been described as the "cruelest tax."

A particularly significant income shift associated with rising prices takes place between the active participants in the economy, whose money incomes adjust more or less to rising prices, and those-such as the retired and disabled-who are not active participants. The money incomes of millions of the families in the latter group are relatively fixed at any given time and augmented little, if at all, by rising prices. Many depend on pensions and other sources of retirement income which come from accumulated savings in forms that have a fixed money value, such as savings deposits, insurance policies, or bonds. Some retired persons live on previously accumulated wealth that has a fixed money value. There are some partial offsets: interest rates on deposits tend to rise in periods of inflation, and so may the prices of common stocks and of real estate. But not everybody holds assets that rise in price or yield. Legislative changes in social security have provided considerable relief to many retired and disabled individuals at the lower end of the income range, but not to all. Nevertheless, the inactive members of the population as a group suffer losses of real income that to them are significant, even though the corresponding gains of the active group are relatively small in terms of its income.

To be sure, in a period of inflation, some workers will have the opportunity for wage gains sufficiently large to enhance their real incomes relative to other workers. Some businessmen do manage to widen their profit margins. Yet, in the ensuing spiral, neither business as a whole nor labor as a whole is likely to make permanently larger gains in real income as a result of inflation. Periods of rapid rises in both wage rates and prices have not significantly altered the long-term distribution of income between labor and business. Both groups reap their gains in a depreciated dollar, with some loss to both by sacrificing the smooth functioning of the economy. Most gains achieved by individual groups of workers are principally at the expense of other workers, and the profit gains of some industries may be obtained largely at the expense of profits in other sectors.

Improvements in the distribution of economic rewards and in equality of opportunity often occur in periods of high demand and relatively full employment. It is during such periods that persons with educational, racial, locational, age, or physical disadvantages find the greatest opportunities to move into the mainstream of economic life, and secure their greatest relative gains. But these gains come from full employment, not from the excessive wage and price increases that may accompany it. Our objective is to achieve the gains while avoiding costly inflation.

Inflation and the Balance of Payments

As Chapter 5 makes clear, rising prices also have important international implications which—particularly at the present time—can be even more serious. If our prices rise faster than those of our major competitors, American goods suffer in world markets. An increase in the U.S. surplus of exports over imports is needed to strengthen our balance of payments and to permit the eventual relaxation of the restrictions now necessary to maintain our payments position.

A healthy trade surplus also has a direct and individual meaning for the pocketbooks of the vast numbers of American workers, businessmen, and farmers who are engaged in industries exposed to export or import competition. If costs and prices in these industries are not kept in check, markets may shrink and profits along with markets. Restriction of imports to compensate for this deterioration would be no answer, since it would lead to retaliatory curbs on our exports, and thus to reduced markets and job opportunities in our export industries.

Slowing the Trend of Rising Prices

The social and economic costs of rising prices point to the pressing need to reestablish and to maintain reasonable stability of the U.S. price level. It is clear, however, that the current inflationary trend cannot be stopped abruptly. Yet it is equally clear that the trend must be slowed down. Once a deceleration is achieved, it can become self-reinforcing. As wage increases slow down, unit labor costs and prices will move up less rapidly. The successive upward adjustments of wages to prices and of prices to wages can progressively shrink. If price increases slow down in 1968 and reasonable stability is in the process of being restored, the health and strength of the U.S. economy will not be seriously impaired by the fact that prices are still rising. But a new acceleration of price increases could have serious consequences, both domestic and international.

THE RECENT RECORD

The upward movement of prices moderated somewhat during the first half of 1967, largely reflecting declines in farm prices and the sluggishness of industrial demand. But the pace stepped up again in the second half. Developments during 1967, and the prospects and problems for the years immediately ahead, can best be understood in the perspective of the entire period of economic expansion (Charts 8 and 9).


Consumer Prices

PRICE CHANGES SINCE 1961

For the purpose of such an assessment, the period since 1961 can be divided into four fairly distinct segments: relative stability—1961 through mid-1965; acceleration—mid-1965 through September 1966; easing pressures—September 1966 through mid-1967; and renewed rapid advance—since June 1967 (Table 12).

1961 Through Mid-1965

A remarkable degree of price stability characterized the early years of the 1960's. Economic activity rose along a balanced and fairly steady path. Because the margin of underutilized resources had been very large in 1961 and because it was absorbed gradually, significant economic gains did not upset the stability of prices. Some moderate pressures began to emerge in 1965; many firms were approaching their preferred operating rates, as expansion of plant and equipment lagged somewhat behind the growth of output, and profits rose sharply.

The first significant departure from price stability occurred among farm products. Throughout this period, farm prices had been falling slowly. Early

Wholesale Prices



in 1965 they suddenly reversed direction, reflecting reduced domestic supplies and heavy export demand. Price increases for food at both wholesale and retail followed promptly.

Mid-1965 Through September 1966

Around mid-1965, the growth of demand for industrial products suddenly accelerated as the direct and indirect consequences of the enlarged commitment of U.S. forces in Vietnam. Manufacturing output and employment spurted sharply in the last quarter of 1965 and the first quarter of 1966, and continued to rise steadily through most of 1966.

Prices of consumer services began to accelerate, as service firms found it more difficult to obtain workers. With rising food and service prices and stronger demands for labor, upward pressures on wages intensified in both the organized and unorganized sectors. In the industrial area, the impact of demand on prices was strongest in the defense-related and capital goods sectors, where shortages of both capacity and skilled manpower were most pronounced. But prices also advanced in many other areas.

The upward pressures on prices and wages in this period reflected both the speed of the advance and the high level of resource utilization which the economy achieved. These pressures tripped off a price-wage spiral. Rising living costs and tight labor markets combined to enlarge wage increases, and the resulting bulge in unit labor costs and the stronger product

	Percentage change per year							
Price group	December 1960 to June 1965	June 1965 to September 1966	September 1966 to June 1967	June 1967 to December 1967				
Wholesale prices:								
All commodities	0.5	3. 1	-0.6	0.9				
Farm products and processed foods and feeds feeds Industrials	1.1 .3	6. 1 2. 1	-4.9 1.0	-3.8 2.7				
Consumer prices:								
All items	1. 3	2.9	2.2	3. 8				
Commodities	1.0	2, 3	1. 2	3, 5				
Food Commodities less food	1.5 .7	4.0 1.4	6 2.4	1.9 4.1				
Services	2.0	4.0	4. 2	4.3				
GNP deflator 1	1.4	2.6	2.6	4,0				

¹ Based on seasonally adjusted data for quarter containing month indicated.

Sources: Department of Commerce and Department of Labor.

markets generated higher prices. Rising prices in turn served as the basis for further wage advances, and further wage advances as the basis for price increases.

September 1966 Through Mid-1967

Largely as a consequence of restrictive monetary and fiscal policies and a concurrent rise in the personal saving rate, the growth of final demand slowed in late 1966. A period of inventory adjustment and sluggish overall growth followed in the first half of 1967. The slowdown, combined with larger supplies, caused prices to fall sharply for most farm products and some other commodities traded in organized markets. To a degree, these declines were reflected in lower prices for foods and other commodities directly processed or fabricated from the less costly raw materials. Price increases also slowed in most—but not all—other areas.

The rise in prices that did occur in that sluggish period was essentially a reflection of rising costs rather than of excessive demand. However, these cost increases originated in the strong demand conditions of 1965 and 1966. Thus, the price-wage spiral continued to turn, although the initial motive force had subsided.

Second Half of 1967

As demand advanced with renewed strength in the second half of 1967, the rate of price increase again stepped up. Demand was not yet pressing on productive capacity—over-all or in most major sectors. The period of slow expansion had created enough slack so that production could respond to increasing demand without significant strain on productive resources. But costs had continued to rise throughout the period of sluggishness. The full adjustment of prices to these rising costs had been suppressed or delayed in the first half year. Later in the year, stronger markets and expectations of renewed expansion led businessmen to raise prices to reflect the earlier cost increases, thus turning the wage-price spiral another notch.

Because the basic inflationary force in 1967 was rising costs, the pattern of price and wage changes was rather different from that of 1966, when excessive demands were the chief inflationary force.

DEVELOPMENTS IN 1967

The rate of increase of prices in 1967 was much the same as in 1966. To be sure the annual average of wholesale prices barely rose from 1966 to 1967 in contrast with a 3.3 percent increase from 1965 to 1966. However, the increase in consumer prices slowed only from 2.9 percent in 1966 to 2.8 percent in 1967. The GNP deflator—which covers all output—rose 3.0 percent in 1967 as against 2.7 percent in 1966.

All three price indexes showed a modest deceleration when price changes are measured during the year (December to December) rather than by annual averages. For example, *during* 1967, consumer prices increased 3.1 percent compared with 3.3 percent *during* 1966. And the GNP deflator registered a 3.1 percent advance during 1967, slightly less than the 3.2 percent rise during 1966.

Increases in wages and hourly labor compensation continued at high levels in 1967. Meanwhile, productivity gains lagged, and unit labor costs rose considerably.

The discussion which follows summarizes the wage and price record of 1967.

LABOR SUPPLY AND DEMAND

Labor markets were generally not as tight in 1967 as they had been in 1966, despite the fact that the over-all unemployment rate was the same in both years. This conclusion is supported by various measures of labor market tightness, such as hours of work, new hire and layoff rates, help-wanted advertising, unfilled job openings, and insured unemployment.

Employment showed little change in the first half of the year but surged ahead in the second half. Most measures of labor market conditions reflected the changing pace of employment. For example, the number of unfilled nonfarm job openings placed by employers with the U.S. Employment Service declined 23 percent from September 1966 to July 1967, then moved irregularly upward. Throughout, unfilled openings remained above 1965. Average hours per week in manufacturing, the help-wanted index compiled by the National Industrial Conference Board, insured unemployment, and new hire and layoff rates weakened from the fall of 1966 to mid-1967, then strengthened during the remainder of the year. All these measures indicated that labor market conditions eased in 1967; all except the workweek indicated that labor markets were tighter than in any year between the end of the Korean war and 1966. The unemployment rate, however, did not rise in 1967 though it fluctuated from month to month. Changes in employment were offset to a remarkable degree by parallel changes in the labor force. The participation of women in the labor force varied considerably. When job openings waned in the first half of the year, growth of the adult female labor force stopped; when employment opportunities brightened in the second half of the year, the participation of women rose strongly, accounting for 1.1 million of the unusually large 1.5 million increase (seasonally adjusted) in the total labor force.

The rise in demand for labor and in employment during 1967 was unevenly spread among occupations and sectors, reflecting the pattern of economic activity. Manufacturing employment, after rising by about 6 percent between 1965 and 1966, declined by nearly 2 percent in the first half of the year, and at the end of 1967 was still 0.3 percent below a year earlier. There was also a small reduction in mining employment. But in the service sectors, employment rose slightly more between 1966 and 1967 than between 1965 and 1966. Employment in the professional, technical, and salaried managerial categories continued to increase rapidly.

On the whole, the balance between the composition of labor demand and of labor supply improved in 1967. In particular, the metalworking skills which had been in such short supply in 1966 were no longer so scarce. This mainly reflected the easing of demand pressures on the industries which are the largest employers of men with these skills. Total employment actually declined in 1967 in the machinery and equipment industries.

In part, the easing of shortages also reflected the fact that the structure of the labor force had another year—and the assistance of greatly expanded private and public training programs—to adjust to the job requirements of a high-employment economy.

The number of long-term unemployed declined significantly, indicating that many previously "hard-core" unemployed were moving into the mainstream of employment.

Moreover, the geographical balance of labor supply and demand also improved. For example, the number of "major" labor market areas classified as having "persistent" unemployment fell from 9 in December 1966, to 6 in December 1967. The number of "small" labor market areas with persistent unemployment fell from 60 to 57 and the number of "very small" labor market areas from 399 to 366 over the same period.

But while specific shortages were reduced and the over-all balance in the labor market was improved, labor markets remained tighter than they had been for many years prior to the surge of demand in late 1965 and 1966. Even in manufacturing, where employment was not growing, labor turnover remained relatively high. And the continuing rapid growth of demand in the professional, technical, and managerial occupations produced severe competition for workers with these abilities and skills.

Wages

The faster rise in wages that had begun in 1966 continued throughout 1967. Though marked shortages were not widespread, employers continued to compete to attract or retain experienced, well-qualified workers. Workers in relatively low-wage industries and occupations continued to have opportunities to move to better jobs, and their wages rose particularly rapidly. Unions negotiating in 1967 had the background of two years of rising living costs, low unemployment-especially for adult men-and relatively high profits to support their negotiating positions. Moreover, in 1967, union bargainers had many more large settlements by other unions-and, in some cases, large awards to unorganized workers-to point to in support of their demands. They sought to catch up with-and, if possible, to surpass-the pattern of wage increases established by other unions in earlier settlements. Thus, collective bargaining settlements in 1967 averaged about 51/2 percent a year, well in excess of settlements in 1966 and far above those earlier in the 1960's (Table 13).

T	Median percentage change negotiated or effective during								
Type of change		1963	1964	1965	1966	1967 1			
Most important collective bargaining situations, annual rate of increase over life of contract: ²						,			
Wage and benefit changes negotiated during specified year: ⁸ Equal timing 4 Actual timing ⁸			3. 5	3, 3	4, 1 4, 5	5. 2 5. 6			
Wage changes negotiated during specified year: Equal timing		2.5	3.0	3. 3	3. 9	5.1			
All important collective bargaining situations: 7									
First-year wage adjustments negotiated during specified year: All industries	2.9	3. 0	3. 2	3. 8	4.8	5.7			
Manufacturing Nonmanufacturing	2.4 4.0	2.5 3.4	2.0 3.6	4.0 3.7	4. 2 5. 0	6.4 5.0			
Wage adjustments effective during specified year, regard- less of date of negotiations: ⁸ All industries.	2. 8	2.9	2.7	3.4	3.6	4.4			
Manufacturing Nonmanufacturing	2.6 3.5	2.7 3.2	2.0 3.5	3. 4 3. 4	3. 3 3. 8	4.0 4.8			

TABLE 13.—Wage and beneg	it changes in collective	bargaining situations,	196267
--------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------	--------

¹ Based on preliminary data available in early January 1968.

² Possible increases in wages resulting from cost-of-living escalator adjustments are omitted except for any part of the

 To shife increase sin anges resulting from tost-origination approximation approximate a comparison of the escalator increase guaranteed in the contract.
The 1964 estimate is based on 20 key contracts which affected 2.25 million workers in 11 major industries. The 1965 estimate covers most settlements affecting 10,000 workers or more in all industries excluding construction, services, finance, and government. The 1966 and 1967 estimates cover all settlements affecting 5,000 workers or more in all industries. except government.

except government.
⁴ Annual rate of increase assuming equal spacing of wage and benefit changes over life of contract.
⁵ Annual rate of increase taking account of actual effective dates of wage and benefit changes during contract period.
⁶ The estimates for 1963 to 1965 cover most settlements affecting 10,000 workers or more in all industries excluding construction, services, finance, and government. The 1966 and 1967 estimates cover all settlements affecting 5,000 workers or more in all industries except government.
⁷ All contracts affecting 1,000 or more workers. From 1962 to 1965, construction, services, finance, and government are excluded. All industries except government the 1966 and 1967 estimates.
⁸ Includes changes in wage rates negotiated during specified year, plus increases decided upon in earlier years, cost-of-living escalator adjustments, and no wage changes.

Source: Department of Labor.

An important influence on both union and nonunion wage increases in 1967 was the 12-percent increase in the Federal minimum wage and the extension of coverage to millions of additional workers.

As a result of all these factors, wage increases in most sectors—unorganized and organized-accelerated between 1966 and 1967. Gross average hourly earnings for nonsupervisory employees in the private nonfarm sector increased 4.7 percent between 1966 and 1967, up from 4.1 percent in 1965-66 (Table 14).

Gross hourly earnings in manufacturing were held down by a decline in overtime hours. Changes in the proportion of workers in high and low wage industries-the interindustry "mix"-within manufacturing also held down the average increase in earnings. Corrected for changes in overtime and for interindustry mix, average straight-time earnings for production workers in manufacturing increased 4.6 percent in 1967, considerably above the 4.0 percent increase in gross average hourly earnings based on actual employment weights, and far in excess of the 3.3 percent corrected increase in 1966. The acceleration in wage rates in 1967, therefore, was even more marked than the table indicates.

As has been the case in each of the last several years, increases in hourly earnings were largest in low-wage industries. Indeed, every sector shown in Table 14 with below average wage levels had above average wage increases (although the reverse was not uniformly true). Even within manufacturing,

	Percentage change per year						
industry	1960 to 1964	1964 to 1965	1965 to 1966	1966 to 1967 1			
Total private nonagricultural employees 2	3. 1	3.8	4.1	4.7			
Manufacturing	2. 9	3. 2	4.2	4.0			
Durable goods Nondurable goods	2.8 2.8	3.0 3.1	3. 9 3. 8	3. 4 4. 9			
Mining Contract construction Transportation and public utilities:	1.9 3.6	3.9 4.2	4. 8 4. 9	4.6 5.4			
Communication Electric, gas, and sanitary services	3.8 3.5 4.2	3.3 4.3 3.7	3.2 4.1 3.6	3.4 4.2 3.8			
Local and suburban transportation Wholesale trade Retail trade 3	2.9 3.0 3.6	3.6 3.6 4.0	3.1 4,6 4,9	4.9 5.5 5.2			
Finance, insurance, and real estate	3. 3	3. 9	3.8	5.2			
Hotels, tourist courts, and motels Laundries and drycleaning plants 4	4.3 3.8	5.4 5.6	5.1 5.3	8.4 8.1			
Agriculture	2, 5	5. 2	8.3	8.7			

TABLE 14.—Changes in average gross hourly earnings, by industry, 1960-67

¹ Preliminary.

² Includes industries not shown separately.

Includes eating and drinking places.
Prior to January 1964, data relate to production workers.

-Data are for production workers in manufacturing and mining, for construction workers in contract construction, Note.—Data are for production workers in manufacturing and mining, for and for all nonsupervisory employees in other industries (except as noted).

Sources: Department of Agriculture and Department of Labor.

some of the most notable advances were achieved in such low-wage industries as textiles, apparel, shoes, and furniture.

The faster wage increases for the lowest paid industries reflects the general tendency for differentials to narrow-at least in percentage terms-when labor markets are relatively tight. During such periods, many employees in low-wage industries can find a ready market for their services in higherpaid sectors, forcing employers to raise wages rapidly in order to retain their workers. The narrowing of differentials was further reinforced in 1967 by the increase in the level and coverage of the minimum wage.

However, the tendency for wage differentials to narrow was partially offset in a number of areas by new collective bargaining agreements. There was considerable variation in the size of new contracts in 1967. One-fifth of all workers affected by new major settlements received annual increases in compensation exceeding 6 percent. Particularly large gains were secured by workers in the automotive, rubber, pulp and paper, railroad, farm implement, and construction industries-all high-wage industries.

Average hourly compensation (which includes wages, fringe benefits, and employer contributions for social insurance) for all employees in the total private economy rose 6 percent in 1967 (Table 15). The difference between the over-all increase in hourly compensation and the increase in hourly

	Percentage change per year						
Sector and item	1947 to	1961 to	1965 to	1966 to			
	1966	1965	1966	1967 1			
Total private:							
Average hourly compensation ²	5. 2	4.4	6.9	6.0			
Output per man-hour	3. 4	3.8	3.1	1.4			
Unit labor cost	1. 7	.5	3.7	4.5			
Implicit GNP price deflator	2. 0	1.2	2.5	2.7			
Private nonfarm:							
Average hourly compensation ²	4.9	4.0	6.0	5.8			
Output per man-hour	2.8	3.5	2.6	.9			
Unit labor cost	2.0	.5	3.4	4.8			
Implicit GNP price deflator	2.2	1.2	2.2	3.3			
Manufacturing :							
Average hourly compensation ²	5.1	3.6	4, 9	6. 1			
Output per man-hour	3.2	4.6	2, 2	. 9			
Unit labor cost	1.8	-1.0	2, 7	5. 1			
Implicit GNP price deflator	2.0	.3	1, 8	(³)			

TABLE 15.—Changes in compensation, productivity, unit labor cost, and output price in the private economy since 1947

¹ Preliminary. ² Wages and salaries of all employees and supplements to wages and salaries such as employer contributions for social insurance and for private pension, health, unemployment, and welfare funds, compensation for injuries, pay of the military reserve, etc. Also includes an estimate of wages, salaries, and supplemental payment part of the income of the selfemployed. 3 Not available.

Note .- Data for each sector relate to all persons.

Sources: Department of Commerce, Department of Labor, and Council of Economic Advisers.

earnings of production workers reflects, in part, a decline in the proportion of man-hours worked by production workers, whose average pay is lower than that of supervisory employees. Fringe benefits grew more rapidly than wages and, in addition, a small increase in 1967 in employer contributions for social insurance accounts for some of the difference.

Real Income Gains

About half of the 6-percent increase in current-dollar compensation per man-hour was eroded by the increase in consumer prices. In real terms, compensation per man-hour rose by 3.2 percent, somewhat less than in 1966, but above the average gain in recent years. Real weekly earnings of production workers—which do not include employer contributions for social insurance and other fringe benefits—increased less than 1 percent, partly as a result of lower weekly hours. This was the smallest rise since the late 1950's (Table B-31).

Productivity, Unit Labor Costs, and Profits

Along with the greater increase in hourly compensation, there was a marked slowdown in the growth of output per man-hour in 1967. In part, this reflected the reduced efficiency that occurs when capacity utilization falls. During the early part of 1967, output per man-hour actually declined, but it more than recovered as expansion resumed. For 1967 as a whole, output per man-hour for the entire private economy increased about $1\frac{1}{2}$ percent. For the private nonfarm sector and in manufacturing, the rise was slightly less than 1 percent.

The large rise in compensation and the small gain in productivity resulted in an unusually sharp increase in unit labor cost, amounting to $4\frac{1}{2}$ percent for the entire private sector and to 5 percent for manufacturing (Table 15). Analysis of earlier periods suggests that short-run fluctuations in the growth of productivity are not as immediately or fully reflected in prices as are changes in compensation. Thus, business, especially in manufacturing, tends to absorb higher cost resulting from temporary reductions in productivity, as well as other cost increases due to reduced capacity utilization. In 1967 this absorption meant a sizable fall in unit profit margins, not fully offset by a rise in volume. Corporate profits (before taxes) fell about $4\frac{1}{2}$ percent in 1967 but remained well above 1965 and earlier years. The drop in profits was largely concentrated in manufacturing.

PRICES OF CONSUMER SERVICES

The importance of service prices is illustrated by the fact that in 1967 they accounted for nearly half the total rise in the consumer price index. Following an increase of 4.9 percent during 1966, prices of consumer services continued to advance in 1967, though somewhat less rapidly. The 3.9 percent increase in the cost of consumer services during 1967 remained far in excess

	Percentag	Contribution		
Type of service	December 1965 to December 1966	December 1966 to December 1967	to totat change in 1967 (percent)	
Consumer prices, all services	4, 9	3.9	100	
Interest and property insurance, and taxes	7.4	3. 2	20	
Public transportation and labor-intensive services	6.5	5, 8	59	
Public transportation Medical care services Skilled labor services ! Other 2	6.4 8.1 5.2 5.9	3.9 7.9 4.8 4.6	4 29 17 9	
Rent and utilities	1.0	1.3	9	
Rent Utilities	1.6 .1	2. 0 . 5	72	
All other services ³	4.4	5. 2	12	

Includes repair and maintenance services, barbers, and beauticians.
Includes hotels and motels, domestic services, babysitters, laundries, drycleaning, and shoe repair.
Includes postal charges, recreational services, legal and banking services, etc.

Source: Department of Labor.

of the average annual advance of about 2 percent between 1961 and 1965 (Table 16).

The principal source of the modest slowdown in 1967 was a considerably less rapid increase in the average cost of insurance, finance, and taxes. This, in turn, reflected the fact that interest costs on new mortgages on homes did not repeat in 1967 the very sharp 12.4 percent advance recorded in the previous year, although they were rising again as the year ended. Most other consumer services continued to rise at much the same rate during 1967 as in the previous year.

In many service occupations, increases in productivity are not nearly rapid enough to offset increases in wage rates or professional salaries. The available data on average hourly earnings of service employees in some lower paid industries indicate that they received larger than average wage increases in 1967 (Table 14). Moreover, shortages of skilled personnel in other services-notably medical care-combined with strong demand to produce higher than average increases in earnings. So long as these forces continue to push service industry wages up at a rapid rate, the prices of services will continue to advance substantially.

Medical Services

Over the years, the cost of medical services has been rising more rapidly than that of other consumer services (Table 17). The increase accelerated sharply in 1966 and 1967 when the average price of these services rose at about 8 percent a year.

TABLE 1	7.—Change	s in 1	medical	care	component.	: of	consumer	prices	for	services,	1950-	-67
---------	-----------	--------	---------	------	------------	------	----------	--------	-----	-----------	-------	-----

	Percentage change per year								
Item	1950 to 1960	1960 to 1965	December 1965 to December 1966	December 1966 to December 1967					
Consumer prices, all services	3.6	2. 0	4. 9	3. 9					
Medical care services 1	4. 3	3. 1	8.1	7.9					
Hospital daily service charges Physicians' fees	6. 9 3. 4	6, 3 2, 8	16.5 7.8	15.5 6.1					

¹ Includes items not shown separately.

Source: Department of Labor.

Hospital daily service charges, which had been rising strongly in the 1950's and the early 1960's, spurted in 1966 and 1967.

Between 1960 and 1965, the large annual increase in nonpayroll expense per patient-day (7.0 percent) and the actual decline of 1.7 percent a year in the number of patients per hospital employee reflected in part more elaborate and expensive hospital equipment and the increased variety and intensity of care. While measured labor productivity declined, hospital wages kept pace with other service industry wages, and consequently payroll costs per patient-day increased rapidly. The result of all these cost pressures was that hospital daily service charges increased 6.3 percent annually from 1960 to 1965, while all consumer prices were rising at a 1.3 percent rate.

Physicians' fees rose 2.8 percent annually between 1960 and 1965. Combined with a small increase in productivity, this enabled physicians' earnings to rise about 4.6 percent a year. The demand for physicians' services increases steadily, the most important reason being simply the rising incomes of their patients. There is a definite tendency for higher-income families to purchase more physician visits than lower-income families. Another factor has been the growth of insurance coverage. Even before the introduction of Medicare, the portion of consumer expenditures on physicians' services that was covered by insurance more than doubled, from 12 percent in 1950 to 32 percent in 1965.

From December 1965 to December 1966, medical service prices rose 8.1 percent, a sharp increase over the 3.1 percent annual increase from 1960 to 1965. The increase from December 1966 to December 1967 was 7.9 percent. The new elements were the intensification of inflationary pressures in the economy generally, and the entry into force of Medicare and Medicaid. Hospital daily service charges rose 16.5 percent during 1966 and 15.5 percent during 1967. These price increases must have considerably exceeded the rise in hospital costs. The concurrent enlargement of the insured hospital clientele—who are less immediately sensitive to price increases because much of their costs are prepaid—made it easier for hospitals to raise their charges.

Prices for physicians' services moved sharply upward beginning in the first quarter of 1966, and then tapered off toward the end of 1967. The increase early in 1966 may have reflected a revision of fee schedules in anticipation of the introduction of Medicare and Medicaid.

Public Utilities

Prices of utility services have risen much less than those of other major services (Table 16). Table 18, discussed more fully below, shows that communication and electric, gas, and sanitary services are also the sectors where output per man-hour has grown most rapidly, and unit labor cost has fallen rather consistently.

The comparison between price changes and unit labor costs suggests, however, that public utilities have not passed the full benefit of improved productivity on to their customers. Although their capital costs per unit of output have undoubtedly risen, their profits have increased at an exceptional rate. In fact, the two utilities sectors are the only ones in Table 18 for which profits as a share of corporate output increased from 1947 to 1966. These are, of course, regulated industries, substantially protected from competition.

PRICES OF INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS

Prices in the industrial sectors of the economy reflected with reasonable fidelity the interplay of the market forces which prevailed during 1967. During the first half of the year, the influence of rising production costs was largely masked by the easing of demand pressures, and prices showed relatively little change; in the second half, with gradually rising demand, cost pressures were more readily translated into substantial advances in prices. This pattern is observed in a wide range of manufacturing industries and in construction.

Industrial Materials

Among the industrial sectors, cost factors were least immediately significant in the case of industrial raw materials. There, the trend of demand in relation to supply was most dominant. In general, demand pressures eased, supplies were adequate, and prices moved lower for such materials as hides and skins, natural rubber, hardwood lumber, and zinc. There were, however, important exceptions for which supplies were not adequate; some prices, such as those of sulfur, copper, and nickel, rose sharply.

Nonfood Manufactures

The imbalance between supply and demand which had characterized major sectors of the economy between mid-1965 and late 1966 had been especially marked and widespread in manufacturing industries. By the same token, these industries were most affected by the easing of demand pressures in late 1966 and the first half of 1967.

Over-all demand for manufactured products grew more slowly in 1967 than in 1966, yet plant capacity grew about as rapidly. Moreover, the composition of demand was better balanced with productive capabilities. The easing of demand pressures, coupled with the completion of new facilities, showed up in a notably lower rate of capacity utilization (Table B-38). In the fourth quarter of 1967, manufacturing output averaged 84 percent of capacity as compared with 90 percent in the last quarter of 1966. The strain on available facilities was reduced in almost all industries. By the end of 1967, capacity utilization was again rising, but remained generally well below the rates of 1966.

While demand pressures in 1967 were weaker than in 1966, costs in manufacturing were rising rapidly. In addition to the pervasive rise in unit labor costs there were also increases in other elements of production cost including construction and equipment prices, interest costs, and freight rates for rail, highway, and inland waterway transportation.

During the first half of the year the average price of nonfood manufactures showed little change, rising about 0.5 percent between December 1966 and June 1967. With increasing demand in the second half, manufacturers in virtually all industries sought to raise prices to compensate for higher costs and to restore profit margins.

For nonfood manufactures as a whole, prices rose 1.3 percent in the second half of 1967—more than twice as fast as in the preceding six months.

Differences in the size of price increases among industries reflected the differing strength of demand, the incidence of increased costs, the extent of intra- and inter-industry competition as it affected the degree of discretion available to individual firms, and the degree to which business firms with discretionary pricing power gave weight to the public interest in their pricing decisions.

As may have been expected, the effects of changing demand were most clearly and promptly evident in the less concentrated, highly competitive industries in which individual manufacturers enjoy little discretion in their pricing decisions.

In the textile industry, for example, the decline in demand during the first half was quite severe, partly as a result of heavy overbuying during 1966. Inventories rose sharply, despite a substantial drop in the operating rate. As a result, manufacturers had to cut prices in the face of rising labor costs. The average price of cotton products fell 3 percent between December and June; synthetics also declined. In the second half, as demand increased and inventories were gradually worked off, prices rebounded, and prices of cotton products registered a net advance of 1.5 percent during the year.

In other highly competitive industries, the decline in demand and output during the first half of the year was not generally reflected in price reductions, but rather in some deferment or moderation of price increases to cover rising costs. For example, prices were not cut, but indeed raised, for household furniture, apparel, and shoes. In each case, the advance accelerated in the second half of the year, and, for 1967 as a whole, the rise in prices exceeded that for all nonfood manufactures. These are all labor-intensive industries and hence strongly affected by rising wages. They are also lowwage industries which experienced above-average increases in compensation.

In more concentrated industries, in which sellers enjoyed a greater degree of discretion in their pricing policies, the record varied, in part reflecting the use made of that discretion.

In the tobacco industry, for example, prices were raised 5.0 percent in 1967, on top of a 4.1 percent boost in 1966. Labor costs are relatively minor in this industry, and return on equity is among the highest for any industry group.

An even larger increase was recorded in the rubber industry. In December, wholesale prices of tires and tubes were 5.1 percent above a year earlier; those of miscellaneous rubber products were 6.6 percent higher. One element in the price increase was higher labor costs—the result of a very large settlement granted after a prolonged strike. But price increases appear to have far exceeded the rise in unit labor costs. Moreover, a sharp decline in the cost of natural rubber and a small decrease for some types of synthetics offset some of the higher costs for other materials, labor, and transportation.

In a number of other highly concentrated industries, in which manufacturers ordinarily enjoy significant discretion in their pricing policies, price increases in 1967 were somewhat smaller, although above the average for nonfood manufactures. Manufacturers' prices of automobiles were raised about 23/4 percent late in the year. Prices of electrical machinery rose $21/_2$ percent during 1967. Average prices of steel mill products also rose nearly 2 percent. Steel is less profitable and has slower productivity growth than the other industries mentioned, but, unlike the others, had the advantage of still operating under a moderate labor settlement negotiated in 1965.

FARM AND FOOD PRICES

To a far greater extent than for industrial commodities and services, prices of farm products are very highly responsive to relatively small changes in the supplies available for domestic consumption. And these supplies are greatly influenced by weather conditions, Federal farm programs, and developments abroad that affect the level of U.S. exports.

During 1965 and much of 1966, domestic supplies of several major commodities were curtailed, primarily because of poor weather. In the important case of hogs, the drop in supply was a consequence of large marketings and low prices in 1963 and 1964. In the last months of 1966 and all of 1967, supplies of most farm commodities were relatively abundant—the combined result of changes in Federal farm programs, of generally excellent growing conditions, and of the response by farmers to the higher prices obtained in 1966. (One major exception was cotton, which had the smallest crop since 1895.)

Civilian consumption of food in the United States increased in 1966 and 1967 as both population and incomes grew, while military food purchases increased from the late summer of 1965 to the end of 1966 and remained

Food Prices



SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.

high throughout 1967. Foreign demand was strong during 1965 and 1966 but weakened in 1967 when larger crops abroad reduced the demand for U.S. farm products.

As a result of these supply and demand conditions, prices received by farmers advanced sharply between early 1965 and August 1966, reaching their highest level since the Korean conflict. They then fell rapidly, and remained below year earlier levels throughout 1967.

RETAIL PRICES

The impact of price increases for nonfood commodities at the manufacturing level on the consumer was aggravated by an appreciable widening of distributive margins, reflecting rising costs of wholesale and retail distribution. As indicated in Table 14, average hourly earnings of wholesale and retail employees rose more than those of manufacturing workers. Higher commercial rents, rising freight rates, and interest charges imposed a further burden. As a result of these factors, prices at retail generally rose somewhat faster than those at wholesale for comparable goods. In fact, during the second half of 1967, retail prices of nonfood commodities were rising at the rate of 4 percent per year.

In the face of the decline in farm prices in 1967, retail food prices for use at home rose slightly, and restaurant prices increased sharply. As seen in Chart 10, the spread between farm and retail food prices widened in 1967. Unit labor costs rose for food processing and marketing firms as they experienced above-average wage increases. Prices of intermediate goods and services purchased by these firms were also higher. The wider margins also reflect the long-term trend of improved quality and increased refinements in processing, preparation, packaging, and distribution of foods available to consumers.

CONSTRUCTION

The measurement of construction costs presents difficult problems, but the statistics record an average annual advance of 3.2 percent since 1961. The rate of increase fluctuated somewhat during the last two years, with a slowdown in late 1966 and early 1967 when demand eased. As housing starts recovered, construction costs also resumed their usual trend.

The rise in construction prices thus has generally exceeded the advance in most other industrial sectors by a substantial margin. This is due principally to the fact that wages of construction workers have been rising more rapidly than those of other industrial workers while improvement in construction practices and techniques has lagged seriously.

While construction activity has been expanding steadily with the exception of the downturn during late 1966 and early 1967, the supply of skilled workers has not kept pace. Entrance requirements and apprenticeship rules in some construction unions have not been adequately adjusted to the requirements of a rapidly growing economy. For this and other reasons, the bargaining strength of unions in the construction industry is generally strong. At the same time, the resistance of contractors to high wage demands is reduced by the fact that construction contracts are generally let by bid, with all contractors in a given area operating under the same wage scales. During 1967, this bargaining strength was reflected in the annual wage increases of 8 percent or more provided in a number of important construction settlements.

At the same time, changes in construction techniques which would improve productivity and afford at least a partial offset to rapidly rising wages have been slow in coming. This has been especially true in many urban areas, where standards are rigidly controlled under local building codes. These codes, originally intended to protect the public against inferior design, materials, and workmanship, have been slow to adjust to the opportunities afforded by new materials and methods. They have thus retarded the advances made possible by developing technology and have preserved high-cost techniques. Both the smaller specialized contractors and the construction trade unions have resisted changes in these codes. The latter have been especially opposed to changes in the allocation of work among different categories of craftsmen and to the use of prefabricated parts.

PRICE AND WAGE POLICY

The magnitude of the stakes involved in moving promptly toward restoration of reasonable price stability is abundantly clear. It is equally evident that the steps taken to achieve this objective must not impair our other essential goals: maintaining high employment; preserving the effectiveness of free markets in allocating productive resources; and encouraging efficiency and minimizing waste.

The various policies available to improve price stability must be evaluated in the light of all these goals.

DIRECT CONTROLS

The most obvious—and least desirable—way of attempting to stabilize prices is to impose mandatory controls on prices and wages. While such controls may be necessary under conditions of an all-out war, it would be folly to consider them as a solution to the inflationary pressures that accompany high employment under any other circumstance. They distort resource allocation; they require reliance either on necessarily clumsy and arbitrary rules or the inevitably imperfect decisions of Government officials; they offer countless temptations to evasion or violation; they require a vast administrative apparatus. All these reasons make them repugnant. Although such controls may be unfortunately popular when they are not in effect, the appeal quickly disappears once people live under them.

FISCAL AND MONETARY MEASURES

Fiscal and monetary policy always plays a central role in price stabilization efforts. When over-all demand threatens to outrun supply, restrictive fiscal and monetary measures can reduce the growth of demand to keep it in line with the growth of productive capacity.

Once a wage-price spiral has developed—from whatever source—a sufficiently restrictive fiscal and monetary policy can stop it, but only at the cost of creating a rather wide margin of underutilization of resources. It is possible for a spiral to slow down gradually without a retreat from high employment. But the existence of the spiral makes it particularly important to use fiscal and monetary restraints to minimize the risk of upsurges in demand which would give the spiral new momentum.

Most economists believe that the rate of price increase would be significantly lower than it now is if we had attained the present level of unemployment more gradually. Nevertheless, few would disagree that, at the present level of resource utilization, prices would in any event rise somewhat faster than in the early 1960's. Clearly, we cannot afford to attempt to achieve price stability by returning to the unemployment conditions of those years. Equally clearly, we need to find other policies which will serve to reduce the rate of price increase that occurs at high levels of employment.

IMPROVING MARKET EFFICIENCY

In its 1967 Report, the Council discussed at some length the possible contributions to price stability of programs to upgrade labor skills—especially of the disadvantaged groups—and to bring about a closer match between the capacities of the labor force and the needs of a changing economy. It also discussed efforts to strengthen competition, break bottlenecks, and raise the rate of productivity gains—especially in sectors where the productivity trend is now low.

Over time, these and similar measures should gradually reduce inflationary bias and thus permit the economy to achieve higher levels of utilization and lower rates of unemployment without increasing pressures on the price level. Such programs are highly important for many reasons. They offer substantial benefits over the long run and are an essential part of our efforts to combine price stability with full employment.

INCOMES POLICIES

In seeking still further ways to reconcile high employment with reasonable price stability, the governments of most industrial countries have concluded that it is necessary to develop specific policies aimed at the tendency, under conditions of high employment, for money incomes to rise faster than production—so-called "incomes policies."

These policies seek to induce industry, labor, and possibly other groups, to avoid the irresponsible and self-defeating use of market power when the demand for their products or services increases temporarily. It is recognized that shifts in relative prices or wages should occur to bring about a needed reallocation of resources. But incomes policies encourage business and labor not to take full advantage of every opportunity to charge what the traffic will bear—in their own longer run interest and in the general interest of the economy.

The Council's Guideposts

The Council's well-known "guideposts," first presented in January 1962, represent a form of incomes policy for the United States. The guideposts do not merely appeal for general restraint, but in addition try to provide guidance to individual unions and firms as to the specific behavior of wages and prices which would be consistent with general price stability as well as with efficient allocation of resources.

The genesis, objectives, and principles of the guideposts were reviewed in detail in the Council's 1967 Report, and need not here be elaborated. In general, the wage guidepost calls for increases in hourly compensation to be limited to the trend rate of productivity growth for the economy as a whole. The price guidepost calls for prices to remain stable in industries in which the trend gain in productivity approximates the average rate for the economy; it points to price declines where productivity gains exceed this average; and it recognizes the need for prices to be increased as required where the improvement is lower than average.

The Council recognizes that many sellers of commodities and services have little or no discretion over the prices they can charge. In these cases, however, the workings of competitive markets may be expected to yield results similar to those prescribed by the guideposts, so long as the general movement of wages and prices is consistent with the guideposts. It is also recognized that many wages are not set by collective bargaining. But, in an environment of general price stability, these wages may be expected to move in line with the productivity guidepost, especially since many nonunion wages are tied more or less automatically to union wages.

There are, of course, many commodities whose price movements are not directly determined by the domestic wage level or by discretionary decisions of firms with market power. Imports and farm products are the most important examples. But imports, though significant in some industries, do not have a major direct impact on the general trend of costs and prices. And farm prices show no marked long-term trend, although they display wide short-term fluctuations. To be sure, such fluctuations can cause a temporary bulge in the average level of consumer prices. But that bulge would not necessarily become permanent if labor unions recognized the nature of the situation and avoided seeking immediate long-term compensatory increases.

Thus, if the guideposts were essentially observed by those firms and unions that possess discretion with respect to prices and wages, the inflationary bias inherent in a high-employment economy should be largely overcome.

Economic Validity of the Guidepost Logic

In their simplest form, the guideposts rest on three basic propositions:

1. While changes in wage rates in any particular year reflect special conditions in specific segments of the labor market, they tend to be broadly similar throughout the economy. Existing wage differentials largely reflect a whole set of institutional factors and basic differences in skill requirements or other attributes of the job, and it is reasonable that they should change rather slowly.

2. Price changes in any industry or sector are strongly influenced by unit labor costs and also reflect the influence of the value of capital used per unit of output and the prices of materials and services purchased from other industries. For the economy as a whole, the influence of purchased materials and services essentially cancels out, so that prices depend largely on wages and returns to capital—profits, interest, and depreciation. If prices move in proportion to unit labor costs, the relative shares of wages and returns to capital will remain constant. Moreover, since the capital employed per unit of output shows little trend in most sectors, the rate of return on capital will remain stable.

3. Simple arithmetic requires that, for the average of unit labor costs in the entire economy to be stable, it is necessary that the average change in hourly compensation match, as a percentage, the average change in output per man-hour in the entire economy; and, for the average of prices to be stable, the movements of prices should conform to the movements of unit labor costs.

In defending the first two of these propositions, the Council has frequently asserted not only that they reflect the ways in which wages and prices "ought" to behave, but that they basically reflect the way in which wages and prices tend, in the long run, to behave under free-market conditions. Data have recently become available which provide additional evidence that, in fact, they do behave in such a manner.

The data in Table 18 show average annual rates of change in output, compensation, productivity, unit labor costs, and prices for major sectors of the nonfarm economy during the postwar period, 1947–66, and for each of three subperiods: 1947–53, 1953–59, and 1959–66. The beginning and ending years of each of these subperiods were years of relatively high employment, chosen so as to mimimize the influence of cyclical fluctuations. The prices used are "value-added" prices—that is selling prices adjusted for changes in the prices of goods and services purchased from other sectors.

These data are subject to qualifications, largely because of difficulties in the measurement of the real output originating in a sector. Thus in finance, insurance, and real estate, much of the output is imputed rather than actually sold. In the construction sector, the nature of the available price data may lead to an understatement of the growth in real output and hence of output per man-hour. For similar reasons, there may be some understatement of real output in the service sector. However, any error in the price deflator would also affect unit labor cost and need not distort the measured difference between the rates of change in price and in unit labor cost.

The three subperiods covered in the table vary markedly in their economic characteristics. Both the first and the last periods show considerably stronger growth both in output and in productivity than the middle period. Moreover, with relatively few exceptions, the rate of increase of compensation declined from the first to the third period, as did the rate of increase in prices. Yet in each subperiod, and for the postwar period as a whole, the following facts stand out:

1. Changes in hourly compensation were markedly similar in all sectors.

2. Productivity changes, on the other hand, varied widely among the sectors. In some sectors, they also varied somewhat among the three time periods, reflecting longer-term trends or structural changes.

3. For the economy as a whole, the data indicate that, when wages rise

	Percentage change per year							
Industry and period	Output 1	Output per man-hour	Compensa- tion per man-hour ²	Unit labor cost	Implicit gross product deflator			
Private domestic nonfarm economy: 3 1947 to 1966 1947 to 1953 1953 to 1959 1959 to 1966	4. 2 4. 8 2. 7 4. 8	2. 8 3. 2 2. 3 2. 9	4.9 6.2 4.4 4.1	2.0 3.0 2.1 1.1	2.2 3.2 2.3 1.3			
Mining: 1947 to 1966 1947 to 1953 1953 to 1959 1959 to 1966	2.2 2.8 1.1 2.8	4. 2 5. 2 3. 2 4. 2	4.6 7.6 3.0 3.5	.4 2.3 2 7	1.7 4.7 1.4 6			
Contract construction : 1947 to 1966 1947 to 1953 1953 to 1959 1959 to 1966	3.4 6.6 2.6 1.3	1.9 3.8 2.5 —.3	5. 1 6. 7 3. 8 4. 8	3.1 2.8 1.3 5.0	3.9 4.2 2.4 4.9			
Manufacturing: 1947 to 1966 1947 to 1953 1953 to 1959 1959 to 1966	4.4 5.8 1.3 5.8	3. 2 3. 7 2. 3 3. 6	5.1 6.8 4.9 3.8	1.8 3.0 2.5 .3	2.0 3.0 2.6 .6			
Transportation: 1947 to 1966 1947 to 1953 1953 to 1959 1959 to 1966	2.1 .1 .8 4.9	3. 1 1. 8 2. 8 4. 5	5. 2 7. 2 4. 9 3. 7	2.0 5.4 2.0 8	2.3 6.0 1.3			
Communication: 1947 to 1966 1947 to 1953 1953 to 1959 1959 to 1966	7.3 8.5 6.1 7.4	5. 3 5. 2 5. 5 5. 3	5.0 5.9 4.9 4.3	3 .7 5 -1.0	1.6 3.7 1.3 .0			
Electric, gas, and sanitary services: 1947 to 1966 1947 to 1953 1953 to 1959. 1959 to 1966	7.3 10.2 6.8 5.2	6.0 7.5 6.2 4.6	5.6 7.1 5.7 4.4	4 4 5 2	1.0 1.1 1.5 .6			
Wholesale and retail trade: 1947 to 1966 1947 to 1953 1953 to 1959. 1959 to 1966	4.0 3.5 3.7 4.6	2.7 1.9 2.7 3.4	4.4 4.7 4.4 4.3	1.7 2.8 1.6 .9	1.5 1.9 1.6 1.1			

Services: 4 1947 to 1966..... 1947 to 1953.... 1953 to 1959..... 1959 to 1966.....

¹ Gross product in constant dollars. ² Wages, salaries, and supplements. ³ Includes government enterprises.

Finance, insurance, and real estate: 1947 to 1966______ 1947 to 1953______ 1953 to 1959______ 1959 to 1966______

Includes private households, agricultural services, forestry, and fisheries.

Sources: Department of Commerce, Department of Labor, and Council of Economic Advisers.

faster than output per man-hour, prices rise correspondingly with little effect on the distribution of income. With certain exceptions-notably mining, the regulated utility industries (as discussed above), and construction-price movements in the various sectors, and in the several subperiods for a given sector, conformed closely to the movements of unit labor costs. Moreover,

4.8 4.7 4.6 4.9

3.5 2.5 4.0 3.9

1.9 1.2 2.1 2.3

1.4 1.1

1.8 1.3

4,9 5,6 5,2 4,0

5.1 5.3 5.0 5.0

2.9 4.3 3.1 1.6

3.6 4.1

3.1 3.7

1.9 1.6 1.1

3.1 5.4 2.8 1.5

3.6 4.0 3.4 3.4

as Chart 11 illustrates, the agreement between changes in unit labor cost and changes in prices is particularly close for the 1959-66 subperiod.

This analysis thus supports the guidepost conclusions that price stability can be achieved and maintained only to the extent: (1) that increases in hourly compensation generally conform to the average economy-wide improvement of output per man-hour; and (2) that changes in prices in individual sectors generally conform to changes in unit labor costs in those sectors.

The former requirement was clearly violated beginning in 1965, and there have been notable exceptions to the second requirement in a few major industries throughout the 1960's. The crucial problem for 1968 and the years ahead is to find means to achieve both requirements without sacrificing other essential objectives.

Chart 11



Prices and Unit Labor Costs, 1959-66 Private Domestic Nonfarm Economy

SOURCES: DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, AND COUNCIL OF ECONOMIC ADVISERS.

WAGE-PRICE POLICY FOR 1968

Meaningful progress toward the restoration of price stability will not be easy to achieve in 1968. The policy choices must be assessed in the light of current and prospective pressures on prices and wages.

The basic forces working on wages and prices this year will be similar in many ways to those at work in 1967. Unemployment and capacity utilization will show relatively little change.

In the areas not directly affected by the market power of unions and business, the available evidence does not point to a significant net reduction in price pressures. Farm prices are likely to rise a little instead of declining as they did in 1967. Strong demand pressures will continue to pull wages up rapidly in several areas, including engineering, scientific, and technical occupations; State and local governments; and medical and hospital services though in some markets supplies may begin to catch up with demands, with a consequent reduction in wage pressures. Moreover, the 14-percent increase in the minimum wage in 1968 will have an even greater impact than did the 1967 increases, which mainly restored the minimum wage to a more typical relationship with the average wage level in the economy.

Responsible Private Decisions

Major union settlements in 1967 provided wage and benefit increases averaging about $5\frac{1}{2}$ percent a year over the life of the contracts, while average hourly compensation in the entire private economy increased by 6 percent. (These two figures are not strictly comparable. Average compensation reflects new and continuing contracts in organized sectors as well as all compensation in nonunion areas; it also reflects changes in employers' contributions for social insurance. Moreover, it is influenced by shifts in the composition of the labor force.)

If new collective bargaining settlements reached in 1968 should again average $5\frac{1}{2}$ percent, the rise in average hourly compensation for the economy as a whole would be appreciably larger than in 1967. One reason is that the second- and third-year provisions of contracts negotiated in 1966 and 1967 will provide larger increases, on the average, in 1968, than were inherited in 1967 from similar provisions of earlier contracts.

Despite the favorable prospect that productivity gains in 1968 should exceed those of 1967, the pressure of rising unit labor costs on prices would continue to be strong in 1968, on the assumption of a $5\frac{1}{2}$ -percent average for new union settlements. And stronger demand conditions will make it easier for cost increases to be passed on in prices. Thus, there would be no prospect of any slowing down in the rate of increase of consumer prices.

In fact, several prominent settlements last year substantially exceeded 6 percent a year, and some unions have already taken this figure as their target to meet or beat in negotiations during 1968. If new union settlements were to average even higher in 1968 than in 1967, a clear acceleration of price increases would be likely in 1968.

Such an acceleration in 1968—or even a continuance of the 1967 rate of price increase—would have a major impact on the prospects for prices in 1969 and even 1970. It would push the ultimate restoration of reasonable stability farther into the future. And as the momentum of the spiral became built into attitudes, expectations, and practices of business, labor, and consumers, the restoration of stability would not merely be pushed farther into the future but would become progressively more difficult to achieve.

On the other hand, if the rise of prices slows down in 1968, there is the clear possibility of restoring reasonable price stability in subsequent years. Hence, every effort must be made to slow down the rate of price increase in 1968. This surely can only be achieved if the average of new union settlements is appreciably lower than the $5\frac{1}{2}$ -percent average of 1967 and if business firms avoid any widening of their gross margins over direct costs and indeed absorb cost increases to the extent feasible. A decisive slowing down from the recent rate of price advance could then take place in 1968. This would be the first step toward our target of essential stability of prices.

The Government will continue in 1968 to urge both business and labor to exercise the utmost restraint in their decisions. Such restraint will demand some immediate sacrifices. The rewards of such restraint lie in the assurance of continued high employment, a steady rise in real compensation, and healthy expansion of markets, sales, and profits. These gains may be less immediately perceptible than the costs—but no less certain and far greater in the end.

Productivity Principle

In calling for restraint in wage and price decisions, the Council recognizes that, in 1968, as in 1967, it would clearly be inappropriate to set the trend of productivity as a numerical target for wage increases. In the face of the 3-percent increase of consumer prices that occurred during 1967, it would be patently unrealistic to expect labor to accept increases in money wages which would represent essentially no improvement in real hourly income.

Nevertheless, despite the justification for compensation increases in excess of the productivity trend, such increases are inevitably inflationary. As the Council stated in its 1967 Report:

"The only valid and noninflationary standard for wage advances is the productivity principle. If price stability is eventually to be restored and maintained in a high-employment U.S. economy, wage settlements must once again conform to that standard."

In the discussion above, the Council has outlined the pattern of price and wage decisions required in 1968 to begin progress toward the target of price stability. That target cannot be achieved in 1968. It will be achieved only when wage settlements once more conform to the productivity standard, and only when business engages in responsible price-making, which means that prices in each industry should conform to the trend of unit costs, with no widening of margins.

Government Organization To Promote Price Stability

The discussion of this chapter should make clear that the task of reconciling price stability with high employment will require sustained efforts of public policy on many fronts. The full resources of the Government should be enlisted to deal effectively with structural problems that impede economic efficiency and contribute to inflation.

The machinery of Government policymaking and administration should be adapted to keep the objective of over-all price stability clearly in focus, and to give it a high priority in the formulation and administration of Government programs throughout the entire range of Federal activities.

Consequently, as his Economic Report has indicated, the President has established a Cabinet Committee on Price Stability, consisting of the Secretaries of Treasury, Commerce, and Labor, the Director of the Budget, and the Chairman of the Council of Economic Advisers. The heads of other agencies will participate in the Committee's work as required. This Committee will be coordinated by the Council Chairman and will be served by a small professional staff. It will meet on a regular schedule, and hold special meetings to deal with urgent problems.

Its activities will include the following:

1. The Committee will prepare and publish from time to time studies in depth of economic conditions in those industries which are a persistent source of inflationary pressure, either because of inappropriate exercise of market power by labor or business, or because of inefficient or ineffective institutional arrangements, trade practices, or market institutions.

2. The Committee will study intensively, and make constructive recommendations concerning all aspects of Government policy that affect prices in particular sectors, including, among others, policies related to Federal procurement and construction; manpower and labor market programs; imports and exports; competition and trade practices; research, development, and technological changes; and the supply of natural resources to particular markets. Where appropriate, it will develop and coordinate interagency programs to deal with the structural problems of particular industries which make them a source of inflationary bias.

3. The Committee will work with representatives of business, labor, and the public to enlist cooperation toward responsible wage and price behavior and structural improvements that promote the achievement of over-all price stability. However, it will not become involved in specific current wage and price matters.

4. In line with these objectives, the Committee will shortly begin a series of conferences both with representatives of business, labor, and the public interest at large, and with representatives of particular industries or particular segments of labor

-to attempt to reach some consensus on appropriate general standards to guide private price and wage decisions;

-to identify remediable problems that inhibit price stability in particular areas, and attempt to design cooperative programs for private and governmental action to deal with these problems.

5. The Committee will occasionally recommend to the President and the Congress suitable legislation which would advance the objective of price stability in a free market economy.

Chapter 4

Economic Development and Individual Opportunity

THE unprecedented prosperity of the past seven years has brought great economic progress to most Americans. Poverty has been significantly reduced; educational attainment is rising; the quality of public services has improved; and far more jobs are available to the previously disadvantaged.

But not all Americans have shared in the Nation's prosperity. About oneseventh of the population remains in poverty. And the plight of the poor is ever more sharply contrasted with the comfortable standards of living most Americans enjoy in an era of growing and widening abundance. This contrast has awakened the social conscience of the Nation; at the same time, the Nation's ability to assist the disadvantaged minority has reached new heights. The majority of our people have now achieved incomes which make the elimination of poverty a concrete, realistic, and attainable goal in our generation. For the first time in any society, the United States can afford to eliminate poverty; indeed, it cannot afford to do otherwise.

The reduction of poverty has been a continuing process in our society, fundamentally reflecting the long-term growth of output per worker—which in turn has derived from progress in technology and management, from a labor force ever better educated and more adaptable, and from the provision of more and better capital per worker. Economic growth brings great rewards; but because it comes unevenly it can be a highly disruptive process. Some industries, some occupations, some regions undergo dramatic expansion; others decline relatively or even absolutely. Whole new industries and occupations arise; many older ones are completely transformed or disappear entirely.

Many of the structural changes that lie at the heart of progress do not force individuals to change their occupations or residences. The adjustment comes as sons and daughters take up occupations different from their parents' or move to new areas. But rapid and uneven change often cannot be fully accommodated in this way. Many individuals are uprooted or find their livelihoods threatened. Some cannot make the transition which provides the opportunity for improvement. And even an adjustment occurring between generations often creates hardship when childhood background and training are inadequate or unsuited to the needs of the new order. Thus the process which has reduced poverty has sometimes created it. It has redistributed both affluence and poverty, and in many cases has concentrated them—geographically, occupationally, and by demographic category. As those able to respond to opportunity have moved out of poverty, those left behind are increasingly the ones whose opportunities were restricted: the immobile, the aged, the disabled, the handicapped, the broken family, the poorly educated, the victim of discrimination.

Significant reduction in the number of poor people has occurred only when the economy is expanding. When economic growth is slow, poverty diminishes slowly—and often actually increases. The years from 1948 through 1953 saw rapid reduction in poverty, as have the years since 1964. By contrast, the number of individuals in poverty declined very slowly during the latter half of the 1950's.

The first part of this chapter focuses primarily on the geographical aspects of the process by which poverty has been both eliminated and redistributed—the transformation of agriculture, the growth of the city, and the redistribution of opportunity and of poverty within the city.

The second part of the chapter largely abstracts from the geographical dimensions of poverty. It deals with programs offering solutions to poverty, wherever the poor may be found.

Programs for the reduction of poverty are—and should be—in part the responsibility of local organizations and units of government. Nevertheless, even though concentrations of poverty are local, the problem is national and must be a national responsibility. Indeed, it is a national problem just because of its concentration. The forces which produce poverty in particular areas are largely beyond the influence of local governments. And the remedies needed to lift citizens from poverty cannot be successfully applied by individual communities acting alone.

THE CHANGING STRUCTURE OF OPPORTUNITIES

The social scientist needs a yardstick to measure progress in reducing economic deprivation. For statistical purposes, households are defined as poor if their income falls below the cost of a certain minimum consumption standard—\$2,185 in current prices for a nonfarm couple under 65 years of age, \$3,335 for a nonfarm family of four, and so on. A reduction in numbers by this definition is only a rough measure of progress, since social and psychological conditions associated with poverty may persist after incomes rise above the poverty line. Moreover, the income levels used in the definition cannot provide for much more than minimum necessities. Nevertheless, measured changes in the incidence of poverty over time provide a reasonable criterion of achievement, and are employed frequently throughout this chapter.

Between 1959 and 1966, the number of poor declined sharply from 38.9 to 29.7 million, or from 22.1 to 15.4 percent of the population. Substantial progress was recorded for almost every population group, but the

reduction in the number of poor farm households was especially marked. This progress, though encouraging, should not conceal the magnitude of the remaining problems nor the fact that they fall with disproportionate severity on certain groups.

Geographically, poverty is today concentrated in the central cities of our large metropolitan areas and in certain rural districts. While the proportion of poor farm households remains above the national average, the great bulk of rural poverty today is found among the rural nonfarm population. The distribution and extent of poverty have been influenced by the changing structure of employment opportunities and the massive internal migrations encouraged by these changes. One of the most significant of these changes has occurred in farming.

CHANGES IN THE FARM ECONOMY

The most pervasive influence affecting employment in agriculture has been a growth of labor productivity substantially in excess of the growth of markets. Between 1940 and 1966, aggregate production inputs used in farming increased by only 8 percent, while farm output increased by 61 percent. The over-all ratio of output to inputs increased 50 percent, and the ratio of output to labor input increased by a spectacular 347 percent.

The demand for farm products has consistently increased less rapidly than the growth of incomes. Combined with sharp increases in productivity, this fact has greatly diminished the need for labor resources in farming. Further, the revolutionary increase in labor productivity could be realized only through mechanization. Because many machines could be efficiently utilized only on large farms, the full benefits of mechanization were not available to farms of smaller size. Since 1940, the number of farms has been reduced by almost one-half and the average size of farms has more than doubled (Chart 12). The farm population meanwhile has fallen by almost two-thirds; after remaining virtually unchanged in the preceding 20 years, it declined from 30.5 million in 1940 to 11.6 million in 1966.

As a result of the trends in demand and in productivity, the number of farms with sales valued at \$10,000 or more per year has been increasing, while the number of farms with annual sales under \$10,000 has declined almost one-third since 1959 (Table 19). There is a movement up the income ladder within farming as some operators of smaller farms acquire additional resources to expand their sales. But operators of the smallest farms have become increasingly dependent on off-farm employment to supplement their incomes. Farm incomes are benefited both by Government price-support operations and by direct payments. These benefits, of course, do little for farmers who have little to sell. Despite the growing prosperity of large farmers, many small farmers and farmworkers cannot earn a decent income in farming.

Industrial expansion offered many farmers and farmworkers an opportunity to raise their incomes by accepting nonfarm employment. Several



Changing Farm Structure

studies show that movement out of farming is much more closely related to employment opportunities and income in the nonfarm sectors than to earnings in farming. The experience of the 1960's again confirms this. Rapid economic growth was accompanied by sharp reductions in the farm population.

Poverty in the Farm Population

Farm poverty remains a serious problem, especially since most of the farm poor are ineligible for income maintenance programs as presently organized. As recently as 1959, 63 percent of all farm families had less than \$5,000 in sales and averaged less than \$3,500 of total family income (Table 19). The number of farmers in this sales class has declined sharply since then, and their off-farm earnings have increased. Operators of the smaller farms tend to be older than those of large farms, and have on the average almost 3 years less of formal education. The remaining poverty on farms is concentrated among these operators of small farms. By 1966, however, only 600,000 farm households were in poverty, a sharp drop from 1.8 million in 1959. Much of the reduction in farm poverty has resulted from migration. Some of those who moved have become members of the nonfarm poor, but the bulk of the younger migrants have increased their income potential. It is likely that

TABLE	19j	Number	of	farms	and	farm	income,	by	value-of-sales	classes,	1959,	1964,	and	1966	,
			~ ~	,				~							

	Numbe	er of farms	Cash receipts plus Govern-	Farm operator family income				
Value-of-sales class and year	Thou- sands of farms	Percentage distribution	ment pay- ments; percentage distribution	Total income	Realized net farm income	Off-farm income		
All farms: 1959 1964 1966	4, 097 3, 472 3, 252	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	100. 0 100. 0 100. 0	\$4, 844 6, 196 7, 787	\$2, 773 3, 747 5, 049	\$2, 071 2, 449 2, 738		
Sales under \$5,000: 1959 1964 1966	2, 576 2, 030 1, 769	62. 9 58. 5 54. 4	13.9 9.3 6.7	3, 493 3, 860 4, 492	1, 115 946 1, 071	2, 378 2, 914 3, 421		
Sales of \$5,000-\$9,999: 1959 1964 1966	693 530 446	16. 9 15. 3 13. 7	15.5 10.7 7.9	4, 705 5, 202 5, 902	3, 160 3, 434 3, 989	1, 545 1, 768 1, 913		
Sales of \$10,000-\$19,999: 1959	503 488 510	12. 3 14. 0 15. 7	21.5 18.8 17.1	6, 413 7, 482 8, 463	5, 091 5, 984 6, 869	1, 322 1, 498 1, 594		
Sales of \$20,000 and over: 1959 1964 1966	325 424 527	7.9 12.2 16.2	49. 1 61. 2 68. 3	13, 420 17, 146 19, 791	11, 506 14, 979 17, 539	1, 914 2, 167 2, 252		

Source: Department of Agriculture.

many of the older farmers who left farming remain in poverty. This is reflected in the fact that, between 1959 and 1966, the number of aged poor nonfarm households outside metropolitan areas remained nearly constant.

Hired farmworkers are also very likely to be poor. In 1966 there were 757,000 persons who had hired farmwork as their primary employment. They averaged 212 days of farmwork and an added 13 days of nonfarmwork with total wages from both sources averaging \$2,102 for the year. The hired farm work force contains a disproportionate number of nonwhites—27 percent in 1966; this contrasts with 13 percent of nonwhites in both the total farm and the total U.S. population.

The largest concentration of low-income farms and farmworkers is in the South. In 1964, 55 percent of all farms with less than \$5,000 in annual sales—but 44 percent of all U.S. farms—were located in the South. Moreover, in that year more than 53 percent of the hired farmworkers lived in the South.

Despite the revolution in agricultural technology and the attendant migration, the transformation of agriculture is not complete. The farm population will continue to decline, creating serious problems for some rural communities. The young, rather than the older farmers, will continue to be the primary migrants. This will leave behind a progressively aging population, especially among the farm poor. As a result, the natural rate of increase of the farm population will continue to fall. In 1950 the natural increase of the farm population totaled 392,000 and net emigration came to 1.5 million. By 1966 the natural increase had been reduced to 90,000 and net emigration to 858,000.

THE GROWTH OF NONFARM JOBS

The decline of employment opportunities in farming has been accompanied by a rapid growth of jobs in manufacturing and service industries. Initially concentrated in or near the large northern cities, these jobs attracted millions of migrants from rural areas.

During the economic expansions accompanying World War II and the Korean war, manufacturing employment remained highly concentrated in the heavily metropolitan areas of the industrialized States of the North— Massachusetts, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Michigan, and Illinois. With less than 40 percent of the U.S. population, these seven States provided about 55 percent of manufacturing employment in 1953, about the same share as in 1939 when the national total was approximately half as large.

The pattern of growth in manufacturing employment changed significantly during the late 1950's. Technological advance in transportation, construction of interstate highways, expansion of trucking, construction of long distance pipelines, and the extension of coordinated electric power grids reduced the advantage of potential manufacturing sites in the large metropolitan centers. This trend was accelerated by the rapid growth of industries such as technical instruments, electronics, and small consumer appliances, whose products have high value per unit of weight and volume and thus can be shipped at relatively low transport cost. As a result, the location of industry was increasingly determined by other factors, such as relative wage rates, labor availability, local taxes, climate, and land costs.

These developments shifted the growth in manufacturing employment away from the North. Between 1956 and 1966, U.S. manufacturing employment increased 1,840,000 (11 percent). Meanwhile, in the seven industrialized States mentioned above, manufacturing employment increased only 37,000 (less than one-half of 1 percent). By contrast, during the same period, manufacturing employment grew 465,000 (26 percent) in the West and 1,026,000 (33 percent) in the South.

Nonfarm job opportunities have grown less rapidly in metropolitan areas—especially in the giant ones—than in the rest of the Nation. From 1962 to 1966, private nonfarm employment grew 5 percent a year or more in nonmetropolitan counties, regardless of the size of the largest urban center; in comparison, it rose 4 percent yearly in metropolitan counties. In the same period, total nonagricultural employment increased less than 3 percent in the 13 largest metropolitan areas.

While these figures show a general relative improvement in nonagricultural employment opportunities in the less densely settled areas, many nonmetropolitan areas were stagnant or declining. Between 1959 and 1964, there were 1,315 nonmetropolitan counties in which private nonfarm employment either declined or increased by less than 100 jobs. Large contiguous blocks of counties with declining populations are found in Appalachia, the northern portions of the Lake States, the Great Plains, and the Southwest. The process of economic growth has been and continues to be very uneven in rural areas and in smaller cities. These are the areas where, because of dependence on one or two industries—frequently resource-based industries such as agriculture, forestry, or mining—the greatest adjustments are needed in response to shifts in the pattern of demand, technological change, or the exhaustion of resources. This uneven growth has been responsible for major shifts in population.

RECENT CHANGES IN POPULATION DISTRIBUTION

In the past ten years, significant changes have occurred in the pattern of migration and in the growth and distribution of population in the United States. These changes have both affected and been affected by the changing pattern of demand and productivity in an expanding economy. They have served both as an engine whereby poverty has been reduced, and as a force contributing to its redistribution.

Migration

The shifts in the geographical distribution of jobs noted above have been paralleled by changes in the pattern of migration. Migration to the North and to the largest metropolitan areas soared during the economic expansion of the 1940's and early 1950's, but has slowed markedly in the last ten years. Since 1960, the 12 largest metropolitan areas (those with more than 1,700,-000 population in 1960) have grown only slightly more rapidly than their natural excess of births over deaths. In the North-Central States, the largest metropolitan areas grew 1.8 percent a year during the 1950's, but only 1.0 percent a year so far in the 1960's. They are now experiencing more emigration than immigration. In most regions, the metropolitan areas under 250,000 population are growing considerably more rapidly than the largest ones.

Net domestic migration to metropolitan areas declined from 668,000 a year during the 1950's to 216,000 a year in the first half of the 1960's. As Table 20 indicates, during the latter period domestic migration contributed less to the growth of metropolitan area population than did foreign migration. Metropolitan areas are still growing faster than nonmetropolitan areas, but the difference in growth rates is narrowing. Furthermore, in the 1960's the nonfarm population was growing about as fast outside as inside metropolitan areas.

From 1960 to 1965, only the North-Central region lost more migrants foreign and domestic combined—than it gained (Chart 13). This was the result of a large net loss of whites through domestic migration, which was offset only sightly by the much reduced net domestic immigration of nonwhites. During the same period the Northeast gained population through migration, although the region experienced a net emigration of domestic whites. The West continued to receive the largest gains from migration, and was the only region to gain more domestic migrants than it lost. The

	-	Population changes (thousands of persons)						
Period and area	Percentage increase per year in	Natural	Net gains from migration					
	population	increase	Total	Foreign 1	Domestic ²			
1950 to 1960: 3								
Total	1.7	25, 337	2, 660	2, 66 0				
Metropolitan areas 4 Nonmetropolitan areas	2.4 .5	16, 336 9, 002	8, 634 5, 974	1, 955 705	6, 679 6, 679			
1960 to 1965: *								
Total	1.5	12, 626	1, 846	1, 846				
Metropolitan areas 4 Nonmetropolitan areas	1.7 1.1	8, 589 4, 037	2,436 590	1, 357 489	1,079 —1,079			

TABLE 20.—Components of population change by area, 1950-65

¹ Distribution of net foreign migration is estimated to be the same as distribution of gross migration from foreign countries during 1962–66. Particular Source, 200.
Particular de migration among 50 States and the District of Columbia.
April 1950 to April 1960.
Metropolitan areas as defined in 1967.
April 1960 to July 1965.

Sources: Department of Commerce and Council of Economic Advisers.

South was the only region in which emigration exceeded immigration among nonwhites. The South's gain through total migration was due to a large inflow of white foreign immigrants, which offset a net outward movement of both domestic whites and nonwhites.

The growth of the nonwhite population in metropolitan areas averaged 3.9 percent a year in the 1950's, but it slowed to 3.1 percent a year in the 1960's. Nonetheless, this latter rate was about twice as fast as the rate of increase of the white population, partly because the nonwhite rate of natural increase was double that of the white. In the 1960's, 32 percent of the increase in nonwhite population in these areas was attributable to migration, compared with 43 percent in the 1950's. Not since the 1940's has migration accounted for more than half of the growth of nonwhite population in metropolitan areas.

Racial Distribution Within Metropolitan Areas

Like the European immigrants of earlier times, the Negroes from the South came to the cities looking for better jobs, housing, and schools for their children. To a greater degree than their immigrant predecessors, Negroes met severe discrimination in housing. Because most of them were poor, the housing they could afford was usually in the older sections of the metropolitan area and usually in the central city. And because of discrimination, this area became a segregated ghetto. The only way in which the segregated but rapidly growing Negro community could obtain additional housing was through encroachment on the white neighborhoods at the borders of the ghetto. Racial tensions increased as the process continued. The more affluent whites moved to the suburbs, where Negroes were largely excluded.

Average Annual Net Migration by Regions

THOUSANDS OF PERSONS



NOTE.-DATA FOR PERIOD 1960 TO 1965 NOT STRICTLY COMPARABLE WITH OTHER DATA. SOURCES: DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND COUNCIL OF ECONOMIC ADVISERS.

Thus, within metropolitan areas, the nonwhite population has become increasingly concentrated in the central cities while the white, middle- and upper-income population has become increasingly suburban. Since 1960, the white population in central cities has declined, while the nonwhite population has grown by 3.6 percent a year. Meanwhile, the growth rate of suburban populations has been $6\frac{1}{2}$ times the rate for central cities, and that growth has been overwhelmingly among the white population. Less than one suburbanite in 20 is nonwhite, and the white suburban population is growing more than twice as fast as the nonwhite. Between 1960 and 1966, there was an exodus of more than 3.5 million whites from central cities. Over the same period, net migration added 1 million to the nonwhite population of central cities, and natural increase added another 1.5 million. As a result of these shifts, not only particular city areas or neighborhoods, but entire cities and counties, are becoming increasingly segregated by race.

Economic Aspects of the Transformation

Businesses, as well as the white middle class, have found suburban locations increasingly attractive. Cheaper land permits manufacturing firms to construct one- or two-storied buildings, which are usually more efficient. The suburbs also provide some escape from central city traffic congestion. Following the shift of population and manufacturing, other industries construction, retail trade, and other services—have also grown rapidly in the suburbs. Employment gains in central cities have been largely limited to clerical, managerial, and professional positions.

The decline of the central city as a place of employment relative to the suburbs has been most typical of large northern metropolitan regions. New York City is a case in point. Between 1956 and 1966, manufacturing employment declined 15 percent in the city but increased 35 percent in the New York State suburbs. In the city, only financial institutions, State and local government, and miscellaneous service industries experienced substantial gains in employment.

Redistribution of job opportunities in metropolitan areas has increased the distance between the residence of the less-skilled, lower-income individual, often a Negro, and the potential jobs available to him. Metropolitan transit systems characteristically do not provide adequate service between central city poverty areas and the sites of suburban employment.

Changes in the Distribution of Poverty

Many migrants to the cities in recent decades were poor when they arrived. Yet, as a proportion of all households in metropolitan areas, poor households declined from 19.6 percent in 1959 to 14.9 percent in 1966. This seven-year decline in the incidence of poverty in metropolitan areas was comparable to the reduction from 29.1 percent to 23.6 percent in the incidence of poor households in other nonfarm areas. Thus, metropolitan areas continue to have less than a proportionate share of the poor; they contain 69 percent of the total nonfarm population but only 56 percent of the nonfarm poor. Within metropolitan areas, poverty is much more common in central cities than in suburbs. In 1966, the suburban population outnumbered that in central cities by 15 percent, yet there were 9.5 million poor living in central cities and 5.8 million in suburbs. About two-thirds of the metropolitan poor are white. While the white poor were distributed about equally between suburbs and central cities, about four times as many nonwhite poor lived in central cities as in suburbs.
IMPLICATIONS FOR PUBLIC POLICY

In recent years public interest and concern have increasingly been focused on the magnitudes and consequences of migration, on the increasing size and agonizing problems of cities, and on the continuing decline of many rural communities. In particular, many have suddenly become aware of the fact that the concentrations of poor families—and particularly of poor Negro families—in the blighted areas of major cities include large numbers who are migrants, or the children of migrants, from rural areas. In the light of these problems, questions are often raised whether the separate decisions of millions of individuals and business firms, responding to the pull of economic opportunities or the push from their absence, tend to produce an "optimum" distribution of population and economic activity: as between rural and urban areas; as among urban areas of differing size; and as among the various portions of an urban complex.

POPULATION DISTRIBUTION AND PUBLIC POLICY

These are surely important questions for our society, and they need to be studied and discussed. However, there does not appear to be available at the present time an adequate amount of information to answer them, or even a satisfactory analytical framework within which their answers can be approached in a tolerably scientific fashion. One may express his own tastes as to an appropriate population distribution; but since they are only tastes, they should not be imposed on others.

Presumably there are few who suggest that governments should undertake to "plan" the distribution of population and economic activity, and to use compulsion to influence that distribution. But it is properly pointed out that government policies of many kinds do in fact influence the attractiveness economic and otherwise—of various locations, and that these policies could perhaps be used to influence locational decisions in a manner which would move us closer to an "optimum" distribution—assuming we knew what that was.

Many Federal activities have significant impacts on the choices of consumers and businessmen as to where they will locate their homes and places of business. Among these are the location of Federal installations; the provision of Federal funds for sewers, water supply, recreation facilities, and housing; the pattern of Federal support for highways and other transportation facilities (including intra-urban facilities); urban renewal programs; and many others.

In most cases, the Federal Government does not deliberately seek to influence the pattern of locational decisions. But there are nevertheless important impacts. Indeed, it is hard to conceive how Federal affairs might be conducted in a way that was "neutral" with respect to locational decisions. Since Government action is bound to influence location, the locational implications of alternative policies should surely be—and to some extent areconsidered in developing and administering Federal programs. A complete system of priorities, based on a concept of optimum geographical distribution of population is seldom required in order to recognize that the locational implications of one set of policies may be superior to those of another. This is quite a different matter, however, from developing policy measures specifically designed to alter existing locational patterns.

CLARIFYING SOME ISSUES

Despite the absence of a complete framework or analytical system for dealing with locational problems, it seems possible to clarify some of the issues frequently raised with respect to existing or proposed public policies that affect location, or that are aimed at the problems of particular local areas.

(1) In light of the preceding sections, it is clear that any meaningful discussion of the problems created by migration must take into account the fact that migration is an essential part of the process by which economic growth occurs and individual incomes expand. Migration does not simply redistribute poverty; it also serves to reduce poverty. By far the greatest part of migration is in response to income opportunities. The population tends to move from areas where incomes are lower to ones where incomes are higher. This shift of the population raises incomes for most migrants and probably, on balance, has a favorable effect on the incomes and job opportunities of those who do not migrate.

(2) Many problems which appear to be the problems of particular cities or rural districts are not, in fact, local problems in any meaningful sense. They represent the local outcroppings of more basic national problems reflecting such factors as: an unequal distribution among individuals of educational opportunities and health services; the impact of technological change as it affects persons with particular skills or the lack of skills; the incidence of family instability; and a variety of social tensions associated with modern living conditions and current individual and social values. Many of these problems—such as those of education, health, training, income maintenance, and individual disability—have been properly identified, and are being dealt with, as national problems.

(3) The most difficult and potentially explosive problems of life in urban centers are those associated with racial antipathies and prejudices. The economic and social problems of our large cities would be very different were it not for the housing segregation which confines Negroes—and occasionally other national-origin or ethnic groups—to particular neighborhoods. Especially serious frictions are created as the frontiers of segregation are disturbed by population expansion. But racial discrimination is a national not a local phenomenon. Likewise, patterns of discrimination in employment and business emerge as problems for localities; but, like housing segregation, they are in fact the result of national problems of interracial attitudes. (4) One striking factor impairing the abilities of some communities to avoid or solve problems arises from artificial and obsolete political boundaries. Such jurisdictional divisions fracture the social and economic unity of many of our communities, both urban and rural. For example, the central cities of urban areas necessarily provide many benefits to outlying areas, while being unable to assess a reasonable share of the costs of these services to residents outside the central city. The financial ability of an urban complex as a whole to solve many of its own problems may not be in question. But if inappropriate political boundaries allocate the costs unevenly, the financing of essential public services may appear an almost insoluble problem.

(5) The relation between the per capita cost of providing public services and the population size and density of a locality is one element in the evaluation of the costs and benefits of alternative population distributions. For some public services, the highest per capita cost occurs in communities which are of minimum or of maximum density. There are some services for which the per capita cost may rise steadily throughout most of the range of progression from fairly low to highest density. These include such services as abatement or control of noise, pollution, or crime; and provision of adequate outdoor recreational facilities. As the size of a community increases, the per capita cost of such services may—at least beyond some point—increase steeply. And, of course, there are some services or amenities—such as museums and libraries—for which the average cost declines almost continuously and without limit as the size of the community increases.

Some research has been done on the cost and efficiency of public services in communities of various sizes and densities. Much more research is needed in order to provide effective guidance for community planning.

(6) Substantial research efforts are also needed to appraise the costs and benefits associated with alternative locational distributions of private production and consumption—the economies and diseconomies of scale, of agglomeration and association, and of the geographical integration of various production processes, and so on. Although economists understand the nature of many of these forces, they have not progressed very far in developing the techniques of measurement.

(7) The costs and benefits—both public and private—of alternative population distributions are not natural constants. Technological and engineering changes arising from the physical, biological, or social sciences can and will alter them over time. Developments in transportation, pollution control, and construction can modify the nature of the problems of our cities. Indeed, technological expertise can and should be consciously marshalled for such purposes.

Despite the many unsettled questions on the implications of alternative population distributions, social policy does not need to stand still while awaiting answers. There are many kinds of problems—both in cities and rural areas—which can be solved, even though we lack an over-all scientific framework that spells out costs and benefits for all locational issues. Many of the problems which appear in particular places are, as indicated, national problems for which national solutions are being pursued. National policy can direct itself toward helping cities and rural areas meet their particular problems—of housing, pollution, transportation, health, welfare, education, crime, social disorganization—wherever these problems may appear. A selected group of these problems—centering around the broader problem of poverty—is discussed in the subsequent sections of this Chapter.

Much as we may be able to contribute to solving community problems through these means, segregation and discrimination are fundamental obstacles which must be overcome if we are to make real headway in solving our urban crisis. Local political boundaries are another obstacle which must be surmounted. Neither of these problems can be solved by Federal action alone; indeed, the basic solution of each must be found at the local level. But the Federal Government can and has contributed to solving the former problem through national civil rights legislation. And it can and has contributed to the solution of the latter through support and encouragement for planning on a metropolitan or areawide basis which corresponds more closely to the economic and social reality of our communities.

THE DEMOGRAPHY OF POVERTY

The decline of poverty and the role of shifting employment patterns in the decline have been documented. The geographic aspects of the remaining poverty have also been examined. But poverty must be viewed not only in terms of geography, but in terms of demography. The incidence of poverty by family type, and the policy issues relevant to each type, are the concern of this section.

Poverty is not evenly distributed throughout the population. The aged, nonwhites, and members of households headed by a woman constitute larger fractions of the poor than of the general population (Table 21). Moreover, the rate of progress in reducing poverty has varied widely among these and other groups. Between 1959 and 1966, the number of poor nonfarm households headed by a man declined 20 percent, while the poor nonfarm households headed by a woman constitute a growing proportion—now nearly half—of all poor households.

The most impressive reductions in poverty have occurred among households headed by a working-age male. The number of such households declined by one-third between 1959 and 1966 as a direct result of the increasing availability of good jobs at high wages. While the number of nonfarm households in this group declined 27 percent, there was a drop of two-thirds in the number of farm households. Among the nonfarm group the decline was as rapid for nonwhites as for whites.

High employment is essential to further reduction of poverty among households with an actual or potential wage earner. Yet many poor men of

Characteristics of head of household	Number of poor (million	r households Is) 1	Incidence of poverty (percent) ²		
	1959	1966	1959	1966	
Nonfarm	11.6	10. 3	22. 5	17.6	
White	9.0	7.9	19.6	15.3	
Male head	5, 0	3. 9	13. 4	9.4	
Under 65 years Aged (65 years and over)	3.3 1.7	2.4 1.5	10. 2 34. 0	6. 8 24. 7	
Female head	4.0	4.0	45. 2	37.7	
Under 65 years Aged (65 years and over)	2. 2 1. 8	2.0 2.0	37. 8 59. 3	30. 5 48. 9	
Nonwhite	2.6	2. 4	48. 9	37. 5	
Male head	1.4	1. 2	39. 7	26.9	
Under 65 years Aged (65 years and over)	1. 2 . 2	.9 .3	36. 7 64. 4	23. 3 51. 4	
Female head	1.1	1. 2	69. 4	60. 8	
Under 65 years Aged (65 years and over)	.9	.9 .2	68. 1 76. 3	58. 8 69. 9	
Farm	1.8	.6	40. 9	20. 8	
White	1. 3	. 5	34. 7	16. 9	
Nonwhite	.4	. 2	85.0	69.7	

¹ Households are defined here as the total of families and unrelated individuals.

² Poor households as a percent of the total number of households in the category.

Note.—Poverty is defined by the Social Security Administration poverty-income standard; it takes into account family size, composition, and place of residence. Poverty-income lines are adjusted to take account of price changes during the period.

Detail will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.

Sources: Department of Commerce and Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

working age must first receive training or other special assistance to enable them to raise their earnings. For those who cannot work, or who-despite training and other services-still cannot earn enough to emerge from poverty by their own efforts, adequate income maintenance programs are needed.

POVERTY AMONG THE AGED

Social insurance is the first line of protection for households in which the breadwinner retires, is disabled, experiences involuntary unemployment, or dies leaving dependent survivors. Over 23 million beneficiaries are now receiving Old Age, Survivors, Disability, and Health Insurance (OASDHI) payments at an annual rate of more than \$27 billion. For the retired aged, these payments are overwhelmingly the largest single source of income.

The incidence of poverty among aged nonfarm households fell from 46 percent in 1959 to 37 percent in 1966. One reason for the improvement is that more recent retirees had higher lifetime earnings and therefore were entitled to larger social security benefits. In addition, a rapidly growing proportion of the aged receive retirement benefits under private pension plans. Pension recipients and their wives now constitute 18 percent of the total population, of age 65 or over, up from 7 percent in 1955.

Increases in social security benefits also deserve much of the credit for the reduction in the incidence of poverty among the aged. The 1967 Social Security Amendments expanded benefits 13 percent and raised to \$55 the monthly minimum benefit. Together these amendments will reduce the number of the aged poor by 800,000. The 1967 amendments increase income protection for all covered employees—not merely for those of retirement age. Increased survivor and disability benefits provided for in the 1967 legislation will reduce poverty among those under age 65 by 200,000.

Social security benefits have had several important side effects. The average retirement age has fallen, and a growing number of aged are now able to maintain their own households. Between 1960 and 1967, as the proportion of aged persons receiving social security benefits rose from 64 percent to 82 percent, labor force participation rates for males 65 and over fell from 33 percent to 27 percent. Since 1962, when benefits were made available to males of age 62–64, the participation rate for this group has declined even more rapidly than that for males 65 and over.

Further benefit liberalization—particularly higher minimum and widow's benefits—could sharply reduce poverty among the aged. Had Congress increased the minimum benefit to \$70, as requested by the Administration, while increasing other benefits by 13 percent, an additional 500,000 aged persons would have been freed from poverty. The additional cost of the \$70 minimum benefit would have amounted to less than 10 percent of the increase in benefits that was provided—yet it would have increased by about 50 percent the number of individuals lifted out of poverty.

FAMILIES HEADED BY WOMEN

The public assistance program—in particular, Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC)—is the chief source of help for poor families with dependent children and headed by women. A majority of such families is now covered either by AFDC or OASDHI. Benefit levels under AFDC are established by the States, and are typically too low to lift families out of poverty.

Nearly all AFDC recipients either are children or are women whose family responsibilities preclude work outside the home unless child care is provided. Moreover, until amended in 1967, the law required that AFDC benefits be reduced by \$1 for each dollar of income earned by adult members of the household. This "100 percent tax rate" was sufficient to discourage all but the most determined from seeking jobs, since earnings could not add to income so long as any assistance was received. Under 1967 legislation, a welfare recipient can earn up to \$30 a month without any loss in benefits. Beyond this level, for every \$3 of earned income, welfare benefits are reduced by \$2. Although this is still a tax rate of 66²/₃ percent, these changes may encourage some welfare recipients to seek gainful employment. The 1967 legislation also provides for day-care facilities and access to training for AFDC beneficiaries. Both measures are designed to make employment easier for poor mothers.

Although the new AFDC rules eliminated some egregious shortcomings in the welfare program, many recipients cannot participate in training or seek regular employment. Family responsibilities make employment impractical or unsuitable for many women. Heavy reliance must still be placed on income maintenance to ameliorate poverty within this group.

HOUSEHOLDS WITH A MALE EARNER

In 1966, there were $10\frac{1}{2}$ million persons in poor households headed by working-age males who were either working regularly at full-time jobs or actively seeking work.

About 1.5 million male heads of households were poor in 1966 despite full-time employment—40 hours a week for 50 weeks or more (Table 22). Rising real wages will continue to reduce poverty among families with a fully employed male earner. The higher level and broader coverage of the minimum wage will also contribute. The continued industrialization of the South will give many workers an opportunity to take better-paying jobs. Others will improve their economic position by migrating. Continued efforts to eliminate discrimination in promotion will help many, particularly Negroes, who are poor even when fully employed.

Another 1.5 million heads of poor families worked part time or part of the year in 1966. There were 700,000 poor male household heads of working age who did not work at all, but 400,000 of these were disabled. Many who are poor because of unemployment or low wages could, given training and opportunity, earn enough to escape poverty.

Training the Disadvantaged

Many of the workers who are earning less than an adequate income are unskilled, poorly educated, or, as a result of irregular employment and discrimination, have poor work habits. But most of these workers could improve their earning capacity if they were given remedial attention.

In the last four years, manpower programs tailored to the needs of the economically disadvantaged have been greatly expanded. During the fiscal year 1968, close to a million persons, most of whom are disadvantaged, will be served by the Manpower Development and Training Act, the Job Corps, and similar programs. A great number of people who need skill training or work experience have not yet been reached. But the increase in the number of individuals served does point to the enormous progress made in a relatively short time. Many of the unemployed, although they originally lacked a marketable skill, responded to regular Manpower Development and Training Act (MDTA) programs, and have obtained employment.

But there is also a substantial hard core of unemployed workers who need more than a routine training program. For these workers, conventional train-

Age and work experience of head of household	19	65	1966		
1.80 and 1.91 and 1.91	Male head	Female head	Male head	Female head	
Total poor households 1	5, 8	5. 4	5.6	5. 4	
Aged (65 years and over) Under 65 years	1.7 4.1	2.4 3.0	1.9 3.7	2. 4 3. (
Did not work	.7	1.5	.7	1.4	
III or disabled Other reasons	.4 .3	.2 1.3	.4 .3	ı. İ	
Worked at part-time jobs	.5	.5	.6	.6	
Worked at full-time jobs	2.8	1.0	2.4	1, (
Employed 39 weeks or less Employed 40-49 weeks Employed 50 weeks or more	.7 .4 1.7	.5 .1 .4	.6 .3 1.5		

[Millions]

¹ Households are defined here as the total of families and unrelated individuals.

Note.—Poverty is defined by the Social Security Administration poverty-income standard; it takes into account family size, composition, and place of residence. Poverty-income lines are adjusted to take account of price changes during the period.

Detail will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.

Sources: Department of Commerce and Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

ing programs designed for educated workers with substantial regular work experience are entirely inadequate. Training must be supplemented with counseling, health services, work experience, and basic education. Followup counseling may be necessary to encourage the work habits and self-discipline required in steady employment. There is growing evidence that on-the-job training for many disadvantaged workers will prove more successful than institutional training, but unfortunately employers do not ordinarily make positions in these programs available to the disadvantaged.

For severely disadvantaged workers, the cost of training, placement, and supplementary services may run as high as \$5,000 per trainee. The cost per worker ultimately employed is still higher, since many candidates do not complete training or stay on the job after placement. But for those workers who are successfully trained, the gain from steadier work at higher wages is great. In addition, the children of these workers find more security and better preparation for a productive life of their own. The economic benefits for society as well as for individuals are large.

The business community has hired and trained the poor in the past, but only on a limited basis. Business and government are coming to realize that business must play a vastly expanded role in making the hard-core disadvantaged employable. Government training programs alone cannot do the job—certainly not as rapidly as it must be done.

The President has announced a new program—Job Opportunities in the Business Sector (JOBS)—to bring the flexibility and imagination of the private sector into full partnership with Government on the broadest scale possible in order to solve the employment problems of the most deprived segments of the population. Through this program, private industry will train and hire 100,000 of the disadvantaged during the next 18 months at a Federal cost of \$350 million.

Another recent innovation, the Labor Department's Concentrated Employment Program (CEP), has focused the efforts of Federal, State, and local agencies and cooperating private emplyers on the task of employing disadvantaged workers in poverty areas both urban and rural. Continued expansion of CEP, together with the new JOBS program, should permit a continued reduction in the number of families whose poverty derives from unemployment.

INCOME MAINTENANCE

Despite the prospective benefits from training programs and further economic growth, there will still be a need for income maintenance or income supplements for poor families headed by men of working age. In 1966, more than one-fourth of all the poor and $4\frac{1}{2}$ million poor children lived in families headed by a man employed throughout the year. An additional $2\frac{1}{2}$ million poor persons, including $1\frac{1}{3}$ million children, were in families headed by men who were normally full-time workers but who suffered some unemployment.

Concern about the welfare and education of the young has prompted a number of proposals for providing additional financial support for poor families with children. Children's allowances are a device used in a great many countries, including Canada, to provide a flat payment to each child, regardless of family income. But flat allowances are a costly means of attacking poverty since most benefits do not go to the poor. Another form of income supplement—the children's minimum income allowance—would provide a grant to all poor households with children, with the amount of the grant diminishing as income rises. Nearly all of these expenditures would go to the poor. Moreover, as incomes rose, the cost of such a program would automatically diminish.

For those who suffer from chronic unemployment, a combination of income maintenance and an opportunity for work and training would appear to be needed if their poverty is to be eliminated. Many of the chronically unemployed will be able to lift themselves from poverty if aided by job training and placement. But even after job programs become fully effective, some may still need income support. And there will always be a residual group who will not be able to fill regular jobs but who can do some useful work.

The present program of Aid to Families with Dependent Children-Unemployed Parent (AFDC-UP) provides a start in meeting these needs. As amended in 1967, the program permits States to make federally aided payments to families with an unemployed father. At the end of 1967, only 21 States had elected to do so. Even among these States, benefits are often inadequate and eligibility is severely limited. Only about 60,000 families are currently benefiting from the AFDC–UP program. Under the 1967 amendments, unemployed beneficiaries are to be assigned to training programs when there is a reasonable prospect that they can be employed. Those lacking such prospects are to be assigned jobs with local public agencies.

Eliminating poverty for those who cannot work is mainly a matter of money. Eliminating poverty for those who can, given training and opportunity, earn a decent living, is a matter of money, organization, the design of effective programs, and cooperation between industry and Government.

Especially difficult problems are involved in any program designed to eliminate poverty for those who can do some useful work but whose earning capacity is limited by their abilities or by family responsibilities. An income maintenance program for any family in this in-between situation should provide some guaranteed minimum level of support. But it should also provide an incentive to work by permitting beneficiaries to retain a substantial fraction of any earnings.

Any income maintenance system runs into a dilemma in meeting these requirements. For work incentives to be highly effective, benefits probably cannot be reduced by more than about 50 cents for every dollar earned. If, in addition, the guaranteed minimum support is high enough to free most beneficiaries from poverty, payments would have to be made to some people above the poverty line. For example, if the guaranteed minimum were \$3,000, but the support benefit was reduced 50 cents for every dollar of earnings, then a man earning \$4,000 would still receive \$1,000 of his support payment. The person who was satisfied with \$3,000 would then be under no pressure to seek or accept employment. Yet if the guaranteed minimum support were lower, many beneficiaries who are willing to work but whose earning power is low or nonexistent would remain poor.

One possible solution would be to provide a relatively adequate base level of income support, but require that every recipient whose family responsibilities permitted it must accept training for private employment. If he was not capable of training, he would be required to accept work on public service projects. The recent amendments to the Social Security Act moved in that direction, requiring training for AFDC recipients (although benefit levels remain low). Such an approach does deal with the problem of the person uninterested in earning income. But it creates a variety of other problems. First, the States must make decisions concerning the personal life of the recipients—such as whether a mother should care for her children herself or place them in day care and go to work. Second, a difficult administrative problem arises. An overly generous program could generate a large volume of poorly supervised public employment of high cost but little value, but an excessively stringent, low-cost program could recreate the 19th century government workhouse. These conflicts among objectives will not be easily resolved. But our present welfare system leaves so much to be desired that substantial progress can be made before these issues become critical. At present, the welfare system in most States is inadequate on two counts—a low support base and relatively weak work incentives. Benefit levels and incentive provisions could both be substantially improved before we would have to face the choice between generous support for the lazy and the difficulties inherent in a compulsory work program. Federal-State sharing formulas could be redesigned to reduce the wide disparities in benefits paid by different States. AFDC recipients could be offered more incentive to work and more training opportunities without compulsion. AFDC–UP could be extended to all States and eligibility restrictions could be made less severe. These and related issues will undoubtedly be considered in greater depth by the new Presidential Commission on Income Maintenance.

A more humane and generous welfare system, continued improvement in the social security system, expanded training programs for the disadvantaged, and a growing high-employment economy will all contribute to a continuing reduction in poverty. In addition, efforts to reduce poverty and to improve economic opportunity must deal with the particular problems caused by inadequacies in housing, education, and health care. These topics constitute the balance of the chapter.

HOUSING

Most Americans are aware of poverty primarily because they have seen the houses on the other side of the tracks. One view of a real slum convinces most people that "something ought to be done." Partially because of government efforts to do something about poor housing, progressively fewer people live in dilapidated housing or occupy homes with substandard plumbing. Yet urban slums remain, and many rural families still have pitifully inadequate housing.

CHANGES IN HOUSING QUALITY

Housing statistics are only indicators of progress and of the dimensions of remaining problems. They cannot adequately describe the pleasures of better homes or the miseries of densely packed, dirty, and dreary neighborhoods. Most American families now live in adequate housing (Table 23). But 2 million American families live in dilapidated housing—dwelling units with structural defects which endanger the health and safety of the inhabitants. In addition, close to 4 million families live in units that lack basic plumbing facilities, bringing the total of substandard occupied units to 5.8 million.

Substantial progress in housing has been made since 1950. The data collected in the 1950 and 1960 censuses suggest a reduction in the number of

[ז ווטעאמונעס]						
Quality by area 1	1960 ²	1966				
Standard units	44, 418	52, 138				
Substandard units	8, 469	5, 754				
Metropolitan areas Nonmetropolitan areas	3, 231 5, 238	2, 470 3, 284				
Dilapidated	2, 353	1, 99 5				
Metropolitan areas	1,052	(3) (3)				

Thousands

Metropolitan areas...

¹ Based on 1960 definitions of quality and metropolitan areas. ² Because of changes in methodology, data for 1960 in this table are not strictly comparable with 1950 and 1960 census data mentioned in the text. ³ Not available.

3.759

8

6,116

2,179 3,937

Sources: Department of Commerce and Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Nonmetropolitan areas.

Nonmetropolitan areas_____

Nondilapidated, lacking plumbing

occupied substandard units from 15.3 to 9.0 million units. Further improvement since 1960 is indicated by the data in Table 23.

Although a disproportionately large share of poor housing is located in nonmetropolitan areas, the improvement in the quality of occupied housing in such areas has been much more dramatic than in metropolitan areas. Part of this relative improvement reflects the migration to urban areas of poor farm families, many of which abandoned substandard units. It also reflects the increased availability of sewer and water facilities in rural areas.

Housing Deterioration

Improvement in the housing stock depends on rates of new construction, demolition, and other losses and deterioration of existing units. Since 1950 new construction has considerably exceeded the increase in the number of households. The difference has been largely offset by demolitions and other losses, which totaled 290,000 a year in the 1950's and 360,000 a year in the 1960's. Best available estimates indicate that more than half of these losses were of substandard units.

Despite these developments, the number of occupied dilapidated units apparently declined by less than 100,000 a year in the 1950's, and by only about 60,000 a year in the 1960's. Moreover, virtually all of this decline occurred outside metropolitan areas. Detailed data for the 1960's are not available for most areas, but surveys of New York City and some areas in Los Angeles indicate an actual increase in the number of occupied dilapidated units in those cities. The results suggest that, in large cities, much of the improvement in housing quality from new building in excess of the rate of household formation is offset by the deterioration of existing housing.

Houses deteriorate with the passage of time, but there is no natural life for a house. With sufficient expenditure on maintenance, most houses can be kept in sound condition for a long period of time. The rapid deterioration of housing in metropolitan areas has many causes, but poverty and racial segregation surely play major roles. When housing is occupied by families with adequate incomes, expenditures on maintenance to prevent deterioration are generally considered worthwhile by the owners. But people near the poverty line can pay little rent, and landlords are unlikely to find it profitable to undertake more than minimal maintenance. When segregation limitseven temporarily-the area occupied by a growing minority population, owners can increase their profits by breaking up apartments for denser occupancy, thereby hastening deterioration. Although owners may differ in their views on the most profitable maintenance policy, those who fail to provide adequate maintenance for their buildings blight the neighborhood and bring down the value of neighboring properties. When a neighborhood becomes sufficiently blighted, all owners find it profitable to mine their properties-making occupancy as dense as possible and minimizing maintenance expenditure to obtain the largest possible short-term cash flow.

THE NEED FOR FURTHER FEDERAL ASSISTANCE

In spite of these difficulties, the amount of substandard housing has been reduced. But the pace of further progress is clearly limited by the rate at which poverty and segregation can be reduced. As incomes generally rise, housing standards improve up the line: those with lowest incomes move into housing vacated by others whose incomes have risen enough to permit a move into even better housing. Most of the houses occupied today were originally built for persons in higher income classes than those who now occupy them. The process of turning over houses to the less affluent by families who move on to better—often new—housing will no doubt continue to be an important source of improvement in housing conditions.

But these market processes will work too slowly to provide, by themselves, a sufficiently large and prompt improvement in the quality of housing for all Americans. During the coming 10 years, the children of the post-World War II baby boom will enter the years of peak household formation. New housing construction for the private market in the next 10 years must total approximately 20 million units to meet the needs of these new households and to replace losses and demolitions of standard units. To produce that many units, new housing construction must average one-third higher than the current rate.

Yet even a boom of these proportions in private construction will accomplish little reduction in the number of occupied dilapidated units. Progress will be particularly slow in areas where widespread blight reduces the incentive to build new housing. For a time at least, it will be necessary to augment the rent-paying capacity of low- and moderate-income families and the supply of housing available to them if we are to make substantial progress in improving the quality of housing.

The recently inaugurated rent supplement program is designed for this purpose. Nearly 40,000 new or rehabilitated housing units are already available, under construction, or committed under the program of rent supplements to low-income families. Private nonprofit or limited profit corporations offering decent housing to low-income families are paid the difference between the "fair market rent" of a new or rehabilitated housing unit and the rent paid by the tenant family—25 percent of the family's income.

Programs designed to improve the rent-paying capacity of low- and moderate-income families are very important, but they cannot be expected to produce a rapid increase in the supply of decent housing. The principal initial effect of a sharp increase in the demand for rental housing will be to increase rents. It will produce only a gradual response in construction of low-income housing. The response will be particularly sluggish in the near future because of the prospects of a strong middle- and upper-income private housing market.

For this reason the Government must take measures to increase directly the supply of low-income housing. Subsidized rental units have been provided for many years through the public housing program. The new "turnkey" public housing program turns over to private developers the planning, site acquisition, and construction functions in creating new public housing. Local public housing authorities, after approving the public housing plan and site, promise to purchase the completed building when it is ready for occupancy. The approach shortens the period from planning to completion by as much as 3 years, and will double the output of public housing over the next 2 years. The turnkey approach has recently been expanded to allow privately constructed public housing to be delivered to private management corporations. This program utilizes the talent in private business, and removes barriers to extended public housing that arise from the shortage of management personnel in the local public authority.

The below-market interest rate (BMIR) program also draws nonprofit corporations into providing housing for low- and moderate-income families by subsidizing interest payments through Federal purchase of mortgages bearing a very low interest rate.

The Administration has recognized the scale of effort required to put decent shelter within the reach of every American family within the next decade. As the first of ten annual steps toward a national goal of 6,000,000 federally assisted housing starts between fiscal years 1969 and 1978, the President has announced a program to start construction or rehabilitation of 300,000 housing units for low- and lower-middle income families in the fiscal year beginning in July 1968. This program will build upon successful demonstration of new approaches to public housing construction, location, and management. With greater emphasis on the role of private enterprise, the program will also require expansion of rent-supplement and interestsubsidy techniques to reduce the monthly rental and mortgage costs of decent housing for low- and middle-income families.

A substantial increase in the scale of Federal housing programs on top of the inevitable boom in private construction would place considerable strain upon the resources of the construction industry. A successful program to eliminate substandard housing must include sweeping measures to hold down the cost of construction and to increase the supply of manpower to the industry.

EDUCATION

The United States was among the first countries in the world to commit itself to free and compulsory elementary and secondary education. The public school "movement" derived much of its strength from the desire for equality of opportunity and the traditional American hostility to distinctions based on birth. We have always cherished the image of the poor but talented youth whose education opens the door to wealth, power, and prestige. Unfortunately, the evidence indicates that we have not lived up to this high ideal.

EDUCATION AND ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

The connection between education and economic achievement is well documented. High school graduates have much higher labor force participation rates and much lower unemployment rates than do high school dropouts. In October 1965, 73.5 percent of white high school graduates of June 1965 who were not enrolled in college were employed members of the labor force. This compares to 49.3 percent for white nongraduates who dropped out during the 1964–65 school year.

The correlation between education and earnings is partly attributable to the association of education with other income-producing factors: ability, parental income, and family social status and connections. Nonetheless, formal education does increase earning potential. Studies indicate that reasoning ability, mechanical ability, and verbal and arithmetical skills augment earnings. These abilities are influenced by the quantity and quality of education.

An educational degree confers upon its holder an advantage in the labor market that goes beyond the skills represented by the degree. Employers sometimes use diplomas as screening devices for job applicants even where the skills learned in school are not important for job performance. This is because it is widely accepted that satisfactory completion of school programs indicates diligence and responsibility.

In view of the importance of education for earning capacity, the existing wide variations in educational attainment by race, social class, and place of residence are disturbing. About 48 percent of all college students come from families in the highest socioeconomic quartile, while less than 7 percent come from families in the lowest quartile. In 1960, high school completion rates for

males were lower for nonwhites than for whites and lower for rural than for urban residents (Table 24). Among whites outside the South, completion rates in rural areas were not far below those in urban areas. However, rural students who were nonwhite or lived in the South were much less likely to complete high school than were other groups.

This situation has improved substantially since 1960. The high school completion rate for all nonwhite males aged 20–24 rose from 39.0 percent in 1960 to 52.6 percent in 1966; for white males, it rose from 65.0 percent in 1960 to 78.1 percent in 1966. Rural and urban figures are not available subsequent to 1960; but metropolitan and nonmetropolitan figures reveal a substantial advance in all categories, with nonwhites in nonmetropolitan areas registering the greatest progress.

Nonwhites have less financial incentive than whites to complete their education. First, the lower average incomes of nonwhite families places greater pressure on the children to find a job, and not to make the sacrifice of immediate earnings required to continue their education. Second, the income gains to be expected from completing their education are smaller for nonwhites. In 1966, among white males over 25, those with one or more years of college earned 28 percent more than high school graduates. Among nonwhite males over 25, those who had attended college earned only 14 percent more than high school graduates. These figures probably reflect a combination of deficiencies in the quality of education available to nonwhites, and more severe discrimination against more highly educated nonwhites.

Despite the smaller payoff from additional education, young Negro men have made substantial gains since 1960 in completing college. Between 1960 and 1965, the percentage of Negro males 25–34 years old who had completed four years of college rose from 3.9 to 7.4. But this remains much below the white male college completion rate, which, during the same period, rose from 15.7 to 17.9 percent for the same age group.

	Place of residence							
Race and region	Urbanized	Other urban	Rural	Rural				
	areas 1	areas	nonfarm	farm				
White males: Total	68. 0	68.4	58.4	57.1				
South	67.0	64. 3	53. 0	45. 4				
All other	68.3	70. 5	62. 5	64. 7				
Nonwhite males: Total	44. 9	39. 8	31. 4	16.1				
South	39.6	35. 2	26. 4	14. (
All other	48.2	52. 6	45. 7	33. 4				

TABLE 24.—Percentage of males 20-24 years old who completed high school, 1960

(Percent)

¹ Central cities and urban fringe areas of standard metropolitan statistical areas.

Source: Department of Commerce.

The academic performances of nonwhite, of rural, and of poor youngsters are below the national average. A study conducted by the Office of Education, *Equality of Educational Opportunity* (frequently referred to as the Coleman Report), revealed that Negro students in the 12th grade are, on the average, more than 3 years behind whites in verbal facility. But this disparity is not merely a Negro problem. According to unpublished data from the Coleman study, rural students—both white and nonwhite—scored lower than their urban counterparts on verbal facility tests. Another study of high school graduates found that of students in the lowest socioeconomic quartile, only 8 percent scored in the highest academic quartile, while of students in the highest socioeconomic quartile, 44 percent scored in the highest academic quartile.

The poor academic performance of low-income and minority-group children has many causes. Family attitudes toward education are very important. Some educators believe that the years before the child enters school are the most important for his intellectual development. By the time children enter school, there are wide discrepancies in the aptitude scores of children from different social classes.

Another influence on educational performance is the general attitude of school companions. If students with culturally deprived preschool years are concentrated in certain schools, they will tend to reinforce each other's inadequacies.

With large variations in the stimulation provided by parents and companions, equal instruction for all students would inevitably result in lower educational attainment for culturally deprived children. If equal—or even nearly equal—educational achievement is society's goal, then disadvantaged youngsters must receive instruction *superior* in quality to that received by middle-class children.

In fact, nonwhite, rural, and poor children, on the average, receive no better—and, in many cases, much worse—instruction than white, urban, and middle-class children. In the South, Negro students are still largely taught by Negro teachers, many of whom in turn had received inferior education. In many poor communities educational expenditure per pupil, though perhaps high in relation to community income, is low in comparison with other areas. Expenditure per pupil tends to be lower in central cities than in the suburbs; moreover, schools in low-income neighborhoods of central cities spend less per pupil than schools in middle- and upper-income neighborhoods. In part, this is because ghetto schools frequently have difficulty retaining their staff and consequently typically have a higher proportion of inexperienced teachers than other schools within the central city.

It is extremely important to attract—and to retain—competent people into the teaching of deprived children. The Coleman Report indicates that characteristics of teachers are the most important school-related determinants of the academic performance of children. Yet the salaries of teachers are low in comparison with those in other jobs for male college graduates. In 1959, the average annual earnings of all white male college graduates aged 35 to 44 exceeded by 59 percent the earnings of white male secondary school teachers of the same age and with equivalent education. The low relative salaries of teachers helps to explain why a disproportionately small fraction of students entering teaching at the elementary and secondary school levels score above the average for all college students on intelligence tests.

The most important goal of educational policy for the disadvantaged is the improvement of the academic performance of culturally deprived youngsters. This requires the strengthened teaching of basic skills to children in preschool, elementary, and secondary education. Another goal is the removal of the financial barriers that discourage poor but talented high school graduates from going to college. A third goal is more effective preparation for employment of those students not planning to go on to college.

EDUCATION PROGRAMS

In 1965, the Federal Government initiated a massive program of compensatory education for disadvantaged children. This program, Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, provides \$1.2 billion in the current fiscal year to school districts for programs for deprived children. The funds are distributed in proportion to the number of children from low-income families in each district. In fiscal year 1967, 9 million children were served at a cost per child of \$117.

Another major program serving disadvantaged children is Head Start, a large-scale, experimental preschool project. Nearly 2 million children have benefited from the program to date. Evaluation has shown that they have registered educational, as well as social and health gains; however, the extent to which the educational gains are permanent is, as yet, unknown. The Office of Economic Opportunity and the Office of Education are initiating a new "follow-through" program to determine the best way to conserve the gains of Head Start.

The success of these and other educational programs for disadvantaged children calls for the discovery of effective techniques in compensatory education. There remain many important unanswered questions: What curricula are most effective; which teacher characteristics are most important; how should new types of equipment, such as educational television, computerized teaching aids, and language laboratories be employed? Several Federal programs encourage experimentation in and evaluation of new teaching methods.

The Government has also increased substantially its programs of financial aid for college study to students from low-income families. During 1966–67, the Educational Opportunity Grants, the National Defense Education Act loans, and the College Work-Study programs, provided nearly 700,000 separate loans and grants, averaging \$620, to college students, most of whom were from poor families. This year the Administration is proposing consolidation of these programs to enable colleges to administer the programs together and to tailor aid more closely to the needs of the particular student. The Guaranteed Loan program of 1965 began slowly, in part because of tight money markets, but expanded rapidly during 1966–67. Private loans averaging \$837 were extended to approximately 430,000 students. The Administration is planning to expand the program further by amendments permitting lenders to charge a flat service fee for each loan.

The Upward Bound program in the Office of Economic Opportunity has provided summer school training and financial aid for high school students from poor families; the program is designed to encourage students with substantial potential but low achievement to finish high school and go on to college. In addition, the Administration is proposing a new program of tutorial and guidance services for low-income college students.

High schools need to revise their curricula in order better to serve the occupational needs of students not planning to go to college. Schools could do much more to make their vocational training and job information services more relevant to contemporary occupational opportunities. For example, though the percentage of vocational education expenditures devoted to agriculture has declined in recent years, only a small proportion of those receiving vocational training in agriculture enter farming. Courses related to future occupations could be designed so as to capture the interest of non-academically inclined youngsters. One promising approach is to permit high school students to receive credit for part-time jobs directly related to school courses. The Administration is proposing to further these objectives in a new, consolidated Vocational Education Act stressing State manpower planning, innovative schoolwork programs, and counseling and occupational information for all junior high school students.

Efforts to provide adequate supplies of trained educational manpower underlie all the programs in preschool, elementary, secondary, and vocational education. Summer institutes for teacher training and retraining, student loan forgiveness for those entering teaching, and fellowships for experienced teachers to return to the university for further training have been financed by the Government in recent years. Under the Education Professions Development Act, passed by Congress in 1967, measures are being taken to attract qualified people into teaching, to train teachers' aides, and to strengthen teacher education.

HEALTH

There are striking discrepancies in the health status of Americans of different races, regions, and income classes. The death rate of nonwhites is 45 percent higher than that of whites of the same age; life expectancy at birth is 7 years shorter. For the white population alone, infant mortality is 10 percent higher in nonmetropolitan than in metropolitan counties And poor adults suffer considerably more activity-limiting chronic illness, work loss, and days of restricted activity than other adults of the same age.

ECONOMIC STATUS AND HEALTH CARE

These health discrepancies are due to various deficiencies in our system of medical care. For children, the number of physician visits per year varies sharply with family income (Table 25). And on the occasions when poor children do see doctors, it is usually for treatment of an obvious ailment, and rarely to receive a routine medical examination. Medical experts are firmly convinced that children who do not receive regular checkups and prompt treatment of ailments run substantially higher risks of being permanently handicapped.

Adults who are poor are more likely to have serious health problems than other adults. Ill health is linked with poverty in part because illness leads directly to decreased earnings. But low earnings—through the inadequate nutrition and shelter that accompany them—also cause ill health. Thus, poor health is both a cause and result of poverty; the two constitute a selfperpetuating cycle.

The ill health of the poor adult is not solely the result of inadequate medical care. In fact, the indigent sick person in most States can go to a free public clinic for medical attention, and many poor persons receive free or low-cost care in physicians' offices. As a result, the number of physician visits per year is not much lower for poor adults than for other adults.

Nonetheless, full equality in the number of visits to physicians would be insufficient to make poor adults as healthy as the rest of the population. Moreover, the quality of care available to the indigent may be lower than that available to middle class, paying patients. Although standards differ enormously from State to State, clinics serving the poor are often inadequately staffed and equipped, with the usual consequences—long waits, hurried and fragmented medical attention, and the absence of medical records and continuity of care.

The available statistics on health care and health status by race suggest that medical care for nonwhites is substandard. Nonwhites suffer considerably higher mortality rates than whites from medically curable illnesses, such as tuberculosis, influenza, and pneumonia. Infant and maternal mor-

Family income	Percent of child a routine med during the	dren receiving ical checkup past year	Physician visits per year by children		
	Under	6 to 16	Under	5 to 14	
	6 years	years	5 years	years	
Under \$2,000	21.2	12. 0	3.1	1.2	
	34.3	18. 4	4.6	2.0	
	44.9	28. 0	5.6	2.7	
	54.7	36. 8	6.4	3.0	
	64.4	49. 7	7.5	4.3	

 TABLE 25.—Routine medical checkups and number of physician visits for children, by selected age groups, 1963–64

Note.-Data are based on household interviews during the period July 1963 to June 1964.

Source: Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

tality rates, which are profoundly affected by medical care, are, respectively, 87 percent and 300 percent higher for nonwhites than for whites.

Rural residents obtain fewer medical services than metropolitan residents, regardless of race. The ratio of doctors to population is substantially lower in isolated rural counties than in counties located in or near metropolitan areas. The reluctance of doctors to practice in rural areas is understandable. Because the population is dispersed, doctors have less opportunity to specialize and to employ advanced medical techniques. They enjoy fewer cultural attractions and they may earn less. The result is that many rural communities have too few doctors.

MEASURES TO IMPROVE HEALTH CARE

Considerable improvement in the health care of medically deprived groups has been achieved by governmental finance of medical services for those too poor to pay for them. Major increases in public funds for this purpose were approved by the Congress in 1965 under two far-reaching pieces of legislation. The first, Medicare, provides for the aged a hospital insurance plan requiring no premium, and offers an optional insurance plan, covering doctors' fees and other services, in which the premium (currently \$3 a month, but rising to \$4 in April 1968) is matched by the Federal Government. About 93 percent of the aged are enrolled in this optional plan. Federal outlays for benefits under Medicare in fiscal year 1968, estimated at \$4.8 billion, will cover about half the medical care costs of the aged.

The second program, Medicaid, provides matching funds for State medical services for the poor and medically indigent. Unlike previous Federal aid through public assistance, Medicaid stipulates minimum standards of benefits for State plans which receive Federal support. Federal funds under the previous medical assistance legislation are scheduled to terminate in 1970.

The 1965 Medicaid legislation left it to the States to set upper limits to the incomes of persons eligible for payments. But rapidly rising Federal outlays caused the Congress, in 1967, to limit Federal reimbursement to payments made to families with incomes below a ceiling. By 1970, the ceiling in each State will be one-third above the highest amount ordinarily paid to a family of the same size under the State program for AFDC.

The 1965 legislation required State plans to provide inpatient and outpatient hospital services, physicians' services, laboratory and X-ray services, and skilled nursing home services for qualifying adults. Amendments passed in 1967 continue this requirement for persons receiving cash assistance, but for the medically indigent, the States can elect to provide any 7 of 14 specified services.

Forty-three States and jurisdictions are expected to have Medicaid plans by July 1968, and 48 by the end of 1969. Total medical assistance expenditures by Federal, State, and local governments for fiscal year 1968 are estimated to be \$3.6 billion, of which \$1.8 billion is from the Federal Government. Present health care programs probably provide less than the optimum amount of health care to the young. In fiscal year 1968, less than 10 percent of the \$7.2 billion in health care outlays of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare were directed toward children and youth under 19. Health care confers direct economic benefits through the prevention and cure of ailments which interfere with earning capacity. These benefits are especially large for children because they have their whole working lives ahead of them. Inasmuch as the enhancement of earning capacity implies greater participation in other aspects of life, the noneconomic benefits of health care expenditures may also be larger for children than for persons in other age groups.

In recent years the Federal Government programs in the area of maternal and child health have been expanding rapidly. Mothers and children in lowincome families receive a variety of services under the Maternity and Infant Care, School and Preschool, Crippled Children, Maternal and Child Health Services programs, and under the health programs of Head Start and of Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act. These services include free physical examinations, diagnostic services, and advice on preventive care. The 1967 amendments to Medicaid require States participating in the Crippled Children's program to make greater efforts toward early diagnosis and treatment of handicapping illnesses in young children. Treatment of illness is now provided under several of the programs, but eventually these expenses should be taken care of by Medicaid. In another area, the unusual barriers to adequate health care for migratory farmworkers are being attacked through the migrant health program. Finally, the Neighborhood Health Centers operated by the Office of Economic Opportunity provide readily accessible, comprehensive, and continuous health care and other social services to low-income families. Legislation will be proposed to provide, over the next 5 years, comprehensive medical services to needy mothers and their infants from the prenatal period through the child's first year.

These Government programs on behalf of groups now medically deprived will increase the demand for the services of physicians and other types of medical manpower. If the care received by the rest of the population is not to be reduced, the supply of these services must be increased. To augment the number of physicians the Federal Government has been giving large financial support, under the Health Professions Education Act of 1963, to medical schools undertaking expansion of their enrollment. Funds for 10 new medical schools have been provided under this program. The annual number of medical school graduates is expected to rise from 7,900 in 1965 to around 10,000 in 1973.

Increasing the supply of physicians is of highest priority for the longer run; but to achieve greater efficiency in the short run, emphasis must be placed on improvement in the utilization of physicians' services. There appear to be significant efficiency gains from group practice, from the use of more auxiliary personnel, and from use of more and better equipment, including automated laboratories and other computer-based innovations. The trend toward group practice is being encouraged by legislation passed in 1966 which provides Government mortgage insurance for group practice facilities. The training of increased numbers of auxiliary personnel under the Allied Health Professions Personnel Training Act of 1966, the Vocational Education Act, and the Manpower Development and Training Act will also permit greater efficiency in the use of physicians and of other high-level medical manpower.

THE COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH TO COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT

The preceding pages have touched on some of the more pressing economic and social problems facing American communities. They have stressed that many of these problems are not merely local problems, but rather national problems which appear in concentrated form in certain communities. In particular, all are aware that there are large districts, usually within the central cities of major metropolitan areas, in which the incidence of a number of these problems is particularly high. Some rural districts show similar concentrations.

Over the years, the Federal Government has developed programs designed to share with State and local governments the costs of attacking the problems of the disadvantaged. Typically, each program was designed to deal with a specific problem. There has been growing recognition, however, that ill health, inadequate education, absence of motivation, lack of marketable skills, dilapidated housing, inadequate community and social services, and crime can interact with one another. By feeding on one another, these problems create blighted districts and areas. The more recent approach has therefore been to undertake a coordinated and simultaneous attack on all the problems in a particular locality. The Model Cities program is the newest and most promising illustration of this approach.

The goal of the Model Cities program is to transform a number of the Nation's most blighted urban areas into redeveloped communities which will demonstrate the potentalities of the coordinated approach. Last fall, 63 cities were selected to participate in the first round of Model Cities planning grants. Each city is using its grant to map out a comprehensive program to deal with poor living conditions, unemployment, and inadequate access to social services in its most blighted area. The plans must include workable mechanisms to marshal all the resources of Federal, State, and local governments, voluntary agencies, local business firms, and residents of the area. These coordinated plans will include a wide variety of Federal aids—manpower training, urban renewal, federally assisted housing, education, health, and poverty programs. These programs will continue to be available individually on a national basis. But when they are integrated, and supplemented by local resources, in an approved comprehen-

sive program for physical and social redevelopment, the Federal Government will make available supplemental grants for costs not covered by other Federal programs. The President has requested that the Congress appropriate for fiscal year 1969 the full \$1 billion which is presently authorized for the Model Cities program.

Somewhat similar efforts have been undertaken to support the coordinated redevelopment of nonurban communities through the Rural Community Development Service of the Department of Agriculture and the Economic Development Administration of the Department of Commerce. Both assist smaller communities to plan comprehensive approaches to the solution of community problems in low-income areas.

This Chapter has extensively reviewed the status of the American poor and the obstacles which must yet be surmounted in our efforts to combat economic deprivation. Poverty in the United States has been declining at an appreciable rate. With continued over-all prosperity and with welldesigned comprehensive programs to broaden the opportunities of all our citizens, poverty can be reduced even more effectively in the future—to the point where it will survive only as an unpleasant memory.

Chapter 5

The International Economy

THE EVENTS OF 1967 dramatized the importance of economic developments around the world to the progress and health of the U.S. economy. They also demonstrated both the need for international cooperation and the possibilities for achieving it. After highlighting the major developments of 1967, this chapter reviews the principles of balance-of-payments adjustment, surveys the U.S. balance-of-payments situation and policies in the light of these principles, and discusses problems and progress in the international monetary system and in the trading relations of the United States with both developed and developing nations.

A YEAR OF MAJOR DEVELOPMENTS

Developments during 1967 left a lasting imprint on the international economy. The headlines in the closing months of the year recorded the strains on the international monetary system generated by the sterling crisis and the subsequent devaluation of the pound. Anxieties and speculation in world financial markets contributed to a sharp widening of the U.S. deficit in the fourth quarter. The U.S. Government responded decisively with a major program to move our balance of payments strongly toward equilibrium.

Events earlier in 1967 paved the way for strengthening the future expansion of trade and the foundation of the international monetary system. The completion of the Kennedy Round negotiations marked the most successful effort toward reducing tariffs ever conducted under the aegis of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT). A major step was also taken toward the creation of a new form of international liquidity as the Special Drawing Rights (SDR) plan was agreed upon at the annual meeting of the International Monetary Fund (IMF).

Participating countries in the tariff negotiations displayed the enlightened statesmanship required to overcome particular interests for the greater general welfare of their own citizens and those of less-developed countries, which were not required to reciprocate in full. The same spirit ruled in the negotiations on liquidity, where substantial differences were resolved in the interest of international monetary progress.

During the difficult period preceding and following sterling devaluation, international consultations were conducted in the best postwar tradition; they permitted Britain to devalue without similar actions by major competing countries which could have denied her the intended and needed benefits of the move. When nervousness and speculation threatened to disrupt world finance, the central banks of most major industrial countries expressed their determination and pledged their resources to defend the stability of the world monetary system.

The United States and other countries will continue to work cooperatively toward strengthening the foundation of world finance and expanding the network of international trade. There is a long agenda of unsolved and urgent problems. Payments adjustment still challenges the best efforts of all countries. The United States must insure the effectiveness of its balance-of-payments program and the proper management of its domestic economy. Meanwhile, countries with balance-of-payments surpluses have obligations and responsibilities to insure that they too move toward balance. All member countries of the IMF are called on to render promptly a clear verdict in favor of the creation of supplemental liquidity through the new Special Drawing Rights plan—as an unmistakable alternative to a shortage of reserves or to pressures on the price of gold. The year 1968 will be a period of testing for international financial cooperation, but it will also be a time of opportunity.

ADJUSTMENT PROCESS

Countries draw on international reserves, mostly in gold and U.S. dollars, to meet balance-of-payments needs when their payments to foreigners exceed their receipts. A country's reserve position is weakened when it incurs such deficits. On the other hand, its reserves will increase with balance-ofpayments surpluses. Thus, reserves change hands as countries have payments imbalances.

Apart from the flow of gold to private holders, a deficit on the part of any country tends to have a counterpart in surpluses elsewhere in the world. Thus a loss of reserves by the United States is usually a gain for another nation; and an increase in our liabilities to official dollar-holders represents a gain in dollar reserves by some other nation. During the past decade, while the U.S. accounts have been persistently in deficit, many countries have had surpluses from time to time. But the European Economic Community (EEC) alone has had persistent surpluses of the same order of magnitude as U.S. deficits.

MUTUAL RESPONSIBILITIES

While moderate and clearly temporary deficits or surpluses need not cause concern, large and prolonged payments imbalances are normally undesirable for the proper functioning of the international monetary system. Unilateral actions by deficit countries, if forceful enough, generally can succeed in moving such countries toward balance. But the payments pattern that results from unilateral action may not always be compatible with the broad economic objectives that all nations hold—such as high employment, sustained worldwide economic growth, a high degree of freedom of international trade and capital movements, and an adequate flow of capital to the less-developed countries.

Indeed, unless special precautions are taken to prevent such an outcome, much of the burden of corrective measures by any one deficit country could fall on countries that are already in weak payments positions, causing such countries to suffer unnecessarily and making it doubtful whether the new payments pattern could be long sustained. And there is also a danger that unilateral actions, such as tight monetary policy or restrictive budget measures, could impart a general deflationary bias to the world economy. Likewise, if corrective action is limited to surplus countries, it could in some cases add unduly to inflationary pressures.

In the light of such considerations, it is now generally recognized that the interest of all countries can best be served if payments adjustment is brought about through cooperative efforts by both deficit and surplus countries. Both types of countries bear major responsibility for such adjustments; both must seek to insure that their actions are mutually compatible and consistent with the broader aims that they share.

PRINCIPLES OF ADJUSTMENT

The particular policies and combinations of policy instruments that countries should appropriately use to achieve adjustment were outlined in the Report on the Adjustment Process by Working Party 3 of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). The findings were described in the Council's 1967 Report. These policies vary, depending on the circumstances and the particular characteristics of the countries involved. There is no question, however, that deficit countries must seek to avoid excessive internal demand for balance-of-payments as well as domestic reasons. Surplus countries, similarly, have a special responsibility to maintain an adequate pace of domestic economic expansion. The Adjustment Process Report stresses, moreover, that fiscal policy needs to be given a major role in the achievement of domestic economic balance, and that there is a special need to avoid inappropriately high levels of interest rates.

There are many situations in which the choice of policies is especially difficult, because measures taken to satisfy domestic goals may run counter to international objectives, or vice versa. In such cases it may be necessary to employ new types and combinations of policy instruments. In particular, countries whose competitive position and domestic demand levels are satisfactory may have deficits due to excessive capital outflows. Such countries may find it necessary to use selective measures to limit these outflows. As the Adjustment Process Report indicated, however, "Wherever possible, it is desirable that adjustment should take place through the relaxation of controls and restraints over international trade and capital movements by surplus countries, rather than by the imposition of new restraints by deficit countries."

The next section outlines the major actions which the United States has taken to move its payments position decisively toward equilibrium. A number of these actions are clearly of a temporary nature. While they have been designed to hold the possible damage to individual nations to a minimum, there was no choice but to move, in part, in ways that are restrictive and thus not fully compatible with the long-run aims of expansion and efficiency of the world economy. Achievement of a viable payments adjustment consistent with these goals must in part be based on the positive element of the U.S. program, which aims at a strengthening of the U.S. economic position through appropriate fiscal, monetary, and incomes policies. But it must also rest on more decisive actions by surplus countries—and particularly those in the EEC: to assure adequate economic expansion; to encourage capital outflows and increased aid to less-developed countries; to reduce barriers to trade; and to share more fully in the cost of the common defense.

THE U.S. BALANCE OF PAYMENTS

Current policies of the United States are designed to fulfill our responsibilities in the adjustment process and to the stability of the international monetary system.

The American dollar is the major reserve asset, other than gold, of world central banks; and it is the major transaction currency of international business and finance. The ability of the United States to carry out its responsibilities as the major world bank depends on the strength of its reserve position, which has been slowly diminished by continuing large deficits.

These balance-of-payments deficits arise when the sum of U.S. expenditures abroad on imports, travel, foreign securities and loans, direct investment, and other items exceeds the inflow of such payments by foreigners.

The U.S. balance-of-payments deficit records the change in our reserve position, measured as the sum of (a) losses in our reserves, and (b) increases in selected dollar claims of foreigners. The balance is statistically measured by two alternative concepts, which differ in their treatment of foreign claims. The *liquidity deficit* counts increases in the liquid claims on the United States of all foreigners—private and public—as well as losses in reserves. The official settlements deficit counts increases in all claims of foreign official monetary authorities—but not in private holdings of dollars—in addition to reserve losses.

Many of the transactions which contribute to the deficit involve the acquisition of productive foreign assets. The Nation does not lose wealth by such transactions, but it does sacrifice liquidity-much like an individual drawing down his bank account to buy promising growth stocks. A nation which holds its international assets primarily in liquid form loses opportunities for productive investment. On the other hand, every nation-particularly the one that serves as the world's bank-needs an adequate margin of liquidity.

THE RECENT RECORD

The United States has had a balance-of-payments deficit almost continually since 1950. During the early part of that period, the entire U.S. deficit was beneficial to the rest of the world because it helped replenish the depleted reserves of other countries; and it could be tolerated by the United States because we had started the postwar era in an extremely strong reserve position.

Beginning in 1958–59, the situation changed. The U.S. deficit increased, while the acute shortage of dollars abroad was easing. From 1960 to 1965, the deficit was reduced progressively (Table 26 and Chart 14). But a deficit

1967, first 3 quarters 1
5.4
4.3 -3.1 4.1
-1.4
-4.2
-5.1
3.9
.9
-2.3
· · .9 3 · · 1.4
-2.9
(4 ⁶) 2.6
1 783 0 4 2 5 3 4 4 8 2 6558

TABLE 26.—United S	States	balance	of	payments,	1961–67
			9	<i>p</i> - <i>y</i> ,	

(Billions of dollars)

¹ Average of the first 3 quarters at seasonally adjusted annual rates, except as noted. ² Military expenditures less transfers under military sales contracts. ³ Includes changes in Treasury liabilities to certain foreign military agencies during 1961–62 and to international non-monetary institutions. 4 Average of the first 3 quarters on an unadjusted annual rate basis. § Included above under foreign nonliquid capital.

6 Less than \$50 million.

Note .- Detail will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.

Source: Department of Commerce.







2/EXCLUDING OFFICIAL RESERVE TRANSACTIONS. 3/EXCLUDING LIQUID CAPITAL.

SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

continued. The improvement came from automatic adjustment forces, and from judicious use of policy measures. New measures were required from time to time as fundamental factors changed. Foreign demands on our capital markets burgeoned with the return of currency convertibility in Europe. Trade and direct investment flows were influenced by the creation of the EEC and the European Free Trade Association (EFTA).

The improvement in the U.S. balance of payments was arrested in 1966 by the greatly increased foreign exchange costs of the Vietnam war, and indirectly through the strains placed on our domestic economy. However, the impact on the payments position was largely offset by the inflow of interestsensitive funds in response to the tightening of domestic money markets. The liquidity deficit of \$1.4 billion in 1966 essentially matched the \$1.3 billion of 1965.

In 1967, the unfavorable forces that had operated in 1966 persisted while monetary conditions eased, and the deficit widened (Table 26). Measured on the liquidity basis, the deficit was at an annual rate of \$2.3 billion during the first three quarters of the year.

The U.S. payments position in the fourth quarter deteriorated sharply, reflecting a decline in the merchandise surplus, the British devaluation, and the foreign exchange and gold speculation which it set off. Preliminary estimates indicate a liquidity deficit of about \$3.6 billion for the year as a whole. As measured by official settlements, the deterioration in the U.S. payments position was even more pronounced; the balance shifted from a \$200 million surplus in 1966 to a deficit of about \$3 billion in 1967, reflecting the especially marked effect of changing monetary conditions.

While shifts in payments can be readily identified in an accounting sense, their causes are more difficult to trace. A great deal of caution is required in making analytical judgments based on the accounts, especially while the estimates are still provisional.

To assess the underlying forces, cyclical and special factors must be disentangled from trend elements.

Cyclical Forces in 1967

Even though expansion slowed down last year, the American economy was closer to its high-employment growth path than were our major trading partners, which on average fell substantially below their normal growth performance. From 1966 to 1967, industrial production abroad rose rapidly only in Japan, increased moderately in Italy, sluggishly in Canada, hardly changed in Britain or France, and declined in Germany. The depth and persistence of the German recession dampened the total performance of continental Europe significantly, with cumulative effects on world trade. Cyclical factors affected a number of balance-of-payments accounts, including merchandise exports and imports, income from investments abroad, and capital outflows for direct investment.

The U.S. merchandise balance improved during 1967, but the increase was held down by the sluggish state of demand abroad. Exports gained about 5 percent for the year as a whole, but they declined after midyear, primarily because of the weakness of demand in some of our largest foreign markets. Reflecting the slowdown of U.S. economic activity, imports remained at the level reached in the fourth quarter of 1966 and showed little tendency to increase until the fourth quarter of 1967. For the year as a whole, they rose about $4\frac{1}{2}$ percent. The comparison between 1966 and 1967 demonstrates the sensitivity of imports to the rate of change of U.S. economic activity and to the degree of pressure on our productive capacities. In 1966, when rapid expansion and shortages prevailed, imports increased by 6.8 percent of the gain in GNP; in the somewhat more relaxed economic conditions prevailing for most of 1967, imports increased by only about 3 percent of the advance in GNP.

Income from U.S. direct investments abroad expanded somewhat in 1967 after having increased only slightly in 1966. This disappointing performance reflected an actual decline in income from investments in Western Europe during the last two years, despite the further substantial buildup of assets there. The gradual narrowing of European profit margins that has been occurring for a number of years was aggravated by the cyclical situation a phenomenon not confined to American-owned firms. U.S. income from private assets other than direct investments and from Government assets abroad continued to increase, however, about in line with previous years.

Some of the effects of the economic weakness in Europe and the slowdown in Canada, on the other hand, were favorable to the U.S. payments position. Along with other influences, the cyclical forces contributed to an indicated total drop in U.S. direct investment outflow during 1967 of about \$500 million (Table 27). This was the first decline in the level of outflows since 1961, although the \$3 billion level remained substantially above that of all years prior to 1965. In addition to the slowdown abroad, the substantial increase of borrowing abroad during the last two years—in response to the voluntary program—reduced considerably the outflow from the United States.

Special Factors in 1967

While the payments structure is always influenced by many special factors, 1967 produced a bumper crop. The list of those significant to the U.S. balance of payments includes Expo 67, the Middle East crisis, Vietnam intensification, and sterling devaluation.

TABLE 27.—United States balance of payments: Capital transactions, 1961-67

Type of capital transaction	1 96 1	1962	1 963	1 964	1965	1966	1967, first 3 quarters
U.S. private capital, net	4.2	-3.4	-4.5	6.5	-3.7	-4.2	-5.1
Direct investment New foreign security issues Other transactions in foreign securities ² U.S. bank claims Other claims	-1.6 5 2 -1.3 6	1.7 1.1 .1 5 4	-2.0 -1.2 .1 -1.5 .2	-2.4 -1.1 .4 -2.5 -1.0	-3.4 -1.2 .4 .1 .3	-3.5 -1.2 .7 .3 4	2.9 -1.6 7 3
Foreign nonliquid capital, net	.7	1.0	.7	.7	. 3	2.5	3.9
Direct investment	.1 .3 (*) .3	.1 .1 (3) .8	(³) .3 .1 .4	(ð) 1 .2 .5	.1 4 .2 .4	.1 .9 1.0 .5	. 2 1. 3 1. 1 1. 3
Foreign nonliquid capital, net Plus: Foreign private liquid capital, net ⁸ Less: Increases in nonliquid liabilities to foreign monetary authorities ?	1.0	1.0 2	.7 .6	.7 1.6 3	.3 .1	2.5 2.4 8	3.9 6.9
Equals: Foreign capital excluding official reserve transactions, net.	1, 7	.5	1.3	1.9	.3	4.1	3.3

Billions of dollars

¹ Average of the first 3 quarters at seasonally adjusted annual rates, except as noted. ² Includes redemptions. ³ Less than \$50 million.

Includes certain special Government transactions. Includes changes in Treasury liabilities to certain foreign military agencies during 1961–62 and to international nonmonetary institutions. ⁶ Average of the first 3 quarters on an unadjusted annual rate basis.
⁷ Included above under foreign nonliquid capital.

Note .- Detail will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding.

Source: Department of Commerce.

U.S. travel expenditures, which had been increasing on the aver-Exbo. age about 10 percent a year, jumped about 20 percent (or \$500 million) in 1967. The acceleration was accounted for by tourist spending in Canada, which rose more than 50 percent, reflecting the attraction of Expo 67. Meanwhile, U.S. receipts from travel expenditures, which has been increasing about 15 percent a year, rose only about 4 percent last year. There was no increase in receipts from Canadians, who usually contribute onethird of U.S. travel earnings.

Middle East. The Middle East crisis and its aftermath also, on balance, had some adverse effects. While not of great magnitude, the contrast with the favorable balance-of-payments consequences of the 1956-57 Suez crisis is very marked. Net payments increased as the result of lower merchandise exports to the area, higher payments for transportation, greater personal remittances, and larger new issues of foreign securities in the U.S. market. These outweighed the gains in petroleum trade and some increase in earnings of American-owned international oil companies.

Southeast Asia. The intensification of the hostilities in Vietnam had an additional impact on the U.S. balance of payments. U.S. overseas military expenditures increased further by about \$700 million in 1967, to a level more than \$1.4 billion above the plateau prior to mid-1965.

Sterling. The events surrounding the devaluation of sterling had many immediate consequences for the U.S. balance of payments. Some are easily identified but others harder to evaluate. Prior to the devaluation, speculation against sterling forced the United Kingdom to liquidate all of its remaining long-term government-owned assets in the United States, in order to reconstitute official reserves. This action increased the U.S. liquidity deficit by about \$500 million in the fourth quarter. The deficit may have been increased further indirectly by the flurry of private gold purchases; it was also widened to whatever extent funds moved out of the United States for purposes of speculation or hedging in the period of stress and uncertainty.

In combination, cyclical and special factors account for much of the deterioration in the U.S. balance of payments during 1967, particularly in the fourth quarter. However, against the history of a persistent U.S. deficit, the sterling devaluation and its aftermath posed a threat to the stability of the dollar and consequently to the stability of the international monetary system. Thus new U.S. balance-of-payments measures became necessary in order to strengthen the international monetary system, insure that the 1967 deterioration of the U.S. balance of payments is decisively reversed, and improve the underlying strength of the U.S. payments position enough to bear the heightened military costs in Southeast Asia.

THE 1968 PROGRAM

The monetary and fiscal measures outlined in Chapters 1 and 2 and the continued efforts to increase efficiency and to encourage responsible price and wage behavior discussed in Chapter 3 provide the broad base for improvement in our international payments position and are an integral part of our balance-of-payments program. In addition, the President set forth on New Year's Day a major new program of measures specifically directed at the balance of payments.

The new program is directed at improvement in five separate areas: (1) capital outflows for American direct investments abroad; (2) loans to foreigners by American financial institutions; (3) Government net expenditures abroad; (4) net travel expenditures; and (5) merchandise trade. Most of the measures included in the program will have an immediate impact on the balance of payments. Some are intended to be temporary; others are long term in character. Some have been put into effect by administrative actions, others require legislation by Congress, and still others require co-operative action by our allies and trading partners.

Regulations on Foreign Direct Investment

On January 1, 1968, the President issued an Executive order which basically transformed the Commerce Department's previously existing Voluntary Direct Investment program into a mandatory program with much lower levels of permitted capital outflows. The voluntary program, which began in 1965, called on the business community to reduce capital transfers for direct investment in developed countries; it also sought additional contributions to the balance of payments through such means as expanding exports and remittances of earnings abroad. The program stressed the desirability of financing investments abroad through foreign borrowing.

The largest needs for cash by American affiliates abroad are for financing plant and equipment expenditures. Foreign plant and equipment outlays by American firms in 1967 were an estimated \$10.2 billion, up from \$6.2 billion in 1964. These expenditures are financed out of many sources. In 1966, capital outflows for direct investment accounted for about 32 percent of the total; reinvested earnings were 20 percent; long-term borrowings abroad amounted to 8 percent; short-term borrowings abroad and depreciation allowances on existing foreign assets represented the remainder—about 40 percent. As had been the case previously, the new program is directed only at new outflows of funds from the United States and reinvested earnings. It does not aim to curb plant and equipment expenditures as such, although they are bound to be affected. Long-term funds borrowed abroad are specifically exempted.

Despite excellent business cooperation with the voluntary program, a mandatory program is necessary to achieve the large improvement required in 1968 and to insure equality of burdens among all direct investors.

The new program provides three basic limitations on direct investors: (1) annual limits are placed on their new direct investment—capital outflow plus reinvested earnings—in foreign subsidiaries or branches; (2) a minimum share of total earnings from their direct investments must be repatriated—generally equal to the same percentage that they repatriated during 1964–66; and (3) their short-term financial assets held abroad must be reduced to the average level of 1965–66 and held at or below that level.

The annual limits on direct investment are determined in the following way:

- (1) For less-developed countries, as a group, new capital transfers and reinvested earnings, in combination, may not exceed 110 percent of a direct investor's average new direct investment in less-developed countries in 1965-66.
- (2) For developed countries to which U.S. capital inflow is essential including Canada, Japan, Australia, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, and some oil-producing countries—the maximum permitted allowance is 65 percent of the annual average of capital outflow plus reinvested earnings in 1965–66.

284-593 0-68--12

(3) For all other countries, principally continental Western Europe, a moratorium is imposed on any new capital outflows for direct investment. However, a direct investor may normally plow back each year into his existing direct investments in these countries as a group the same percentage of his earnings as he reinvested in the years 1964-66.

The program exempts small direct investments not exceeding \$100,000 in the aggregate. It also establishes administrative procedures whereby the Secretary of Commerce may authorize in exceptional cases direct investments in excess of those allowed under the general rules.

The direct investment program is designed to achieve a \$1 billion improvement in the balance of payments. The impact is to be concentrated on the surplus countries of continental Europe, with a minimum effect on other countries. It requires an important sacrifice by U.S. international corporations, but it is designed to keep interference in the details of business decisions to a minimum. Normal international trade among affiliate companies will not be restricted, nor will other usual business transactions be disturbed. The program is intended to be temporary, subject to relaxation as soon as world payments conditions permit.

Foreign Credits by Financial Institutions

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System issued new suggested guidelines on foreign credits of financial institutions. The President gave the Board authority to make the guidelines mandatory if that should prove necessary. The new guidelines, covering both banks and other financial institutions, represent a major tightening of the program begun in 1965. They aim at a substantial inflow of \$500 million in credits subject to the program in 1968. There was an outflow of such credits of about \$400 million in 1967.

Three types of restrictions were placed on the extension of foreign credits by banks. (1) Ceilings on credits for most large banks were reduced to 103 percent of foreign credits outstanding on December 31, 1964. Priority within the ceiling is to be given to credits for financing American exports and for supplying capital to less-developed countries. (2) In addition, banks are called on not to renew at maturity outstanding term loans to developed countries of continental Europe and not to relend the repayments of such loans to residents of those countries. (3) Banks are also to reduce the amount of short-term loans outstanding to developed countries of continental Europe by 40 percent of such credits outstanding on December 31, 1967, bringing them down at a minimum rate of 10 percent a quarter.

Parallel restrictions were also placed upon activities of nonbank financial institutions such as insurance companies, finance companies, trust companies, and employee retirement and pension funds. It is expected that all financial institutions will continue to cooperate fully in the program.
The impact of the Government's own expenditures abroad will be reduced as part of the new program while still maintaining essential functions. The President has directed

These measures are aimed at saving \$500 million in the balance of payments.

Travel Account

In order to reduce the net travel deficit by \$500 million, the President has asked Americans to defer all nonessential travel outside the Western Hemisphere for two years; he also directed the Secretary of the Treasury to explore with the appropriate congressional committees legislation to help achieve that objective. Long-term efforts to attract more foreign visitors to the United States are being intensified.

Trade Expansion

The new program also includes several long-range measures of improved export financing and export promotion. Congress will be asked to earmark \$500 million of the Export-Import Bank's lending authority for a new export expansion program designed to guarantee, insure, and make direct loans for exports which do not fall under the Bank's existing criteria. The Bank will also expand and liberalize its rediscount program to encourage private banks to increase their financing of exports. Congress will also be asked to support a five-year, \$200 million program in the Department of Commerce to promote the sale of U.S. goods abroad. The Department plans to initiate a program of joint export associations to provide direct financial support to American firms joining together to sell abroad.

PROSPECTS FOR 1968

The new program will have a major impact in reducing the U.S. deficit this year. It should cut private capital outflows by more than $1\frac{1}{2}$ billion from 1967 levels. It aims to reduce net travel outflows by \$500 million. The impact of Government expenditures abroad will be reduced and American exports stimulated. Moreover, the prompt and decisive action taken by the United States should help to halt the speculation and anxiety that led to some short-term capital outflows in the closing months of last year. Long-term capital outflows in the form of security purchases will continue to be restrained by the Interest Equalization Tax, which was extended in 1967 with new authority for the President to vary the rate of tax within specified margins.

The condition of the U.S. domestic economy will have very great importance for the balance of payments. Prompt enactment of the tax surcharge by the Congress and responsible wage and price decisions by American labor and management are essential to insure that the growth of imports will be moderate and that American business firms will have incentives to market exports actively and competitively.

General business conditions abroad will also have a significant influence on the balance of payments in 1968. As appraised by OECD and leading private experts, European economic growth is expected to improve from the disappointing sluggishness of 1967.

To be sure, the new U.S. program will tend to reduce investment demand and to tighten monetary conditions in Europe. However, most countries on the continent are in a position to counter this tendency effectively with more expansionary monetary and fiscal policies. Both balance-of-payments conditions and the state of domestic demand call for more stimulative policies on their part. As indicated in the discussion of the adjustment process, surplus countries have a world responsibility to manage their economies in such a way as to insure growth and to encourage expansion.

The possibility of a major improvement in U.S. trade this year, however, is limited by several factors, including the improvement in the competitive position of Britain provided by devaluation, the indicated forthcoming bulge in steel imports in anticipation of a possible strike, and the recent good agricultural harvest in many countries which will limit the growth of exports of farm products. Furthermore, a number of European countries, including Germany, the Netherlands, and Austria, are instituting major changes in their border tax arrangements this year in ways likely to encourage exports and inhibit imports—contrary to the needs of world payments adjustment. Diplomatic consultations have been initiated to mitigate the disadvantages to our trade which arise from differences in national tax systems. The Administration is preparing legislative measures in this area; their scope will depend on the outcome of these consultations.

Finally, the Common Market at midyear is scheduled to remove all remaining internal tariffs and to complete the adoption of a common external tariff. The consequences of this action on U.S. trade will be moderated, however, by the simultaneous implementation of the first tariff cuts by the EEC under the Kennedy Round.

LONG-TERM PROSPECTS

A key element in the balance-of-payments outlook for the long run is our ability to maintain and improve the competitive position of the United States. It is difficult to trace the connection between competitive changes and trade movements, but there is little doubt that an increase in relative costs—which, in turn, raises relative prices—can impair a country's trade performance, while reductions in relative costs can enhance its trade surplus.

Empirical evidence on costs is limited to manufactured goods, and even there it is far from satisfactory. The data do make clear that, during much of the decade of the 1950's, U.S. costs and prices rose faster than those of our major competitors. We lost ground in international markets during that period. Within recent years, however, the situation with respect to costs was reversed. In manufacturing, U.S. unit labor costs (the largest element in total costs) declined between 1961 and 1965, while costs in other countries except Canada increased substantially (Table 28). As a result, our share of foreign markets in manufactured products stabilized, when intra-EEC and intra-EFTA trade are excluded. In 1966, our costs increased about as rapidly as the average of other countries. Comprehensive data are not yet available for 1967, but our costs continued to rise, probably at a rate exceeding that of most European countries.

[1001 - 100]									
Country	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966 ²				
United States	99	98	98	97	99				
Canada France Germany Italy Japan United Kingdom	99 107 107 107 109 103	98 112 110 118 114 102	97 117 110 123 111 103	99 119 117 120 118 108	103 116 123 118 125 113				

 TABLE 28.—Unit labor costs in manufacturing for selected industrialized countries since 1961¹

[1961=100]

¹ Ratio of wages, salaries, and supplements to production; national currency basis.

² Preliminary.

Note.—Data relate to wage earners in Italy and to all employees in other countries.

Sources: Department of Labor and Council of Economic Advisers.

Many of our trading partners are facing fundamental structural changes in their economies. The labor supply situation that permitted the period of extremely rapid growth in Europe has altered fundamentally. The growth of the European labor force in the next decade will be much smaller than in the recent past, and less scope remains for shifting European labor out of less efficient pursuits, such as agriculture, or out of unemployment into industrial activity. This will mean greater European demands for laborsaving machinery, in which U.S. producers hold a marked competitive edge; it may also increase pressures in the European labor market and strengthen the bargaining power of European workers. Finally, with the elimination of all tariff barriers this year, internal EEC trade will no longer receive the further benefit of periodic duty reductions. Therefore, with proper economic management at home, the United States has an excellent opportunity to strengthen its trade surplus over time.

The development of European capital markets has proceeded at a substantial pace in the past few years, spurred partly by the U.S. voluntary programs and the Interest Equalization Tax. The new program will provide added incentives for the mobilization of long-term funds in European capital markets. This should, in the years ahead, tend to moderate the basic demand for capital from the United States. The recent vast expansion in U.S. business holdings overseas should also help by increasing the inflow of earnings, dividends, royalties, and fees in the years ahead.

THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY SYSTEM

Because dollars are used as reserve assets, the U.S. balance of payments is closely linked to the stability of the entire international monetary system.

THE GOLD-EXCHANGE STANDARD

In major part, existing international monetary arrangements are based on the rules and institutions developed at the Bretton Woods Conference in 1944, which established the IMF. The basic principles underlying the Bretton Woods system call for the convertibility of one currency into another at essentially fixed exchange rates, with fluctuation around declared parities limited to a narrow range. Changes in parities are to be made only in cases of fundamental payments disequilibrium and upon prior consultation with the Fund.

Because demands for a nation's currency vary from time to time, and thus receipts and payments do not balance exactly, a nation needs monetary reserves to support the value of its currency in a fixed exchange rate system. Under the so-called gold-exchange standard, these "owned" reserves are held both in gold and in certain foreign currencies. In fact, the dollar is the principal reserve currency for most nations of the world, although the pound sterling and the French franc also serve this purpose on a smaller scale. Currencies are useful as reserve assets because they are convertible amongst themselves, are claims on the real resources of issuing countries, and can be held in interest-yielding, but still highly liquid, form. All countries other than the United States meet their IMF obligations by buying and selling currencies, mostly dollars. The United States meets its basic commitment under the Fund rules by freely buying and selling gold to foreign monetary authorities at a fixed price of \$35 an ounce. Gold maintains its reserve asset status by being linked to the dollar and the IMF, and by tradition . Reserves are the main line of defense for any nation which is seeking to correct a payments deficit through an orderly adjustment. Multilateral credit facilities serve as a further line of defense. The Fund provides mediumterm credits to assist members in overcoming temporary payments deficits without resort to unduly restrictive international or domestic measures. This system has been strengthened by the recent creation of a network of shortterm credit facilities among central banks and by the development of the General Arrangements to Borrow, which enlists additional resources from major industrial nations to help the Fund meet large credit needs.

These various credit facilities supplement but are not a substitute for owned reserves. As has been clearly demonstrated in the past, in a world of growing trade and payments, nations desire to hold a growing quantity of monetary reserve assets. In order to increase their reserves, nations aim for payments surpluses. If successful, the efforts of some countries to attain surpluses must be reflected in deficits for other countries. Under present arrangements, such a competitive effort to build reserves can lead to undesirably restrictive actions on domestic economies and on trade and capital flows.

In fact, world trade and output have grown rapidly in recent years. But monetary reserves have increased slowly. If that sluggish pace continues, it could inhibit the growth of economic activity. Total world reserves have grown at an annual rate of 2.7 percent since 1960 (Chart 15), far below the 7.4 percent annual rate of expansion of world trade.

Of the major types of reserves, the dollar has contributed most of the increase in the total stock of monetary reserves. Gold has made very little contribution in the 1960's, and none at all in the past two years. Certain drawing rights in the IMF, which are created as a byproduct of the credit operations of the Fund, are automatically available to member nations and are thus properly classified as reserve assets. These "super gold tranche" reserve assets have achieved some quantitative importance in recent years, but they are also extinguished through specific credit operations.

A survey of future prospects makes it clear that neither gold nor the dollar can be counted on to add substantially to total world reserves in the years ahead.

GOLD RESERVES

Gold constituted 56 percent of total world monetary reserves in 1967 (excluding the Soviet Union and other Communist countries), a decline from 72 percent in 1948. The supply of newly mined gold has been small in relation to existing monetary stocks, and a large portion of new supplies has been absorbed into private uses and holdings.

World Monetary Reserves



SOURCE: INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND.

Historical Background

In many respects, the recent decline in the importance of gold is an extension of a trend that began after World War I. That trend, in turn, reversed the developments of the preceding half century when gold first achieved a preeminent role.

Following the discovery of important new deposits in the middle of the 19th century, gold replaced silver as the standard of international finance and became the predominant basis of the monetary system of most major trading countries. Even in this period, the slow increase in monetary gold threatened to act as a brake on economic development. However, new discoveries, chiefly in South Africa, provided enough additional gold to keep the system going.

After World War I, the gold standard was transformed into a less rigid system. Gold holdings were increasingly concentrated in the hands of central banks, while the public relied increasingly on paper currency and checking accounts for domestic transactions. Many central banks kept all or part of their international reserves in the form of claims on "key currencies" primarily the pound sterling and the dollar—themselves convertible into gold. This system is the gold-exchange standard, which, after an interruption during the 1930's, has survived to the present day.

Private Demands

By developing the use of financial claims as reserves, the world has learned to avoid the constraints imposed by the slow growth of gold stocks. In the last few years, the importance of this development has become especially great because gold production has leveled off, while the nonmonetary consumption of gold has increased rapidly. The physical properties of gold, such as electrical conductivity and resistance to corrosion, have proved to be increasingly attractive in industrial applications. The use of gold in jewelry and dentistry has more than kept pace with the rise in world income. Commercial gold consumption in the United States amounted to \$220 million in 1966, and is rising at an annual rate of more than 10 percent. While there are no accurate worldwide data, gold consumption in industry and the arts appears to be absorbing about $3\frac{3}{4}$ billion a year. Production of newly mined gold (outside of Communist countries) now amounts to about $1\frac{1}{2}$ billion a year, intermittently augmented by Russian gold sales.

Hoarding and speculation also contribute to the private demand for gold. Even if it were not illegal, most Americans would find gold an unattractive asset because it earns no interest and is expensive to store safely or to insure. But many foreigners have reasons for thinking otherwise. Gold can be more easily carried in emergencies or hidden (especially from the tax collector) than bulkier assets. Also, in some parts of Asia, gold is the only asset that a wife may own beyond the control of her husband. Furthermore, there has also been some net acquisition of gold by private speculators who were betting on an increase in the price of gold.

Quite apart from speculation, it is clear that gold can supply, at most, a small fraction of the needed growth in world monetary reserves. The monetary gold stock could grow no more than 2 percent a year on the basis of present rates of mining less consumption in industry and the arts. Given the prospect of growing commercial use, even that rate of growth may not be achievable over time. Indeed, the world cannot count on any sustained increase in monetary gold reserves in the long run. In fact, it is possible that, over time, gold may gradually lose even its present importance as a monetary reserve asset.

DOLLARS AS RESERVES

At the present time, liquid dollar holdings of foreign monetary authorities amount to about \$16 billion and are larger than the U.S. gold stock. The United States could provide substantial further increases in foreign reserves only by running continued large deficits. The persistence of such deficits would impair confidence and thus endanger the link between gold and the dollar, which is the essence of the gold exchange standard. The U.S. commitment to move toward payments equilibrium is designed to assure the strength of the link, preserving the high quality of the dollar as a reserve asset by limiting the increase in the quantity of dollars held abroad.

As another step to insure the strength of the dollar and thus of the gold exchange standard, the President has proposed legislation to remove the current "gold cover" requirement on domestic currency.

Removal of the Gold Cover

Under existing legislation, the Federal Reserve System is required to hold a 25-percent reserve in gold against Federal Reserve note liabilities. Increasing amounts of gold are brought under the gold cover as the volume of Federal Reserve notes expands to meet the needs of a growing economy. As a domestic requirement, the gold cover is an anachronism. Appropriate monetary policy is related to the over-all needs of the economy; and the Federal Reserve Board exercises its authority in relation to those needs, not in relation to our gold holdings.

The only real purpose for the United States to hold a gold stock is to insure the international convertibility of the dollar. The growing amount of gold needed to satisfy the gold cover requirement is approaching the level of U.S. gold holdings. While there are provisions permitting the gold stock to dip below the gold cover requirement, the retention of this statutory limit serves no useful purpose. And its removal will make unmistakably clear that our entire gold stock is available to defend the international convertibility of the dollar at its present parity.

MEETING RESERVE NEEDS

In view of the limited possibilities for gold and the dollar to provide additional international monetary reserves, it is clear that positive action must be taken to assure the growth in reserves essential to support expanding world trade.

That need must be met in a more constructive way than by an increase in the price of gold. As the President has repeatedly stated, the United States is unalterably opposed to a rise in the price of gold. Such an action would be both inefficient and inequitable. Its primary impact on reserves would be achieved by a large "one-shot" write-up of the nominal value of gold reserves, rather than by an assurance of continued steady growth. It would stimulate a limited increase in gold production, but only by diverting scarce resources into the production of a commodity for which there is no shortage in nonmonetary use. It would give unearned windfall gains to major gold producing nations, such as South Africa and the Soviet Union, while penalizing those countries, such as Japan and Sweden, which have supported the gold exchange standard by holding reserves in dollars. It would not only reward speculators but—more important—would encourage them in the belief that further price rises were inevitable.

In rejecting an increase in the gold price as a means of expanding reserves, the United States can point toward a far more constructive alternative. Just as the gold exchange standard added key currencies as reserve assets supplementing gold, now the key currencies must be supplemented by appropriate new reserve assets. The decision to create such new reserve assets is needed promptly. The threat that total reserves may not grow adequately in the future is a source of strain and uncertainty in the international monetary system and an encouragement to speculation in gold and foreign exchange markets.

To encourage the orderly progress of world trade and economic growth, and to maintain confidence in international monetary arrangements, the nations of the world must show decisively and promptly their determination to meet the need for growing reserves by creating an adequate supplement to gold and the dollar. The development of a supplemental reserve asset, backed by the full faith and credit of participating nations, is the ideal way to solve the problem. Such an asset can be universally accepted as a supplement to gold and dollars and can be issued in quantities sufficient to insure adequate growth of total monetary reserves. The outline plan for international monetary reform, unanimously endorsed at the 1967 annual meeting of the IMF in Rio de Janeiro, is a major forward step toward a solution.

THE RIO AGREEMENT

The plan agreed upon in Rio represents the outcome of four years of intensive study and negotiation, involving the major industrial countries in the so-called "Group of Ten" as well as the wider forum of the Fund. It provides for the establishment, within the IMF, of a new reserve facility for the creation of Special Drawing Rights (SDR's), designed to "meet the need, as and when it arises, for a supplement to existing reserve assets." SDR's will be created by deliberate decision of IMF members and will be distributed to all participants in proportion to their Fund quotas. Countries receiving these rights will be able to count them as part of their reserves. Subject to certain rules described below, they can use them to settle balanceof-payments deficits or satisfy reserve needs by drawing on (i.e., exchanging them for) convertible currencies of other countries. An amendment to the Fund's Articles of Agreement that will express the new scheme in precise legal terms is to be prepared by the Executive Directors of the IMF not later than the end of March of this year, and will be submitted to member countries for ratification.

As President Johnson has indicated, the Rio agreement constitutes the greatest forward step in the improvement of the international monetary system since the creation of the Fund itself. For the first time in history, the great majority of the world's nations, comprising all the members of the IMF, has agreed to cooperate in the conscious and deliberate creation of a new and permanent reserve asset, in amounts and at a pace systematically geared to assure adequate growth of total international reserves.

Nature of the New Reserve Asset

Essentially, SDR's are claims giving their holders the unconditional right to obtain convertible currencies from other members of the Fund to meet balance-of-payments needs or unfavorable developments in a country's total reserves. These claims are backed by the obligation of member countries to accept them in exchange for convertible currencies up to certain limits.

In the design of the new asset, every effort has been made to assure that it will be a true supplement to existing reserve assets and will, in fact, add to the *total* of world reserves. In line with these considerations, SDR's will carry a gold value guarantee and will be "as good as gold" for the settlement of international payments. Indeed, since they can be used *only* for such settlements, any newly created SDR's constitute a permanent addition to the world's official monetary reserves. Unlike gold, they cannot be drained into private hoards, and, unlike super gold tranche drawing rights, they cannot be extinguished as the by-product of other Fund operations.

The new reserve asset will also have an advantage over gold in bearing interest; at the same time the rate will be much lower than is available on dollars and other reserve currencies. And they will, of course, not share the dollar's unique role of serving simultaneously as a reserve asset and as the world's principal transactions currency.

While SDR's will have all the essential characteristics of reserve assets, the framers of the plan realized that it may take some time until participating countries become fully accustomed to this new asset. The plan therefore places certain limitations on the ability of individual participating countries to use SDR's and on their obligation to accept them. As the new asset becomes more familiar to the world through experience, it should become increasingly possible to reduce or even eliminate such limitations.

The initial rules are designed to assure that the new reserve asset will be smoothly integrated into the monetary system with existing assets. Under them, the Fund will frequently act as a traffic policeman guiding transfers.

The rules require, first, that SDR's should be used only for balance-ofpayments needs or to meet reserve losses and not merely for the purpose of shifting from one reserve asset into another. Second, when SDR's are used for the acquisition of convertible currencies, the countries drawn upon should normally be in a solid balance-of-payments position—as a result of either surpluses or strong reserves. And the drawings are to be guided toward such countries in a way that will, over time, provide a more or less proportionate relationship of the new asset to total reserves. Thus it is assured that the holdings of the new asset will be widely dispersed among participating nations.

Third, each participating country is obligated to accept SDR's in exchange for convertible currency only up to the point where its total holdings are three times the amount of such reserve assets that have been cumulatively allocated to it. This limits the obligation of any individual nation while insuring ample scope for the effective use of the new asset.

Fourth, countries which have used SDR's in large amounts over an extended period will have a limited obligation to reconstitute their holdings over time. The rule provides that, during the first five years of the operation of the plan, a country's average holdings should be at least 30 percent of its average allocation over this period. In a very rough way, this requirement can be compared to a minimum average balance that a bank may require on checking accounts.

Decisionmaking and Distribution

Following ratification of the Rio plan, the activation of the new facility will require a separate set of decisions. Activation can only occur when the Managing Director of the Fund, after careful study and upon consultations with Fund members to assure him of the need for additional reserves, makes a specific proposal as to the timing and the amount of SDR's to be created. Final approval of the proposal requires an 85-percent majority of the voting power of the participating countries, somewhat more than the 80-percent vote required for quota increases in the Fund. In effect, it gives a veto power not only to the United States but also to the countries of the Common Market, should they choose to vote as a group.

Since SDR's are designed to assure an adequate over-all growth of international reserves over time, decisions regarding the amount of SDR's to be created will normally be made for a basic period ahead (such as five years), with equal amounts to be issued during each of these years. The task of satisfying short-term variations in liquidity needs will thus continue to be left to such existing mechanisms as the credit facilities of the Fund and the network of central bank swap arrangements.

The new facility will be universally available to Fund members, without discrimination—an important principle on which the United States placed great stress during the course of the negotiations. Under this arrangement, the United States would receive about \$250 million out of each \$1 billion of SDR's created. The share of the Common Market countries as a group would be about \$180 million; of the United Kingdom, \$116 million; of Canada and Japan, about \$35 million each; of other developed countries, \$107 million; and of the less-developed countries, \$280 million.

In effect, the new drawing rights are to be created by the stroke of a pen, but that stroke will commit the full faith and credit of participating countries behind the asset that they have jointly established. As is true in the case of domestic money, the general and unconditional acceptability of such monetary assets reflects confidence in the issuing agent. No one could ask for a stronger issuing agent than the nations of the IMF banded together.

Paper monetary reserves are by no means new—sterling and dollars have served as reserves for generations. What will be new is the reliance on a reserve asset backed by a group of nations rather than a single one and capable of being created by international decision.

The ratification of the Rio plan is still to come. And the implementation and actual creation of SDR's are a further step away. Even when they are created, it will take time for them to become established as a customary usable reserve asset. But the world is now taking the decisive step of choosing to travel this route. It is adopting, as a means of meeting the need for growing reserves, a clear alternative to a rise in the monetary gold price.

The potentialities for this reserve asset are obvious and enormous. It need not and will not displace gold and the dollar as reserve assets. But it will free the world from concern about the supply and demand for gold.

While the creation of SDR's will not, in itself, solve the balance-of-payments problems of the United States or any other country, it will enable countries to increase their reserves without pursuing mutually incompatible payments goals. Thus, it should facilitate an orderly adaptation of other countries' payments positions as the United States reduces its deficit, and contribute to the general health and strength of the international monetary system.

The Tasks Ahead

The developments of late 1967 have given special urgency to the early ratification of the SDR facility. Indeed, activation of the facility in the relatively near future may prove highly desirable to insure that the international monetary system will function with full effectiveness.

Several aspects of the current situation point toward the need for early action. The world's monetary gold stock actually declined in 1967. There are indications that inadequate reserve expansion may already be inhibiting economic growth and the freedom of international transactions. Moreover, successful implementation of the British devaluation will require a sharp shift in Britain's payment position from a large deficit to a sizable surplus; this will in turn call for reductions in surpluses and the incurring of deficits by other major countries. Additional adjustments in the payments positions and structures of major surplus countries will also be needed as a counterpart to improvements in the U.S. balance of payments. These difficult adjustments will be greatly facilitated if an adequate growth of total world reserves is assured.

TRADE POLICIES

World trade has grown spectacularly in recent years. Between 1953 and 1966 it expanded by almost two and a half times, while world output of primary and manufactured products doubled. The growth of trade relative to output has been an important factor in making this period the most prosperous one in recorded history. It was fostered by the progressive liberalization of the commercial policies of the major trading nations. The United States can take pride in its leading role in this liberalization.

KENNEDY ROUND

The Kennedy Round was the sixth venture at multilateral trade negotiations undertaken by the GATT since its creation in 1947. The growth of regional trading blocs in Europe and elsewhere introduced a special urgency and significance to the latest negotiations. The major nations of Europe had divided themselves into two trading groups, the EEC and the EFTA. Each group provided for eventual free trade among its members, accompanied by a continuation of tariffs and other restrictions against nonmembers. While these organizations have many desirable features, they can pose a threat to the development of more liberal trading relations among nations that belong to different groups and between group members and nonmembers like the United States.

The United States' response to this challenge was the passage of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 which became the stimulus for the Kennedy Round. This act permitted the President greater flexibility in bargaining for lower tariffs and provided for adjustment assistance to American workers and business firms that might be injured as a result of tariff concessions. The negotiations were formally begun in May 1964 and were concluded after many difficulties on June 30, 1967. Although some problems could not be adequately overcome within the Kennedy Round, a remarkable degree of tariff reduction was achieved. The results have been widely and accurately acclaimed as a major accomplishment.

Features of the Agreement

The agreement includes tariff concessions covering about \$40 billion of world trade; the United States gave concessions on about \$8.5 billion of its imports while concessions by others cover the same amount of U.S. exports. Tariff reductions of 50 percent were applied to numerous manufactured products and significant but smaller reductions were applied to many others. For the four largest participants—the United States, the EEC, the United Kingdom, and Japan—the weighted average reduction of tariffs on manufactured products was about 35 percent. The U.S. tariff reductions will generally take effect in five equal annual installments, the first of which became effective on January 1, 1968. Some of our trading partners took a similar step at the same time, but others will wait until midyear and then make 40 percent of their reductions.

Certain manufactured products required special negotiations; these included chemicals, cotton textiles, and iron and steel. Chemical products posed a particularly difficult problem, which was resolved by making two separate agreements. The first is incorporated in the multilateral tariffreducing agreement providing for a stipulated unconditional reduction of chemical tariffs by the United States and other countries.

The second is conditional upon legislative action by the United States to remove the special valuation method now applied by U.S. tariff regulations on benzenoid chemicals. Under legislation adopted in 1922, when the American chemical industry was still in an "infant" stage, the U.S. tariff rate for competitive benzenoid chemicals is applied to the price of similar products made by domestic producers rather than to the actual price of imports. If the United States adopts the normal valuation practice on these items, certain of its major trading partners will further reduce chemical tariffs and will also lower some nontariff barriers.

Agricultural products were also considered in the Kennedy Round and proved to be especially troublesome. However, significant tariff concessions were finally agreed upon. Those by other nations cover about \$870 million of U.S. exports. Our concessions covered about the same amount of U.S. imports. The other major accomplishment in agriculture was the negotiation of a grains agreement. It provides for a higher minimum price for wheat than existed under the old International Wheat Agreement, and involves an increase of about 15 percent in U.S. export prices. It also provides for a multilateral food aid program equivalent to 4.5 million tons of cereals a year, of which the United States would contribute 42 percent.

While these steps are encouraging, the degree of restriction remaining on international trade in agricultural products—particularly through nontariff barriers—still greatly exceeds that on manufactured goods. Nevertheless, the Kennedy Round went further than previous negotiations in the agricultural area. Furthermore, the principle embodied in the food aid agreement may have great significance over the long run, because it recognizes that responsibility in the international war on hunger extends to all countries, not just to the United States and the other major food exporting nations. If the world's need for food should outrun supplies in the years ahead, this agreement could become the pattern for an international corrective program.

The United States made particular efforts to reduce tariffs on products of special interest to less-developed countries. It granted concessions on more than \$900 million of such products without attempting to obtain full reciprocity.

Another element in the Kennedy Round package was the successful negotiation of an international antidumping code. This accord is consistent with existing American laws which safeguard our industry, and it commits our trading partners to insure fair procedures to American exporters. Also as part of the negotiation, a three-year extension of the long-term cotton textile arrangement was concluded.

Consequences of the Tariff Reductions

The amount of existing trade covered by tariff cuts in the Kennedy Round does not reflect the potential expansion of trade which is one of the key benefits of the tariff reductions. New U.S. export opportunities will be created. Moreover, American producers will experience lower costs as a result of reduced tariffs on many inputs. The welfare of American consumers will be enhanced by lower prices of goods of both domestic and foreign origin.

Exports. American exports will be stimulated from two sources. First, as tariffs abroad are reduced, our exporters will have an opportunity to compete on a more equal footing in the domestic markets of foreign producers. Second, the tariff advantage in favor of member nations over non-members within the EEC and EFTA will be reduced, thereby enabling American exporters to compete more effectively in these large markets. For example, because the EEC tariff on pumps and compressors will be reduced from 12 to 6 percent when the Kennedy Round reductions are completed, German pumps will have only a 6-percent preferential edge over American pumps in the Dutch market as compared to the 12 percent they now enjoy.

Inputs. A second major gain from the Kennedy Round will come from the reduction of American tariffs on materials and components used by American manufacturers. Both the imported items and the competing domestic materials will be cheaper, and production costs will thereby be reduced. As a consequence, the competitive position of American manufacturers using these inputs will be improved in both export and domestic markets.

To cite only one example, tariffs on a wide range of steel alloying materials will be progressively reduced. This should reduce the costs of producing alloy steels, and of machine tools, machinery and equipment manufactured from such steels, thus strengthening the competitive position of our machinery industries in export markets.

Consumer Goods. The Kennedy Round also provides benefit to American consumers from U.S. tariff reductions. Consumers will enjoy reduced prices on imported goods and also on American products that compete with imports. If the full reduction is passed on, for instance, the 50-percent drop in tariffs on wooden furniture is the equivalent of price reductions of 5 to 10 percent. Further, in the climate of more liberal trade, foreign producers will be encouraged to market new products to American consumers.

Adjustment Strains. A full evaluation of the impact of the Kennedy Round must recognize that there may be some adverse effects as well. The increases in imports resulting from reduced U.S. tariffs can cause discom-

284-593 O-68-18

fort for certain American industries. Imports, however, still amount to only 3 percent of our GNP, and can hardly pose insuperable adjustment problems, even in the short run. The overwhelming majority of American industries that face brisk competition from imports can adjust in stride. American business knows how to respond to shifting domestic and international competitive pressures, and its responses are generally beneficial to the entire economy. But a few American industries may need help to meet the competitive challenge; and that aid should be given through temporary Government support to improve efficiency. Adjustment assistance is essential to meet the limited costs the Kennedy Round may impose in a few areas while maintaining its large benefits for the entire Nation.

Legislative Tasks

The 1962 act provided for adjustment assistance in cases of injury arising from tariff reductions, but the legislated criteria for eligibility have proven to be excessively restrictive. These criteria can and should be liberalized without opening the door to possible abuse, and the President is asking for the necessary congressional action to this effect.

Assistance for workers includes the payment of readjustment allowances directly to those who are obliged to seek alternative employment as a result of tariff reductions. The allowances can also be paid while workers are taking part in on-the-job training. The Government can also provide for testing, counseling, training, and placement services to promote a swift and smooth transfer. Adjustment assistance can be provided to injured firms to permit them to adapt their product lines or lower their costs in order to meet new competitive conditions. Such a solution within the affected firms is particularly desirable because it avoids dislocation in the employment of workers and in the use of capital. The offices of the Department of Commerce can make technical assistance available. Financial aid can be provided through loans or loan guarantees. Tax relief is offered through extension of the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code for the carryback and carryforward of business losses.

A second urgent legislative requirement is the elimination of the American selling price system. This action is needed to assure the full benefit of lower chemical tariffs abroad and to win important concessions on certain foreign nontariff barriers, as well as to provide the United States with a uniformly rational valuation system.

It is essential that Congress *not* enact legislation that would reverse or jeopardize our long-term efforts and policies to promote liberal trade. Bills were introduced into the Congress in 1967 to impose new legislated quotas on textiles, apparel, steel, meat and meat products, mink furs, lead and zinc, groundfish fillets, baseball gloves and mitts, consumer electronic products, scissors and shears, hardwood plywood, ferro-alloys, potash, flat glass, ball and roller bearings, and stainless steel flatware. Other bills sought to tighten restrictions on petroleum and petroleum products and dairy products. The value of the imports covered by specific bills amounts to over \$6 billion. If

general quota provisions were adopted along lines proposed in some bills, \$12 billion or more of imports would be affected.

If enacted, quota bills could severely harm our economy in several ways. Quotas would deprive American producers and consumers of flexible import supplies that help to moderate shortages. Quotas also would exert upward pressures on prices at a time when price stability is a critical national objective. Furthermore, protected American industries would be insulated from competitive forces abroad. Many of these industries need the invigorating influence of foreign competition, and should not be permitted to relax behind high protective barriers.

Finally, and perhaps most seriously, our exports would certainly suffer from quota restrictions on imports. Some exports would be lost simply because importing countries would have less foreign exchange. But more importantly, foreign governments would surely take advantage of their rights under the GATT to retaliate against whichever American products they may choose. In the end, we would have sacrificed the interests of more efficient industries and businesses for the sake of protecting less competitive elements in the economy; we would have jeopardized the creation of higher paying jobs in order to preserve low-wage jobs; and we would have traded international cooperation for international economic warfare. A move toward protectionism would also hurt our balance of payments. The rising trade surplus counted upon to help achieve payments equilibrium would be impossible in a world of widespread trade restrictions. For all of these reasons, a liberal commercial policy is the only rational policy for the United States.

TRADE WITH LESS-DEVELOPED COUNTRIES

It is of vital interest to the United States and other developed countries that less-developed countries achieve an adequate rate of economic growth. Probably the most important way that the developed countries can support this goal is to maintain healthy rates of growth of their own economies. The higher rate of growth of the industrialized nations in the 1960's as compared with the 1950's was a major factor in the more rapid growth of less-developed countries' exports (Table 29). But the developed countries can also promote development of poor nations through their trade and aid policies.

	Percentage ch in export	ange per year Value	
Export group	1953–54 average to 1959–60 average	1959–60 average to 1965–66 average	
All commodities	3.5	5.9	
Primary products Manufactured products	3.4 4.7	5.3 12.6	

TABLE 29.—Growth of exports of less-developed countries in two selected periods

Source: General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade.

UNCTAD

The United States will soon participate with about 130 other nations in the second session of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) in New Delhi. This conference takes as its starting point the recognition that access to the markets of the industrialized countries is essential to the economic growth of less-developed countries.

The industrialization of a poor country enlarges its need for foreign exchange. It generates increased demands for goods which can be produced domestically only at great cost. This is especially true of countries with small markets, which cannot support the efficient production of many manufactures, such as basic metals, machinery, and transport equipment. Only seven less-developed countries have gross national products in excess of \$10 billion—less than the output of the State of Connecticut. But even the larger less-developed countries must look abroad for their supplies of most technically complex manufactured goods.

The export performance of less-developed countries depends in part on the policies followed by these countries themselves. In the area of manufactured exports, a few developing nations have been quite successful, particularly in those goods requiring relatively large amounts of unskilled labor. Other countries could probably follow suit if they pursue well-designed policies to provide education and training for labor, and to encourage investment in export-oriented industries.

Realization of the potential also depends on commercial policies of the developed countries. According to calculations made by the research staff of the UNCTAD secretariat, the average tariffs on manufactured products of particular interest to the less-developed countries are somewhat higher and were reduced somewhat less in the Kennedy Round than the average rates of duty on other products. Furthermore, some of the manufactured exports of interest to less-developed countries are restrained by quantitative restrictions and other nontariff barriers.

In order to improve the access of the less-developed countries to the markets of the industrial nations, the OECD countries have approved the outline of a scheme of generalized nonreciprocal tariff preferences to be granted by all developed member nations to all less-developed countries. This outline will be presented to the less-developed countries at the meeting in New Delhi. It is hoped that the task of working out the elements of such a preferential scheme can then be undertaken. The adoption of a system of generalized preferences would help to check the proliferation of discriminatory preferences and to keep the world trading community from fragmenting into preferential trading blocs.

The proposed trade preferences should be viewed as a supplement to other efforts by advanced nations to assist the development of poor countries. For many countries, economic growth and export capabilities require foreign aid in the form of developmental capital as well as improved trading opportunities. Foreign aid from the United States and the encouragement of increased aid by others—particularly countries in balance-of-payments surplus—is and will continue to be an important aspect of U.S. foreign policy. The replenishment of the capital funds of the International Development Association is currently being negotiated, and the United States hopes that its resources will be increased substantially.

Stabilizing Export Earnings

The development programs of less-developed countries have often been hampered by the uncertainties arising from wide variations in earnings from primary products. The uncertainties can be reduced by commodity agreements and by special financing arrangements to meet temporary reductions in export earnings.

Commodity Agreements. Most underdeveloped countries have relied on primary products for the bulk of their export earnings. A number of these countries have had unfortunate experiences with their primary product exports, either because of export instability, or because of slow long-term growth, or even long-term decline, of export receipts from particular products.

New exports are frequently not introduced even when the value of traditional exports is declining. In part, this is because the natural resources (agricultural land, mineral deposits) on which certain primary exports are based have few alternative uses. The low skill level of workers and the technological backwardness of industry make it difficult for these countries to break into the market for manufactured goods and some primary products. Exchange rates and monetary policies may also discourage development of new exports. It is encouraging to note, however, that in the 1960's some less-developed countries whose main export products have been stagnant have achieved high rates of growth of other exports.

Countries experiencing highly fluctuating or declining prices for their exports have attempted to set up commodity agreements. A typical agreement creates a buffer stock, which purchases the commodity when the price falls below a predetermined floor, and sells from the stock when the price rises above a predetermined ceiling. Such agreements can help primary producers achieve more stable prices, although they cannot insure stable export proceeds for individual countries when supplies vary. The United States favors commodity agreements designed to stabilize prices and stands ready to support efforts by less-developed countries to move resources out of the production of commodities in chronic surplus.

Primary producers sometimes attempt through commodity agreements to raise prices above the long-term equilibrium level. They rarely succeed. Maintenance of a price above long-run cost requires restrictions on supply; the necessary export quotas are extremely hard to negotiate and to enforce. Financing. Multilateral financing facilities can help less-developed countries formulate and carry out development plans in the face of export uncertainties. A step in this direction was taken in 1963 when the IMF created its compensatory finance facility. Under this program, as liberalized in 1966, a less-developed country may borrow for a term of three to five years, up to 50 percent of its IMF quota when its exports fall below a medium-term trend for reasons beyond its control. Under new proposals for "supplementary finance", which will be discussed at UNCTAD, countries experiencing deep or protracted shortfalls disruptive of development could receive longer term loans on concessional terms.

CONCLUSION

The course of international economic relations in the postwar period justifies a basic optimism about the future, but it also suggests that careful action is needed if this favorable experience is to continue. The gold exchange standard, reinforced by the Bretton Woods agreements, has proved to be flexible enough to support a prodigious expansion of world trade, which was also stimulated by a gradual reduction in tariffs and other restrictions.

Under present circumstances, there is a clear need for a new demonstration of the flexibility of the system. The creation of adequate reserves has come to depend on a deficit in the U.S. balance of payments which has long been a matter of concern but which now has to be dealt with decisively. This will require a resolute and continuing attack on inflationary pressures in our domestic economy and various measures in the field of international transactions. The present situation calls for the cooperation of all countries, especially those with persistent surpluses, in bringing about better equilibrium in international payments. It is also essential to provide for new reserve assets to supplement gold and the dollar.

There are still many obstacles to overcome before the international monetary system is fully adapted to the needs of the present and the foreseeable future, but fortunately there is increasing awareness that these obstacles can and must be surmounted through multilateral cooperation. The hopes of the free world depend on our success in meeting this challenge. Appendix A

REPORT TO THE PRESIDENT ON THE ACTIVITIES OF THE COUNCIL OF ECONOMIC ADVISERS DURING 1967

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

January 5, 1968.

THE PRESIDENT.

SIR: The Council of Economic Advisers submits this Report on its activities during the calendar year 1967 in accordance with the requirements of Congress, as set forth in section 4(d) of the Employment Act of 1946. Respectfully,

> Gardner Ackley, Chairman. James S. Duesenberry. Arthur M. Okun.

Report to the President on the Activities of the Council of Economic Advisers During 1967

The year 1967 was one of intensive activity for the Council of Economic Advisers. The period of economic readjustment between the inflationary boom of 1966 and the renewed advance that became so clearly evident late in 1967 demanded careful watch and continuous analysis of the sometimes uncertain economic signals. Convinced through this watch and analysis that a second-half recovery was developing along the lines of its forecast of last January, which threatened to become too exuberant in 1968, the Council contributed in a major way to the development of the President's fiscal program of last August, as well as the budgetary program for fiscal year 1969. Late in the year, it participated intensively in developing the balanceof-payments program announced on January 1, 1968.

Throughout the year, its studies contributed to the development and evaluation of programs to deal with emerging problems in employment and unemployment, manpower training and utilization, farm problems and policies, energy resources, environmental protection, income maintenance, consumer problems, monetary policy and financial markets, tax structure, export expansion, housing and urban affairs, the war on poverty, budgetary concepts, stockpile policy, Federal statistics, international economic cooperation, international monetary reform, and many others.

As in earlier years, the Council continued to give leadership to the Government's efforts to induce responsible restraint on the part of business and labor in price and wage decisions. Although its failures to obtain desired cooperation were obvious to all, it also had significant successes which must remain confidential.

COUNCIL MEMBERSHIP

Gardner Ackley, Arthur M. Okun, and James S. Duesenberry continued to serve as Council members in 1967, with Mr. Ackley as Chairman. Messrs. Ackley and Duesenberry were on leave from the University of Michigan and Harvard University, respectively. As the President announced on January 1, Mr. Ackley has been nominated as Ambassador to Italy and will be leaving the Council shortly. Mr. Okun has been designated to succeed to the Chairmanship, and Merton J. Peck of Yale University has been nominated as the new member of the Council. Following is a list of all past Council members and their dates of service:

Name	Position	Oath of office date	Separation date		
Edwin G. Nourse	Chairman	August 9, 1946	November 1, 1949.		
Leon H. Keyserling	Vice Chairman	August 9, 1946			
John D. Clark	Acting Chairman	November 2, 1949 May 10, 1950 August 9, 1946	January 20, 1953.		
Roy Blough	Vice Chairman	May 10, 1950	February 11, 1953.		
	Member	June 29, 1950	August 20, 1952.		
Arthur F. Burns Neil H. Jacoby	Member Chairman Member	September 8, 1952 March 19, 1953 September 15, 1953.	January 20, 1953. December 1, 1956. February 9, 1955.		
Walter W. Stewart.	Member	December 2, 1953	April 29, 1955.		
Joseph S. Davis	Member	May 2, 1955	October 31, 1958.		
Paul W. McCracken	Member Chairman Member	December 3, 1956	January 20, 1961. January 31, 1959.		
Karl Brandt	Member	November 1, 1958	January 20, 1961.		
Henry C. Wallich	Member	May 7, 1959	January 20, 1961.		
Kermit Gordon	Member Chairman	January 29, 1961 January 29, 1961 January 29, 1961	December 27, 1962. November 15, 1964.		
John P. Lewis	Member	May 17, 1963.	August 31, 1964.		
Otto Eckstein	Member	September 2, 1964	February 1, 1966.		

COUNCIL STAFF

At the end of 1967, members of the Council's professional staff were John F. Burton, Jack W. Carlson, Christopher K. Clague, Thomas F. Dernburg, Peter P. Dorner, Catherine H. Furlong, Raymond W. Goldsmith, Hendrik S. Houthakker, Saul H. Hymans, Frances M. James, Lawrence B. Krause, David W. Lusher, Carey P. Modlin, Joseph D. Mooney, Saul Nelson, Roger G. Noll, Frank W. Schiff, and Charles B. Warden, Jr.

Each year a number of staff members who have joined the Council on a temporary basis return to their posts in private life or in Government. Those leaving the Council in 1967 were Henry J. Aaron, Shirley M. Almon, G. Paul Balabanis, Guy Black, Donald E. Cullen, Stanley L. Friedlander, Stephen M. Goldfeld, David T. Kresge, Wilfred Lewis, Jr., and Alfred Reifman.

Continuing its practice of asking leading members of the economics profession to assist in the analysis of economic problems, the Council in 1967 called on the following consultants: Henry J. Aaron, James T. Bonnen, William H. Branson, Richard N. Cooper, John T. Dunlop, Otto Eckstein, Stephen M. Goldfeld, Kermit Gordon, Walter W. Heller, Myron L. Joseph, David T. Kresge, Susan J. Lepper, Wilfred Lewis, Jr., Paul W. MacAvoy, Edwin S. Mills, Richard A. Musgrave, Joseph A. Pechman, Merton J. Peck, George L. Perry, Melvin Rothbaum, R. Robert Russell, Paul A. Samuelson, Warren L. Smith, Robert M. Solow, Daniel B. Suits, Charles A. Taff, Paul J. Taubman, Lester C. Thurow, James Tobin, Robert C. Turner, and Lloyd Ulman.

The Council continued its graduate student intern program, which was started in 1961. Those working with the Council for various periods in 1967 were Glenn Brewster, Albert J. Eckstein, Lawrence J. Fulco, James J. Heckman, Dale W. Henderson, Peter Isard, Robert I. Lerman, Stephen P. Magee, Myron G. Myers, Ralph E. Pochoda, Dennis M. Roth, Richard L. Schmalensee, Courtenay M. Slater, Earl M. Unger, and Andrew J. Winnick. Research assistants included Carol S. Burke, Charlotte Fremon, Claudia D. Goldin, Helen Reynolds, and Elizabeth A. Rothman.

As in the past, the Council received loyal and energetic assistance from its nonprofessional staff. Members of this staff at the end of 1967 were Dorothy Bagovich, Teresa D. Bradburn, Louis P. Brighthaupt, Gladys R. Durkin, Catherine Fibich, James W. Gatling, Elizabeth F. Gray, Laura B. Hoffman, Christine L. Johnson, Bessie M. Lafakis, Betty Lu Lowry, Eleanor A. McStay, A. Keith Miles, Joyce A. Pilkerton, Earnestine Reid, Gail Roberts, Lucille F. Saverino, Bettye T. Siegel, Daisy M. Sindelar, Nancy F. Skidmore, Margaret L. Snyder, Carolyn T. Welch, and Elizabeth A. Zea.

COUNCIL ACTIVITIES

The Council of Economic Advisers was established as an agency of the Federal Government nearly 22 years ago by the Employment Act of 1946. Under the Act, the Council is charged with the responsibility of analyzing and interpreting economic developments and of recommending economic policies that will promote the goals of "maximum employment, production, and purchasing power."

The Council's chief responsibility is to keep the President fully informed of economic developments and emerging problems which may affect the Nation's economy. To meet this responsibility, the Council continuously reviews economic conditions, undertakes special studies of particular problem areas, and makes recommendations concerning Government programs and policies. The Council confers regularly with all major Government agencies having responsibilities in the economic field.

The Secretary of the Treasury, the Director of the Bureau of the Budget, and the Chairman of the Council and their respective staffs (the "Troika") provide the President with a continuous joint assessment of the economic and budgetary outlook for the current and subsequent fiscal years. The heads of the "Troika" agencies and their associates, together with the Chairman of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, meet periodically as the "Quadriad" with the President to discuss domestic and international monetary problems.

In addition to its regular and informal consultations with other Government agencies, the Council and its staff in 1967 participated with other agencies in a large variety of more formal committees, task forces, and studies.

The Council and its staff represent the United States in a number of important international conferences. The Council Chairman heads the U.S. delegation to the meetings of the Economic Policy Committee of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), and members of the Council and its staff this year participated in a dozen or more other international meetings under the auspices of the OECD. The Chairman was a member of the U.S. Cabinet-level delegations which meet annually with similar delegations of the Canadian and Japanese Governments. The Council also was involved in activities of the U.N. Economic Commission for Europe.

An important responsibility of the Council is to explain and clarify the Administration's economic policies, both within the Government and to the public at large. This is done through numerous speeches, articles, press briefings, statements, congressional testimony, its Annual Report, and by assisting the President in the preparation of his Economic Report. The Council meets frequently and informally with many individuals and groups both from the United States and abroad, including businessmen, bankers, labor leaders, government officials, university scholars and students, members of the press corps, and interested private citizens, and more formally with a number of advisory groups, including the President's Advisory Committee on Labor-Management Policy and the Business Council's Liaison Committee with the Council of Economic Advisers.

The Council prepares two documents for publication. One is the *Economic Report of the President*, together with the *Annual Report of the Council of Economic Advisers*. Over 73,000 copies of the 1967 Report were distributed to Members of the Congress, Government officials, the press, depository libraries, or sold to the public by the Superintendent of Documents. The second is the monthly *Economic Indicators*. This important compilation of current economic statistics has been prepared since 1948 at the Council under the direction of Miss Frances M. James, and is published by the Joint Economic Committee of the Congress. More than 10,000 copies are furnished to Members of Congress and depository libraries, or sold to the public every month.

Appendix B

STATISTICAL TABLES RELATING TO INCOME, EMPLOYMENT, AND PRODUCTION

CONTENTS

National inc	come or expenditure:	Page
B-1. C	Fross national product or expenditure, 1929-67	209
B-2. C	Fross national product or expenditure, in 1958 prices, 1929-67	210
B-3 L	mplicit price deflators for gross national product 1929-67	212
B-4 G	Pross national product by major type of product 1929-67	214
B-5 C	Pross national product by major type of product, 1925 07	
D 3. C	1090_67	215
B-6 C	Torse national product: Receipts and expenditures by major economic	215
D-0. C	moss national product. Receipts and expenditures by major economic	216
B-7 C	groups, 1929-07	210
	Pross national product by sector, 1925–07	210
B-0. C	Bross national product by sector, in 1956 prices, 1929–07	213
D-9. C	bross national product by industry, in 1936 prices, 1947-00	220
B-10. P	ersonal consumption expenditures, 1929–67	221
B-11. G	Fross private domestic investment, 1929–67	222
B-12. N	vational income by type of income, 1929-67	223
B-13. R	kelation of gross national product and national income, 1929-67	224
B-14. R	Kelation of national income and personal income, 1929-67	225
B-15. D	Disposition of personal income, 1929–67	226
B-16. 1	otal and per capita disposable personal income and personal con-	
	sumption expenditures, in current and 1958 prices, 1929-67	227
B-17. S	ources of personal income, 1929–67	228
B-18. S	ources and uses of gross saving, 1929-67	230
B–19. F	Financial saving by individuals, 1939–67	231
B-20. N	Jumber and money income of families and unrelated individuals,	939
D 1 <i>C</i>		202
Population,	employment, wages, and productivity:	
B-21. P	opulation by age groups: Estimates, 1929–67, and projections, 1970–85.	233
B-22. N	Voninstitutional population and the labor force, 1929-67	234
B-23. C	livilian employment and unemployment, by sex and age, 1947-67	236
B-24. S	elected unemployment rates, 1948–67	237
B-25. U	Jnemployment by duration, 1947–67	238
B-26. U	Jnemployment insurance programs, selected data, 1940-67	239
B-27. V	Vage and salary workers in nonagricultural establishments, 1929-67.	240
B–28. A	Average weekly hours of work in selected nonagricultural industries,	
	1929–67	242
B-29. A	Average gross hourly earnings in selected industries, 1929–67	243
B-30. A	Average gross weekly earnings in selected nonagricultural industries,	
	1929–67	244
B-31. A	Average weekly hours and hourly earnings, gross and excluding over-	
	time, in manufacturing industries, 1939-67	245
B–32. A	verage weekly earnings, gross and spendable, total private nonagricul-	
	tural industries, in current and 1957-59 prices, 1947-67	246
B-33. A	Average weekly earnings, gross and spendable, in manufacturing	
	industries, in current and 1957-59 prices, 1939-67	247
B-34. I	ndexes of output per man-hour and related data, private economy,	
	1947–67	248

284-593 O-68-14

Production and business activity:	Page
B-35. Industrial production indexes, major industry divisions, 1929-67.	249
B-36. Industrial production indexes, market groupings, 1947-67	250
B-37. Industrial production indexes, selected manufactures, 1947-67	251
B-38. Manufacturing output, capacity, and utilization rate, 1948-67	252
B-39. Business expenditures for new plant and equipment, 1939 and 1945-	
68	253
B-40. New construction activity, 1929-67	254
B-41. New housing starts and applications for financing, 1929-67	256
B-42. Sales and inventories in manufacturing and trade, 1947-67	258
B-43. Manufacturers' shipments and inventories, 1947-67	259
B-44. Manufacturers' new and unfilled orders, 1947-67	260
Prices:	
B-45. Consumer price indexes, by major groups, 1929-67	261
B-46. Consumer price indexes, by special groups, 1935-67,	262
B-47. Consumer price indexes, selected commodities and services, 1935-67	263
B-48. Wholesale price indexes, by major commodity groups, 1929-67	264
B-49. Wholesale price indexes, by stage of processing, 1947-67	266
Money supply credit and finance:	
P 50 Marcu supply, 1947 67	969
B-50. Money supply, 1547-07 1020-67	208
D-51. Dank toans and investments, 1929-07	209
B-52. Selected liquid assets held by the public, 1940–07	270
B-53. Federal Reserve balls credit and member balls reserves, 1929-07	2/1
B-54. Bond yields and interest fates, 1929-67	272
B-55. Instalment credit extended and renaid 1946-67	2/4
B-50. Instanticit circuit extended and repaid, 1545-07	215
1020 67	976
P_{-59} Net public and private debt 1020-67	270
	211
Government finance:	
B-59. Federal budget receipts, outlays, financing, and debt, 1958-69	278
B-60. Federal budget receipts and outlays, 1958-69	280
B-61. Relation of the receipt-expenditure account of the Federal Govern-	
ment to the Federal sector of the national income and product	
accounts, 1967-69	282
B-62. Receipts and expenditures of the Federal sector of the national income	
and product accounts, 1946–69	283
B-63. Federal finances under the old concepts, fiscal years 1929-69	284
B-64. U.S. Government debt, by kind of obligation, 1929-67	285
B-65. Estimated ownership of U.S. Government obligations, 1939-67	286
B-b0. Average length and maturity distribution of marketable interest-bear-	007
ing public debt, 1940–67	287
B-6/. Receipts and expenditures of the Government sector of the national in-	000
come and product accounts, 1929–67	288
B-68. State and local government revenues and expenditures, selected fiscal	000
years, 1927–00	289

Corporate profits and finance:	Page
B-69. Profits before and after taxes, all private corporations, 1929-67	290
B-70. Sales, profits, and stockholders' equity, all manufacturing corpora-	
tions (except newspapers), $194/-6/\ldots$	291
manufacturing corporations (event newspapers) by industry group	
1947-67	292
B-72. Sources and uses of funds, nonfarm nonfinancial corporate business.	202
1956–67	294
B-73. Current assets and liabilities of United States corporations, 1939-67.	295
B-74. State and municipal and corporate securities offered, 1934-67	296
B-75. Common stock prices, earnings, and yields, and stock market credit,	
1939–67	297
B-76. Business formation and business failures, 1929-67	298
Agriculture:	
B-77. Income from agriculture, 1929-67	299
B-78. Farm production indexes, 1929-67	300
B-79. Farm population, employment, and productivity, 1929-67	301
B-80. Indexes of prices received and prices paid by farmers, and parity ratio,	
1929–67	302
B-81. Selected measures of farm resources and inputs, 1929–67	304
B-82. Comparative balance sheet of agriculture, 1929-68	305
International statistics:	
B-83. United States balance of payments, 1947-67	306
B-84. United States merchandise exports and imports, by commodity groups,	
1958–67	308
B-85. United States merchandise exports and imports, by area, 1961–67	309
B-86. United States overseas loans and grants, by type and area, fiscal years 1962-67	310
B-87. International reserves, 1949, 1953, and 1962-67	311
B-88. United States reserve assets: Gold stock, holdings of convertible foreign currencies, and reserve position in the International Monetary	
Fund, 1946–67	312
B-89. Price changes in international trade, 1959-67	313
B-90. Consumer price indexes in the United States and other major in-	
dustrial countries, 1955–67	314

General Notes

Detail in these tables will not necessarily add to totals because of rounding. Unless otherwise noted, all dollar figures are in current prices.

Symbols used:

- Preliminary.
- -- Not available (also, not applicable).
- * Amount insignificant in terms of the particular unit (e.g., less than \$50 million where unit is billions of dollars).

NATIONAL INCOME OR EXPENDITURE

TABLE B-1.-Gross national product or expenditure, 1929-67

[Billions of dollars]

		Per- Gross		Net	Government purchases of goods and services					
Year or quarter	Total gross national	sonal con- sump-	private do- mestic	exports of goods and serv- ices ³			State			
	product	tion expend- itures ¹	invest- ment ²		Total	Total	National defense ⁵	Other	and local	
1929	103, 1	77.2	16.2	1.1	8, 5	1, 3	1.	3	7.2	
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937 1937 1938 1939	90.4 75.8 55.6 65.1 72.2 82.5 90.4 84.7 90.5	69.9 60.5 48.6 51.3 55.7 61.9 66.5 63.9 66.8	10.3 5.6 1.0 1.4 3.3 6.4 8.5 11.8 6.5 9.3	1.0 .5 .4 .4 .1 .1 .3 1.3 1.1	9.2 9.2 8.1 8.0 9.8 10.0 12.0 11.9 13.0 13.3	1.4 1.5 2.0 3.0 4.9 4.7 5.4 5.1	1. 1. 2. 3. 2. 4. 5. 1.2	4 5 5 0 9 9 7 4 3.9	7.8 7.7 6.6 6.8 7.1 7.0 7.2 7.6 8.2	
1940	99. 7 124. 5 157. 9 191. 6 210. 1 211. 9 208. 5 231. 3 257. 6 256. 5	70. 8 80. 6 88. 5 99. 3 108. 3 119. 7 143. 4 160. 7 173. 6 176. 8	13. 1 17. 9 9. 8 5. 7 7. 1 10. 6 30. 6 34. 0 46. 0 35. 7	1.7 1.3 -2.0 -1.8 5 11.5 6.4 6.1	14.0 24.8 59.6 88.6 96.5 82.3 27.0 25.1 31.6 37.8	6.0 16.9 51.9 81.1 89.0 74.2 17.2 12.5 16.5 20.1	2.2 13.8 49.4 79.7 87.4 73.5 14.7 9.1 10.7 13.3	3.8 3.1 2.5 1.4 1.6 .7 2.5 3.5 5.8 6.8	8.0 7.9 7.7 7.4 7.5 8.1 9.8 12.6 15.0 17.7	
1950	284.8 328.4 345.5 364.6 398.0 419.2 441.1 447.3 483.7	191. 0 206. 3 216. 7 230. 0 236. 5 254. 4 266. 7 281. 4 290. 1 311. 2	54. 1 59. 3 51. 9 52. 6 51. 7 67. 4 70. 0 67. 8 60. 9 75. 3	1.8 3.7 2.2 .4 1.8 2.0 4.0 5.7 2.2 .1	37.9 59.1 74.7 81.6 74.8 74.8 78.6 86.1 94.2 97.0	18.4 37.7 51.8 57.0 47.4 44.1 45.6 45.5 53.6 53.7	14.1 33.6 45.9 48.7 41.2 38.6 40.3 44.2 45.9 46.0	4.3 4.1 5.9 8.4 5.5 5.3 5.3 7.7 7.6	19.5 21.5 22.9 24.6 27.4 30.1 33.0 33.0 40.6 43.3	
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1965 1965 1966 1967	503. 7 520. 1 560. 3 590. 5 632. 4 683. 9 743. 3 785. 1	325. 2 335. 2 355. 1 375. 0 401. 2 433. 1 465. 9 491. 6	74. 8 71. 7 83. 0 87. 1 94. 0 107. 4 118. 0 112. 1	4.0 5.6 5.1 5.9 8.5 6.9 5.1 5.0	99.6 107.6 117.1 122.5 128.7 136.4 154.3 176.3	53. 5 57. 4 63. 4 64. 2 65. 2 66. 8 77. 0 89. 9	44, 9 47, 8 51, 6 50, 8 50, 0 50, 1 60, 5 72, 6	8.6 9.6 11.8 13.5 15.2 16.7 16.5 17.3	46. 1 50. 2 53. 7 58. 2 63. 5 69. 6 77. 2 86. 4	
				Seasonally	adjusted a	nnual rates	5			
1965: / // /// /V	662.7 675.4 690.0 708.4	420. 2 428. 1 436. 4 447. 8	105. 1 105. 1 108. 2 112. 3	6. 1 8. 2 7. 4 6. 1	131. 3 133. 9 138. 1 142. 3	64. 3 65. 4 67. 6 69. 8	48. 4 49. 2 50. 3 52. 4	15.9 16.2 17.3 17.4	66. 9 68. 6 70. 4 72. 5	
1966: 1 II IV IV	725. 9 736. 7 748. 8 762. 1	458. 2 461. 6 470. 1 473. 8	115.2 118.5 116.4 122.2	6. 1 5. 4 4. 6 4. 3	146.5 151.2 157.7 161.7	72. 1 74. 9 79. 5 81. 5	55.1 58.4 63.0 65.6	17. 1 16. 6 16. 6 15. 9	74.3 76.2 78.1 80.2	
1967: I II III IV P	766. 3 775. 1 791. 2 807. 6	480, 2 489, 7 495, 3 501, 4	110. 4 105. 1 112. 2 120. 7	5. 3 5. 3 5. 4 4. 0	170. 4 175. 0 178. 2 181. 5	87.1 89.5 90.9 92.0	70. 2 72. 5 73. 3 74. 3	16, 8 17. 0 17. 6 17. 7	83. 3 85. 4 87. 4 89. 5	

¹ See Table B-10 for major components.
 ² See Table B-11 for detailed components.
 ³ See Table B-6 for exports and imports separately.
 ⁴ Net of Government sales.
 ⁵ This category corresponds closely to the national defense classification in the "Budget of the United States Government for the Fiscal Year ending June 30, 1969."

Source: Department of Commerce, Office of Business Economics.

		Personal consumption expenditures					Personal consumption expenditures Gross private domestic inv						Gross private domestic investment					
Year or quarter	Total						Fixed investment											
	gross na- tional		Dura	Non-					Nonreside	V	Change							
	prod- uct	Total	ble goods	dura- ble goods	Serv- ices	Total	Totai	Total	Struc- tures	Pro- ducers' durable equip- ment	Resi- dential struc- tures	in ousi- ness- inven- tories						
1929	203.6	139.6	16. 3	69. 3	54, 0	40. 4	36. 9	26. 5	13.9	12.6	10, 4	3, 5						
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937 1938 1939	183. 5 169. 3 144. 2 141. 5 154. 3 169. 5 193. 0 203. 2 192. 9 209. 4	130. 4 126. 1 114. 8 112. 8 118. 1 125. 5 138. 4 143. 1 140. 2 148. 2	12.9 11.2 8.4 8.3 9.4 11.7 14.5 15.1 12.2 14.5	65.9 65.6 60.4 58.6 62.5 73.4 76.0 77.1 81.2	51. 5 49. 4 45. 9 46. 0 46. 1 47. 9 50. 5 52. 0 50. 9 52. 5	27.4 16.8 4.7 5.3 9.4 18.0 24.0 29.9 17.0 24.7	28. 0 19. 2 9. 7 12. 1 15. 6 20. 9 24. 5 19. 4 23. 5	21.7 14.1 8.2 7.6 9.2 11.5 15.8 18.8 13.7 15.3	11.8 7.5 4.4 3.3 3.6 4.0 5.4 7.1 5.6 5.9	9.9 6.6 3.8 4.3 5.6 7.5 10.3 11.8 8.1 9.4	6.3 5.7 2.9 4.0 5.6 5.7 8.2	6 2.4 -6.2 -4.3 -2.7 2.4 3.1 5.5 -2.4 1.2						
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1946 1947 1948 1948	227. 2 263. 7 297. 8 337. 1 361. 3 355. 2 312. 6 309. 9 323. 7 324. 1	155.7 165.4 161.4 165.8 171.4 183.0 203.5 206.3 210.8 216.5	16.7 19.1 11.7 10.2 9.4 10.6 20.5 24.7 26.3 28.4	84. 6 89. 9 91. 3 93. 7 97. 3 104. 7 110. 8 108. 3 108. 7 110. 5	54. 4 56. 3 58. 5 61. 8 64. 7 67. 7 72. 1 73. 4 75. 8 77. 6	33.0 41.6 21.4 12.7 14.0 19.6 52.3 51.5 60.4 48.0	28. 1 32. 0 17. 3 12. 9 22. 6 42. 3 51. 7 55. 9 51. 9	18.9 22.2 12.5 10.0 13.4 19.8 30.2 36.2 38.0 34.5	6.8 8.1 4.6 2.9 3.8 5.7 12.5 11.6 12.3 11.9	12. 1 14. 2 7. 9 9. 6 14. 1 17. 7 24. 6 25. 7 22. 6	9.2 9.8 4.9 2.9 2.5 2.8 12.1 15.4 17.9 17.4	4.9 9.66 4.0 2 -1.9 -2.9 10.0 2 4.6 -3.9						
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1956 1956 1957 1958 1959	355. 3 383. 4 395. 1 412. 8 407. 0 438. 0 446. 1 452. 5 447. 3 475. 9	230. 5 232. 8 239. 4 250. 8 255. 7 274. 2 281. 4 288. 2 290. 1 307. 3	34.7 31.5 30.8 35.3 35.4 43.2 41.0 41.5 37.9 43.7	114.0 116.5 120.8 124.4 125.5 131.7 136.2 138.7 140.2 146.8	81. 8 84. 8 91. 1 94. 8 99. 3 104. 1 108. 0 112. 0 116. 8	69.3 70.0 60.5 61.2 59.4 75.4 74.3 68.8 60.9 73.6	61. 0 59. 0 57. 2 60. 2 61. 4 69. 0 69. 5 67. 6 62. 4 68. 8	37.5 39.6 38.3 40.7 39.6 43.9 47.3 47.4 41.6 44.1	12.7 14.1 13.7 14.9 15.2 16.2 18.5 18.2 16.6 16.2	24. 8 25. 5 24. 6 25. 8 24. 5 27. 7 28. 8 29. 1 25. 0 27. 9	23.5 19.5 18.9 19.6 21.7 25.1 22.2 20.2 20.8 24.7	8.3 10.9 3.3 -2.0 6.4 4.8 1.2 -1.5 4.8						
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967_p	487.7 497.2 529.8 551.0 581.1 616.7 652.6 669.2	316. 1 322. 5 338. 4 353. 3 373. 7 398. 4 418. 0 429. 9	44. 9 43. 9 49. 2 53. 7 59. 0 66. 4 71. 3 72. 1	149. 6 153. 0 158. 2 162. 2 170. 3 178. 9 187. 7 192. 8	121. 6 125. 6 131. 1 137. 4 144. 4 153. 2 159. 1 164. 9	72, 4 69, 0 79, 4 82, 5 87, 8 98, 0 105, 6 96, 9	68.9 67.0 73.4 76.7 81.9 89.1 93.0 92.1	47. 1 45. 5 49. 7 51. 9 57. 8 66. 0 72. 8 73. 0	17.4 17.4 17.9 17.9 19.1 21.9 23.6 21.8	29.6 28.1 31.7 34.0 38.7 44.1 49.2 51.2	21.9 21.6 23.8 24.8 24.2 23.2 20.2 19.2	3.5 2.0 6.0 5.8 5.8 8.8 12.6 4.7						
					Seaso	nally ac	ljusted	annual i	rates									
1965: I II III IV	601. 5 609. 7 620. 7 634. 4	389. 1 394. 1 400. 7 409. 9	65. 0 64. 1 66. 8 69. 5	174. 7 178. 0 179. 3 183. 6	149. 4 152. 0 154. 6 156. 8	95. 9 95. 9 98. 3 101. 6	86.6 87.9 89.6 92.4	62, 9 64, 5 66, 7 69, 7	20. 4 21. 7 21. 8 23. 6	42. 5 42. 8 44. 9 46. 2	23. 7 23. 4 23. 0 22. 6	9.3 8.0 8.7 9.2						
1966: I II III IV	645.4 649.3 654.8 661.1	416. 2 415. 2 420. 4 420. 4	73.0 69.3 71.9 71.1	185. 8 187. 7 188. 8 188. 4	157.3 158.2 159.8 160.9	104. 0 106. 5 103. 6 108. 4	94. 5 93. 1 93. 0 91. 2	71. 8 71. 7 73. 6 74. 2	24. 2 23. 4 23. 7 23. 0	47.5 48.3 49.9 51.2	22.8 21.4 19.4 17.0	9.5 13.4 10.6 17.2						
1967: V P	660.7 664.7 672.0 679.4	424, 2 430, 6 431, 5 433, 2	69.7 72.9 72.7 73.0	191. 8 193. 6 192. 8 193. 2	162. 6 164. 1 166. 0 167. 1	96. 9 91. 3 96. 4 102. 9	90. 2 90. 9 92. 9 94. 5	73.0 72.6 73.2 73.2	22. 9 21. 7 21. 5 21. 2	50. 1 51. 0 51. 7 51. 9	17.3 18.3 19.7 21.3	6.7 .4 3.5 8.4						

TABLE B-2.—Gross national product or expenditure, in 1958 prices, 1929-67

[Billions of dollars, 1958 prices]

See footnote at end of table.
N	Net export	s of goods and	services	Governmen	t purchases of services	goods and
Tear or quarter	Net exports	Exports	Imports	Total	Federal 1	State and local
1929	1.5	11.8	10.3	22.0	3.5	18.5
1930	1.4 .9 .6 .* -1.0 -1.2 7 1.9 1.3	10.4 8.9 7.1 7.3 7.7 8.2 9.8 9.9 10.0	9.0 7.9 6.6 7.1 7.1 8.7 9.3 10.5 8.0 8.7	24. 3 25. 4 24. 2 23. 3 26. 6 27. 0 31. 8 30. 8 33. 9 35. 2	4.0 4.3 4.6 6.0 7.9 12.2 11.5 13.3 12.5	20. 2 21. 1 19. 6 17. 3 18. 6 19. 2 19. 6 20. 6 22. 7
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1946 1947 1947 1948 1948	2.1 -4 -5.9 -5.8 -3.8 8.4 12.3 6.1 6.4	11.0 11.2 7.8 6.8 7.6 10.2 19.6 22.6 18.1 18.1	8.9 10.8 9.9 12.6 13.4 13.9 11.2 10.3 12.0 11.7	36. 4 56. 3 117. 1 164. 4 181. 7 156. 4 48. 4 39. 9 46. 3 53. 3	15. 0 36. 2 98. 9 147. 8 165. 4 139. 7 30. 1 19. 1 23. 7 27. 6	21.4 20.1 18.3 16.6 16.3 16.7 18.4 20.8 22.7 25.7
1950	2.7 5.3 3.0 1.1 3.0 5.0 6.2 2.2 .3	16. 3 19. 3 18. 2 17. 8 20. 9 24. 2 26. 2 23. 1 23. 8	13.6 14.1 15.2 16.7 15.8 17.7 19.1 19.9 20.9 23.5	52, 8 75, 4 99, 8 88, 9 85, 2 85, 3 89, 3 94, 2 94, 7	25. 3 47. 4 63. 8 70. 0 56. 8 50. 7 49. 7 51. 7 53. 6 52. 5	27.5 27.9 28.4 29.7 32.1 34.4 35.6 37.6 40.6 42.2
1960	4.3 5.1 4.5 5.6 8.3 6.0 4.4 3.8	27.3 28.0 30.0 32.1 36.5 37.5 40.8 42.5	23.0 22.9 25.5 26.6 28.2 31.5 36.4 38.7	94, 9 100, 5 107, 5 109, 6 111, 2 114, 3 124, 5 138, 6	51. 4 54. 6 60. 0 59. 5 58. 1 57. 8 64. 7 74. 0	43. 5 45. 9 47. 5 50. 1 53. 2 56. 4 59. 9 64. 6
		Se	asonally adjus	ted annual rat	es	/ -
1965: V	5.2 6.8 6.4 5.6	33. 5 38. 9 38. 5 38. 9	28. 4 32. 1 32. 1 33. 3	111.3 112.9 115.3 117.4	56. 3 57. 1 58. 5 59. 3	55. 0 55. 8 56. 7 58. 0
1966: I II III IV	5.4 4.8 4.1 3.2	40. 3 40. 4 41. 4 41. 2	34. 9 35. 6 37. 3 38. 0	119.9 122.7 126.6 129.1	61.2 63.4 66.4 67.8	58.7 59.4 60.1 61.3
1967: I II IV IV	4. 1 4. 1 4. 2 2. 9	42. 4 42. 3 42. 8 42. 7	38. 3 38. 2 38. 6 39. 9	135.5 138.7 139.9 140.4	72.3 74.4 75.1 74.4	63. 2 64. 3 64. 9 66. 0

TABLE B-2.—Gross national product or expenditure, in 1958 prices, 1929-67—Continued [Billions of dollars, 1958 prices]

¹ Net of Government sales.

TABLE B-3.-Implicit price deflators for gross national product, 1929-67

[Index numbers, 1958=100]

		Р	ersonal co	nsumptio	n	Gro	ss private	domestic	investme	nt I
			expend	litures			Fixe	ed investm	sent	
Year or quarter	l otai gross national prod-						No	onresident	ial	
	uct 1	Total	Dur- able goods	Non- durable goods	Serv- ices	Total	Total	Struc- tures	Pro- ducers' durable equip- ment	dentiai struc- tures
1929	50.6	55.3	56.4	54, 5	56.1	39. 4	39. 9	35.7	44.6	38. 1
1930	49. 3 44. 8 40. 2 39. 3 42. 2 42. 6 42. 7 44. 5 43. 9 43. 2	53.6 47.9 42.3 40.6 43.5 44.4 44.7 46.5 45.6 45.1	55. 3 49. 1 43. 2 41. 9 44. 7 43. 6 45. 8 46. 7 46. 0	51.6 44.1 37.7 38.0 42.7 44.5 44.8 46.4 44.0 43.2	55.7 52.7 48.3 43.6 44.3 44.4 45.0 46.8 47.7 47.7	37. 9 35. 2 31. 6 30. 6 33. 7 34. 3 34. 6 37. 8 38. 2 37. 7	38. 1 35. 8 32. 9 31. 6 34. 9 35. 9 35. 6 38. 8 39. 3 38. 7	34. 0 31. 1 27. 6 27. 9 28. 9 30. 6 30. 2 34. 4 33. 9 33. 1	43.0 41.1 39.1 38.8 38.7 38.5 41.4 43.0 42.2	37. 1 33. 6 27. 3 27. 3 30. 1 29. 8 31. 3 34. 3 35. 5 35. 7
1940	43. 9 47. 2 53. 0 56. 8 58. 2 59. 7 66. 7 74. 6 79. 6 79. 1	45, 5 48, 7 54, 8 59, 9 63, 2 65, 4 70, 5 77, 9 82, 3 81, 7	46. 5 50. 4 59. 3 64. 2 71. 5 75. 9 76. 8 82. 7 86. 3 86. 8	43.8 47.6 62.5 68.7 74.3 83.6 88.5 85.6	47.9 49.8 52.7 55.3 57.5 58.7 62.7 67.9 72.1 74.3	39. 0 42. 0 46. 5 49. 3 51. 1 51. 5 58. 5 66. 7 73. 9 74. 7	40. 0 42. 7 47. 8 49. 9 51. 0 51. 0 56. 3 64. 5 70. 7 72. 8	33.9 36.4 41.3 46.8 48.6 49.2 54.4 64.4 71.5 71.2	43. 4 46. 3 51. 5 51. 1 51. 9 51. 7 57. 5 64. 6 70. 3 73. 6	36. 9 40. 3 43. 3 47. 0 51. 6 54. 9 59. 7 71. 7 80. 8 78. 5
1950	80. 2 85. 6 87. 5 88. 3 89. 6 90. 9 94. 0 97. 5 100. 0 101. 6	82.9 88.6 90.5 91.7 92.8 94.8 94.8 97.7 100.0 101.3	87. 8 94. 2 95. 4 94. 3 92. 9 91. 9 94. 9 98. 4 100. 0 101. 4	86.0 93.3 94.3 93.9 94.2 94.9 94.9 97.7 100.0 99.9	76.3 80.0 83.6 87.7 90.0 92.0 94.6 97.3 100.0 103.0	77.5 83.1 85.3 86.6 86.8 94.0 98.5 100.0 102.6	74. 4 80. 4 82. 6 84. 0 86. 7 92. 4 97. 9 100. 0 102. 2	72.9 79.3 83.2 84.9 86.0 88.1 93.4 93.4 98.6 100.0 102.7	75. 2 80. 9 82. 2 83. 5 84. 0 85. 9 91. 8 97. 5 100. 0 102. 0	82. 5 88. 6 90. 8 90. 4 92. 9 97. 4 97. 4 99. 8 100. 0 103. 1
1960	103. 3 104. 6 105. 8 107. 2 108. 8 110. 9 113. 9 117. 3	102.9 103.9 104.9 106.1 107.4 108.7 111.5 114.4	100. 9 100. 6 100. 8 100. 4 100. 4 99. 5 98. 6 100. 0	101. 2 101. 9 102. 8 104. 0 104. 9 106. 9 110. 6 112. 8	105. 8 107. 6 109. 0 110. 9 113. 1 114. 8 118. 3 122. 5	103. 4 103. 9 104. 9 106. 0 107. 6 110. 0 112. 5 116. 1	102.9 103.4 104.1 104.5 105.7 107.7 110.2 113.1	104.0 105.6 107.1 108.9 111.1 114.6 118.4 122.8	102. 2 102. 1 102. 3 102. 3 103. 0 104. 2 106. 2 108. 9	104.5 105.0 106.7 108.9 112.3 116.4 120.9 127.9
1965: I II III IV	110.2 110.8 111.2 111.7	108.0 108.6 108.9 109.2	100, 3 100, 0 99, 0 98, 6	105.7 106.6 107.3 107.9	114.0 114.6 115.0 115.6	109.1 110.0 110.2 110.9	107. 0 107. 4 107. 8 108. 5	113.3 113.9 115.1 116.1	103. 9 104. 1 104. 2 104. 7	114.7 115.4 117.4 118.2
1966: I II III IV	112.5 113.5 114.4 115.3	110. 1 111. 2 111. 8 112. 7	98. 0 98. 4 98. 7 99. 4	109.4 110.3 111.0 111.6	116.6 117.8 118.7 119.9	111.4 112.2 112.8 113.7	109.1 109.7 110.4 111.6	116.8 117.7 118.9 120.1	105.1 105.8 106.3 107.7	118.7 120.4 122.0 123.2
1967: V p	116.0 116.6 117.7 118.9	113. 2 113. 7 114. 8 115. 7	99.5 99.5 100.1 101.0	111.7 112.2 113.3 114.0	120. 9 121. 9 123. 0 124. 2	114.4 115.0 116.8 118.2	112. 2 112. 2 113. 2 114. 6	121. 0 121. 5 123. 8 125. 0	108.2 108.3 108.8 110.3	123. 8 126. 2 129. 9 130. 8

See footnotes at end of table.

Vers ex quester	Exports and goods and	l imports of services ¹	Governm	ent purchases and services	of goods	Gross nation sec	al product by tors
rear or quarter	Exports	Imports	Total	Federal	State and local	Private 2	General government
1929	59. 5	57.3	38.6	36.0	39. 1	51.7	34.1
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937 1938 1939	52, 3 41, 0 34, 7 33, 7 40, 6 42, 3 43, 4 46, 5 43, 8 44, 1	49. 0 39. 3 31. 5 28. 8 33. 6 36. 7 40. 7 37. 9 38. 6	37. 9 36. 3 33. 4 34. 5 36. 8 37. 0 37. 6 38. 4 38. 3 37. 9	34. 1 34. 5 31. 9 33. 1 37. 4 37. 0 40. 5 40. 7 40. 5 40. 8	38.7 36.6 33.8 35.0 36.6 37.0 37.9 37.1 36.8 36.8	50, 4 45, 7 40, 9 39, 9 43, 0 43, 5 43, 4 45, 3 43, 4 45, 3 43, 9	34. 1 34. 5 33. 7 34. 8 34. 7 36. 5 36. 5 37. 4 36. 8
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1945 1946 1947 1948 1948	48,6 53,0 61,5 69,9 71,3 75,4 87,3 92,7 87,0	40. 8 43. 0 48. 3 51. 2 53. 2 56. 4 64. 9 79. 4 86. 4 82. 2	38. 5 44. 0 50. 9 53. 9 52. 6 55. 8 62. 9 68. 1 71. 0	40. 2 46. 6 52. 5 54. 9 53. 8 53. 1 57. 3 65. 6 69. 8 73. 0	37.3 39.2 42.3 44.6 46.1 48.6 53.2 60.4 66.4 68.9	44, 7 48, 7 55, 5 60, 9 62, 0 62, 6 68, 2 76, 3 81, 4 80, 6	36. 0 34. 7 37. 3 39. 7 43. 3 48. 3 55. 4 58. 5 60. 8 64. 7
1950 1951 1952 1953 1951 1955 1956 1957 1958 1958	84, 9 97, 0 98, 8 95, 2 94, 3 94, 9 97, 5 101, 3 100, 0 98, 8	88.7 107.2 103.6 99.1 100.8 100.6 102.5 104.0 100.0 99.3	71.8 78.5 81.0 81.8 84.1 92.1 96.4 100.0 102.4	72.9 79.4 81.2 81.4 83.5 86.9 91.7 95.8 100.0 102.2	70. 8 76. 9 80. 6 82. 8 85. 3 87. 5 92. 7 97. 3 100. 0 102. 6	81. 4 87. 4 89. 0 90. 8 91. 6 94. 5 97. 9 100. 0 101. 4	67. 1 70. 5 74. 4 76. 6 79. 6 84. 0 88. 7 93. 3 100. 0 104. 2
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967_P	99.9 101.9 100.8 100.6 101.5 104.5 105.4 106.7	101. 0 100. 1 98. 5 99. 5 101. 5 102. 4 104. 1 104. 3	105. 0 107. 1 109. 0 111. 8 115. 7 119. 4 123. 9 127. 1	104. 2 105. 2 105. 6 108. 0 112. 2 115. 5 119. 1 121. 4	105. 9 109. 4 113. 2 116. 3 119. 5 123. 4 129. 0 133. 8	102.8 103.7 104.7 105.8 107.0 108.9 111.6 114.7	108, 6 113, 6 116, 6 121, 5 128, 4 133, 5 139, 2 144, 5
1965: V	104.6 104.6 104.7 104.1	102. 0 101. 3 102. 7 103. 3	117.9 118.7 119.8 121.2	114. 3 114. 5 115. 5 117. 6	121.6 122.9 124.2 124.9	108.3 108.8 109.1 109.5	131. 4 132. 3 134. 0 136. 4
1966: 	104. 4 105. 0 105. 4 106. 7	103. 2 104. 0 104. 8 104. 3	122. 2 123. 1 124. 6 125. 2	117. 9 118. 3 119. 7 120. 2	126. 6 128. 3 129. 9 130. 8	110. 2 111. 2 112. 0 112. 9	137.4 138.1 140.0 141.0
1967: V p			125. 8 126. 1 127. 4 129. 3	120. 5 120. 3 121. 0 123. 6	131. 9 132. 9 134. 7 135. 6	113. 5 114. 0 115. 1 116. 1	142. 3 143. 4 144. 5 147. 9

TABLE B-3.-Implicit price deflators for gross national product, 1929-67-Continued [Index numbers, 1958=100]

¹ Separate deflators are not available for total gross private domestic investment, change in business inventories, and net exports of goods and services. ² Gross national product less compensation of general government employees. See also Tables B-7 and B-8.

TABLE B-4.-Gross national product by major type of product, 1929-67

[Billions of dollars]

				Goods output											
Year or	Total gross na-	Final	Inven-		Total		Dur	able go	ods	Nondi	ırable g	goods	Serv-	Struc-	Gross
quarter	tional prod- uct	sales	change	Total goods	Final sales	Inventory change	Total	Final sales	Inventory change	Total	Final sales	Inventory change	ices	tures	prod- uct
1929	103.1	101.4	1.7	56.1	54.3	1.7	17.5	16.1	1.4	38. 5	38.2	0.3	35.6	11.4	
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937 1938 1939	90. 4 75. 8 55. 6 65. 1 72. 2 82. 5 90. 4 90. 5	90.7 77.0 60.5 57.2 65.8 71.2 81.2 81.2 87.9 85.6 90.1	4 -1.1 -2.5 -1.6 7 1.1 1.3 2.5 9	46. 9 37. 4 26. 7 27. 0 34. 4 39. 9 45. 8 51. 5 45. 3 49. 0	47.3 38.6 29.2 28.6 35.1 38.8 44.5 48.9 46.2 48.6	4 -1.1 -2.5 -1.6 7 1.1 1.3 2.5 9 .4	11.4 7.7 3.6 4.9 7.4 9.3 12.2 13.9 9.9 12.7	12.5 9.0 5.7 5.4 7.3 8.9 11.2 13.1 10.8 12.4	-1.0 -1.2 -2.0 5 .1 .3 .9 .8 9 .3	35. 5 29. 7 23. 1 22. 1 27. 0 30. 6 33. 6 37. 6 35. 4 36. 3	34. 8 29. 6 23. 2 27. 8 29. 9 33. 3 35. 8 35. 8 35. 4 36. 2	.7 .1 4 -1.1 9 .7 .3 1.8 .1	34. 2 31. 7 27. 5 25. 7 27. 1 28. 3 31. 0 32. 3 33. 2 34. 0	9.2 6.8 2.5 4.0 6.7 6.25 7.	
1940 1941 1942 1943 1945 1945 1946 1947 1948 1949	99.7 124.5 157.9 191.6 210.1 211.9 208.5 231.3 257.6 256.5	97.5 120.1 156.2 192.2 211.1 213.0 202.1 231.8 252.9 259.6	2.2 4.5 1.8 6 -1.0 -1.0 6.4 5 4.7 -3.1	56. 0 72. 5 93. 6 120. 4 132. 3 128. 9 124. 9 139. 7 154. 2 147. 5	53.8 68.0 91.9 121.0 133.3 129.9 118.5 140.1 149.4 150.5	2.2 4.5 1.8 6 -1.0 -1.0 6.4 5 4.7 -3.1	16.6 26.8 35.5 54.2 57.9 48.9 36.9 46.0 48.7 47.8	15. 4 23. 8 34. 5 54. 2 58. 5 50. 2 31. 6 44. 3 48. 0 49. 9	1.2 3.0 1.06 -1.3 5.3 1.7 -2.1	39. 3 45. 6 58. 1 66. 2 74. 4 80. 0 88. 0 93. 7 105. 5 99. 7	38. 4 44. 2 57. 4 66. 8 74. 8 79. 7 86. 9 95. 9 101. 5 100. 6	1.0 1.4 6 3 1.1 -2.2 4.0 -1.0	35.4 40.3 50.3 62.5 71.8 76.5 68.0 70.2 75.7 80.8	8.3 11.8 14.0 8.7 6.1 6.5 15.6 21.4 27.7 28.3	7. 2 8. 8 11. 9
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1956 1956 1957 1958 1959	284. 8 328. 4 345. 5 364. 6 364. 8 398. 0 419. 2 441. 1 447. 3 483. 7	278.0 318.1 342.4 364.1 366.4 392.0 414.5 439.8 448.8 448.8 478.9	6.8 10.3 3.1 -1.5 6.0 4.7 1.3 -1.5 4.8	162. 4 189. 7 195. 6 204. 1 197. 1 216. 4 225. 4 234. 6 230. 8 249. 1	155.6 179.4 192.5 203.7 198.6 210.4 220.7 233.3 232.3 244.4	6.8 10.3 3.1 -1.5 6.0 4.7 1.3 -1.5 4.8	60. 4 73. 7 74. 6 79. 4 72. 1 85. 7 90. 3 94. 4 83. 6 95. 6	56. 3 66. 8 73. 5 78. 5 74. 6 82. 7 87. 5 93. 1 86. 4 93. 2	4.1 6.9 1.1 -2.5 3.0 2.8 1.3 -2.8 2.3	102. 0 116. 0 121. 0 124. 8 125. 0 130. 7 135. 1 140. 2 147. 2 153. 6	99. 3 112. 6 119. 1 125. 2 124. 1 127. 7 133. 2 140. 2 145. 9 151. 1	2.7 3.4 2.5 1.0 1.9 1.3 1.3 2.4	87.0 101.2 110.8 118.8 123.5 132.6 142.3 154.2 163.4 176.2	35. 4 37. 5 39. 1 41. 7 44. 2 49. 0 51. 5 52. 3 53. 1 58. 3	15.4 13.5 12.0 16.3 14.6 21.2 16.9 19.5 14.5 19.1
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1966 1967 p	503. 7 520. 1 560. 3 590. 5 632. 4 683. 9 743. 3 785. 1	500. 2 518. 1 554. 3 584. 6 626. 6 674. 5 729. 9 780. 0	3.6 2.0 6.0 5.9 5.8 9.4 13.4 5.1	259. 6 262. 3 284. 5 298. 6 319. 4 346. 6 379. 6 396. 2	256. 0 260. 2 278. 5 292. 7 313. 6 337. 2 366. 2 391. 1	3.6 2.0 6.0 5.9 5.8 9.4 13.4 5.1	99. 5 96. 5 109. 0 116. 1 127. 0 139. 5 154. 6 158. 8	97. 4 96. 6 106. 2 113. 3 122. 8 132. 8 144. 7 155. 9	2.1 1 2.8 2.8 4.2 6.7 9.9 2.8	160. 1 165. 8 175. 5 182. 5 192. 4 207. 1 225. 0 237. 5	158.6 163.7 172.2 179.4 190.7 204.4 221.5 235.2	1.5 2.1 3.2 3.1 1.6 2.7 3.5 2.3	187.3 199.5 213.3 226.2 244.2 262.9 287.2 311.0	56.8 58.3 62.6 65.7 68.8 74.4 76.5 77.8	21.4 17.9 22.5 25.1 25.8 31.4 29.8 27.7
ľ					Se	asonall	y adjus	ted ann	nual ra	tes					
1965: V	662.7 675.4 690.0 708.4	652.0 666.5 680.6 698.5	10, 6 8, 8 9, 4 9, 9	336. 5 341. 7 349. 6 359. 8	325. 9 332. 8 340. 2 349. 9	10.6 8.8 9.4 9.9	138.2 137.0 140.9 142.9	129.6 130.0 133.9 137.9	8.7 7.0 7.1 5.0	198.3 204.7 208.7 216.9	196. 3 202. 9 206. 3 212. 0	2.0 1.8 2.3 4.9	254.6 260.1 266.0 271.0	71.6 73.6 74.4 77.6	32, 8 30, 8 30, 6 31, 3
1966: V	725.9 736.7 748.8 762.1	716.0 722.6 737.4 743.6	9.9 14.0 11.4 18.5	369.5 375.7 381.8 391.7	359.6 361.7 370.3 373.2	9.9 14.0 11.4 18.5	150. 5 151. 4 155. 7 161. 1	143. 2 141. 6 145. 8 148. 3	7.4 9.7 9.9 12.8	219. 0 224. 4 226. 1 230. 6	216. 4 220. 1 224. 5 224. 9	2.5 4.3 1.5 5.7	276.6 283.5 291.6 296.9	79.9 77.4 75.5 73.5	32, 3 29, 1 28, 2 29, 6
1967 : V »	766.3 775.1 791.2 807.6	759.2 774.6 787.4 798.7	7.1 .5 3.8 9.0	388, 1 392, 1 398, 7 406, 1	380. 9 391. 6 394. 9 397. 1	7.1 .5 3.8 9.0	153.9 155.5 161.4 164.4	150, 5 156, 0 157, 9 159, 3	3.4 6 3.5 5.1	234, 2 236, 6 237, 3 241, 8	230, 5 235, 5 237, 0 237, 8	3.7 1.1 .3 4.0	303. 1 307. 8 313. 5 319. 7	75. 2 75. 2 79. 0 81. 9	25. 0 27. 8 27. 9 30. 0

TABLE B-5.—Gross national product by major type of product, in 1958 prices, 1929–67 [Billions of dollars, 1958 prices]

				Goods a						oods output					
Year or	Total gross na-	Final	Inven-		Total		Dura	able goo	ods	Nondu	irable g	goods	Serv-	Struc-	Gross
quarter	tional prod- uct	sales	change	Total goods	Final sales	Inventory change	Total	Final sales	Inventory change	Total	Final sales	Inventory change	ices	tures	prod- uct
1929	203.6	200. 1	3. 5	103. 9	100.4	3. 5	33.6	30. 9	2.7	70.4	69.5	0. 8	69.3	30. 3	
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1938 1939	183. 5 169. 3 144. 2 141. 5 154. 3 169. 5 193. 0 203. 2 192. 9 209. 4	184. 1 171. 7 150. 5 145. 9 157. 0 167. 1 189. 9 197. 8 195. 3 208. 2	6 -2.4 -6.2 -4.3 -2.7 2.4 3.1 5.5 -2.4 1.2	90. 5 83. 2 68. 7 68. 8 77. 9 88. 6 102. 2 110. 2 110. 5 110. 7	91.1 85.7 74.9 73.2 80.5 86.2 99.1 104.8 102.9 109.5	6 -2.4 -6.2 -4.3 -2.7 2.4 3.1 5.5 -2.4 1.2	22.4 16.3 8.3 11.7 16.9 21.5 28.7 31.0 21.1 27.6	24.5 19.2 13.4 13.4 16.7 20.6 26.3 29.1 23.4 27.0	-2.1 -3.0 -5.1 -1.7 .9 2.4 1.9 -2.3 .6	68.0 67.0 60.4 57.1 61.0 67.1 73.5 79.2 79.4 83.0	66.5 66.5 59.8 63.8 65.6 72.8 75.7 79.5 82.5	1.5 .5 -1.1 -2.7 -2.8 1.5 .7 3.6 1	67.7 65.8 61.9 63.0 65.3 68.1 73.3 73.9 74.8 76.9	25. 3 20. 2 13. 7 9. 8 11. 1 12. 8 17. 5 19. 1 17. 7 21. 8	
1940 1941 1942 1943 1945 1945 1946 1946 1948 1948	227. 2 263. 7 297. 8 337. 1 361. 3 355. 2 312. 6 309. 9 323. 7 324. 1	222. 3 254. 1 293. 8 337. 3 363. 2 358. 2 302. 6 310. 1 319. 1 328. 1	4.9 9.6 4.0 2 -1.9 10.0 2 4.6 -3.9	124.0 143.4 158.1 187.4 204.8 198.0 172.1 172.2 178.4 174.2	119.0 133.8 154.1 187.6 206.7 201.0 162.1 172.4 173.8 178.1	4.9 9.6 4.0 2 -1.9 -2.9 10.0 2 4.6 -3.9	35.6 50.0 57.2 85.6 95.9 84.3 54.7 60.1 61.3 58.0	32.8 43.5 54.4 85.2 97.4 87.4 46.1 58.6 60.0 61.0	2.7 6.6 2.9 -1.5 -3.1 -3.6 1.5 1.2 -3.0	88. 4 93. 4 100. 9 101. 7 108. 8 113. 7 117. 4 112. 2 117. 1 116. 2	86. 2 90. 3 99. 7 102. 4 109. 3 113. 6 116. 0 113. 8 113. 8 117. 1	2.2 3.1 1.2 6 4 .2 1.4 -1.7 3.3 9	80.0 89.8 107.7 131.8 144.0 144.3 113.3 106.5 109.3 112.4	23.2 30.5 31.9 17.9 12.4 12.9 27.2 31.2 36.1 37.5	10. 3 11. 4 14. 8
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	355. 3 383. 4 395. 1 412. 8 407. 0 438. 0 446. 1 452. 5 447. 3 475. 9	347.0 372.5 391.8 411.8 409.0 431.6 441.2 451.2 448.8 471.1	8.3 10.9 3.3 -9 -2.0 6.4 4.8 1.2 -1.5 4.8	192. 6 208. 4 214. 0 225. 4 215. 1 236. 1 239. 0 239. 8 230. 8 247. 7	184. 3 197. 5 210. 7 224. 5 217. 1 229. 7 234. 2 238. 5 232. 3 242. 9	8.3 10.9 3.3 -2.0 6.4 4.8 1.2 -1.5 4.8	73.4 84.6 91.0 81.9 96.5 96.5 96.2 83.6 94.0	68.3 76.1 83.2 89.9 84.8 93.0 93.5 95.0 86.4 91.6	5.0 8.0 1.2 -3.0 3.0 3.0 2.3 -2.4	119. 1 124. 3 129. 4 134. 4 133. 2 139. 7 142. 5 143. 6 147. 2 153. 7	116.0 121.4 127.6 134.6 132.3 136.7 140.7 143.6 145.9 151.2	3.1 2.9 1.8 2 .9 3.0 1.8 1.3 2.5	117.5 130.5 136.3 140.3 141.8 147.5 153.0 160.1 163.4 171.2	45. 2 44. 4 44. 7 47. 0 50. 2 54. 3 54. 0 52. 6 53. 1 57. 0	19. 1 15. 9 13. 5 18. 7 17. 1 24. 6 18. 6 20. 2 14. 5 18. 5
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 p	487. 7 497. 2 529. 8 551. 0 581. 1 616. 7 652. 6 669. 2	484. 2 495. 2 523. 8 545. 2 575. 2 607. 8 639. 9 664. 5	3.5 2.0 6.0 5.8 5.8 8.8 12.6 4.7	256. 0 257. 3 277. 3 289. 7 308. 6 330. 0 353. 7 361. 5	252.6 255.3 271.3 283.9 302.8 321.2 341.0 356.7	3.5 2.0 6.0 5.8 5.8 8.8 12.6 4.7	97.8 94.9 107.0 114.2 124.6 136.3 150.0 150.5	95. 9 94. 9 104. 1 111. 4 120. 4 129. 8 140. 6 148. 0	2.0 2.8 2.8 4.1 6.5 9.3 2.5	158. 2 162. 3 170. 3 175. 6 184. 1 193. 7 203. 7 211. 0	156.7 160.3 167.2 172.5 182.3 191.4 200.4 208.8	1.5 2.0 3.1 3.1 1.7 2.3 3.3 2.2	176. 6 184. 0 193. 7 200. 9 210. 8 222. 3 235. 2 245. 6	55. 0 55. 8 58. 8 60. 4 61. 6 64. 4 63. 7 62. 1	21.0 17.5 22.0 24.7 25.5 31.4 30.3 27.8
						Seasor	nally ad	justed a	annual	rates					. <u></u>
1965: I II III IV	601.5 609.7 620.7 634.4	592.2 601.7 612.0 625.2	9.3 8.0 8.7 9.2	321.7 324.9 332.2 341.2	312. 4 316. 8 323. 5 332. 0	9.3 8.0 8.7 9.2	134. 4 133. 1 137. 7 139. 9	126. 3 126. 5 131. 1 135. 2	8.1 6.6 6.6 4.7	187.4 191.7 194.5 201.3	186, 1 190, 3 192, 4 196, 8	1.3 1.4 2.1 4.5	216. 9 220. 8 224. 5 226. 9	62. 9 64. 0 64. 0 66. 3	32.4 30.7 31.0 31.5
1966: I V	645.4 649.3 654.8 661.1	636.0 635.9 644.2 643.9	9.5 13.4 10.6 17.2	347.9 351.0 354.7 361.1	338.5 337.6 344.1 343.9	9.5 13.4 10.6 17.2	147.5 147.3 150.8 154.2	140, 5 138, 0 141, 6 142, 3	7.0 9.3 9.2 11.9	200. 4 203. 7 203. 9 206. 9	198. 0 199. 7 202. 5 201. 6	2.4 4.1 1.4 5.3	229.7 233.5 237.9 239.8	67.8 64.7 62.2 60.2	33.0 29.7 28.8 29.9
1967: I II IV P_	660.7 664.7 672.0 679.4	654, 0 664, 3 668, 5 671, 0	6.7 .4 3.5 8.4	356.6 359.5 362.9 366.9	349. 9 359. 1 359. 4 358. 6	6.7 .4 3.5 8.4	146.6 148.3 153.0 154.1	143.6 148.9 149.8 149.6	3.0 6 3.2 4.6	210. 0 211. 2 209. 8 212. 8	206. 3 210. 2 209. 5 209. 0	3.6 1.0 .3 3.8	242. 7 244. 4 246. 9 248. 4	61. 3 60. 8 62. 3 64. 1	25.3 28.2 27.9 29.7

TABLE B-6.—Gross national product: Receipts and expenditures by major economic groups, 1929-67

			Persons					G	overnme	nt		
	Disp	osable pe income	ersonal			1	Net rece	ipts	E	xpenditu	res	Sur-
Year or quarter	Total 1	Less: Inter- est paid and trans- fer pay- ments to for- eigners	Equals: Total exclud- ing in- terest and trans- fers	Per- sonal con- sump- tion ex- pendi- tures	Per- sonal saving or dis- saving (-)	Tax and non- tax re- ceipts or ac- cruals	Less: Trans- fers, inter- est, and sub- sidies ²	Equals: Net re- ceipts	Total ex- pendi- tures	Less: Trans- fers, inter- est, and sub- sidies ²	Equals: Pur- chases of goods and serv- ices	or deficit (-), na- tional in- come and prod- uct ac- counts
1929	83. 3	1.9	81. 4	77.2	4.2	11.3	1.8	9.5	10.3	1.8	8.5	1.0
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937 1938 1939	74.5 64.0 48.7 45.5 52.4 58.5 66.3 71.2 65.5 70.3	1.2 .9 .7 .6 .7 .8 .9 .8	73.3 63.1 48.0 51.7 57.8 65.5 70.3 64.6 69.4	69. 9 60. 5 48. 6 45. 8 51. 3 55. 7 61. 9 66. 5 63. 9 66. 8	3.4 2.6 9 .4 2.1 3.6 3.8 .7 2.6	10.8 9.5 8.9 9.3 10.5 11.4 12.9 15.4 15.0 15.4	1.9 3.1 2.6 2.7 3.1 3.4 4.1 3.2 3.8 4.2	8.9 6.3 6.3 6.7 7.4 8.0 8.8 12.2 11.2 11.2	11. 1 12. 4 10. 6 10. 7 12. 9 13. 4 16. 1 15. 0 16. 8 17. 6	1.9 3.1 2.6 2.7 3.1 3.4 4.1 3.2 3.8 4.2	9.2 9.2 8.1 8.0 9.8 10.0 12.0 11.9 13.0 13.3	3 -2.9 -1.8 -1.4 -2.4 -2.0 -3.1 .3 -1.8 -2.2
1940 1941 1942 1943 1945 1946 1946 1947 1948 1949	75.7 92.7 116.9 133.5 146.3 150.2 160.0 169.8 189.1 188.6	1.0 1.1 .8 .8 1.0 1.4 1.8 2.2 2.4	74, 7 91, 6 116, 1 132, 7 145, 5 149, 3 158, 6 168, 0 186, 9 186, 2	70. 8 80. 6 88. 5 99. 3 108. 3 119. 7 143. 4 160. 7 173. 6 176. 8	3.8 11.0 27.6 33.4 37.3 29.6 15.2 7.3 13.4 9.4	17.7 25.0 32.6 49.2 51.2 53.2 50.9 56.8 58.9 56.0	4.4 4.0 4.4 4.7 6.5 10.4 18.5 17.3 18.8 21.3	13. 3 21. 0 28. 2 44. 4 44. 7 42. 8 32. 4 39. 5 40. 1 34. 7	18.4 28.8 64.0 93.3 103.0 92.7 45.5 42.4 50.3 59.1	4.4 4.0 4.4 6.5 10.4 18.5 17.3 18.8 21.3	14.0 24.8 59.6 96.5 82.3 27.0 25.1 31.6 37.8	$\begin{array}{r}7\\ -3.8\\ -31.4\\ -44.1\\ -51.8\\ -39.5\\ 5.4\\ 14.4\\ 8.5\\ -3.2\end{array}$
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	206. 9 226. 6 238. 3 252. 6 257. 4 275. 3 293. 2 308. 5 318. 8 337. 3	2.9 3.1 3.5 4.6 5.9 6.4 6.5 7.1	204. 1 223. 5 234. 8 248. 3 252. 9 270. 2 287. 2 302. 2 312. 3 330. 3	191. 0 206. 3 216. 7 230. 0 236. 5 254. 4 266. 7 281. 4 290. 1 311. 2	13. 1 17. 3 18. 1 18. 3 16. 4 15. 8 20. 6 20. 7 22. 3 19. 1	68. 7 84. 8 94. 3 89. 7 100. 4 109. 0 115. 6 114. 7 128. 9	22.9 19.9 19.0 19.5 21.9 23.4 25.5 28.7 33.0 34.0	45. 8 64. 9 70. 8 74. 8 67. 8 76. 9 86. 8 81. 6 95. 0	60. 8 79. 0 93. 7 101. 2 96. 7 97. 6 104. 1 114. 9 127. 2 131. 0	22.9 19.9 19.0 19.5 21.9 23.4 25.5 28.7 33.0 34.0	37.9 59.1 74.7 81.6 74.8 74.2 78.6 86.1 94.2 97.0	7.8 5.8 3.8 6.9 7.0 2.7 4.9 7 -12.5 2.1
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 p	350. 0 364. 4 385. 3 404. 6 438. 1 472. 2 508. 8 544. 6	7.8 8.1 8.6 9.7 10.7 11.9 13.1 14.2	342. 3 356. 3 376. 6 394. 9 427. 4 460. 3 495. 7 530. 4	325. 2 335. 2 355. 1 375. 0 401. 2 433. 1 465. 9 491. 6	17.0 21.2 21.6 19.9 26.2 27.2 29.8 38.7	139.8 144.6 157.0 168.8 174.1 188.8 213.0 227.3	36. 5 41. 3 42. 8 44. 4 46. 7 49. 7 55. 5 63. 7	103. 3 103. 3 114. 2 124. 3 127. 3 139. 1 157. 5 163. 6	136. 1 149. 0 159. 9 166. 9 175. 4 186. 1 209. 8 240. 0	36. 5 41. 3 42. 8 44. 4 46. 7 49. 7 55. 5 63. 7	99.6 107.6 117.1 122.5 128.7 136.4 154.3 176.3	3.7 4.3 2.9 1.8 1.4 2.7 3.2 12.7
				<u> </u>	Seaso	nally adj	usted ani	nual rates	·	<u></u>		
1965: I II III IV	456. 0 464. 0 479. 4 489. 4	11.4 11.9 12.2 12.4	444.6 452.1 467.2 477.0	420, 2 428, 1 436, 4 447, 8	24. 5 24. 0 30. 9 29. 3	185, 4 188, 3 188, 3 193, 2	48, 7 48, 0 51, 9 50, 4	136, 7 140, 3 136, 4 142, 8	179. 8 182. 0 190. 0 192. 6	48.7 48.0 51.9 50.4	131, 3 133, 9 138, 1 142, 3	5.7 6.2 —1.7 .6
1966: V	497.5 503.3 512.4 522.0	12.6 13.0 13.1 13.5	484. 9 490. 3 499. 3 508. 5	458.2 461.6 470.1 473.8	26.6 28.7 29.2 34.6	204.3 210.6 216.3 220.9	53. 4 53. 1 56. 1 59. 4	150.9 157.5 160.2 161.5	199. 8 204. 4 213. 7 221. 2	53.4 53.1 56.1 59.4	146.5 151.2 157.7 161.7	4. 6 6. 1 2. 6
1967: [V ₽_	532.7 540.0 548.2 557.5	13. 8 14. 3 14. 3 14. 5	518.9 525.7 533.9 543.0	480. 2 489. 7 495. 3 501. 4	38.8 36.0 38.5 41.6	222. 8 223. 2 229. 3	63.2 63.1 64.4 64.7	159.6 160.1 164.9	233.6 238.1 242.6 246.2	63.2 63.1 64.4 64.7	170. 4 175. 0 178. 2 181. 5	-10.8 -15.0 -13.3

[Billions of dollars]

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE B-6.—Gross national product: Receipts and expenditures by major economic groups, 1929-67—Continued

						-					
		Busines	6		- Ir	nternatio	nal				
Year	Gross	Gross pri-	Excess	Trans- fers to for-	Net e a	xports of nd servic	goods es	Excess of trans-	Total	Statis- tical	Gross na- tional
or quarter	tained earn- ings 3	vate domes- tic in- vest- ment 4	vest- ment (-)	by per- sons and Gov- ern- ment	Ex- ports	Less: Im- ports	Equals: Net ex- ports	fers or of net ex- ports (-) ⁵	or re- ceipts	dis- crep- ancy	prod- uct or ex- pendi- ture
1929	11.2	16.2	-5, 1	0.4	7.0	5.9	1.1	-0.8	102.4	0.7	103.1
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1936 1936 1937 1938	8.6 5.3 3.2 5.2 6.4 6.7 7.7 8.0	10.3 5.6 1.0 1.4 3.3 6.4 8.5 11.8 6.5	$ \begin{array}{r} -1.6 \\3 \\ 2.2 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.9 \\ -1.8 \\ -4.0 \\ 1.6 \\ \end{array} $		5.4 3.6 2.5 2.4 3.0 3.3 3.5 4.6 4.3	4.4 3.1 2.1 2.4 3.1 3.4 4.3 3.0	1.0 .5 .4 .6 .1 .1 .3 1.3	7 2 2 4 .1 1 1	91. 2 75. 1 57. 7 55. 0 64. 5 72. 5 81. 3 90. 5 84. 1	8 .7 .6 .5 2 1.2 .6	90. 4 75. 8 58. 0 55. 6 65. 1 72. 2 82. 5 90. 4 84. 7
1939	8.4 10.5 11.4 14.5 16.3 17.1 15.1 14.5 20.2 28.0	9.3 13.1 17.9 9.8 5.7 7.1 10.6 30.6 34.0 46.0	9 -2.7 -6.5 4.6 10.6 10.0 4.6 -16.1 -13.8 -18.0	.2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2	4.4 5.9 4.8 4.4 5.3 7.2 14.7 19.7 16.8	3.4 3.6 4.6 4.8 6.5 7.1 7.9 7.2 8.2 10.3	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.1\\ 1.7\\ 1.3\\ -2.0\\ -1.8\\6\\ 7.5\\ 11.5\\ 6.4 \end{array} $	9 -1.5 -1.1 2.2 2.1 1.4 -4.6 -8.9 -1.9	89. 2 98. 7 124. 1 159. 0 193. 6 207. 6 208. 0 208. 4 230. 4 230. 4 259. 5	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.3\\4\\ -1.1\\ -2.0\\ 2.5\\ 3.9\\1\\ .9\\ -2.0\\ \end{array} $	90. 5 99. 7 124. 5 157. 9 191. 6 210. 1 211. 9 208. 5 231. 3 257. 6
1950 1951 1952 1952 1953 1954 1955 1955 1957 1958 1959	29.4 33.1 35.1 36.1 39.2 46.3 47.3 49.8 49.4 56.8	53.7 54.1 59.3 51.9 52.6 51.7 67.4 70.0 67.8 60.9 75.3	$\begin{array}{c}6.0 \\ -24.7 \\ -26.2 \\ -16.8 \\ -16.5 \\ -12.5 \\ -21.1 \\ -22.8 \\ -18.1 \\ -11.5 \\ -18.5 \end{array}$	3.6 4.0 3.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4	13. 8 13. 8 18. 7 18. 0 16. 9 17. 8 19. 8 23. 6 26. 5 23. 1 23. 5	9.0 12.0 15.1 15.8 16.6 15.9 17.8 19.6 20.8 20.9 23.3	1.8 3.7 2.2 .4 1.8 2.0 4.0 5.7 2.2 .1	5 2.2 2 .3 2.1 .5 -1.5 -3.4 2.3	236. 2 283. 3 325. 1 343. 3 361. 6 362. 1 395. 9 420. 4 441. 1 445. 8 484. 5	1.5 3.3 2.2 3.0 2.7 2.1 -1.1 * 1.6 8	236.3 284.8 328.4 345.5 364.6 364.8 398.0 419.2 441.1 447.3 483.7
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1966 1967_P	56. 8 58. 7 66. 3 68. 8 76. 2 83. 7 89. 7 90. 4	74.8 71.7 83.0 87.1 94.0 107.4 118.0 112.1	$\begin{array}{r} -18.0 \\ -13.0 \\ -16.8 \\ -18.4 \\ -17.8 \\ -23.8 \\ -28.3 \\ -21.7 \end{array}$	2.4 2.6 2.7 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.9 3.0	27.2 28.6 30.3 32.3 37.1 39.1 43.0 45.4	23. 2 23. 0 25. 1 26. 4 28. 6 32. 2 37. 9 40. 4	4.0 5.6 5.1 5.9 8.5 6.9 5.1 5.0	$ \begin{vmatrix} -1.7 \\ -3.0 \\ -2.5 \\ -3.1 \\ -5.7 \\ -4.1 \\ -2.2 \\ -2.0 \end{vmatrix} $	504. 8 520. 8 559. 8 590. 8 633. 7 685. 8 745. 9 787. 3	$ \begin{vmatrix} -1.0 \\8 \\ .5 \\3 \\ -1.3 \\ -2.0 \\ -2.6 \\ -2.2 \end{vmatrix} $	503. 7 520. 1 560. 3 590. 5 632. 4 683. 9 743. 3 785. 1
				Sea	asonally	adjusted	annual ra	tes			
1965: 1 V	81. 9 82. 4 84. 2 86. 2	105. 1 105. 1 108. 2 112. 3	-23.2 -22.7 -24.0 -26.1	2.7 3.1 2.9 2.6	35. 1 40. 7 40. 3 40. 5	28.9 32.6 32.9 34.4	6. 1 8. 2 7. 4 6. 1	-3.5 -5.1 -4.5 -3.4	666.1 677.7 690.6 708.9	-3.4 -2.3 6 5	662, 7 675, 4 690, 0 708, 4
1966: I II III IV	87.6 88.4 89.5 93.6	115.2 118.5 116.4 122.2	-27.6 -30.1 -26.9 -28.6	3.4 2.9 2.8 2.5	42. 0 42. 5 43. 7 44. 0	36. 0 37. 1 39. 0 39. 7	6.1 5.4 4.6 4.3	-2.7 -2.5 -1.8 -1.8	726. 8 738. 8 751. 9 765. 9	9 -2.2 -3.2 -3.8	725. 9 736. 7 748. 8 762. 1
1967: I II III IV P	88. 9 89. 1 90. 4	110. 4 105. 1 112. 2 120. 7	-21.5 -16.0 -21.8	2.9 3.1 3.1 2.8	45.3 45.1 45.6 45.6	39.9 39.8 40.2 41.6	5.3 5.3 5.4 4.0	$\begin{vmatrix} -2.5 \\ -2.3 \\ -2.3 \\ -1.2 \end{vmatrix}$	770. 3 777. 9 792. 4	-4.0 -2.8 -1.2	766.3 775.1 791.2 807.6

[Billions of dollars]

¹ Personal income less personal tax and nontax payments (fines, penalties, etc.).
 ² Government transfer payments to persons, foreign net transfers by government, net interest paid by government, and subsidies less current surplus of government enterprises.
 ³ Undistributed corporate profiles, corporate inventory valuation adjustment, capital consumption allowances, and wage accruats less disbursements.
 ⁴ Private business investment, purchases of capital goods by private nonprofit institutions, and residential housing. See Table B-11.
 ⁵ Net foreign investment with sign changed.

TABLE B-7.—Gross national product by sector, 1929-67

	T .1.1			Gross privat	te product 1			
Year or quarter	gross national	7.4.1		Business		House-	Rest of	Gross govern- ment
	product	Iotai	Total	Nonfarm 2	Farm	holds	the world	product ³
1929	103. 1	98. 8	95. 1	85, 4	9.7	2, 9	0.8	4.3
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937 1938 1939	90. 4 75. 8 58. 0 55. 6 65. 1 72. 2 82. 5 90. 4 84. 7 90. 5	85, 8 71, 2 53, 6 50, 9 59, 5 66, 3 75, 2 83, 5 77, 0 82, 9	82. 4 68. 3 51. 3 48. 9 57. 4 64. 1 72. 9 81. 0 74. 5 80. 3	74.8 62.0 46.8 44.3 52.7 57.1 66.5 72.7 67.9 74.0	7.7 6.3 4.5 4.6 4.7 7.0 6.4 8.3 6.6 6.3	2.7 2.3 1.9 1.7 1.8 2.0 2.3 2.2 2.3	.7 .4 .3 .4 .3 .4 .3 .4 .3	4.5 4.4 4.4 5.6 7.3 7.3 7.6 7.6
940 941 942 943 944 945 945 945 945 945 944 948 949	99.7 124.5 157.9 191.6 210.1 208.5 238.5 231.3 257.6 256.5	91. 9 115. 1 142. 8 166. 0 177. 9 176. 8 187. 7 214. 6 240. 1 237. 0	89. 1 112. 2 139. 5 162. 4 173. 8 172. 3 182. 7 208. 6 233. 5 230. 1	82.6 103.3 126.5 147.2 158.5 156.4 163.9 188.5 210.2 211.4	6.5 8.9 13.0 15.3 15.3 15.9 18.8 20.2 23.3 18.8	2.4 2.59 3.22 3.7 4.1 5.1 5.9	.4 .4 .4 .4 .4 .6 .8 1.0 1.0	7.8 9.4 15.1 25.6 32.2 20.8 16.7 17.4 19.4
1950	284. 8 328. 4 345. 5 364. 6 398. 0 419. 2 441. 1 447. 3 483. 7	263. 9 301. 0 314. 3 332. 7 332. 4 363. 8 382. 6 402. 0 405. 2 439. 4	256. 3 292. 8 305. 8 323. 6 322. 7 352. 9 370. 8 389. 3 389. 3 391. 7 425. 0	236.3 269.9 283.7 303.3 303.1 334.1 352.2 370.9 370.9 405.3	20. 0 22. 9 22. 2 20. 3 19. 6 18. 8 18. 6 18. 4 20. 8 19. 6	6.4 6.9 7.2 7.8 8.1 9.1 9.8 10.5 11.4 12.2	1.2 1.3 1.3 1.6 1.8 2.1 2.2 2.0 2.2	20.9 27.4 31.2 31.9 32.5 34.2 36.6 39.1 42.1 44.3
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1966	503. 7 520. 1 560. 3 590. 5 632. 4 683. 9 743. 3 785. 1	456. 3 469. 2 505. 7 532. 4 569. 4 616. 1 666. 7 699. 7	440. 7 452. 3 487. 4 513. 0 548. 2 593. 4 642. 4 673. 8	420, 2 431, 4 466, 2 491, 5 527, 6 569, 8 617, 6 649, 8	20. 5 20. 9 21. 2 21. 5 20. 6 23. 6 24. 8 24. 0	13. 2 14. 0 15. 0 16. 0 17. 3 18. 5 20. 1 21. 5	2.4 2.9 3.3 4.0 4.2 4.2 4.5	47. 5 50. 9 54. 7 58. 1 63. 0 67. 8 76. 6 85. 4
	- 		Sea	asonally adjus	ted annual ra	tes		
1965: I II IV	662.7 675.4 690.0 708.4	597. 3 608. 8 621. 6 637. 5	575. 3 586. 1 598. 7 614. 6	553. 1 562. 2 574. 6 590. 3	22. 2 23. 9 24. 1 24. 2	17.6 18.3 18.9 19.2	4.3 4.5 4.1 3.8	65. 4 66. 5 68. 4 70. 9
1966: 1 11 111 1V	725. 9 736. 7 748. 8 762. 1	653.0 661.5 670.6 681.9	629. 4 637. 6 646. 2 656. 9	603. 3 612. 8 621. 6 633. 0	26. 0 24. 8 24. 6 23. 9	19. 7 19. 7 20. 3 20. 6	3.9 4.2 4.1 4.4	72. 9 75. 1 78. 2 80. 2
1967: V p	766. 3 775. 1 791. 2 807. 6	683. 9 690. 9 705. 2 719. 0	658.7 665.3 679.0 692.2	635. 1 641. 9 654. 6 667. 4	23.6 23.3 24.4 24.8	21. 1 21. 4 21. 2 22. 2	4. 1 4. 2 4. 9 4. 6	82. 5 84. 2 86. 0 88. 7

(Billions of dollars)

¹ Gross national product less compensation of general government employees. ² Includes compensation of employees in government enterprises. Government enterprises are those agencies of government whose operating costs are at least to a substantial extent covered by the sale of goods and services, in contrast to the general activities of government which are financed mainly by tax revenues and debt creation. Government enterprises, in other words, conduct operations essentially commercial in character, even though they perform them under governmental auspices. The Post Office and public power systems are typical examples of government enterprises. On the other hand, State universities and public parks, where the fees and admissions cover only a nominal part of operating costs are part of general government employees.

				Gross privat	e product 1			
Year or quarter	gross gross	Tana		Business		House-	Rest of	Gross govern- ment
	product	IOTAI	Total	Nonfarm 2	Farm	holds	the world	product s
1929	203.6	190. 9	182.1	165. 1	17.0	7.4	1.4	12.7
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937 1938 1938	183, 5 169, 3 144, 2 141, 5 154, 3 169, 5 193, 0 203, 2 192, 9 209, 4	170, 1 155, 8 131, 0 127, 5 138, 3 152, 4 173, 1 184, 3 172, 6 188, 7	161. 4 147. 7 123. 8 120. 6 131. 1 144. 9 165. 4 176. 4 164. 6 180. 7	145. 4 129. 2 105. 8 103. 0 116. 6 128. 4 150. 5 158. 5 146. 8 162. 5	16, 1 18, 5 18, 0 17, 5 14, 6 16, 5 14, 9 17, 9 17, 8 18, 2	7.1 6.6 5.7 6.2 6.8 7.1 6.8 7.1	1.6 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.0 1.1 1.0 .8 1.1 .9	13. 3 13. 5 13. 2 14. 0 16. 0 17. 1 19. 9 18. 9 20. 4 20. 6
1940 1941 1942 1943 1945 1945 1946 1947 1948 1949	227, 2 263, 7 297, 8 337, 1 361, 3 355, 2 312, 6 309, 9 323, 7 324, 1	205. 6 236. 6 257. 3 272. 8 286. 9 282. 5 275. 1 281. 4 295. 0 294. 1	197. 1 228. 1 248. 7 264. 9 278. 9 274. 6 267. 0 272. 8 286. 0 284. 7	179. 6 209. 3 228. 0 245. 3 259. 5 256. 5 248. 6 255. 8 267. 0 266. 2	17.5 18.8 20.6 19.6 19.4 18.1 18.5 17.0 19.0 18.4	7.6 7.5 7.2 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.5 7.9 8.2	1.0 .9 .8 .9 .9 1.1 1.2 1.2	21. 6 27. 2 40. 5 64. 3 74. 4 72. 8 37. 5 28. 6 28. 7 30. 1
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1956 1957 1958 1959	355. 3 383. 4 395. 1 412. 8 407. 0 438. 0 446. 1 452. 5 447. 3 475. 9	324. 2 344. 6 353. 2 371. 1 366. 2 397. 2 404. 8 410. 5 405. 2 433. 4	314. 2 334. 5 343. 2 360. 7 355. 4 385. 4 392. 2 397. 5 391. 7 419. 4	294. 9 316. 2 324. 2 340. 7 335. 0 364. 4 371. 4 377. 2 370. 9 398. 3	19. 4 18. 4 19. 0 20. 0 20. 4 20. 9 20. 8 20. 3 20. 8 21. 1	8.7 8.8 9.1 9.2 10.1 10.6 10.9 11.4 11.7	1.3 1.2 1.3 1.6 2.0 2.1 2.0 2.2	31. 1 38. 8 41. 8 41. 7 40. 9 40. 7 41. 3 41. 9 42. 1 42. 1
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 p	487. 7 497. 2 529. 8 551. 0 581. 1 616. 7 652. 6 669. 2	444. 0 452. 3 482. 9 503. 2 532. 0 565. 9 597. 5 610. 2	429.5 436.9 466.7 486.6 514.4 547.8 578.9 590.6	407. 6 414. 8 444. 6 463. 8 492. 1 524. 2 556. 4 566. 5	21. 9 22. 2 22. 1 22. 8 22. 3 23. 6 22. 4 24. 1	12. 2 12. 4 12. 9 13. 2 13. 7 14. 0 14. 7 15. 3	2.3 2.9 3.4 3.9 4.1 4.0 4.3	43. 7 44. 8 46. 9 47. 8 49. 1 50. 8 55. 0 59. 1
			Sea	sonally adjust	ed annual rate	es		
1965: I II III IV	601.5 609.7 620.7 634.4	551, 7 559, 4 569, 7 582, 5	534. 1 541. 2 551. 4 564. 3	510.7 517.1 527.7 541.0	23. 4 24. 0 23. 7 23. 3	13. 4 13. 8 14. 2 14. 4	4.3 4.4 4.0 3.7	49. 8 50. 3 51. 1 51. 9
1966: 1 II III IV	645.4 649.3 654.8 661.1	592. 3 594. 8 599. 0 604. 2	574.0 576.3 580.2 585.1	550. 8 554. 4 558. 0 562. 7	23. 2 22. 0 22. 2 22. 4	14.6 14.4 14.8 14.9	3.8 4.1 4.0 4.3	53, 1 54, 4 55, 8 56, 9
1967: V	660. 7 664. 7 672. 0 679. 4	602.7 606.0 612.5 619.4	583.6 586.6 592.7 599.4	559, 9 563, 0 568, 4 574, 6	23. 7 23. 6 24. 2 24. 8	15. 1 15. 3 15. 0 15. 5	4.0 4.0 4.8 4.4	57, 9 58, 7 59, 6 60, 0

TABLE B-8.—Gross national product by sector, in 1958 prices, 1929-67

[Billions of dollars, 1958 prices]

¹ Gross national product less compensation of general government employees.
 ² Includes compensation of employees in government enterprises. Government enterprises are those agencies of government whose operating costs are at least to a substantial extent covered by the sale of goods and services, in contrast to the general activities of government which are financed mainly by tax revenues and debt creation. Government enterprises, in other words, conduct operations essentially commercial in character, even though they perform them under government at auspices. The Post Office and public power systems are typical examples of government enterprises. On the other hand, State universities and public parks, where the fees and admissions cover only a nominal part of operating costs, are part of general government activities.
 ^a Compensation of general government employees.

TABLE B-9.-Gross national product by industry, in 1958 prices, 1947-66

		Agri-		Ma	Inufactur	ing	Trans- porta-	W/bala	Finance.		Gov- ern-	
Year	Total gross na- tional product	culture, fores- try, and fish- eries	Con- tract con- struc- tion	Total_	Dur- able goods indus- tries	Non- durable goods indus- tries	tion, com- muni- cation, and utili- ties	sale and retail trade	insur- ance, and real estate	Serv- ices	ment and govern- ment enter- prises	All other 1
1947	309. 9	17.9	12, 9	91. 8	52. 3	39. 4	29.6	52, 7	35.6	30.6	32. 4	6.7
1948	323. 7	20.0	14, 1	96. 3	55. 0	41. 3	30.4	54, 2	36.5	31.9	33. 2	7.1
1949	324. 1	19.4	14, 7	90. 9	50. 5	40. 4	28.7	55, 2	37.8	32.1	34. 7	10.6
1950	355. 3	20. 4	16. 2	105.5	60.8	44.7	30. 8	60. 4	41. 0	33. 1	35.9	12. 1
1951	383. 4	19. 5	18. 2	116.2	69.0	47.2	34. 3	61. 4	42. 9	34. 0	43.9	13. 0
1952	395. 1	20. 2	18. 3	118.7	71.5	47.3	34. 6	62. 9	44. 7	34. 5	47.2	14. 0
1953	412. 8	21. 2	18. 9	128.6	79.1	49.5	35. 7	64. 9	46. 8	35. 3	47.1	14. 3
1954	407. 0	21. 6	19. 3	119.5	71.2	48.3	36. 4	65. 5	49. 8	35. 4	46.1	13. 5
1955	438.0	22. 1	20. 8	133.6	80. 7	52. 9	38.6	71.6	52.7	38. 2	46.0	14. 4
1956	446.1	22. 0	21. 8	134.1	79. 4	54. 6	40.5	73.8	54.8	40. 2	46.2	12. 7
1957	452.5	21. 5	21. 1	134.6	79. 6	54. 9	41.3	75.1	57.0	41. 8	46.9	13. 1
1958	447.3	22. 0	20. 7	123.7	69. 6	54. 0	40.6	75.1	59.2	42. 9	47.3	16. 0
1958	475.9	22. 3	22. 0	138.9	79. 9	59. 0	43.3	80.8	61.4	45. 1	47.9	14. 1
1960	487.7	23. 1	21.7	140. 9	81.0	59.9	44. 9	82. 3	64. 1	46.7	49. 2	14.7
1961	497.2	23. 4	21.4	140. 4	79.7	60.7	46. 0	83. 5	67. 1	48.3	50. 6	16.3
1962	529.8	23. 3	21.7	154. 6	90.0	64.7	48. 9	88. 9	71. 2	50.8	52. 6	17.9
1963	551.0	24. 0	21.9	162. 4	95.6	66.8	51. 9	92. 8	74. 4	52.2	53. 9	17.4
1964.	581.1	23. 6	23.3	173. 7	102.4	71.3	54. 7	98. 9	78. 3	54.7	56. 1	17.8
1965	616. 7	24.9	23.7	190. 1	114. 4	75.7	59. 1	104.7	82.6	57. 2	58.0	16. 4
1966	652. 6	23.7	24.1	206. 4	125. 4	80.9	63. 3	111.0	85.9	59. 6	62.2	16. 2

[Billions of dollars, 1958 prices]

¹ Mining, rest of world, and residual (the difference between gross national product measured as sum of final products and gross national product measured as sum of gross product by industries).

TABLE B-10.—Personal consumption expenditures, 1929-67

[Billions of dollars]

	tion		Durable	goods		Nondurable goods					Services				
Year or quarter	Total personal consump expenditures	Total	Automobiles and parts	Furniture and house- hold equipment	Other	Total	Food, excluding alco- holic beverages ¹	C lothing and shoes ²	Gasoline and oil	Other	Total	Housing ³	Household operation	Transportation	Other
1929	77. 2	9. 2	3. 2	4, 8	1. 2	37.7	19.5	9.4	1, 8	7.0	30. 3	11.5	4.0	2.6	12. 2
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1938 1938	69. 9 60. 5 48. 6 45. 8 51. 3 55. 7 61. 9 66. 5 63. 9 66. 8	7.2 5.56 3.52 4.2 5.3 6.9 7 6.7	2.2 1.6 .9 1.1 1.4 1.9 2.3 2.4 1.6 2.2	3.9 3.1 2.1 1.9 2.2 2.6 3.2 3.6 3.1 3.5	1.1 .9 .6 .5 .6 .7 .8 1.0 .9 1.0	34. 0 29. 0 22. 7 22. 3 26. 7 29. 3 32. 9 35. 2 34. 0 35. 1	18.0 14.7 11.4 10.9 12.2 13.6 15.3 16.5 15.6 15.7	8.0 6.9 5.1 4.6 5.7 6.0 6.8 6.8 6.8 7.1	1.7 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.9 2.1 2.1 2.2	6.3 5.7 4.8 5.3 7.2 9.1 9.8 9.5 10.1	28.7 26.0 22.2 20.1 20.4 21.3 22.8 24.4 24.3 25.0	11.0 10.3 9.0 7.9 7.7 7.7 8.0 8.5 8.9 9.1	3.9 3.50 3.2.80 3.247 3.3.47 3.3.8 3.3.8 3.8	2.2 1.9 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.9 2.0 1.9 2.0	11.5 10.3 8.6 7.9 8.2 8.7 9.5 10.2 9.9 10.1
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1946 1947 1948 1949	70. 8 80. 6 88. 5 99. 3 108. 3 119. 7 143. 4 160. 7 173. 6 176. 8	7.8 9.6 6.9 6.7 8.0 15.8 20.4 22.7 24.6	2.7 3.4 .8 1.0 4.0 6.2 7.5 9.9	3.9 4.9 4.7 3.9 3.8 4.6 8.6 10.9 11.9 11.6	1. 1 1. 6 1. 9 2. 5 2. 2 3. 3 3. 4 3. 2	37.0 42.9 50.8 58.6 64.3 71.9 82.4 90.5 96.2 94.5	16. 6 19. 2 23. 3 27. 4 29. 9 33. 2 39. 0 43. 7 46. 3 44. 8	7.4 8.8 11.0 13.4 14.4 16.5 18.2 18.8 20.1 19.3	2.3 2.6 2.1 1.3 1.6 1.8 3.6 4.4 5.0	10. 7 12. 2 14. 4 16. 5 18. 4 20. 5 22. 1 24. 4 25. 4 25. 4	26. 0 28. 1 30. 8 34. 2 37. 2 39. 8 45. 3 49. 8 54. 7 57. 6	9.4 10.2 11.0 11.5 12.0 12.5 13.9 15.7 17.5 19.3	4.03 4.4.82 5.5.6.6.7.8.8 8.5	2:1 2:47 2:47 3:70 5:58 5:59 5:59	10. 4 11. 2 12. 3 14. 0 15. 6 16. 8 19. 7 21. 4 23. 3 23. 9
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	191. 0 206. 3 216. 7 230. 0 236. 5 254. 4 266. 7 281. 4 290. 1 311. 2	30. 5 29. 6 29. 3 33. 2 32. 8 39. 6 38. 9 40. 8 37. 9 44. 3	13. 1 11. 6 11. 1 14. 2 13. 6 18. 4 16. 4 18. 3 15. 4 19. 5	14. 1 14. 4 14. 3 14. 9 15. 0 16. 6 17. 5 17. 3 17. 1 18. 9	3.3 3.9 4.2 4.6 5.2 5.9 5.9 5.9	98. 1 108. 8 114. 0 116. 8 118. 3 123. 3 129. 3 135. 6 140. 2 146. 6	46. 0 52. 1 54. 7 55. 5 56. 5 58. 1 60. 4 63. 9 66. 6 68. 4	19.6 21.2 21.9 22.1 23.1 24.1 24.3 24.7 26.4	5.4 6.1 6.8 7.7 9.0 9.8 10.6 11.0 11.6	27. 1 29. 3 30. 5 31. 6 31. 5 33. 1 34. 9 36. 7 37. 9 40. 2	62. 4 67. 9 73. 4 79. 9 85. 4 91. 4 98. 5 105. 0 112. 0 120. 3	21. 3 23. 9 26. 5 29. 3 31. 7 33. 7 36. 0 38. 5 41. 1 43. 7	9.5 10.4 11.1 12.0 12.6 14.0 15.2 16.2 17.3 18.5	6.2 6.7 7.8 7.9 8.6 9.0 9.3 10.1	25. 4 26. 9 28. 7 30. 8 33. 2 35. 5 38. 6 41. 3 44. 3 48. 0
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 P	325. 2 335. 2 355. 1 375. 0 401. 2 433. 1 465. 9 491. 6	45. 3 44. 2 49. 5 53. 9 59. 2 66. 0 70. 3 72. 1	20, 1 18, 4 22, 0 24, 3 25, 8 29, 9 29, 8 29, 3	18.9 19.3 20.5 22.2 25.0 27.0 29.9 32.0	6.3 6.5 7.5 9.1 10.6 10.8	151. 3 155. 9 162. 6 168. 6 178. 7 191. 2 207. 5 217. 5	70. 1 72. 1 74. 4 76. 5 80. 5 86. 0 93. 0 96. 4	27. 3 27. 9 29. 6 30. 6 33. 5 36. 1 40. 3 42. 8	12.3 12.4 12.9 13.5 14.0 15.1 16.2 17.5	41.6 43.5 45.7 48.0 50.6 54.0 58.0 60.8	128.7 135.1 143.0 152.4 163.3 175.9 188.1 202.1	46. 3 48. 7 52. 0 55. 4 59. 3 63. 6 67. 1 71. 3	20, 0 20, 8 22, 0 23, 1 24, 3 25, 7 27, 0 28, 2	10.8 10.6 11.0 11.4 11.6 12.6 13.6 14.7	51.6 54.9 58.0 62.5 68.1 74.0 80.4 87.7
						Sea	sonally	adjuste	d annua	l rates					
1965: I_ II_ III IV	420. 2 428. 1 436. 4 447. 8	65. 2 64. 2 66. 1 68. 6	30. 4 29. 2 29. 8 30. 3	25. 8 26. 1 27. 3 28. 9	9.0 8.8 9.1 9.4	184. 6 189. 8 192. 4 198. 0	82, 9 85, 3 86, 4 89, 4	34.6 35.6 36.2 37.8	14. 3 15. 1 15. 3 15. 7	52, 8 53, 8 54, 4 55, 1	170, 4 174, 2 177, 8 181, 2	61. 9 63. 2 64. 2 65. 3	24.7 25.5 26.1 26.5	12. 0 12. 5 12. 8 13. 1	71.8 73.1 74.7 76.3
1966: I V	458. 2 461. 6 470. 1 473. 8	71.6 68.2 70.9 70.6	31. 4 28. 5 29. 8 29. 6	29.4 29.1 30.6 30.6	10.8 10.6 10.5 10.4	203. 2 207. 1 209. 5 210. 3	91, 5 93, 3 93, 6 93, 5	39.5 39.8 41.0 40.8	15.8 16.2 16.3 16.6	56.3 57.8 58.5 59.5	183, 5 186, 3 189, 8 192, 9	66. 2 66. 5 67. 4 68. 5	26. 1 26. 9 27. 4 27. 7	13.2 13.5 13.7 14.0	78.0 79.4 81.3 82.7
1967: 1 11. 11. 11. 11.	- 480. 2 - 489. 7 - 495. 3 - 501. 4	69.4 72.5 72.7 73.7	27.3 29.7 29.9 30.2	31. 4 31. 9 32. 1 32. 6	10.7 10.9 10.8 10.9	214. 2 217. 2 218. 5 220. 2	95. 2 96. 0 96. 6 97. 7	41.5 43.2 43.7 42.9	17.1 17.5 17.5 17.8	60.4 60.5 60.7 61.8	196.6 200.0 204.1 207.5	69.6 70.6 71.9 73.2	27.8 28.1 28.1 28.9	14.4 14.6 14.8 15.1	84. 8 86. 6 89. 2 90. 3

Quarterly data are estimates by Council of Economic Advisers.
 Includes standard clothing issued to military personnel.
 Includes imputed rental value of owner-occupied dwellings.

Source: Department of Commerce, Office of Business Economics (except as noted).

TABLE B-11.-Gross private domestic investment, 1929-67

[Billions of dollars]

		Fixed investment										ge in iness itories
Year or	Total gross private			No	nresident	tial		Reside	ential str	uctures		
quarter	domestic invest- ment	Total	Total	Struc	tures	Prod dur equir	ucers' able oment	Total	Non-	Farm	Totai	Non- farm
				Total	Non- farm	Total	Non- farm					
1929	16.2	14.5	10.6	5. 0	4.8	5.6	4.9	4.0	3. 8	0. 2	1.7	1.8
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937 1937 1938 1939	10.3 5.6 1.0 1.4 3.3 6.4 8.5 11.8 6.5 9.3	10.6 6.8 3.4 3.0 4.1 5.3 7.2 9.2 7.4 8.9	8.3 5.0 2.7 3.2 4.1 5.6 7.3 5.4 5.9	4.0 2.3 1.2 .9 1.0 1.2 1.6 2.4 1.9 2.0	3.9 2.3 1.2 .9 1.0 1.2 1.6 2.4 1.8 1.9	4.3 2.7 1.5 2.2 4.0 4.9 3.5 4.0	3.7 2.4 1.3 1.3 1.8 2.4 3.3 4.1 2.9 3.4	2.3 1.7 .6 .9 1.2 1.6 1.9 2.0 2.9	2.2 1.6 .7 .8 1.1 1.5 1.8 1.9 2.8	.1 .1 • .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1 .1	4 -1.1 -2.5 -1.6 7 1.1 1.3 2.5 9 .4	$\begin{array}{c}1 \\ -1.6 \\ -2.6 \\ -1.4 \\ .2 \\ .4 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.7 \\ -1.0 \\ .3 \end{array}$
1940 1941 1943 1943 1945 1945 1946 1947 1948 1948	13. 1 17. 9 9. 8 5. 7 7. 1 10. 6 30. 6 34. 0 46. 0 35. 7	11.0 13.4 8.1 6.4 8.1 11.6 24.2 34.4 41.3 38.8	7.5 9.5 6.0 5.0 6.8 10.1 17.0 23.4 26.9 25.1	2.3 2.9 1.9 1.3 2.8 6.8 7.5 8.8 8.5	2.2 2.8 1.8 1.2 1.7 2.7 6.1 6.7 8.0 7.7	5.3 6.6 4.1 3.7 5.0 7.3 10.2 15.9 18.1 16.6	4.6 5.6 3.5 4.2 6.3 9.2 14.0 15.5 13.7	3.4 3.9 2.1 1.4 1.3 1.5 7.2 11.1 14.4 13.7	3.2 3.7 1.9 1.2 1.1 1.4 6.7 10.4 13.6 12.8	.22 .22 .1 .57 .9	2.2 4.5 1.8 -1.0 -1.0 6.4 5 4.7 -3.1	1.9 4.0 6 6 6.4 1.3 3.0 -2.2
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	54. 1 59. 3 51. 9 52. 6 51. 7 67. 4 70. 0 67. 8 60. 9 75. 3	47.3 49.0 48.8 52.1 53.3 61.4 65.3 66.5 62.4 70.5	27. 9 31. 8 31. 6 34. 2 33. 6 38. 1 43. 7 46. 4 41. 6 45. 1	9.2 11.2 11.4 12.7 13.1 14.3 17.2 18.0 16.6 16.7	8.5 10.4 10.5 11.9 12.3 13.6 16.5 17.2 15.8 15.9	18. 7 20. 7 20. 2 21. 5 20. 6 23. 8 26. 5 28. 4 25. 0 28. 4	15.7 17.7 17.6 18.6 18.0 21.2 24.2 25.9 22.0 25.4	19. 4 17. 2 17. 2 18. 0 19. 7 23. 3 21. 6 20. 2 20. 8 25. 5	18. 6 16. 4 16. 4 17. 2 19. 0 22. 7 20. 9 19. 5 20. 1 24. 8	.8 .88 .7 .6 .7 .6	6.8 10.3 3.1 -1.5 6.0 4.7 1.3 -1.5 4.8	6.0 9.1 2.1 -2.1 -2.1 5.5 5.1 -2.3 -2.3 4.8
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 p	74.8 71.7 83.0 87.1 94.0 107.4 118.0 112.1	71. 3 69. 7 77. 0 81. 3 88. 2 98. 0 104. 6 107. 0	48. 4 47. 0 51. 7 54. 3 61. 1 71. 1 80. 2 82. 5	18. 1 18. 4 19. 2 19. 5 21. 2 25. 1 27. 9 26. 8	17. 4 17. 7 18. 5 18. 8 20. 5 24. 4 27. 2 26. 1	30. 3 28. 6 32. 5 34. 8 39. 9 46. 0 52. 3 55. 7	27.7 25.8 29.4 31.2 36.3 41.9 47.8 51.3	22. 8 22. 6 25. 3 27. 0 27. 1 27. 0 24. 4 24. 5	22. 2 22. 0 24. 8 26. 4 26. 6 26. 4 23. 8 23. 9	.6 .6 .5 .5 .5	3.6 2.0 5.9 5.8 9.4 13.4 5.1	3.3 1.7 5.3 5.1 6.4 8.4 13.7 4.7
				:	Seasonall	y adjust	ed annua	l rates				
1965: I II III IV	105. 1 105. 1 108. 2 112. 3	94. 4 96. 3 98. 8 102. 4	67.3 69.3 71.9 75.7	23. 1 24. 7 25. 1 27. 3	22. 4 24. 0 24. 4 26. 7	44. 1 44. 6 46. 8 48. 3	40, 4 40, 7 42, 6 43, 8	27. 2 27. 0 26. 9 26. 8	26.6 26.5 26.4 26.2	0.5 .5 .5 .5	10.6 8.8 9.4 9.9	10. 1 7. 9 7. 9 8. 7
1966: I II III IV	115. 2 118. 5 116. 4 122. 2	105. 3 104. 5 104. 9 103. 7	78. 3 78. 7 81. 2 82. 8	28. 3 27. 5 28. 2 27. 7	27.6 26.8 27.4 26.9	50. 0 51. 2 53. 1 55. 1	45. 5 46. 9 48. 7 50. 1	27. 0 25. 8 23. 7 20. 9	26. 5 25. 3 23. 2 20. 4	.5 .5 .5	9.9 14.0 11.4 18.5	9.6 14.4 12.0 19.0
1967: V P	110. 4 105. 1 112. 2 120. 7	103. 3 104. 6 108. 4 111. 7	81. 9 81. 5 82. 8 83. 8	27.7 26.3 26.6 26.5	26. 9 25. 6 25. 9 25. 8	54. 2 55. 2 56. 2 57. 3	50.0 50.6 51.9 52.9	21.4 23.1 25.6 27.9	20. 9 22. 5 25. 0 27. 4	.6 .6 .6	7.1 .5 3.8 9.0	7.3 .6 3.4 7.5

		Con	npensatio employee	n of s	Business and pro- fessional income			In Rentai		Cor ar	rofits tory n nt		
Year or quarter	tional in- come 1	Total	Wages and sala- ries	Sup- ple- ments to wages and sala- ries ²	Total	In- come of unin- corpo- rated enter- prises	I nven- tory valu- ation adjust- ment	come of farm pro- prie- tors ³	in- come of per- sons	Total	Corpo- rate profits before taxes 4	Inven- tory valu- ation adjust- ment	Net inter- est
1929	86. 8	51.1	50.4	0.7	9, 0	8, 8	0,1	6.2	5.4	10.5	10.0	0.5	4.7
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937 1938 1939	75. 4 59. 7 42. 8 40. 3 49. 5 57. 2 65. 0 73. 6 67. 4 72. 6	46.8 39.8 31.1 29.5 34.3 37.3 42.9 47.9 45.0 48.1	46. 2 39. 1 30. 5 29. 0 33. 7 36. 7 41. 9 46. 1 43. 0 45. 9	.7 .6 .5 .6 1.0 1.8 2.0 2.2	7.6 5.8 3.6 4.7 5.5 6.7 7.2 6.9 7.4	6.8 5.1 3.3 4.8 5.5 6.8 7.2 6.7 7.6	.8 .6 .3 5 1 * 1 * .2	4.3 3.4 2.1 2.6 3.0 5.3 4.3 6.0 4.4	4.8 3.8 2.7 2.0 1.7 1.7 1.8 2.1 2.6 2.7	7.0 2.0 -1.3 -1.2 1.7 3.4 5.6 6.8 4.9 6.3	3.7 4 2.3 1.0 2.3 3.6 6.3 6.8 4.0 7.0	3.3 2.4 1.0 -2.1 6 2 7 *	4.9 5.0 4.6 4.1 4.1 3.8 3.7 3.6 3.5
1940 1941 1942 1943 1945 1945 1946 1946 1948 1949	81. 1 104. 2 137. 1 170. 3 182. 6 181. 5 181. 9 199. 0 224. 2 217. 5	52.1 64.8 85.3 109.5 121.2 123.1 117.9 128.9 141.1 141.0	49.8 62.1 82.1 105.8 116.7 117.5 112.0 123.0 135.4 134.5	2.3 2.7 3.2 3.8 4.5 5.6 5.9 5.9 5.8 6.5	8.6 11.1 14.0 17.0 18.2 21.6 20.3 22.7 22.6	8.6 11.7 14.4 17.1 18.3 19.3 23.3 21.8 23.1 22.2	* 6 2 1 1 -1.7 -1.5 4 .5	4.5 6.4 9.8 11.7 11.6 12.2 14.9 15.2 17.5 12.7	2.9 3.5 5.1 5.4 5.6 7.1 8.0 8.4	9.8 15.2 20.3 24.4 23.8 19.2 19.3 25.6 33.0 30.8	10. 0 17. 7 21. 5 25. 1 24. 1 19. 7 24. 6 31. 5 35. 2 28. 9	$\begin{array}{r}2 \\ -2.5 \\ -1.2 \\8 \\3 \\5 \\ -5.3 \\ -5.9 \\ -2.2 \\ 1.9 \end{array}$	3.3 3.2 3.1 2.7 2.3 2.2 1.5 1.9 1.8 1.9
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	241. 1 278. 0 291. 4 304. 7 303. 1 331. 0 350. 8 366. 1 367. 8 400. 0	154. 6 180. 7 195. 3 209. 1 208. 0 224. 5 243. 1 256. 0 257. 8 279. 1	146.8 171.1 185.1 198.3 196.5 211.3 227.8 238.7 239.9 258.2	7.8 9.6 10.2 10.9 11.5 13.2 15.2 17.3 17.9 20.9	24. 0 26. 1 27. 1 27. 5 27. 6 30. 3 31. 3 32. 8 33. 2 35. 1	25. 1 26. 5 26. 9 27. 6 27. 6 30. 5 31. 8 33. 1 33. 2 35. 3	1.1 3 2 2 2 5 3 1 1	13.5 15.8 15.0 13.0 12.4 11.4 11.3 13.4 11.4	9.4 10.3 11.5 12.7 13.6 13.9 14.3 14.8 15.4 15.6	37.7 42.7 39.9 39.6 38.0 46.9 46.1 45.6 41.1 51.7	42. 6 43. 9 38. 9 40. 6 38. 3 48. 6 48. 8 47. 2 41. 4 52. 1	$\begin{array}{r} -5.0 \\ -1.2 \\ 1.0 \\ -1.0 \\3 \\ -1.7 \\ -2.7 \\ -1.5 \\5 \\5 \end{array}$	2.0 2.3 2.6 2.8 3.6 4.1 4.6 5.6 6.8 7.1
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 p	414. 5 427. 3 457. 7 481. 9 518. 1 562. 4 616. 7 649. 6	294, 2 302, 6 323, 6 341, 0 365, 7 393, 9 435, 7 469, 6	270. 8 278. 1 296. 1 311. 1 333. 7 359. 1 394. 6 423. 7	23. 4 24. 6 27. 5 29. 9 32. 0 34. 9 41. 1 45. 9	34.2 35.6 37.1 37.9 40.2 41.9 43.2 43.6	34. 3 35. 6 37. 1 37. 9 40. 3 42. 3 43. 6 43. 9	* 1 4 3	12. 0 12. 8 13. 0 13. 1 12. 1 14. 8 16. 1 14. 8	15.8 16.0 16.7 17.1 18.0 19.0 19.4 20.1	49.9 50.3 55.7 58.9 66.3 74.9 82.2 79.1	49. 7 50. 3 55. 4 59. 4 66. 8 76. 6 83. 8 80. 1	.2 1 .3 5 5 -1.7 -1.6 -1.0	8,4 10,0 11.6 13.8 15.8 17.9 20,2 22,4
		1	1	1	Seaso	nally adji	usted and	ual rates	5				1
1965: I II III IV	544.9 555.3 566.5 582.8	381.5 388.6 397.2 408.4	347.7 354.2 362.0 372.4	33.8 34.5 35.2 36.0	41. 4 41. 7 42. 0 42. 5	 		13.6 15.0 15.2 15.3	18.6 18.9 19.1 19.2	72.6 73.4 74.9 78.7	74.0 75.6 75.8 80.8	-1.4 -2.1 9 -2.2	17.1 17.6 18.2 18.8
1966: V	600. 3 610. 4 622. 1 634. 1	420. 8 430. 7 441. 2 450. 2	381.3 390.2 399.6 407.4	39.5 40.5 41.6 42.7	42. 8 43. 3 43. 3 43. 4		 	17. 1 16. 0 15. 9 15. 1	19.2 19.3 19.4 19.6	81.1 81.3 81.9 84.6	83.7 83.6 84.0 83.9	-2.6 -2.3 -2.2 .7	19.3 19.8 20.4 21.1
1967: I II III IV P.	636. 4 641. 6 653. 4	459. 1 463. 4 472. 6 483. 2	414.7 418.3 426.2 435.6	44. 4 45. 2 46. 4 47. 6	43. 2 43. 4 43. 8 44. 1			14.6 14.3 15.0 15.2	19.8 20.0 20.2 20.4	78. 1 78. 3 79. 2	79.0 78.9 80.0	8 7 8	21.6 22.1 22.7 23.3

TABLE B-12.--National income by type of income, 1929-67 [Billions of dollars]

I National income is the total net income earned in production. It differs from gross national product mainly in that it excludes depreciation charges and other allowances for business and institutional consumption of durable capital goods, and indirect business taxes. See Table B-13.
 Employer contributions for social insurance and to private pension, health, and welfare funds; compensation for injuries; directors' fees; pay of the military reserve; and a few other minor items.
 Includes change in inventories.
 See Table B-69 for corporate tax liability and profits after taxes.

TABLE B-13.—Relation of gross national product and national income, 1929-67

Billions of dollar	3]
--------------------	----

				Plus: Sub-			Less:					
	Gross	Less: Capital	Equals: Net	sidies less current	Indire	t business	s taxes	Busi-	Sta-			
Year or quarter	tional prod- uct	sump- tion allow- ances	na- tional prod- uct	surplus of gov- ern- ment enter- prises	Total	Federal	State and local	ness transfer pay- ments	tistical dis- crep- ancy	Equals: Na- tional income		
1929	103, 1	7.9	95. 2	-0.1	7.0	1. 2	5. 8	0.6	0.7	86. 8		
1930	90. 4 75. 8 58. 0 55. 6 65. 1 72. 2 82. 5 90. 4 84. 7 90. 5	8.0 7.9 7.4 7.0 6.8 6.9 7.0 7.2 7.3 7.3	82. 4 68. 0 50. 7 48. 6 58. 2 65. 4 75. 4 83. 3 77. 4 83. 2	1 • .3 .4 • .1 .2 .5	7.2 6.9 6.8 7.8 8.7 9.2 9.2 9.4	1.0 .9 .16 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.3 2.3	6.1 6.8 5.8 5.6 6.4 6.8 6.9 7.0	. 67 . 66 . 66 . 66 . 45	8 .7 .3 .6 .5 2 1.2 .6 1.3	75. 4 59. 7 42. 8 49. 5 57. 2 65. 0 73. 6 67. 4 72. 6		
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1945 1946 1947 1948 1949	99.7 124.5 157.9 191.6 210.1 211.9 208.5 231.3 257.6 256.5	7.5 8.2 9.8 10.2 11.0 11.3 9.9 12.2 14.5 16.6	92. 2 116. 3 148. 1 181. 3 199. 1 200. 7 198. 6 219. 1 243. 1 239. 9	.4 .1 .2 .2 .7 .8 .9 2 1 1	10.0 11.3 11.8 12.7 14.1 15.5 17.1 18.4 20.1 21.3	2.6 3.6 4.9 6.2 7.1 7.8 7.8 8.0 8.0	7.4 7.7 7.8 8.0 8.4 9.3 10.6 12.1 13.3	.455555555 .555567.8	$1.0 \\ .4 \\ -1.1 \\ -2.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 3.9 \\ .1 \\ .9 \\ -2.0 \\ .3$	81. 1 104. 2 137. 1 170. 3 182. 6 181. 5 181. 9 199. 0 224. 2 217. 5		
1950	284. 8 328. 4 345. 5 364. 6 364. 8 398. 0 419. 2 441. 1 447. 3 483. 7	18. 3 21. 2 23. 2 25. 7 28. 2 31. 5 34. 1 37. 1 38. 9 41. 4	266. 4 307. 2 322. 3 338. 9 336. 6 366. 5 385. 2 404. 0 408. 4 442. 3	.2 1 4 2 1 1 .9 .9	23.3 25.2 27.6 29.4 32.1 34.9 37.3 38.5 41.5	8.9 9.4 10.3 10.9 9.7 10.7 11.2 11.8 11.5 12.5	14.5 15.8 17.3 18.7 19.7 21.4 23.6 25.5 27.0 28.9	.8 .9 1.0 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7	1.5 3.3 2.2 3.0 2.7 2.1 -1.1 -1.1 8	241. 1 278. 0 291. 4 304. 7 303. 1 331. 0 350. 8 366. 1 367. 8 400. 0		
1960	503. 7 520. 1 560. 3 590. 5 632. 4 683. 9 743. 3 785. 1	43. 4 45. 2 50. 0 52. 6 56. 1 59. 9 63. 5 67. 0	460. 3 474. 9 510. 4 537. 9 576. 3 624. 0 679. 8 718. 1	.2 1.4 1.4 .8 1.3 1.2 2.2 1.8	45. 2 47. 7 51. 5 54. 7 58. 4 62. 2 65. 1 69. 7	13.5 13.6 14.6 15.3 16.1 16.5 15.9 16.6	31.7 34.1 36.9 39.4 42.3 45.7 49.2 53.1	1.9 2.0 2.1 2.3 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8	-1.0 8 3 -1.3 -2.0 -2.6 -2.2	414. 5 427. 3 457. 7 481. 9 518. 1 562. 4 616. 7 649. 6		
		<u></u>		Seasor	naliy adju:	sted annua	l rates					
1965: I II III IV	662.7 675.4 690.0 708.4	58.3 59.3 60.5 61.6	604. 4 616. 1 629. 5 646. 8	1.3 1.3 1.1 1.2	61. 8 61. 8 62. 2 63. 1	17.5 16.5 15.7 16.3	44. 3 45. 2 46. 4 46. 7	2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6	-3.4 -2.3 6 5	544. 9 555. 3 566. 5 582. 8		
1966: I II III IV	725. 9 736. 7 748. 8 762. 1	62.4 63.1 63.9 64.7	663. 6 673. 6 684. 9 697. 4	1.4 2.0 2.7 2.6	62. 9 64. 7 65. 9 67. 0	15.2 15.9 16.2 16.3	47. 7 48. 7 49. 8 50. 6	2.6 2.7 2.7 2.8	9 -2.2 -3.2 -3.8	600. 3 610. 4 622. 1 634. 1		
1967: I II III IV P	766.3 775.1 791.2 807.6	65. 5 66. 4 67. 6 68. 6	700. 8 708. 7 723. 6 739. 0	2.3 2.0 1.6 1.5	67.9 69.1 70.2 71.4	16. 2 16. 5 16. 7 17. 0	51.7 52.6 53.5 54.4	2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8	-4.0 -2.8 -1.2	636. 4 641. 6 653. 4		

TABLE B-14.—Relation of national income and personal income, 1929-67

[Billions of dollars]

			Less:				Equals:		
Year or quarter	National income	Corpo- rate profits and in- ventory valuation adjust- ment	Contri- butions for social insur- ance	Wage accruals less dis- burse- ments	Gov- ernment transfer payments to per- sons	Interest paid by Govern- ment (net) and by consumers	Divi- dends	Busi- ness transfer pay- ments	Personal income
1929	86. 8	10, 5	0, 2	•	0.9	2. 5	5. 8	0.6	85, 9
1930	75.4 59.7 42.8 40.3 57.2 65.0 73.6 67.4 72.6	7.0 2.0 1.3 1.2 1.7 3.4 5.6 6.8 4.9 6.3	.3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .20 2.1	* * * * * *	1.0 2.1 1.4 1.5 1.6 2.9 2.9 2.4 2.5	1.8 1.8 1.7 1.6 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.9 1.9	5.5 4.1 2.5 2.6 2.6 4.5 4.5 3.8	.5 .67 .66 .66 .4	77.0 65.9 50.2 47.0 54.0 60.4 68.6 74.1 68.3 72.8
1940	81. 1 104. 2 137. 1 170. 3 182. 6 181. 5 181. 9 199. 0 224. 2 217. 5	9.8 15.2 20.3 24.4 23.8 19.3 25.6 33.0 30.8	2,3 2,8 3,5 5,2 6,1 5,2 5,2 5,7	0. 2 2 * *	2.7 2.6 2.5 3.1 5.6 10.8 11.1 10.5 11.6	2.1 2.2 2.6 3.2 5.5 5.1 6.5	4.0 4.4 4.3 4.6 4.6 5.6 5.6 7.0 7.2	. 455 . 55 . 55 . 55 . 67 . 8	78. 3 96. 0 122. 9 151. 3 165. 3 171. 1 178. 7 191. 3 210. 2 207. 2
1950	241. 1 278. 0 291. 4 304. 7 303. 1 331. 0 350. 8 366. 1 367. 8 400. 0	37. 7 42. 7 39. 9 39. 6 38. 0 46. 9 46. 1 45. 6 41. 1 51. 7	6.9 8.2 8.8 9.8 11.1 12.6 14.5 14.8 17.6	.1 1	14.3 11.5 12.0 12.8 14.9 16.1 17.1 19.9 24.1 24.9	7.2 7.6 8.1 9.0 9.5 10.1 11.2 12.0 12.1 13.6	8.8 8.6 9.3 10.5 11.3 11.7 11.6 12.6	.8 .9 1.0 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7	227.6 255.6 272.5 288.2 290.1 310.9 333.0 351.1 361.2 383.5
1960	414. 5 427. 3 457. 7 481. 9 518. 1 562. 4 616. 7 649. 6	49.9 50.3 55.7 58.9 66.3 74.9 82.2 79.1	20. 7 21. 4 24. 0 26. 9 27. 9 29. 7 38. 2 43. 0	* * * * * *	26.6 30.4 31.2 33.0 34.2 37.2 41.2 49.1	15. 1 15. 0 16. 1 17. 6 19. 1 20. 4 22. 3 24. 1	13. 4 13. 8 15. 2 16. 5 17. 8 19. 8 21. 5 22. 8	1.9 2.0 2.1 2.3 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8	401.0 416.8 442.6 465.5 497.5 537.8 584.0 626.3
	_		S	easonally	adjusted an	nual rates	·		
1965: 1 II IIჰ IV	544. 9 555. 3 566. 5 582. 8	72.6 73.4 74.9 78.7	29. 1 29. 4 29. 8 30. 4	*	36. 0 35. 3 39. 4 37. 9	19. 9 20. 3 20. 6 20. 9	18.7 19.4 20.2 20.9	2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6	520, 3 530, 1 544, 6 556, 1
1966: I II III IV	600. 3 610. 4 622. 1 634. 1	81. 1 81. 3 81. 9 84. 6	36. 6 37. 4 38. 9 39. 8	*	39.7 39.2 41.3 44.7	21. 4 22. 0 22. 4 23. 2	21.4 21.6 21.6 21.2	2.6 2.7 2.7 2.8	567.8 577.3 589.3 601.6
1967: V_p	636. 4 641. 6 653. 4	78. 1 78. 3 79. 2	42.2 42.5 43.3 44.1		48, 1 48, 6 49, 6 50, 1	23. 7 23. 9 24. 2 24. 7	22. 2 23. 1 23. 4 22. 4	2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8	612.9 619.1 631.0 642.1

		•		L	ess: Pers	onal outlay	ys		Percent of disp personal inco		osable ome	
Year or quarter	Per- sonal	Less: Per- sonal tax and	Equals: Dispos- able per-		Per- sonal	Interest	Per- sonal	Equals: Per- sonal	Persout	ional lays		
•	Income	nontax pay- ments	sonal income	Total	sump- tion expend- itures	paid by con- sumers	pay- ments to for- eigners	saving	Total	Con- sump- tion expend- itures	sonal saving	
				Billions o	of dollars					Percent		
1929	85. 9	2.6	83. 3	79. 1	77.2	1.5	0. 3	4.2	95. 0	92.7	5.0	
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1935 1937 1938 1938	77.0 65.9 50.2 47.0 60.4 68.6 74.1 68.3 72.8	2.5 1.9 1.5 1.5 1.6 2.3 2.9 2.9 2.9	74.5 64.0 48.7 45.5 52.4 58.5 66.3 71.2 65.5 70.3	71.1 61.4 49.3 46.5 52.0 56.4 62.7 67.4 64.8 67.7	69.9 60.5 48.6 45.8 51.3 55.7 61.9 66.5 63.9 66.8	.97 .55 .55 .67 .77	.3 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2	3.4 2.6 6 9 .4 2.1 3.6 3.8 .7 2.6	95. 4 95. 9 101. 3 102. 0 99. 3 96. 3 94. 6 94. 7 98. 9 96. 3	93.8 94.4 99.8 100.6 98.0 95.2 93.3 93.4 97.6 95.0	4.6 4.1 1.3 2.0 .7 3.7 5.4 5.3 1.1 3.7	
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1945 1946 1947 1948 1949	78.3 96.0 122.9 151.3 165.3 171.1 178.7 191.3 210.2 207.2	2.6 3.3 6.0 17.8 18.9 20.9 18.7 21.4 21.1 18.6	75. 7 92. 7 116. 9 133. 5 146. 3 150. 2 160. 0 169. 8 189. 1 188. 6	71.8 81.7 89.3 100.1 109.1 120.7 144.8 162.5 175.8 175.8	70. 8 80. 6 88. 5 99. 3 108. 3 119. 7 143. 4 160. 7 173. 6 176. 8	.8 .9 .5 .5 .5 .8 1.1 1.5 1.9	.2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .5 .7 .7 .5	3.8 11.0 27.6 33.4 37.3 29.6 15.2 7.3 13.4 9.4	94, 9 88, 2 76, 4 75, 0 74, 5 80, 3 90, 5 95, 7 92, 9 95, 0	93.6 86.9 75.7 74.4 74.0 79.7 89.6 91.8 93.8	5.1 11.8 23.6 25.0 25.5 19.7 9.5 4.3 7.1 5.0	
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	227.6 255.6 272.5 288.2 290.1 310.9 333.0 351.1 361.2 383.5	20. 7 29. 0 34. 1 35. 6 32. 7 35. 5 39. 8 42. 6 42. 3 46. 2	206. 9 226. 6 238. 3 252. 6 257. 4 275. 3 293. 2 308. 5 318. 8 337. 3	193. 9 209. 3 220. 2 234. 3 241. 0 259. 5 272. 6 287. 8 296. 6 318. 3	191. 0 206. 3 216. 7 230. 0 236. 5 254. 4 266. 7 281. 4 290. 1 311. 2	2.4 2.7 3.08 4.7 5.8 5.8 5.9 6.5	.54 .45 .55 .66 .66	13. 1 17. 3 18. 1 18. 3 16. 4 15. 8 20. 6 20. 7 22. 3 19. 1	93. 7 92. 4 92. 4 93. 6 94. 3 93. 0 93. 3 93. 0 93. 0 94. 4	92. 3 91. 0 90. 9 91. 1 91. 9 92. 4 91. 0 91. 2 91. 0 92. 3	6.3 7.6 7.24 6.7 7.0 5.7 7.0 5.6	
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967_P	401. 0 416. 8 442. 6 465. 5 497. 5 537. 8 584. 0 626. 3	50. 9 52. 4 57. 4 60. 9 59. 4 65. 6 75. 2 81. 7	350. 0 364. 4 385. 3 404. 6 438. 1 472. 2 508. 8 544. 6	333.0 343.3 363.7 384.7 411.9 445.0 479.0 505.8	325. 2 335. 2 355. 1 375. 0 401. 2 433. 1 465. 9 491. 6	7.3 7.6 8.1 9.1 10.1 11.3 12.4 13.4	.55 .55 .66 .76 .8	17. 0 21. 2 21. 6 19. 9 26. 2 27. 2 29. 8 38. 7	95. 1 94. 2 94. 4 95. 1 94. 0 94. 2 94. 1 92. 9	92. 9 92. 0 92. 2 92. 7 91. 6 91. 7 91. 6 90. 3	4.9 5.8 5.6 4.9 6.8 5.9 7.1	
			Seasor	ally adju	sted annu	al rates						
1965: V	520, 3 530, 1 544, 6 556, 1	64. 3 66. 1 65. 2 66. 7	456. 0 464. 0 479. 4 489. 4	431. 6 439. 9 448. 5 460. 1	420. 2 428. 1 436. 4 447. 8	10.8 11.2 11.5 11.7	0.6 .7 .7 .7	24, 5 24, 0 30, 9 29, 3	94.6 94.8 93.6 94.0	92. 1 92. 3 91. 0 91. 5	5.4 5.2 6.4 6.0	
1966: I 11 11 11 11 11	567.8 577.3 589.3 601.6	70. 4 74. 1 76. 9 79. 6	497.5 503.3 512.4 522.0	470.9 474.6 483.2 487.4	458. 2 461. 6 470. 1 473. 8	12.0 12.3 12.5 12.9	.6 .7 .6 .6	26.6 28.7 29.2 34.6	94. 7 94. 3 94. 3 93. 4	92. 1 91. 7 91. 7 90. 8	5.3 5.7 5.7 6.6	
1967: 1 1 V¤	612.9 619.1 631.0 642.1	80. 2 79. 1 82. 8 84. 6	532.7 540.0 548.2 557.5	493. 9 504. 0 509. 6 515. 9	480. 2 489. 7 495. 3 501. 4	13. 1 13. 3 13. 5 13. 8	.7 1.0 .8 .7	38. 8 36. 0 38. 5 41. 6	92. 7 93. 3 93. 0 92. 5	90. 1 90. 7 90. 4 89. 9	7.3 6.7 7.0 7.5	

TABLE B-15.—Disposition of personal income, 1929-67

	Disp	osable pe	rsonal incom	ne	Persona	itures			
Year or quarter	Total (b of doll	illions lars)	Per ca (dolla	pita Irs)	Total (b of doll	illions ars)	Per ca (dolla	pita irs)	Popu- lation (thou-
	Current prices	1958 prices	Current prices	1958 prices	Current prices	1958 prices	Current prices	1958 prices	Sands) ·
1929	83. 3	150, 6	683	1, 236	77.2	139, 6	634	1, 145	121, 875
1930	74, 5 64, 0 48, 7 45, 5 52, 4 58, 5 66, 3 71, 2 65, 5 70, 3	139.0 133.7 115.1 112.2 120.4 131.8 148.4 153.1 143.6 155.9	605 516 390 362 414 459 518 552 504 537	1, 128 921 933 952 1, 035 1, 158 1, 187 1, 105 1, 190	69.9 60.5 48.6 45.8 51.3 55.7 61.9 66.5 63.9 66.8	130. 4 126. 1 114. 8 112. 8 118. 1 125. 5 138. 4 143. 1 140. 2 148. 2	567 487 389 364 406 437 483 516 492 510	1,059 1,016 919 897 934 985 1,080 1,110 1,079 1,131	123, 188 124, 149 124, 949 125, 690 126, 485 127, 362 128, 181 128, 961 129, 969 131, 028
1940	75. 7 92. 7 116. 9 133. 5 146. 3 150. 2 160. 0 169. 8 189. 1 188. 6	166. 3 190. 3 213. 4 222. 8 231. 6 229. 7 227. 0 218. 0 229. 8 230. 8	573 695 867 976 1,057 1,074 1,132 1,178 1,290 1,264	1, 259 1, 427 1, 582 1, 629 1, 673 1, 642 1, 606 1, 513 1, 567 1, 547	70. 8 80. 6 88. 5 99. 3 108. 3 119. 7 143. 4 160. 7 173. 6 176. 8	155.7 165.4 161.4 165.8 171.4 183.0 203.5 206.3 210.8 216.5	536 604 656 726 782 855 1,014 1,115 1,184 1,185	1, 178 1, 240 1, 197 1, 213 1, 238 1, 308 1, 308 1, 439 1, 431 1, 438 1, 451	132, 122 133, 402 134, 860 136, 739 138, 397 139, 928 141, 389 144, 126 146, 631 149, 188
1950	206. 9 226. 6 238. 3 252. 6 257. 4 275. 3 293. 2 308. 5 318. 8 337. 3	249. 6 255. 7 263. 3 275. 4 278. 3 296. 7 309. 3, 315. 8 318. 8 333. 0	1, 364 1, 469 1, 518 1, 583 1, 585 1, 666 1, 743 1, 801 1, 831 1, 905	1,646 1,657 1,678 1,726 1,714 1,795 1,839 1,844 1,831 1,881	191. 0 206. 3 216. 7 230. 0 236. 5 254. 4 266. 7 281. 4 290. 1 311. 2	230. 5 232. 8 239. 4 250. 8 255. 7 274. 2 281. 4 288. 2 290. 1 307. 3	1, 259 1, 337 1, 381 1, 441 1, 456 1, 539 1, 585 1, 643 1, 666 1, 758	1,520 1,509 1,525 1,572 1,575 1,659 1,673 1,683 1,666 1,735	151, 684 154, 287 156, 954 159, 565 162, 391 165, 275 168, 221 171, 274 174, 141 177, 073
1960	350. 0 364. 4 385. 3 404. 6 438. 1 472. 2 508. 8 544. 6	340. 2 350. 7 367. 3 381. 3 407. 9 434. 4 456. 3 476. 0	1, 937 1, 983 2, 064 2, 136 2, 280 2, 427 2, 584 2, 735	1, 883 1, 909 1, 968 2, 013 2, 123 2, 232 2, 317 2, 391	325. 2 335. 2 355. 1 375. 0 401. 2 433. 1 465. 9 491. 6	316. 1 322. 5 338. 4 353. 3 373. 7 398. 4 418. 0 429. 9	1,800 1,824 1,902 1,980 2,088 2,226 2,366 2,469	1,749 1,755 1,813 1,865 1,945 2,047 2,123 2,159	180, 684 183, 756 186, 656 189, 417 192, 120 194, 592 196, 920 199, 118
			Season	ally adju	sted annual	rates			
1965: 1! !!! !V	456. 0 464. 0 479. 4 489. 4	422. 2 427. 2 440. 2 448. 2	2, 354 2, 388 2, 459 2, 502	2, 179 2, 199 2, 258 2, 291	420. 2 428. 1 436. 4 447. 8	389. 1 394. 1 400. 7 409. 9	2, 169 2, 204 2, 239 2, 289	2,008 2,029 2,056 2,096	193, 734 194, 269 194, 941 195, 594
1966: V	497. 5 503. 3 512. 4 522. 0	451. 8 452. 6 458. 4 463. 2	2, 537 2, 560 2, 598 2, 639	2, 304 2, 302 2, 324 2, 341	458. 2 461. 6 470. 1 473. 8	416. 2 415. 2 420. 4 420. 4	2, 337 2, 348 2, 384 2, 395	2, 122 2, 112 2, 132 2, 125	196, 096 196, 629 197, 216 197, 834
1967: V p	532, 7 540, 0 548, 2 557, 5	470.6 474.9 477.5 481.8	2, 686 2, 716 2, 749 2, 787	2, 373 2, 388 2, 394 2, 409	480. 2 489. 7 495. 3 501. 4	424. 2 430. 6 431. 5 433. 2	2, 421 2, 463 2, 484 2, 507	2, 139 2, 165 2, 164 2, 166	198, 356 198, 852 199, 425 200, 006

 TABLE B-16.—Total and per capita disposable personal income and personal consumption expenditures, in current and 1958 prices, 1929-67

¹ Population of the United States including Armed Forces overseas. Annual data are for July 1; quarterly data are for middle of period, interpolated from monthly data.

Sources: Department of Commerce (Office of Business Economics and Bureau of the Census) and Council of Economic Advisers.

TABLE B-17.—Sources of personal income, 1929-67

[Billions of dollars]

			Wage a	and salary	disburser	nents 1			Propri	ietors'
Year or quarter	Total per- sonal income	Total	Comm prod indu:	odity- ucing stries	Distrib- utive	Service indus-	Gov- ern-	Other labor in- come 1	Busi- ness	
			Total	Manu- factur- ing	indus- tries	tries	ment		and profes- sional	Farm ²
1929	85. 9	50.4	21. 5	16. 1	15.6	8.4	4.9	0.6	9.0	6. 2
1930 1931 1932 1934 1935 1936 1937 1938 1938	77.0 65.9 50.2 47.0 54.0 60.4 68.6 74.1 68.3 72.8	46. 2 39. 1 30. 5 29. 0 33. 7 36. 7 41. 9 46. 1 43. 0 45. 9	18.5 14.3 9.9 12.1 13.5 15.8 18.4 15.3 17.4	13.8 10.8 7.7 7.8 9.6 10.8 12.4 14.6 11.8 13.6	14.5 12.5 9.8 9.9 10.7 11.8 13.2 12.6 13.3	8.0 7.1 5.2 5.7 5.9 6.5 7.1 6.8 7.1	5.23 5.01 5.51 6.59 7.52 8.2 8.2	.554456666 	7.6 5.86 3.37 5.5 6.7 6.7 6.9 4	4.3 3.4 2.16 3.03 5.3 4.30 4.4 4.4
1940	78.3 96.0 122.9 151.3 165.3 171.1 178.7 191.3 210.2 207.2	49.8 62.1 82.1 105.6 116.9 117.5 112.0 123.0 135.3 134.6	19.7 27.5 39.1 48.9 50.3 45.8 46.0 54.3 61.0 57.7	15. 6 21. 7 30. 9 40. 9 42. 9 38. 2 36. 5 42. 5 47. 2 44. 7	14. 2 16. 3 18. 0 20. 1 22. 7 24. 8 31. 0 35. 2 37. 6 37. 7	7.5 8.1 9.9 10.9 12.0 14.4 16.1 17.9 18.6	8.4 10.2 16.0 26.6 33.0 34.9 20.7 17.4 18.9 20.6	.7 .9 1.1 1.5 1.8 1.9 2.3 2.7 3.0	8.6 11.1 14.0 17.0 18.2 19.2 21.6 20.3 22.7 22.6	4.5 6.4 9.8 11.7 11.6 12.2 14.9 15.2 17.5 12.7
1950	227.6 255.6 272.5 288.2 290.1 310.9 333.0 351.1 361.2 383.5	146. 7 171. 0 185. 1 198. 3 196. 5 211. 3 227. 8 238. 7 239. 9 258. 2	64. 6 76. 1 81. 8 89. 4 85. 4 92. 8 100. 2 103. 8 99. 7 109. 1	50. 3 59. 4 64. 2 71. 2 67. 6 73. 9 79. 5 82. 5 78. 7 86. 9	39. 9 44. 3 46. 9 49. 8 50. 2 53. 4 57. 7 60. 5 60. 8 64. 8	19.9 21.7 23.3 25.1 26.4 28.9 31.6 33.9 35.9 38.7	22. 4 28. 9 33. 1 34. 6 36. 2 38. 3 40. 4 43. 5 45. 6	3.8 4.8 5.3 6.3 7.3 8.4 9.5 9.9 11.3	24.0 26.1 27.5 27.6 30.3 31.3 32.8 33.2 35.1	13. 5 15. 8 15. 0 12. 4 11. 4 11. 4 13. 4 11. 4
1960	401. 0 416. 8 442. 6 465. 5 497. 5 537. 8 584. 0 626. 3	270. 8 278. 1 296. 1 311. 1 333. 7 359. 1 359. 1 359. 6 423. 7	112. 5 112. 8 120. 8 125. 7 134. 1 144. 5 159. 3 167. 1	89.7 89.8 96.7 100.6 107.2 115.6 128.1 134.3	68. 1 69. 1 72. 5 76. 0 81. 2 86. 9 93. 9 100. 8	41. 5 44. 0 46. 8 49. 9 54. 1 58. 3 63. 5 69. 5	48.7 52.2 56.0 59.5 64.3 69.3 77.9 86.3	12. 0 12. 7 13. 9 14. 9 16. 6 18. 6 20. 8 23. 2	34. 2 35. 6 37. 1 37. 9 40. 2 41. 9 43. 2 43. 6	12. 0 12. 8 13. 0 13. 1 12. 1 14. 8 16. 1 14. 8
	_			Seaso	naliy adjus	sted annua	I rates			
1965: I II III IV	520. 3 530. 1 544. 6 556. 1	347. 7 354. 2 362. 0 372. 4	140. 7 142. 4 145. 2 149. 7	112. 4 113. 8 116. 4 119. 7	84. 4 86. 2 87. 6 89. 6	55. 8 57. 6 59. 3 60. 7	66. 8 67. 9 69. 8 72. 5	17. 9 18. 4 18. 9 19. 4	41. 4 41. 7 42. 0 42. 5	13.6 15.0 15.2 15.3
1966: V	567. 8 577. 3 589. 3 601. 6	381. 3 390. 2 399. 6 407. 4	154.2 158.0 161.0 164.1	123. 1 126. 9 129. 7 132. 6	91. 3 93. 0 94. 9 96. 5	61. 4 62. 9 64. 3 65. 5	74. 3 76. 4 79. 4 81. 4	20.0 20.5 21.1 21.7	42. 8 43. 3 43. 3 43. 4	17.1 16.0 15.9 15.1
1967: I II III IV_P	612.9 619.1 631.0 642.1	414. 7 418. 3 426. 2 435. 6	165.7 164.8 167.4 170.6	133. 1 132. 6 134. 6 136. 9	98.7 99.6 101.7 103.2	67. 0 68. 8 70. 2 72. 0	83. 4 85. 0 86. 9 89. 8	22. 2 22. 9 23. 6 24. 3	43. 2 43. 4 43. 8 44. 1	14.6 14.3 15.0 15.2

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE B-17 .--- Sources of personal income, 1929-67--Continued

(Billions of dollars)

					Tra		Less:			
Year or quarter	Rental income of per- sons	Divi- dends	Personal interest income	Total	Old-age and sur- vivors insur- ance benefits	State unem- ploy- ment in- surance benefits	Vet- erans' benefits	Other	Personal contri- butions for social insur- ance	Non- agricul- tural personal income ^a
1929	5. 4	5.8	7.2	1.5			0.6	0.9	0.1	77.6
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937 1938 1939	4.8 3.8 2.7 2.0 1.7 1.7 1.8 2.1 2.6 2.7	5.5 4.1 2.5 2.6 2.8 4.5 7 3.2 3.8	6.6.87 6.6.5.87 5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.	1.5 2.7 2.2 2.1 2.2 2.4 3.5 2.4 3.5 2.8 3.0	 	• 0.4	.6 1.6 .8 .5 .5 1.9 .5 .5	.9 1.1 1.4 1.6 1.8 1.9 1.6 1.8 1.9 2.0	.1 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .6 .6	70. 8 60. 8 46. 7 43. 2 49. 8 53. 9 63. 0 66. 7 62. 6 66. 9
1940	2.9 3.55 5.4 5.6 7.0 8.4 8.4	4.0 4.4 4.3 4.6 4.6 5.6 5.6 7.0 7.2	5.5.336385 5.5.6.6.7.7.8.	3.1 3.1 3.0 3.6 6.2 11.3 11.7 11.2 12.4	• 0.1 .2 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7	.5 .3 .1 .4 1.1 .8 .8 1.7	.555 .5987 2.678 5.1	2.0 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.4 7 3.1 3.7 4.1 9	.7 .8 1.2 1.8 2.2 2.3 2.0 2.1 2.2 2.2	72. 3 87. 8 111. 0 137. 3 151. 2 156. 4 161. 0 173. 0 189. 4 191. 3
1950	9.4 10.3 11.5 12.7 13.6 13.9 14.3 14.8 15.4 15.6	8.8 8.6 9.3 10.5 11.3 11.7 11.6 12.6	9.2 9.9 10.6 11.8 13.1 14.2 15.7 17.6 18.9 20.7	15.1 12.5 13.0 14.0 16.0 17.3 18.5 21.4 25.7 26.6	1.0 1.9 2.2 3.0 3.6 4.9 5.7 7.3 8.5 10.2	1.4 ,8 1.0 2.0 1.4 1.4 1.8 3.9 2.5	4.9 3.9 3.7 3.3 4.3 4.3 4.3 4.6 4.6	7.99 5.0 6.3 6.8 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 9.4	2.9 3.4 3.8 4.0 4.6 5.2 5.8 6.7 6.9 7.9	210.9 236.4 254.1 271.9 274.7 296.4 318.5 336.6 344.3 368.5
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 p	15.8 16.0 16.7 17.1 18.0 19.0 19.4 20.1	13. 4 13. 8 15. 2 16. 5 17. 8 19. 8 21. 5 22. 8	23. 4 25. 0 27. 7 31. 4 34. 9 38. 4 42. 4 46. 5	28.5 32.4 33.3 35.3 36.7 39.7 43.9 51.9	11. 1 12. 6 14. 3 15. 2 16. 0 18. 1 20. 8 25. 7	2.8 4.0 2.9 2.8 2.6 2.2 1.8 2.1	4.6 4.8 5.0 5.3 5.6 5.6	10. 0 10. 9 11. 2 12. 2 12. 9 13. 8 15. 6 17. 5	9.3 9.6 10.3 11.8 12.5 13.4 17.9 20.4	385. 2 400. 0 425. 5 448. 1 480. 9 518. 4 563. 1 606. 4
					Seasonally a	djusted annu	al rates			
1965: I II III IV	18.6 18.9 19.1 19.2	18.7 19.4 20.2 20.9	37. 0 37. 9 38. 8 39. 7	38.6 37.8 42.0 40.5	16.7 16.6 20.4 18.6	2.4 2.2 2.2 2.0	5.5 5.6 5.7 5.7	14. 1 13. 4 13. 7 14. 1	13. 1 13. 3 13. 5 13. 8	502. 3 510. 5 524. 8 536. 1
1966: V	19.2 19.3 19.4 19.6	21.4 21.6 21.6 21.2	40.7 41.9 42.8 44.3	42.4 41.9 44.0 47.5	19.4 19.6 21.0 23.2	2.0 1.6 1.8 1.8	5.9 5.4 5.4 6.3	15. 1 15. 3 15. 8 16. 2	17. 1 17. 3 18. 4 18. 7	545. 9 556. 5 568. 5 581. 4
1967: V	19. 8 20. 0 20. 2 20. 4	22. 2 23. 1 23. 4 22. 4	45. 2 46. 0 46. 9 48. 0	50. 8 51. 4 52. 4 52. 9	24.7 25.6 26.2 26.4	2.1 2.1 2.2 1.9	6.5 6.5 6.6 6.7	17.6 17.0 17.4 17.9	20. 0 20. 2 20. 5 20. 8	593. 1 599. 6 610. 9 621. 8

¹ The total of wage and salary disbursements and other labor income differs from compensation of employees in Table B-12 in that it excludes employer contributions for social insurance and the excess of wage accruals over wage disbursements. ² Includes change in inventories. ³ Nonagricultural income is personal income exclusive of net income of unincorporated farm enterprises, farm wages, agricultural net interest, and net dividends paid by agricultural corporations.

	Gross	private natio	saving an nal incom	d governi e and pro	deficit,	Gro	nent				
Year or quarter		Pr	ivate savi	ing	Goveri	nment s deficit (-	urplus -)		Gross private	Net	Statis- tical dis-
	Total	Total	Per- sonal saving	Gross busi- ness saving	Total	Fed- eral	State and local	Total	domes- tic in- vest- ment	foreign invest- ment ¹	ancy
1929	16.3	15.3	4.2	11.2	1.0	1.2	-0.2	17.0	16.2	0.8	0.7
1930	11.8 5.1 .9 3.2 6.6 7.2 11.9 7.0 8.8	12.1 8.0 2.5 5.6 8.6 10.3 11.5 8.7 11.0	3.4 2.6 9 .9 2.1 3.6 3.8 .7 2.6	8.6 5.32 3.22 5.4 6.7 7.0 8.4	$\begin{array}{r} -2.9 \\ -1.8 \\ -2.4 \\ -2.0 \\ -3.1 \\ .3 \\ -1.8 \\ -2.2 \\ -3.2 \\ -1.8 \\ -2.2 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} .3 \\ -2.1 \\ -1.5 \\ -1.3 \\ -2.9 \\ -2.6 \\ -3.6 \\ -3.6 \\ -2.1 \\ -2.2 \end{array}$	6 3 	11.0 5.8 1.1 1.6 3.8 6.4 8.4 11.8 7.6 10.2	10.3 5.6 1.0 1.4 3.3 6.4 8.5 11.8 6.5 9.3	.7 .2 .2 .4 1 1 1.1 1.1 .9	8 .7 .3 .5 2 1.2 .6 1.3
1940	13. 6 18. 6 10. 7 5. 5 5. 2 35. 1 42. 0 49. 9 35. 9	14.3 22.4 42.0 49.7 54.3 44.7 29.7 27.5 41.4 39.0	3.8 11.0 27.6 33.4 37.3 29.6 15.2 7.3 13.4 9.4	10. 5 11. 4 14. 5 16. 3 17. 1 15. 1 14. 5 20. 2 28. 0 29. 7	$\begin{array}{r}7\\ -3.8\\ -31.4\\ -44.1\\ -51.8\\ -39.5\\ 5.4\\ 14.4\\ 8.5\\ -3.2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -1.3\\ -5.1\\ -33.1\\ -46.6\\ -54.5\\ -42.1\\ 3.5\\ 13.4\\ 8.4\\ -2.4\end{array}$.6 1.3 1.8 2.5 2.7 2.6 1.9 1.0 .1 7	14.6 19.0 9.6 3.5 5.0 9.1 35.2 42.9 47.9 36.2	13. 1 17. 9 9. 8 5. 7 7. 1 10. 6 30. 6 34. 0 46. 0 35. 7	$1.5 \\ 1.1 \\2 \\ -2.2 \\ -2.1 \\ -1.4 \\ 4.6 \\ 8.9 \\ 1.9 \\ .5$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.0\\.4\\-1.1\\-2.0\\2.5\\3.9\\.1\\.9\\-2.0\\.3\end{array} $
1950	50. 4 56. 1 49. 5 47. 5 48. 5 64. 8 72. 7 71. 2 59. 2 73. 8	42, 5 50, 3 53, 3 54, 4 55, 6 62, 1 67, 8 70, 5 71, 7 75, 9	13. 1 17. 3 18. 1 18. 3 16. 4 15. 8 20. 6 20. 7 22. 3 19. 1	29.4 33.1 35.1 36.1 39.2 46.3 47.3 49.8 49.4 56.8	7.8 5.8 -3.8 -6.9 -7.0 2.7 4.9 .7 -12.5 -2.1	9.1 6.2 -3.8 -7.0 -5.9 4.0 5.7 2.1 -10.2 -1.2	$ \begin{array}{c} -1.2 \\4 \\ (3) \\ -1.1 \\ -1.3 \\9 \\ -1.4 \\ -2.3 \\8 \\ \end{array} $	51.8 59.5 51.6 50.5 51.3 66.9 71.6 71.2 60.7 73.0	54. 1 59. 3 51. 9 52. 6 51. 7 67. 4 70. 0 67. 8 60. 9 75. 3	$\begin{array}{c} -2.2 \\3 \\ -2.1 \\5 \\ 1.5 \\ 3.4 \\ -2.3 \\ -2.3 \end{array}$	1.5 3.3 2.2 3.0 2.7 2.1 -1.1 * 1.6 8
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 P	77.5 75.5 85.0 90.5 101.0 113.5 122.7 116.5	73. 9 79. 8 87. 9 88. 7 102. 4 110. 8 119. 5 129. 2	17. 0 21. 2 21. 6 19. 9 26. 2 27. 2 29. 8 38. 7	56. 8 58. 7 66. 3 68. 8 76. 2 83. 7 89. 7 90. 4	3.7 -4.3 -2.9 1.8 -1.4 2.7 3.2 -12.7	3.5 -3.8 -3.8 -3.8 -7 -3.0 1.4 .3 -12.6	.2 5 .9 1.2 1.7 1.2 2.9 1	76. 5 74. 7 85. 5 90. 3 99. 7 111. 5 120. 2 114. 1	74. 8 71. 7 83. 0 87. 1 94. 0 107. 4 118. 0 112. 1	1.7 3.0 2.5 3.1 5.7 4.1 2.2 2.0	-1.0 8 3 -1.3 -2.0 -2.6 -2.2
				Se	asonally	adjusted	annual r	ates			
1965: I II III IV	112.0 112.5 113.3 116.2	106.3 106.3 115.0 115.6	24. 5 24. 0 30. 9 29. 3	81, 8 82, 3 84, 1 86, 3	5.7 6.2 -1.7 .6	4,5 4.9 3.2 4	1.2 1.2 1.5 1.1	108.6 110.2 112.7 115.8	105. 1 105. 1 108. 2 112. 3	3.5 5.1 4.5 3.4	-3.4 -2.3 6 5
1966: V	118.7 123.1 121.3 127.9	114. 1 117. 0 118. 7 128. 2	26.6 28.7 29.2 34.6	87. 5 88. 3 89. 5 93. 6	4.6 6.1 2.6 3	2.2 3.2 7 -3.3	2.4 2.9 3.3 3.0	117.8 121.0 118.1 124.0	115. 2 118. 5 116. 4 122. 2	2.7 2.5 1.8 1.8	9 -2.2 -3.2 -3.8
1967: V p	116.9 110.1 115.7	127.7 125.1 129.0	38.8 36.0 38.5 41.6	88.9 89.1 90.4	-10.8 -15.0 -13.3	-11.9 -14.7 -13.2	1.0 2 1	112, 9 107, 3 114, 5 121, 9	110, 4 105, 1 112, 2 120, 7	2.5 2.3 2.3 1.2	-4.0 -2.8 -1.2

TABLE B-18.-Sources and uses of gross saving, 1929-67 [Billions of dollars]

Net exports of goods and services less net transfers to foreigners.
 Surplus of \$32 million.
 Deficit of \$41 million.

TABLE B-19.-Financial saving by individuals, 1939-671

Billions of dollars

		Cur-			Secu	rities		Pri- vate	Non-	Gov- ern- ment	Less	: Increa debt	se in
Year or quarter	Total	rency and bank de- posits	Sav- ings shares (2)	Total	U.S. sav- ings bonds	Other Gov- ern- ment ³	Corpo- rate and other	ance and pen- sion re- serves	in- sured pen- sion funds	insur- ance and pen- sion re- serves ¹	Mort- gage debt ³	Con- sumer debt ^s	Secu- rities Ioans 7
1939	3.0	3.0	0.1	-0.8	0.7	-0, 9	-0.6	1.5	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.8	-0.2
1940 1941 1942 1944 1944 1945 1946 1947 1948 1948 1949	2.9 8.8 27.1 35.9 38.4 35.0 13.2 5.0 .5 1.6	2.9 4.8 10.9 16.2 17.5 19.0 10.6 2.0 1.8 1.4	.3 .4 .3 .9 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.6	4 2.6 10.3 14.1 15.7 9.9 -1.4 2.2 3.0 2.3	.9 2.8 8.0 11.1 11.8 6.9 1.0 2.0 1.6 1.5	8 2.3 3.3 4.6 4.2 -2.6 2 .1	4 5 3 7 -1.2 .4 .9 .7	1.6 1.9 2.2 2.6 3.2 3.1 3.5 3.3 3.5	.1 .1 .2 .6 .9 .3 .4 .6	.3 .7 1.3 2.3 3.1 2.5 1.7 2.0 1.8	.9 .8 .1 4 1 3.1 3.9 4.7 3.9	1.0 .7 -3.0 -1.0 .1 .5 2.3 2.8 2.4 2.6	2 1 .6 1.4 1.5 -2.3 8 .4 .3
1950	3 8.1 10.4 9.0 8.5 5.2 12.2 15.0 19.7 14.0	3.5 5.9 7.0 4.7 5.4 3.4 4.8 4.8 10.2 4.1	1.7 2.3 3.3 4.0 4.7 5.2 5.3 5.2 6.4 7.2	.9 .7 3.4 3.4 .2 6.2 5.2 5.3 1.1 10.2	.3 5 .2 .6 .3 1 -1.9 5 -1.8	1 5 1.2 2.0 -1.1 3.7 3.2 4.4 -1.1 11.0	.7 1.6 2.1 1.2 .6 2.3 2.0 2.7 2.6 1.0	3.7 3.8 4.4 4.5 4.7 5.0 5.0 4.6 4.8 4.9	.9 1.5 1.7 2.0 2.2 2.3 2.7 3.1 3.2 3.6	6 1.7 1.9 1.7 1.7 1.8 2.3 2.6 2.9 3.0	6.5 7.0 6.1 7.2 8.3 12.0 10.6 8.1 8.2 12.5	3.7 1.0 4.4 3.7 1.0 6.2 3.3 2.5 .2 6.1	.2 3 .6 .4 .9 .6 8 1 .4 .2
1960	6.3 18.9 22.1 23.0 30.0 29.8 29.8 47.8	2.4 9.5 17.7 18.4 19.4 23.3 12.5 28.9	8.2 9.2 9.9 11.7 11.4 9.4 4.5 11.8	-1.0 1.1 4 .5 6.1 3.9 14.5 .7	2 .8 .4 1.2 .9 .6 .9	9 6 .7 1.6 5.1 3.6 12.3 3	$\begin{array}{c} .1 \\ 1.0 \\ -1.5 \\ -2.4 \\ .1 \\4 \\ 1.7 \\ .1 \end{array}$	4.8 5.2 5.7 6.2 6.7 7.6 6.8 7.6	4.0 4.1 4.2 4.5 4.9 5.6 6.2 7.0	3.2 3.2 3.7 4.0 4.5 4.8 5.0 5.5	10.9 10.9 12.5 14.5 15.5 15.9 12.7 9.7	4.2 1.5 5.0 6.9 7.5 9.0 6.5 3.3	.3 1.0 1.1 .9 .1 .1 .5
1965: I II III IV	5.7 6.0 10.1 7.9	1.9 5.0 8.0 8.4	2.1 2.7 1.4 3.4	.9 1.8 .7 .5	.2 .1 .1 .2	.7 1.4 .9 .7	* 3 4	1.9 1.7 1.9 2.2	1.4 1.3 1.3 1.7	1.0 1.3 1.3 1.3	3.9 3.4 4.1 4.5	3 3.5 2.4 3.4	1 .7 -2.0 1.5
1966:] V	5.4 7.2 8.7 8.5	-1.6 4.7 3.4 5.9	1.4 1.1 5 2.6	4.9 3.3 4.7 1.6	.1 .2 .1 .2	4.3 2.2 3.9 1.9	.5 1.0 .8 6	1.9 1.5 1.5 1.9	1.3 1.5 1.3 2.2	1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	4.4 3.0 2.6 2.7	4 2.6 1.6 2.8	4 .5 -1.1 1.5
1967: V_P	11.5 10.0 16.0 10.2	5.5 8.4 10.6 4.5	2.5 4.0 2.0 3.3	-1.6 -4.6 3.5 3.4	.2 .2 .3 .2	1.1 -4.4 3.2 2.1	7 4 1.2	2.0 1.5 1.9 2.1	1.6 1.5 1.8 2.0	1.1 1.4 1.4 1.5	1.8 1.6 2.5 3.7	-1.9 1.9 1.1 2.2	2 -1.2 1.6 .6

¹ Individuals' saving, in addition to personal holdings, covers saving of unincorporated business, trust funds, and non-profit institutions in the forms specified.
 ² Includes shares in savings and loan associations and shares and deposits in credit unions.
 ³ "Other government' includes U.S. Government issues (except savings bonds), State and local government securities, and nonguaranteed Federal agency issues.
 ⁴ Includes civil service, railroad retirement, and State and local retirement systems.
 ⁵ Mortgage debt to institutions on one- to four-family nonfarm dwellings.
 ⁶ Consumer debt owed to corporations. Policy loans on Government and private life insurance have been deducted from those items of saving.
 ⁷ Change in bank loans to brokers, dealers, and others for the purpose of purchasing or carrying securities.

Note.—In addition to the concept of saving shown above, there are other concepts of individuals' saving, with varying degrees of coverage, currently in use. The personal saving estimates of the Department of Commerce are derived as the difference between personal income (after taxes) and personal outlays. For a reconciliation of the two series, see Securities and Exchange Commission "Statistical Bulletin," August 1967, and "Survey of Current Business," July 1967. The flow-of-funds system of accounts of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System includes estimates of gross saving and net financial investment of households.

Source: Securities and Exchange Commission.

	Families 1								
Year	To	tai	With incomes (1966	under \$3,000 prices)					
	Number (millions)	Median income (1966 prices)	Number (millions)	Percent of total					
1947	37. 2	\$4, 401	10. 8	28.9					
1948	38. 6	4, 299	11. 5	29.7					
1949	39. 3	4, 230	12. 2	31.0					
1950	39.9	4, 479	11.5	28.9					
	40.6	4, 636	10.8	26.7					
	40.8	4, 760	10.3	25.3					
	41.2	5, 161	9.8	23.9					
	42.0	5, 036	10.6	25.3					
1955	42, 9	5, 377	9.8	22. 8					
1956	43, 5	5, 727	9.0	20. 7					
1957	43, 7	5, 727	9.1	20. 9					
1959	44, 2	5, 708	9.3	21. 0					
1959	45, 1	6, 041	8.9	19. 7					
1960	45.5	6, 174	8.9	19.5					
	46.3	6, 243	9.0	19.4					
	47.0	6, 404	8.5	18.1					
	47.4	6, 637	8.3	17.5					
	47.8	6, 871	7.8	16.4					
1965	48. 3	7, 154	7.5	15.5					
1966	48. 9	7, 436	7.0	14.3					
		Unrelated i	ndividuals ²						
	To	otal	With incomes (1966	under \$1,500 prices)					
	Number (millions)	Median income (1966 prices)	Number (millions)	Percent of total					
1947	8. 2	\$1,437	4, 2	51, 6					
1948	8. 4	1,395	4, 5	53, 0					
1949	9. 0	1,457	4, 6	51, 2					
1950	9.4	1,448	4.8	51. 5					
	9.1	1,496	4.6	50. 1					
	9.7	1,740	4.4	45. 7					
	9.5	1,710	4.5	46. 9					
	9.7	1,484	4.9	50. 4					
1955	9.9	1,610	4.8	48.0					
	9.8	1,720	4.5	46.1					
	10.4	1,773	4.7	45.0					
	10.9	1,730	5.0	46.0					
	10.9	1,773	4.9	44.9					
1960	11.1	1,908	4.8	43. 2					
1961	11.2	1,916	4.8	42. 5					
1962	11.0	1,896	4.6	41. 8					
1963	11.2	1,919	4.6	41. 3					
1964	12.1	2,080	4.8	40. 0					
1965	12. 1	2, 222	4.5	36.9					
1966	12. 4	2, 270	4.6	36.7					

¹ The term "family" refers to a group of two or more persons related by blood, marriage, or adoption and residing together;
 all such persons are considered members of the same family.
 ² The term "functated individuals" refers to persons 14 years of age and over (other than inmates of institutions) who are not living with any relatives.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

POPULATION, EMPLOYMENT, WAGES, AND PRODUCTIVITY

		Age (years)										
July 1	Total	Under 5	5-13	14-19	20–24	25-44	45-64	65 and over				
Estimates: 1929	121, 767	11, 734	22, 131	13, 796	10, 694	35, 862	21, 076	6, 474				
1930	123, 077	11, 372	22, 266	13, 937	10, 915	36, 309	21, 573	6,705				
1931	124, 040	11, 179	22, 263	13, 980	11, 003	36, 654	22, 031	6,928				
1932	124, 840	10, 903	22, 238	14, 015	11, 077	36, 988	22, 473	7,147				
1933	125, 579	10, 612	22, 129	14, 070	11, 152	37, 319	22, 933	7,363				
1934	126, 374	10, 331	21, 964	14, 163	11, 238	37, 662	23, 435	7,582				
1935	127, 250	10, 170	21, 730	14, 296	11, 317	37, 987	23, 947	7, 804				
1936	128, 053	10, 044	21, 434	14, 442	11, 375	38, 288	24, 444	8, 024				
1937	128, 825	10, 009	21, 082	14, 558	11, 411	38, 589	24, 917	8, 257				
1938	129, 825	10, 176	20, 668	14, 680	11, 453	38, 954	25, 387	8, 508				
1939	129, 880	10, 418	20, 253	14, 748	11, 519	39, 354	25, 823	8, 764				
1940	132, 122	10, 579	19, 936	14, 770	11, 690	39, 868	26, 249	9, 031				
1941	133, 402	10, 850	19, 674	14, 682	11, 807	40, 383	26, 718	9, 288				
1942	134, 860	11, 301	19, 427	14, 534	11, 955	40, 861	27, 196	9, 584				
1943	136, 739	12, 016	19, 319	14, 381	12, 064	41, 420	27, 671	9, 867				
1944	138, 397	12, 524	19, 246	14, 264	12, 062	42, 016	28, 138	10, 147				
1945	139, 928	12, 979	19, 326	13, 942	12, 036	42, 521	28, 630	10, 494				
1946	141, 389	13, 244	19, 625	13, 597	12, 004	43, 027	29, 064	10, 828				
1947	144, 126	14, 406	20, 118	13, 447	11, 814	43, 657	29, 498	11, 185				
1948	146, 631	14, 919	20, 990	13, 171	11, 794	44, 288	29, 931	11, 538				
1949	149, 188	15, 607	21, 634	13, 006	11, 700	44, 916	30, 405	11, 921				
1950	152, 271	16, 410	22, 424	12, 839	11, 680	45, 673	30, 849	12, 397				
1951	154, 878	17, 333	22, 998	12, 727	11, 552	46, 103	31, 362	12, 803				
1952	157, 553	17, 312	24, 501	12, 807	11, 350	46, 494	31, 884	13, 203				
1953	160, 184	17, 638	25, 701	12, 986	11, 062	46, 786	32, 393	13, 617				
1954	163, 026	18, 057	26, 887	13, 230	10, 832	47, 002	32, 941	14, 076				
1955	165, 931	18, 566	27, 925	13, 501	10, 714	47, 195	33, 507	14, 527				
1956	168, 903	19, 003	28, 929	13, 981	10, 616	47, 380	34, 058	14, 937				
1957	171, 984	19, 494	29, 672	14, 795	10, 603	47, 441	34, 591	15, 387				
1958	174, 882	19, 887	30, 651	15, 337	10, 756	47, 336	35, 109	15, 805				
1958	177, 830	20, 175	31, 767	15, 816	10, 969	47, 192	35, 663	16, 248				
1960	180, 684	20, 364	32, 985	16, 217	11, 116	47, 134	36, 208	16,659				
1961	183, 756	20, 657	33, 296	17, 566	11, 408	47, 061	36, 756	17,013				
1962	186, 656	20, 746	33, 943	18, 483	11, 889	46, 969	37, 316	17,311				
1963	189, 417	20, 750	34, 606	19, 075	12, 620	46, 933	37, 868	17,565				
1964	192, 120	20, 670	35, 301	19, 812	13, 154	46, 881	38, 438	17,863				
1965	194, 592	20, 404	35, 889	20, 637	13, 679	46, 807	39, 015	18, 162				
1966	196, 920	19, 811	36, 544	21, 582	14, 063	46, 855	39, 601	18, 464				
1967	199, 118	19, 191	36, 965	21, 697	15, 197	47, 077	40, 194	18, 796				
Projections: 1 1970: Series A Series D	208, 615 204, 923	21, 317 17, 625	37, 224 37, 224	23, 136 23, 136	} 17, 261	48, 276	41, 817	19, 585				
1975: Series A Series D	227, 929 215, 367	27, 210 18, 323	37, 884 34, 209	25, 132 25, 132	} 19, 299	53, 881	43, 364	21, 159				
1980: Series A Series D	250, 489 227, 665	31, 040 20, 736	45, 215 32, 695	24, 621 24, 621	20, 997	62, 374	43, 180	23, 063				
1985: Series A Series D	274, 748 241, 731	33, 288 23, 030	53, 497 35, 933	26, 894 21, 699	} 21,068	72, 083	42, 940	24, 978				

TABLE B-21.—Population by age groups: Estimates, 1929-67, and projections, 1970-85 [Thousands of persons]

¹ Two of four series projected by the cohort method and based on different assumptions with regard to completed fertility, which moves gradually toward a level of 3,350 children per 1,000 women for Series A and 2,450 children per 1,000 women for Series D. For further explanation of method of projection and for additional data, see "Population Estimates, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 381," December 1967.

Note.-Data for Armed Forces overseas included beginning 1940.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

					Civit	ian labor i	force		Total	Unem.
N	Nonin- stitu-	lotat labor force	Armed		E	mploymer	it		force as percent	ploy- ment
tear or month	tional popu- lation	ing armed forces)	forces	Tota!	Total	Agri- cul- tural	Non- agri- cul- tural	Unem- ploy- ment	of non- institu- tional popu- lation	cent of civilian labor force
		Tho	usands of	persons 14	l years of	age and o	ver		Percer	nt
1929		49, 440	260	49, 180	47,630	10, 450	37,180	1, 550		3. 2
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934		50, 080 50, 680 51, 250 51, 840 52, 490	260 260 250 250 260	49, 820 50, 420 51, 000 51, 590 52, 230	45, 480 42, 400 38, 940 38, 760 40, 890	10, 340 10, 290 10, 170 10, 090 9, 900	35, 140 32, 110 28, 770 28, 670 30, 990	4, 340 8, 020 12, 060 12, 830 11, 340		8.7 15.9 23.6 24.9 21.7
1935 1936 1937 1938 1939		53, 140 53, 740 54, 320 54, 950 55, 600	270 300 320 340 370	52, 870 53, 440 54, 000 54, 610 55, 230	42, 260 44, 410 46, 300 44, 220 45, 750	10, 110 10, 000 9, 820 9, 690 9, 610	32, 150 34, 410 36, 480 34, 530 36, 140	10,610 9,030 7,700 10,390 9,480		20, 1 16, 9 14, 3 19, 0 17, 2
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944	100, 380 101, 520 102, 610 103, 660 104, 630	56, 180 57, 530 60, 380 64, 560 66, 040	540 1,620 3,970 9,020 11,410	55, 640 55, 910 56, 410 55, 540 54, 630	47, 520 50, 350 53, 750 54, 470 53, 960	9, 540 9, 100 9, 250 9, 080 8, 950	37, 980 41, 250 44, 500 45, 390 45, 010	8, 120 5, 560 2, 660 1, 070 670	56. 0 56. 7 58. 8 62. 3 63. 1	14.6 9.9 4.7 1.9 1.2
1945 1946 1947	105, 530 106, 520 107, 608	65, 300 60, 970 61, 758	11, 440 3, 450 1, 590	53, 860 57, 520 60, 168	52, 820 55, 250 57, 812	8, 580 8, 320 8, 256	44, 240 46, 930 49, 557	1, 040 2, 270 2, 356	61. 9 57. 2 57. 4	1.9 3.9 3.9
		Tho	usands of	persons 1	6 years of	age and o	ver		Per	cent
1947 1948 1949	103, 418 104, 527 105, 611	60, 941 62, 080 62, 903	1, 591 1, 456 1, 617	59, 350 60, 621 61, 286	57, 039 58, 344 57, 649	7, 891 7, 629 7, 656	49, 148 50, 713 49, 990	2, 311 2, 276 3, 637	58.9 59.4 59.6	3.9 3.8 5.9
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	106, 645 107, 721 108, 823 110, 601 111, 671	63, 858 65, 117 65, 730 66, 560 66, 993	1, 650 3, 100 3, 594 3, 547 3, 350	62,208 62,017 62,138 63,015 63,643	58, 920 59, 962 60, 254 61, 181 60, 110	7, 160 6, 726 6, 501 6, 261 6, 206	51, 760 53, 239 53, 753 54, 922 53, 903	3, 288 2, 055 1, 883 1, 834 3, 532	59.9 60.4 60.4 60.2 60.0	5.3 3.3 3.0 2.9 5.5
1955 1956 1957 1957 1958 1958	112, 732 113, 811 115, 065 116, 363 117, 881	68, 072 69, 409 69, 729 70, 275 70, 921	3, 049 2, 857 2, 800 2, 636 2, 552	65, 023 66, 552 66, 929 67, 639 68, 369	62, 171 63, 802 64, 071 63, 036 64, 630	6, 449 6, 283 5, 947 5, 586 5, 565	55, 724 57, 517 58, 123 57, 450 59, 065	2, 852 2, 750 2, 859 4, 602 3, 740	60. 4 61. 0 60. 6 60. 4 60. 2	4, 4 4, 1 4, 3 6, 8 5, 5
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	119, 759 121, 343 122, 981 125, 154 127, 224	72, 142 73, 031 73, 442 74, 571 75, 830	2, 514 2, 572 2, 828 2, 738 2, 739	69, 628 70, 459 70, 614 71, 833 73, 091	65, 778 65, 746 66, 702 67, 762 69, 305	5, 458 5, 200 4, 944 4, 687 4, 523	60, 318 60, 546 61, 759 63, 076 64, 782	3, 852 4, 714 3, 911 4, 070 3, 786	60. 2 60. 2 59. 7 59. 6 59. 6	5.5 6.7 5.5 5.7 5.2
1965 1966 1967	129, 236 131, 180 133, 319	77, 178 78, 893 80, 793	2, 723 3, 123 3, 446	74, 455 75, 770 77, 347	71, 088 72, 895 74, 372	4, 361 3, 979 3, 844	66, 726 68, 915 70, 527	3, 366 2, 875 2, 975	59.7 60.1 60.6	4.5 3.8 3.8
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	130, 285 130, 436 130, 599 130, 749 130, 925 131, 083	76, 458 76, 702 77, 043 77, 812 78, 459 80, 727	2, 890 2, 924 2, 974 3, 008 3, 045 3, 099	73, 568 73, 778 74, 069 74, 804 75, 414 77, 628	70, 340 70, 676 71, 083 72, 077 72, 620 74, 038	3, 449 3, 478 3, 645 4, 020 4, 097 4, 704	66, 891 67, 198 67, 439 68, 055 68, 523 69, 333	3, 228 3, 102 2, 986 2, 729 2, 794 3, 591	58.7 58.8 59.0 59.5 59.9 61.6	4.4 4.2 4.0 3.6 3.7 4.6
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	131, 236 131, 419 131, 590 131, 772 131, 949 132, 121	80, 838 80, 665 78, 982 79, 488 79, 895 79, 642	3, 135 3, 178 3, 229 3, 279 3, 322 3, 390	77, 703 77, 487 75, 750 76, 209 76, 573 76, 252	74, 655 74, 666 73, 248 73, 744 73, 995 73, 599	4, 580 4, 308 4, 186 4, 114 3, 815 3, 360	70, 076 70, 359 69, 063 69, 630 70, 180 70, 239	3, 048 2, 821 2, 505 2, 466 2, 577 2, 653	61. 6 61. 4 60. 0 60. 3 60. 5 60. 3	3.9 3.6 3.3 3.2 3.4 3.5

TABLE B-22.-Noninstitutional population and the labor force, 1929-67

See footnotes at end of table.

			Total			Civili	an labor f	orce		Total	Unem-
Va	r or month	Nonin- stitu-	labor force	Armed		E	mploymen	t		force as percent	ploy- ment as per-
100	n or month	popu- lation	ing armed forces)	forces	Total	Totai	Agri- cul- tural	Non- agri- cul- tural	Unem- ploy- ment	institu- tional popu- lation	cent of civilian labor force
			Tho	usands of	persons 16	years of	age and o	ver		Perc	cent
1967:	Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	132, 295 132, 448 132, 627 132, 795 132, 969 133, 168	78, 706 79, 107 78, 949 79, 560 79, 551 82, 464	3, 386 3, 418 3, 436 3, 449 3, 456 3, 444	75, 320 75, 689 75, 513 76, 111 76, 095 79, 020	72, 160 72, 506 72, 560 73, 445 73, 637 75, 391	3, 335 3, 281 3, 410 3, 721 3, 825 4, 395	68, 826 69, 225 69, 149 69, 724 69, 812 70, 996	3, 160 3, 183 2, 954 2, 666 2, 457 3, 628	59.5 59.7 59.5 59.9 59.8 61.9	4. 2 4. 2 3. 9 3. 5 3. 2 4. 6
	July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	133, 366 133, 645 133, 847 134, 045 134, 224 134, 405	82, 920 82, 571 80, 982 81, 595 81, 582 81, 527	3, 449 3, 459 3, 456 3, 463 3, 469 3, 469 3, 469	79, 471 79, 112 77, 526 78, 132 78, 113 78, 057	76, 221 76, 170 74, 631 75, 181 75, 218 75, 338	4, 516 4, 378 3, 931 4, 033 3, 759 3, 545	71, 705 71, 792 70, 700 71, 148 71, 460 71, 793	3, 250 2, 942 2, 895 2, 951 2, 894 2, 719	62. 2 61. 8 60. 5 60. 9 60. 8 60. 7	4. 1 3. 7 3. 7 3. 8 3. 7 3. 5
						Seasonally	/ adjusted				
1966:	Jan Feb Mar Apr May June		78, 245 78, 050 78, 091 78, 349 78, 194 78, 767		75, 355 75, 126 75, 117 75, 341 75, 149 75, 668	72, 410 72, 341 72, 266 72, 542 72, 253 72, 730	4, 144 4, 155 4, 113 4, 199 3, 902 3, 981	68, 266 68, 186 68, 153 68, 343 68, 351 68, 749	2, 945 2, 785 2, 851 2, 799 2, 896 2, 938		3.9 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.9 3.9
	July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec		78, 905 79, 247 79, 268 79, 360 79, 934 80, 154		75, 770 76, 069 76, 039 76, 081 76, 612 76, 764	72, 846 73, 141 73, 195 73, 199 73, 897 73, 893	3, 926 3, 935 3, 886 3, 779 3, 892 4, 011	68, 920 69, 206 69, 309 69, 420 70, 005 69, 882	2,924 2,928 2,844 2,882 2,715 2,871		3.9 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.5 3.5
1967:	Jan Feb Mar Apr May June		80, 473 80, 443 79, 959 80, 189 79, 645 80, 681		77, 087 77, 025 76, 523 76, 740 76, 189 77, 237	74, 255 74, 137 73, 747 73, 910 73, 289 74, 147	4, 015 3, 890 3, 855 3, 890 3, 652 3, 727	70, 240 70, 247 69, 892 70, 020 69, 637 70, 420	2,832 2,888 2,776 2,830 2,900 3,090		3.7 3.7 3.6 3.7 3.8 4.0
	July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec		80, 954 81, 160 81, 259 81, 460 81, 576 82, 051		77, 505 77, 701 77, 803 77, 997 78, 106 78, 582	74, 489 74, 718 74, 625 74, 630 75, 083 75, 681	3, 856 3, 992 3, 676 3, 707 3, 829 4, 264	70, 633 70, 726 70, 949 70, 923 71, 254 71, 417	3, 016 2, 983 3, 178 3, 367 3, 023 2, 901		3.9 3.8 4.1 4.3 3.9 3.7

Note.—Labor force data in Tables B-22 through B-25 are based on household interviews and relate to calendar week including the 12th of the month. For definitions of terms, area samples used, historical comparability of the data, comparability with other series etc., see "Employment and Earnings and Monthly Report on the Labor Force," February 1968.

TABLE D=23. Contan employment and unemployment, by sex and age, 1977-00	Table	B-23	-Civilian	employment	and	unemployment,	by	sex and a	ige,	1947-6
---	-------	------	-----------	------------	-----	---------------	----	-----------	------	--------

[Thousands of persons 16 years of age and over]

			Er	nployme	ent					Une	mploya	ent		
Year or			Males			Females	;			Males			Females	
month	Tota	l Total	16–19 years	20 years and over	Total	16–19 years	20 years and over	Total	Total	16-19 years	20 years and over	Total	16–19 years	20 years and over
1947 1948 1949	57, 03 58, 34 57, 64	9 40, 994 4 41, 726 9 40, 926	2, 218 2, 345 2, 124	38, 776 39, 382 38, 803	16, 045 16, 618 16, 723	1, 691 1, 683 1, 588	14, 354 14, 937 15, 137	2, 311 2, 276 3, 637	1,692 1,559 2,572	270 255 352	1, 422 1, 305 2, 219	619 717 1, 065	144 152 223	475 564 841
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	58, 92 59, 96 60, 25 60, 11	0 41, 580 2 41, 780 4 41, 684 1 42, 431 0 41, 620	2, 186 2, 156 2, 106 2, 135 1, 985	39, 394 39, 626 39, 578 40, 296 39, 634	17, 340 18, 182 18, 570 18, 750 18, 490	1, 517 1, 611 1, 612 1, 584 1, 490	15,824 16,570 16,958 17,164 17,000	3, 288 2, 055 1, 883 1, 834 3, 532	2, 239 1, 221 1, 185 1, 202 2, 344	318 191 205 184 310	1,922 1,029 980 1,019 2,035	1,049 834 698 632 1,188	195 145 140 123 191	854 689 559 510 997
1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	62, 17 63, 80 64, 07 63, 03	1 42, 621 2 43, 380 1 43, 357 6 42, 423 10 43, 466	2,095 2,164 2,117 2,012 2,198	40, 526 41, 216 41, 239 40, 411 41, 267	19, 550 20, 422 20, 714 20, 613 21, 164	1, 548 1, 654 1, 663 1, 570 1, 640	18, 002 18, 767 19, 052 19, 043 19, 524	2, 852 2, 750 2, 859 4, 602 3, 740	1, 854 1, 711 1, 841 3, 098 2, 420	274 269 299 416 398	1,580 1,442 1,541 2,681 2,022	998 1,039 1,018 1,504 1,320	176 209 197 262 256	823 832 821 1,242 1,063
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	65, 77 65, 74 65, 74 66, 70 67, 76	8 43, 904 6 43, 656 2 44, 177 2 44, 657 95 45, 474	2, 360 2, 314 2, 362 2, 406 2, 587	41, 543 41, 342 41, 815 42, 251 42, 886	21, 874 22, 090 22, 525 23, 105 23, 831	1,769 1,793 1,833 1,849 1,929	20, 105 20, 296 20, 693 21, 257 21, 903	3, 852 4, 714 3, 911 4, 070 3, 786	2, 486 2, 997 2, 423 2, 472 2, 205	425 479 407 500 487	2,060 2,518 2,016 1,971 1,718	1, 366 1, 717 1, 488 1, 598 1, 581	286 349 313 383 386	1,080 1,368 1,175 1,216 1,195
1965 1966 1967	71, 08 72, 89 74, 37	8 46, 340 5 46, 919 2 47, 479	2,918 3,252 3,186	43, 422 43, 667 44, 293	24, 748 25, 976 26, 893	2, 118 2, 469 2, 496	22, 630 23, 507 24, 397	3, 366 2, 875 2, 975	1,914 1,551 1,508	479 432 448	1,435 1,119 1,059	1, 452 1, 324 1, 468	395 404 390	1,056 919 1,078
							Season	ally adj	usteđ	_				
1966: Jan_ Feb_ Mar_ Apr_ May. June	72, 41 72, 34 72, 20 72, 20 72, 5 72, 21 72, 21 72, 7	46, 870 41 46, 849 66 46, 859 42 47, 010 53 46, 730 30 46, 960	3, 250 3, 204 3, 24 3, 24 3, 28 3, 11 3, 34	43, 62 43, 64 43, 61 43, 73 43, 62 43, 61	25, 534 25, 492 25, 407 25, 526 25, 517 25, 770	2, 384 2, 380 2, 337 2, 387 2, 375 2, 499	23, 150 23, 112 23, 070 23, 139 23, 142 23, 271	2, 945 2, 785 2, 851 2, 799 2, 890 2, 890 2, 931	1,625 1,549 1,596 1,500 1,532 1,533	448 411 444 420 449 449	1, 177 1, 138 1, 152 1, 080 1, 083 1, 144	1, 320 1, 236 1, 255 1, 299 1, 364 1, 345	393 355 383 419 425 423	927 881 872 880 939 922
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	72, 8 73, 1 73, 1 73, 1 73, 8 73, 8	46 46, 91 41 47, 03 95 46, 76 99 46, 82 97 47, 01 93 47, 11	7 3, 34 5 3, 34 9 3, 11 4 3, 17 1 3, 30 6 3, 21	43, 577 43, 688 43, 655 43, 655 43, 654 43, 711 843, 898	25, 929 26, 105 26, 426 26, 375 26, 886 26, 886 26, 777	2, 507 2, 549 2, 432 2, 484 2, 601 2, 610	23, 422 23, 556 23, 994 23, 891 23, 891 24, 278 24, 278 24, 167	2,92 2,92 2,92 2,84 2,88 2,71 2,87	4 1,587 3 1,543 4 1,516 2 1,489 5 1,473 1 1,537	441 409 435 420 387 448	1,140 1,134 1,081 1,069 1,080 1,089	1,33 1,38 1,32 1,39 1,39 1,24 1,24	438 437 3 384 3 400 2 375 4 362	899 948 944 993 867 972
1967: Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June	74, 2 74, 1 74, 1 73, 7 73, 9 73, 2 73, 2 74, 1	55 47, 53 37 47, 47 47 47, 35 10 47, 27 89 47, 05 47 47, 44	3 3, 30 7 3, 23 8 3, 34 3 3, 18 0 3, 12 8 3, 29	6 44, 22 9 44, 23 8 44, 01 1 44, 09 8 43, 92 2 44, 15	7 26, 722 8 26, 662 0 26, 389 2 26, 637 2 26, 239 8 26, 699	2,59 2,60 2,55 2,55 2,63 2,46 2,46	4 24, 125 5 24, 05 7 23, 83 5 24, 00 6 23, 77 5 24, 09	8 2,83 7 2,88 4 2,77 2 2,83 3 2,90 4 3,09	2 1,420 8 1,452 6 1,413 0 1,413 0 1,473 0 1,563 0 1,619	6 414 468 3 376 2 424 3 464 9 461	1, 012 986 1, 03 1, 04 1, 04 1, 099 1, 15	2 1,400 5 1,433 7 1,363 8 1,355 9 1,33 8 1,47	5 313 8 422 3 335 8 337 7 380 1 388	1, 093 1, 014 1, 028 1, 021 957 1, 083
July Aug Sept Oct. Nov Dec.	74, 4 74, 7 74, 6 74, 6 74, 6 74, 6 75, 6	89 47, 55 18 47, 71 25 47, 47 30 47, 42 83 47, 54 81 47, 89	5 3, 21 2 3, 23 9 3, 04 5 3, 05 8 3, 06 2 3, 09	7 44, 33 3 44, 47 4 44, 43 0 44, 37 8 44, 48 4 44, 79	8 26, 93 9 27, 00 5 27, 14 5 27, 20 0 27, 53 8 27, 78	4 2, 51 6 2, 44 6 2, 36 5 2, 37 5 2, 44 9 2, 44	3 24, 42 8 24, 55 5 24, 78 8 24, 82 2 25, 09 1 25, 34	1 3, 01 8 2, 98 1 3, 17 7 3, 36 3 3, 02 8 2, 90	6 1,519 3 1,539 8 1,47 7 1,67 3 1,60 1 1,43	9 424 9 459 1 430 8 540 4 521 6 423	1,09 1,08 1,08 1,04 1,13 1,08 1,08 1,01	5 1,49 0 1,44 1 1,70 8 1,68 3 1,41 3 1,46	7 402 4 445 7 437 9 424 9 378 5 393	1,095 999 1,270 1,267 1,041 1,072

Note .--- See Note, Table B-22.

TABLE B-24.-Selected unemployment rates, 1948-67

(Percent)

		Ву	sex and	age	By c	olor	В	y select	ed group:	3	
Year or month	Ali work- ers	Both sexes, 16–19 years	Men, 20 years and over	Wom- en, 20 years and over	White	Non- white	Expe- rienced wage and salary workers	Mar- ried men 1	Full- time work- ers ²	Blue- collar work- ers ³	Labor force time lost 4
1948 1949	3.8 5.9	9.2 13.4	3.2 5.4	3.6 5.3			3.7 6.2	3.4	5.4	4. 2 8. 0	
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	5.3 3.3 3.0 2.9 5.5	12.2 8.2 8.5 7.6 12.6	4.7 2.5 2.4 2.5 4.9	5.1 4.0 3.2 2.9 5.5	5.0	9, 9	5.6 3.2 2.9 2.6 6.2	4.6 1.5 1.4 1.7 4.0	5.0 2.6 2.5 5.2	7.2 3.9 3.6 3.4 7.2	
1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	4.4 4.1 4.3 6.8 5.5	11.0 11.1 11.6 15.9 14.6	3.8 3.4 3.6 6.2 4.7	4.4 4.2 4.1 6.1 5.2	3.9 3.6 3.8 6.1 4.8	8.7 8.3 7.9 12.6 10.7	4.8 4.4 4.6 7.2 5.7	2.6 2.3 2.8 5.1 3.6	3.8 3.7 4.0 7.2	5.8 5.1 6.2 10.2 7.6	5.1 5.3 8.1 6.6
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	5.5 6.7 5.5 5.7 5.2	14.7 16.8 14.7 17.2 16.2	4, 7 5, 7 4, 6 4, 5 3, 9	5, 1 6, 3 5, 4 5, 4 5, 2	4, 9 6, 0 4, 9 5, 0 4, 6	10.2 12.4 10.9 10.8 9.6	5.7 6.8 5.6 5.5 5.0	3.7 4.6 3.6 3.4 2.8	6.7 5.5 4.9	7.8 9.2 7.4 7.3 6.3	6.7 8.0 6.7 6.4 5.8
1965 1966 1967	4, 5 3, 8 3, 8	14.8 12.7 12.9	3.2 2.5 2.3	4.5 3.8 4.2	4.1 3.3 3.4	8.1 7.3 7.4	4. 3 3. 5 3. 6	2.4 1.9 1.8	4.3 3.5 3.5	5.3 4.2 4.4	5.0 4.2 4.2
					Sea	isonally a	idjusted				,
1966; Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	3.9 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.9 3.9	13.0 12.1 12.9 12.9 13.7 13.0	2.6 2.5 2.6 2.4 2.4 2.6	3.9 3.7 3.6 3.7 3.9 3.9 3.8	3.5 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.5 3.5	6.9 6.8 7.3 7.1 7.4 7.5	3.6 3.4 3.5 3.4 3.7 3.7	1.9 1.9 1.9 1.8 1.8 1.8	3.4 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.4 3.7	4.3 4.1 4.2 4.1 4.3 4.3	4.3 4.0 4.1 4.1 4.3 4.7
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	3.9 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.5 3.5 3.7	13.1 12.5 12.9 12.7 11.4 12.2	2.6 2.5 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4	3.7 3.9 3.8 4.0 3.4 3.9	3.4 3.3 3.2 3.4 3.1 3.3	7.5 8.0 7.2 7.4 6.9 7.6	3.5 3.7 3.6 3.5 3.4 3.5	2.0 2.0 1.9 1.9 1.7 1.7	3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.3	4.5 4.4 4.1 4.0 4.2 4.2	4.5 4.2 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.1
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	3.7 3.7 3.6 3.7 3.8 4.0	11.0 13.2 10.7 11.6 13.1 12.6	2.2 2.2 2.3 2.3 2.4 2.6	4.3 4.0 4.1 4.1 3.9 4.3	3.3 3.3 3.1 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.5	6.6 7.1 7.4 7.3 7.8 7.8	3.5 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.6 3.8	1.7 1.6 1.7 1.9 1.9 2.0	3.1 3.0 3.1 3.3 3.5 3.9	4.2 4.1 4.2 4.6 4.6 4.7	4. 1 4. 0 4. 1 4. 0 3. 8 4. 5
July Aug_ Sept_ Oct_ Nov_ Dec_	3.9 3.8 4.1 4.3 3.9 3.7	12.6 13.7 13.8 15.1 14.0 12.8	2.4 2.4 2.3 2.5 2.4 2.2	4.3 3.9 4.9 4.8 4.0 4.1	3.5 3.5 3.6 3.8 3.4 3.3	7.2 6.9 7.9 8.8 7.3 6.9	3.7 3.6 4.0 4.1 3.6 3.5	1.8 2.0 1.8 1.9 1.7 1.7	3.6 3.6 3.8 3.9 3.6 3.3	4.7 4.4 4.6 4.9 4.4 4.3	4.3 4.3 4.6 4.7 4.1 4.1

Married men living with their wives. Data for 1949 and 1951–54 are for April; 1950, for March.
 2 Data for 1949–61 are for May.
 a Includes craftsmen, operatives, and nonfarm laborers. Data for 1948–57 are based on data for January, April, July, and October.
 4 Man-hours lost by the unemployed and persons on part time for economic reasons as a percent of potentially available labor force man-hours.

Note.-See Note, Table B-22.

	Total un-		Duration of u	nemployment	
Year or month	employ-	4 weeks	5–14	15–26	Over
	ment	and under	weeks	weeks	26 weeks
	T	housands of pe	rsons 16 years	of age and ov	er
1947 1948 1949	2, 311 2, 276 3, 637	1, 300 1, 756	669 1, 194	193 428	116 256
1950	3, 288	1,450	1, 055	425	357
	2, 055	1,177	574	166	137
	1, 883	1,135	516	148	84
	1, 834	1,142	482	132	78
	3, 532	1,605	1, 116	495	317
1955	2, 852	1, 335	815	366	336
	2, 750	1, 412	805	301	232
	2, 859	1, 408	891	321	239
	4, 602	1, 753	1, 396	785	667
	3, 740	1, 585	1, 114	469	571
1960	3, 852	1, 719	1, 176	503	454
	4, 714	1, 806	1, 376	728	804
	3, 911	1, 659	1, 134	534	585
	4, 070	1, 751	1, 231	535	553
	3, 786	1, 697	1, 117	491	482
1965	3, 366	1,628	983	404	351
	2, 875	1,535	804	295	241
	2, 975	1,634	893	271	177
		Se	asonally adjus	ted	
1966: Jan.	2, 945	1, 481	764	340	299
Feb.	2, 785	1, 450	738	327	267
Mar.	2, 851	1, 494	796	316	267
Apr.	2, 799	1, 536	667	333	257
May.	2, 896	1, 604	854	262	276
June.	2, 938	1, 653	816	263	223
July	2, 924	1, 592	882	228	218
Aug	2, 928	1, 576	891	254	208
Sept	2, 844	1, 523	831	291	202
Oct	2, 882	1, 493	900	293	224
Nov	2, 715	1, 397	789	287	197
Dec	2, 871	1, 562	760	269	227
1967: Jan	2, 832	1, 542	787	282	203
Feb	2, 888	1, 678	771	249	190
Mar	2, 776	1, 633	827	259	177
Apr	2, 830	1, 468	900	251	185
May	2, 900	1, 371	877	271	143
June	3, 090	1, 649	919	298	146
July.	3, 016	1,805	876	265	170
Aug.	2, 983	1,660	945	231	210
Sept.	3, 178	1,889	945	278	159
Oct.	3, 367	1,847	1, 153	313	176
Nov.	3, 023	1,586	918	310	177
Dec.	2, 901	1,471	954	261	192

TABLE B-25.—Unemployment by duration, 1947-67

Note.-See Note, Table B-22.

		A	ll progran	15			Sta	nte progra	ms		
Year or mo	onth	Cov- ered	Insured unem- ploy- ment	Total benefits paid (mil-	Insured unem-	Initial	Ex-	l'nsured ploymen cent of emplo	l unem- t as per- covered yment	Benefi Total	ts paid Aver-
		ploy- ment ¹	(weekly aver- age) 23	iions of dol- lars) ² 4	ploy- ment ³	claims	tions 5	Unad- justed	Season- ally ad- justed	(mil- lions of dol- lars) 4	weekly check (dol- lars) ⁶
		Thou	sands		Weekly a	iverage, ti	nousands	Per	cent		
1940		24, 291 28, 136 30, 819 32, 419 31, 714 30, 087 31, 856 33, 876 34, 646 33, 098	1, 331 842 661 149 111 720 2, 804 1, 793 1, 446 2, 474	534.7 358.8 350.4 80.5 67.2 574.9 2,878.5 1,785.5 1,328.7 2,269.8	1,282 814 649 147 105 589 1,295 997 980 1,973	214 164 122 36 29 116 189 187 200 340	50 30 21 4 2 5 38 24 20 37	5.6 3.0 2.2 .4 2.1 4.3 3.1 3.0 6.2		518.7 344.3 344.1 79.6 62.4 445.9 1,094.9 775.1 789.9 1,736.0	10. 56 11. 06 12. 66 13. 84 15. 90 18. 77 18. 50 17. 83 19. 03 20. 48
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959		34, 308 36, 334 37, 006 38, 072 36, 622 40, 018 42, 751 43, 436 44, 411 45, 728	1,605 1,000 1,069 1,067 2,051 1,399 1,323 1,571 3,269 2,099	1,467.6 862.9 1,043.5 1,050.6 2,291.8 1,560.2 1,540.6 1,913.0 4,290.6 2,854.3	1,513 969 1,044 990 1,870 1,265 1,215 1,446 2,526 1,684	236 208 215 218 304 226 227 270 371 281	36 16 18 15 34 25 20 23 50 33	4.689 2.2.982 5.52 3.64 4.4		1, 373. 1 840. 4 998. 2 962. 2 2, 026. 9 1, 350. 3 1, 380. 7 1, 733. 9 3, 512. 7 2, 279. 0	20, 76 21, 09 22, 79 23, 58 24, 93 25, 04 27, 02 28, 17 30, 58 30, 41
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1965 1966 1967.p.		46, 334 46, 266 47, 776 48, 434 49, 637 51, 580 54, 739	2,071 2,994 1,946 7 1,973 1,753 1,450 1,129 1,268	3, 022, 8 4, 358, 1 3, 145, 1 3, 025, 9 2, 749, 2 2, 360, 4 1, 890, 9 2, 236, 9	1,908 2,290 1,783 7 1,806 1,605 1,328 1,061 1,206	331 350 302 7 297 268 232 203 227	31 46 32 30 26 21 15 17	4.8 5.6 4.3 3.8 3.0 2.3 2.5		2, 726. 7 3, 422. 7 2, 675. 4 2, 774. 7 2, 522. 1 2, 166. 0 1, 771. 3 2, 101. 8	32. 87 33. 80 34. 56 35. 27 35. 92 37. 19 39. 75 41. 20
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June		51, 952 52, 129 52, 885 53, 796 54, 323 55, 549	1,739 1,679 1,381 1,112 916 842	226.6 230.2 240.0 166.4 136.0 123.5	1,644 1,590 1,301 1,044 862 793	329 239 171 166 152 156	19 19 18 19 17 15	3.7 3.6 2.9 2.3 1.9 1.8	2.6 2.6 2.3 2.2 2.2 2.2	212.7 217.2 225.5 155.5 126.1 114.4	39. 32 39. 66 39. 83 39. 37 38. 85 38. 71
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec		55, 705 56, 034 56, 109 55, 911 55, 984 56, 482	1,001 980 802 799 955 1,313	121. 0 152. 0 114. 3 100. 4 122. 6 166. 4	947 928 755 753 903 1,254	249 173 145 166 208 299	14 12 11 12 12 12 13	2.1 2.0 1.6 1.9 2.7	2.4 2.4 2.2 2.1 2.2 2.4	113. 8 143. 1 106. 5 93. 7 114. 8 157. 6	39. 05 40. 65 39. 68 39. 84 40. 57 41. 39
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June		p54, 678 p54, 659 p55, 097 p55, 591 p55, 985 \$ 57, 017	1,631 1,654 1,603 1,423 1,197 1,071	235. 8 230. 9 270. 1 210. 5 193. 1 165. 4	1,558 1,583 1,533 1,360 1,142 1,019	300 267 239 244 188 186	15 16 17 20 19 19	3.3 3.4 3.3 2.9 2.4 2.1	2.3 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.7 2.6	224. 8 219. 5 257. 5 200. 6 183. 6 156. 1	41. 70 41. 97 42. 07 41. 81 40. 99 39. 99
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec P			1,245 1,123 956 953 1,068 1,338	155. 3 184. 0 132. 3 133. 0 146. 5 180. 0	1, 184 1, 060 894 889 997 1, 259	288 187 158 180 208 278	17 17 15 15 15 15	2.4 2.2 1.8 1.8 2.0 2.6	2.8 2.6 2.4 2.2 2.2 2.2	147.3 172.8 122.6 122.1 134.9 160.0	40. 10 41. 08 40. 10 40. 70 41. 19 41. 50

TABLE B-26.—Unemployment insurance programs, selected data, 1940-67

¹ Includes persons under the State, UCFE (Federal employee, effective January 1955), and RRB (Railroad Retirement Board) programs. Beginning October 1958, also includes the UCX program (unemployment compensation for ex-service-

Board) programs. Beginning October 1958, also includes the UCX program (unemployment compensation for ex-service-men). ² Includes State, UCFE, RR, UCX, UCV (unemployment compensation for veterans, October 1952–January 1960), and SRA (Servicemen's Readjustment Act, September 1944–September 1951) programs. Also includes Federal and State programs for temporary extension of benefits from June 1958 through June 1962, expiration date of program. ³ Covered workers who have completed at least 1 week of unemployment. ⁴ Includes benefits paid under extended duration provisions of State laws, beginning June 1958. Annual data are net amounts and monthly data are gross amounts. ⁵ Individuals receiving final payments in benefit year. ⁶ For total unemployment only. ⁷ Programs include Puerto Rican sugarcane workers for initial claims and insured unemployment beginning July 1963. ⁸ Preliminary; June 1967 is latest month for which data are available for all programs combined. Workers covered by State programs account for about 87 percent of the total. Source: Denartment of Labor. Rundaward Steurity

Source: Department of Labor, Bureau of Employment Security.

TABLE	B -27	–Wage	and .	salary	workers	in	nonagricultural	establishments,	19296	7

	Total	Ma	nufacturi	ng			Trans- porta-	Whale	Fi-	Serv-	Gover	nment
Year or month	wage and salary work- ers	Total	Dura- ble goods	Non- dura- ble goods	Min- ing	tract con- struc- tion	tion and pub- lic utili- ties	sale and retail trade	nance, insur- ance, and real estate	and mis- cel- lane- ous	Fed- eral	ate and local
1929	31, 339	10, 702			1,087	1, 497	3, 916	6, 123	1, 509	3, 440	533	2, 532
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934	29, 424 26, 649 23, 628 23, 711 25, 953	9, 562 8, 170 6, 931 7, 397 8, 501			1,009 873 731 744 883	1, 372 1, 214 970 809 862	3,685 3,254 2,816 2,672 2,750	5, 797 5, 284 4, 683 4, 755 5, 281	1,475 1,407 1,341 1,295 1,319	3, 376 3, 183 2, 931 2, 873 3, 058	526 560 559 565 652	2, 622 2, 704 2, 666 2, 601 2, 647
1935 1936 1937 1938 1939	27, 053 29, 082 31, 026 29, 209 30, 618	9,069 9,827 10,794 9,440 10,278	4,715	5, 564	897 946 1,015 891 854	912 1,145 1,112 1,055 1,150	2,786 2,973 3,134 2,863 2,936	5, 431 5, 809 6, 265 6, 179 6, 426	1, 335 1, 388 1, 432 1, 425 1, 462	3, 142 3, 326 3, 518 3, 473 3, 517	753 826 833 829 905	2, 728 2, 842 2, 923 3, 054 3, 090
1940	32, 376	10, 985	5, 363	5,622	925	1,294	3, 038	6,750	1,502	3, 681	996	3, 206
1941	36, 554	13, 192	6, 968	6,225	957	1,790	3, 274	7,210	1,549	3, 921	1, 340	3, 320
1942	40, 125	15, 280	8, 823	6,458	992	2,170	3, 460	7,118	1,538	4, 084	2, 213	3, 270
1943	42, 452	17, 602	11, 084	6,518	925	1,567	3, 647	6,982	1,502	4, 148	2, 905	3, 174
1944	41, 883	17, 328	10, 856	6,472	892	1,094	3, 829	7,058	1,476	4, 163	2, 928	3, 116
1945	40, 394	15, 524	9, 074	6, 450	836	1, 132	3, 906	7, 314	1,497	4, 241	2,808	3, 137
1946	41, 674	14, 703	7, 742	6, 962	862	1, 661	4, 061	8, 376	1,697	4, 719	2,254	3, 341
1947	43, 881	15, 545	8, 385	7, 159	955	1, 982	4, 166	8, 955	1,754	5, 050	1,892	3, 582
1948	44, 891	15, 582	8, 326	7, 256	994	2, 169	4, 189	9, 272	1,829	5, 206	1,863	3, 787
1948	43, 778	14, 441	7, 489	6, 953	930	2, 165	4, 001	9, 264	1,857	5, 264	1,908	3, 948
1950	45, 222	15, 241	8,094	7, 147	901	2, 333	4, 034	9, 386	1, 919	5, 382	1,928	4,098
1951	47, 849	16, 393	9,089	7, 304	929	2, 603	4, 226	9, 742	1, 991	5, 576	2,302	4,087
1952	48, 825	16, 632	9,349	7, 284	898	2, 634	4, 248	10, 004	2, 069	5, 730	2,420	4,188
1953	50, 232	17, 549	10,110	7, 438	866	2, 623	4, 290	10, 247	2, 146	5, 867	2,305	4,340
1954	49, 022	16, 314	9,129	7, 185	791	2, 612	4, 084	10, 235	2, 234	6, 002	2,188	4,563
1955	50, 675	16,882	9, 541	7, 340	792	2,802	4, 141	10, 535	2, 335	6,274	2, 187	4, 727
1956	52, 408	17,243	9, 834	7, 409	822	2,999	4, 244	10, 858	2, 429	6,536	2, 209	5, 069
1957	52, 894	17,174	9, 856	7, 319	828	2,923	4, 241	10, 886	2, 477	6,749	2, 217	5, 399
1958	51, 363	15,945	8, 830	7, 116	751	2,778	3, 976	10, 750	2, 519	6,806	2, 191	5, 648
1959	53, 313	16,675	9, 373	7, 303	732	2,960	4, 011	11, 127	2, 594	7,130	2, 233	5, 850
1960	54, 234	16,796	9, 459	7,336	712	2,885	4,004	11, 391	2, 669	7, 423	2, 270	6,083
1961	54, 042	16,326	9, 070	7,256	672	2,816	3,903	11, 337	2, 731	7, 664	2, 279	6,315
1962	55, 596	16,853	9, 480	7,373	650	2,902	3,906	11, 566	2, 800	8, 028	2, 340	6,550
1963	56, 702	16,995	9, 616	7,380	635	2,963	3,903	11, 778	2, 877	8, 325	2, 358	6,868
1964	58, 332	17,274	9, 816	7,458	634	3,050	3,951	12, 160	2, 957	8, 709	2, 348	7,249
1965	60, 832	18,062	10, 406	7,656	632	3, 186	4, 036	12, 716	3, 023	9, 087	2, 378	7, 714
1966	63, 982	19,186	11, 256	7,930	625	3, 292	4, 151	13, 211	3, 102	9, 545	2, 564	8, 307
1967 p	66, 066	19,336	11, 325	8,012	613	3, 265	4, 262	13, 676	3, 228	10, 072	2, 719	8, 897

[All employees; thousands of persons]

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE B-27.—Wage and salary workers in nonagricultural establishments, 1929-67.—Continued

	Total	Ma	inufacturii	ng		Con	Trans- porta-	Whole	Fi-	Serv-	Goverr	ment
Year or month	wage and salary work- ers	Total	Dura- ble goods	Non- dura- ble goods	Min- ing	tract con- struc- tion	tion and pub- lic utili- ties	sale and retail trade	insur- ance, and real estate	and mis- cel- lane- ous	Fed- eral	State and local
					Sea	asonally	adjusted					
1965: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	59, 484 59, 778 60, 048 60, 186 60, 453 60, 692	17, 661 17, 726 17, 797 17, 860 17, 902 18, 004	10, 096 10, 149 10, 194 10, 253 10, 288 10, 360	7,565 7,577 7,603 7,607 7,614 7,644	637 637 635 634 633 632	3, 131 3, 166 3, 180 3, 118 3, 159 3, 175	3, 938 3, 984 4, 015 4, 020 4, 025 4, 033	12, 429 12, 488 12, 550 12, 591 12, 685 12, 723	2,988 2,996 2,999 3,003 3,010 3,015	8, 889 8, 929 8, 967 9, 008 9, 042 9, 063	2, 344 2, 338 2, 342 2, 344 2, 344 2, 347 2, 355	7,467 7,514 7,563 7,608 7,650 7,692
July	60, 928	18, 103	10, 441	7,662	632	3, 136	4,041	12,766	3, 024	9,115	2, 374	7,737
Aug	61, 132	18, 172	10, 512	7,660	631	3, 175	4,052	12,780	3, 031	9,136	2, 375	7,780
Sept	61, 319	18, 222	10, 543	7,679	623	3, 188	4,068	12,828	3, 040	9,162	2, 378	7,810
Oct	61, 553	18, 305	10, 588	7,717	628	3, 207	4,076	12,857	3, 048	9,186	2, 389	7,857
Nov	61, 933	18, 445	10, 680	7,765	631	3, 251	4,082	12,907	3, 054	9,238	2, 397	7,928
Dec	62, 319	18, 556	10, 770	7,786	631	3, 334	4,093	12,956	3, 062	9,293	2, 410	7,984
1966: Jan	62, 503	18, 646	10, 859	7, 787	633	3, 308	4, 087	12, 996	3, 063	9, 319	2, 428	8, 023
Feb	62, 889	18, 834	10, 989	7, 845	630	3, 312	4, 109	13, 034	3, 067	9, 371	2, 451	8, 081
Mar	63, 296	18, 940	11, 071	7, 869	635	3, 389	4, 118	13, 081	3, 080	9, 421	2, 475	8, 157
Apr	63, 427	19, 037	11, 140	7, 897	592	3, 340	4, 125	13, 107	3, 083	9, 445	2, 498	8, 200
May	63, 616	19, 121	11, 206	7, 915	626	3, 250	4, 146	13, 148	3, 088	9, 471	2, 521	8, 245
June	64, 069	19, 268	11, 293	7, 975	628	3, 305	4, 157	13, 199	3, 103	9, 522	2, 575	8, 312
July	64, 180	19, 242	11, 290	7,952	629	3, 307	4, 144	13, 232	3, 112	9, 568	2, 595	8, 351
Aug	64, 345	19, 371	11, 395	7,976	630	3, 273	4, 126	13, 259	3, 114	9, 611	2, 595	8, 366
Sept	64, 394	19, 337	11, 401	7,936	625	3, 260	4, 184	13, 279	3, 118	9, 619	2, 597	8, 375
Oct	64, 694	19, 422	11, 457	7,965	623	3, 239	4, 190	13, 354	3, 120	9, 675	2, 617	8, 454
Nov	65, 014	19, 498	11, 485	8,013	621	3, 241	4, 212	13, 406	3, 132	9, 744	2, 616	8, 544
Dec	65, 251	19, 526	11, 496	8,030	623	3, 291	4, 218	13, 416	3, 144	9, 781	2, 653	8, 599
1967: Jan	65, 564	19, 558	11,507	8,051	625	3, 311	4, 242	13, 515	3, 152	9, 840	2,667	8, 654
Feb	65, 692	19, 507	11,482	8,025	624	3, 352	4, 247	13, 541	3, 165	9, 883	2,673	8, 700
Mar	65, 749	19, 445	11,434	8,011	624	3, 313	4, 246	13, 557	3, 179	9, 946	2,685	8, 754
Apr	65, 653	19, 331	11,322	8,009	620	3, 276	4, 212	13, 572	3, 194	9, 973	2,688	8, 787
May	65, 639	19, 238	11,283	7,955	617	3, 192	4, 267	13, 609	3, 205	9, 987	2,698	8, 826
June	65, 903	19, 285	11,285	8,000	619	3, 187	4, 266	13, 648	3, 227	10, 035	2,747	8, 889
July	65, 939	19, 169	11, 218	7,951	623	3, 231	4, 292	13, 647	3, 234	10, 074	2,759	8, 910
Aug	66, 190	19, 318	11, 351	7,967	606	3, 223	4, 283	13, 664	3, 253	10, 130	2,746	8, 967
Sept	66, 055	19, 142	11, 149	7,993	601	3, 238	4, 262	13, 719	3, 264	10, 161	2,715	8, 953
Oct	66, 243	19, 169	11, 143	8,026	597	3, 236	4, 251	13, 776	3, 270	10, 199	2,712	9, 033
Nov P_	66, 929	19, 418	11, 358	8,060	597	3, 299	4, 288	13, 909	3, 290	10, 301	2,698	9, 129
Dec P_	67, 128	19, 469	11, 380	8,089	597	3, 350	4, 289	13, 910	3, 302	10, 335	2,692	9, 184

[All employees; thousands of persons]

Note.—Data in Tables B-27 through B-33 are based on reports from employing establishments and relate to full- and part-time wage and salary workers in nonagricultural establishments who worked during, or received pay for, any part of the pay period which includes the 12th of the month. Not comparable with labor force data (Tables B-22 through B-25), which include proprietors, self-employed persons, domestic servants, and unpaid family workers, and which count persons as employed when they are not at work because of industrial disputes, bad weather, etc. For description and details of the various establishment data, see "Employment and Earnings and Monthly Report on the Labor Force," February 1968.

	Total non-	M	anufacturi	ng	Con-		Whole	Bitumi-	Class	Tele-
Year or month	agri- cultural pri- vate ¹	Total	Durable goods	Non- durable goods	con- struc- tion	Retail trade	sale trade	nous coal mining	rail- roads	com- muni- cation
1929 1930 1931		44. 2 42. 1 40. 5						38, 1 33, 3 28, 1		
1932 1933 1934 1935		38.3 38.1 34.6 36.6	32.5 34.7 33.8 37.2	41, 9 40, 0 35, 1 36, 1			41 6	27.0 29.3 26.8 26.2		
1936 1937 1938 1939		39. 2 38. 6 35. 6 37. 7	40. 9 39. 9 34. 9 37. 9	37.7 37.4 36.1 37.4		43.4	42.9 43.1 42.3 41.8	28.5 27.7 23.3 26.8	43.7	38.8 38.9 39.1
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944		38. 1 40. 6 43. 1 45. 0 45. 2	39.2 42.0 45.0 46.5	37.0 38.9 40.3 42.5		43.2 42.8 41.8 40.9	41. 3 41. 1 41. 4 42. 3 43. 0	27.8 30.7 32.4 36.3	44. 3 45. 8 47. 0 48. 7 48. 9	39.5 40.1 40.5 41.9
1945. 1946. 1947. 1947. 1948. 1949.	40. 3 40. 0 39. 4	43.5 40.3 40.4 40.0 39.1	44. 0 40. 4 40. 5 40. 4 39. 4	42. 3 40. 5 40. 2 39. 6 38. 9	38.2 38.1 37.7	40. 9 41. 3 3 40. 3 40. 2 40. 4	42.8 41.6 41.1 41.0 40.8	42. 0 41. 3 40. 3 37. 7 32. 3	48.5 48.5 46.0 46.4 46.2 43.7	2 41.7 39.4 37.4 39.2 38.5
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	39.8 39.9 39.9 39.6 39.1	40, 5 40, 6 40 7 40, 5 39, 6	41. 1 41. 5 41. 5 41. 2 40. 1	39.7 39.5 39.7 39.6 39.0	37.4 38.1 38.9 37.9 37.2	40. 4 40. 4 39. 8 39. 1 39. 2	40.7 40.8 40.7 40.6 40.5	34.7 34.9 33.8 34.1 32.3	40. 8 41. 0 40. 6 40. 6 40. 8	38.9 39.1 38.5 38.7 38.7 38.9
1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	39.6 39.3 38.8 38.5 39.0	40. 7 40. 4 39. 8 39. 2 40. 3	41. 3 41. 0 40. 3 39. 5 40. 7	39, 9 39, 6 39, 2 38, 8 39, 7	37.1 37.5 37.0 36.8 37.0	39.0 38.6 38.1 38.1 38.2	40.7 40.5 40.3 40.2 40.6	37.3 37.5 36.3 33.3 35.8	41.9 41.7 41.7 41.6 41.9	39.6 39.5 39.0 38.4 38.4
1960	38.6 38.6 38.7 38.8 38.7 38.8 38.7 38.8 38.7 38.2	39.7 39.8 40.4 40.5 40.7 41.2 41.3 40.6	40. 1 40. 3 40. 9 41. 1 41. 4 42. 0 42. 1 41. 2	39. 2 39. 3 39. 6 39. 6 39. 7 40. 1 40. 2 39. 7	36. 7 36. 9 37. 0 37. 3 37. 2 37. 4 37. 6 37. 6	38. 0 37. 6 37. 4 37. 3 37. 0 36. 6 35. 9 35. 3	40. 5 40. 5 40. 6 40. 6 40. 6 40. 8 40. 8 40. 8 40. 4	35.8 35.9 437.0 38.9 439.2 440.2 440.6 440.9	41. 7 42. 3 42. 6 42. 9 43. 5 43. 6 43. 9	39. 6 39. 4 39. 9 40. 0 40. 2 40. 4 40. 6 39. 2
			Seas	onally adj	usted		I		Unadjuste	d
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	38. 7 38. 9 38. 9 38. 7 38. 6 38. 7	41. 4 41. 6 41. 5 41. 5 41. 4 41. 3	42. 3 42. 4 42. 3 42. 4 42. 2 42. 1	40. 1 40. 5 40. 3 40. 4 40. 3 40. 3	37.6 38.1 38.4 37.5 36.3 37.5	36. 2 36. 2 36. 0 36. 0 35. 9 36. 0	40. 9 40. 9 40. 8 40. 7 40. 7 40. 8	41. 0 40. 9 41. 5 33. 0 41. 7 42. 2	42.7 44.7 44.3 43.1 44.1 44.8	39.9 40.6 40.3 40.1 40.3 40.7
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	38.7 38.7 38.8 38.6 38.6 38.6 38.4	41. 2 41. 4 41. 4 41. 3 41. 3 41. 3 41. 0	41.9 42.2 42.3 42.1 42.1 42.1	40. 2 40. 2 40. 1 40. 1 40. 1 40. 2 39. 9	37.8 37.2 37.7 37.5 37.4 38.1	36. 0 36. 1 35. 9 35. 7 35. 6 35. 6	40. 9 40. 8 40. 7 40. 7 40. 7 40. 6	41. 2 41. 2 42. 5 39. 5 42. 1	43.4 44.7 44.0 42.9 44.2 43.7	41. 2 40. 7 40. 9 40. 8 41. 5 39. 9
1967: Jan, Feb, Mar, Apr, May, June	38.4 38.2 38.2 38.0 38.0 38.0 38.1	41.0 40.3 40.4 40.5 40.3 40.3	41.7 41.0 41.1 41.0 41.0 41.0 40.9	40.0 39.5 39.5 39.8 39.5 39.5	38. 2 37. 6 37. 4 37. 4 36. 4 37. 4	35. 5 35. 3 35. 3 35. 1 35. 2 35. 4	40. 7 40. 5 40. 5 40. 4 40. 3 40. 5	41. 1 40. 0 39. 7 40. 1 40. 5 41. 7	43. 1 44. 1 43. 7 41. 9 44. 1 43. 9	39. 5 39. 8 38. 8 39. 1 38. 9 39. 4
July Aug Sept Oct Nov ^p Dec ^p	38. 2 38. 2 38. 4 38. 0 38. 3 37. 9	40. 4 40. 7 40. 8 40. 7 40. 7 40. 7	41.0 41.3 41.6 41.3 41.2 41.3	39. 6 39. 7 39. 9 39. 7 40. 1 40. 0	37. 5 37. 5 38. 3 37. 1 39. 4 37. 3	35. 4 35. 5 35. 4 35. 1 35. 2 35. 0	40. 5 40. 5 40. 3 40. 3 40. 3 40. 3 40. 1	41. 1 40. 6 40. 3 41. 7	41.4	39. 6 39. 0 39. 7 39. 7 39. 3

TABLE B-28.—Average weekly hours of work in selected nonagricultural industries, 1929-67

¹ In addition to industries shown separately, total includes other mining; other transportation and public utilities; finance, insurance, and real estate; and services.
 ² Nine-month average, April through December, because of new series started in April 1945.
 ³ Beginning 1947, data include eating and drinking places. Comparable figure excluding eating and drinking places is 41.0 hours for 1947.
 ⁴ Eleven-month average; excludes data for July.

Note.—Hours and earnings data in Tables B–28 through B–33 relate to production workers in manufacturing and mining, to construction workers in contract construction, and generally, to nonsupervisory employees in other industries. See Table B–31 for unadjusted weekly hours in manufacturing. See also Note, Table B–27.

T	D 00 Aumana	~~~~~	Laurden		<i>.</i>	adaatad	in duatation	1000 67
IABLE	D-29Average	gross	nouriy	earnings	ın	seleciea	mausiries,	1929-07

	Total non- agricul- tural private 1	м	anufactur	ing	Con- tract con- struc- tion	Retail trade	Whole- sale trade	Bitu-	Class I rail- roads	Tele- phone com- munica- tion	
Year or month		Total	Durable goods	Non- durable goods				coal mining			Agri- cul- ture ²
1929		\$0. 560 . 546 . 509 . 441 . 437 . 526 . 544 . 544 . 550 . 617 . 620 . 627	\$0. 492 . 467 . 550 . 571 . 570 . 667 . 679 . 691	\$0. 412 . 419 . 505 . 520 . 520 . 519 . 566 . 572 . 571		\$0, 484	\$0.610 .628 .658 .674 .688	\$0. 659 . 662 . 626 . 503 . 485 . 651 . 720 . 768 . 828 . 849 . 858	\$0. 730	\$0, 774 .816 .822	\$0. 241 . 226 . 172 . 129 . 115 . 129 . 142 . 152 . 172 . 166 . 166
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1945 1945 1947 1948 1948	\$1. 131 1. 225 1. 275	. 655 . 726 . 851 . 957 1. 011 1. 016 1. 075 1. 217 1. 328 1. 378	. 716 . 799 . 937 1. 048 1. 105 1. 099 1. 144 1. 278 1. 395 1. 453	. 590 . 627 . 709 . 787 . 886 . 995 1. 145 1. 250 1. 295	\$1.541 1.713 1.792	. 494 . 518 . 559 . 606 . 653 . 699 . 797 4 . 838 . 901 . 951	.711 .763 .828 .998 .9990 1.107 1.220 1.308 1.360	. 854 . 960 1. 030 1. 101 1. 147 1, 199 1. 357 1. 582 1. 835 1. 877	. 733 . 743 . 837 . 852 . 948 . 955 1. 087 1. 186 1. 301 1. 427	. 827 . 820 . 843 . 870 . 911 3. 962 1, 124 1. 197 1. 248 1. 345	. 169 . 206 . 268 . 353 . 423 . 472 . 515 . 547 . 580 . 559
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1958	1.335 1.45 1.52 1.61 1.65 1.71 1.80 1.89 1.95 2.02	1.440 1.56 1.65 1.74 1.78 1.86 1.95 2.05 2.11 2.19	1.519 1.65 1.75 1.86 1.90 1.99 2.08 2.19 2.26 2.36	1.347 1.44 1.51 1.58 1.62 1.67 1.77 1.85 1.91 1.98	1.863 2.02 2.13 2.28 2.39 2.45 2.57 2.71 2.82 2.93	. 983 1. 06 1. 09 1. 16 1. 20 1. 25 1. 30 1. 37 1. 42 1. 47	1. 427 1. 52 1. 61 1. 70 1. 76 1. 83 1. 94 2. 02 2. 09 2. 18	1. 944 2. 14 2. 22 2. 40 2. 40 2. 47 2. 72 2. 92 2. 93 3. 11	1.572 1.73 1.83 1.88 1.93 1.96 2.12 2.26 2.44 2.54	1.398 1.49 1.59 1.68 1.76 1.82 1.86 1.95 2.05 2.18	. 561 . 625 . 661 . 672 . 661 . 675 . 705 . 705 . 728 . 757 . 798
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1966	2. 09 2. 14 2. 22 2. 28 2. 36 2. 45 2. 55 2. 67	2. 26 2. 32 2. 39 2. 46 2. 53 2. 61 2. 72 2. 83	2. 43 2. 49 2. 56 2. 63 2. 71 2. 79 2. 90 3. 00	2.05 2.11 2.17 2.22 2.29 2.36 2.45 2.57	3. 08 3. 20 3. 31 3. 41 3. 55 3. 70 3. 88 4. 09	1.52 1.56 1.63 1.68 1.75 1.82 1.91 2.01	2. 24 2. 31 2. 37 2. 45 2. 52 2. 61 2. 73 2. 88	3. 14 3. 12 5 3. 12 5 3. 15 5 3. 30 5 3. 49 5 3. 65 5 3. 75	2. 61 2. 67 2. 72 2. 76 2. 80 3. 00 3. 09	2. 26 2. 37 2. 48 2. 56 2. 62 2. 70 2. 79 2. 88	. 818 . 834 . 856 . 880 . 904 . 951 1. 03 1. 12
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	2.50 2.50 2.51 2.53 2.54 2.55	2. 67 2. 68 2. 68 2. 70 2. 71 2. 71	2, 85 2, 86 2, 87 2, 88 2, 88 2, 89	2. 40 2. 41 2. 41 2. 43 2. 44 2. 45	3. 79 3. 83 3. 80 3. 82 3. 84 3. 83	1.88 1.88 1.88 1.89 1.90 1.91	2. 67 2. 68 2. 69 2. 72 2. 73 2. 73	3. 53 3. 54 3. 52 3. 43 3. 72 3. 71	3. 09 3. 13 3. 05 3. 08 3. 08 3. 08 3. 07	2.76 2.78 2.77 2.77 2.77 2.77 2.78	1.06 .945
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	2.56 2.55 2.60 2.60 2.60 2.59	2.71 2.70 2.75 2.75 2.76 2.77	2. 88 2. 88 2. 93 2. 94 2. 94 2. 96	2.46 2.45 2.47 2.48 2.49 2.50	3.85 3.89 3.97 3.96 3.96 3.99	1.91 1.90 1.93 1.94 1.95 1.94	2.73 2.73 2.76 2.77 2.79 2.80	3.70 3.74 3.76 3.75 3.75 3.76	3. 09 3. 05 3. 09 3. 10 3. 12 3. 14	2.77 2.76 2.79 2.80 2.82 2.89	1.01
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	2.61 2.62 2.62 2.63 2.64 2.66	2.78 2.79 2.79 2.80 2.81 2.82	2.96 2.96 2.96 2.97 2.99 2.99	2.51 2.53 2.54 2.55 2.55 2.55 2.56	4. 02 4. 00 3. 99 3. 99 4. 02 4. 02	1.97 1.98 1.98 2.00 2.00 2.01	2. 81 2. 83 2. 84 2. 86 2. 87 2. 88	3. 79 3. 71 3. 72 3. 76 3. 73 3. 75	3. 19 3. 26 3. 17 3. 23 3. 19 3. 21	2. 86 2. 88 2. 87 2. 87 2. 88 2. 89	1. 14 . 998
July Aug Sept Oct Nov P Dec P	2.68 2.68 2.71 2.71 2.72 2.71	2.82 2.82 2.85 2.85 2.87 2.90	3.00 3.00 3.03 3.03 3.05 3.08	2.57 2.57 2.61 2.61 2.62 2.64	4. 08 4. 10 4. 18 4. 21 4. 20 4. 22	2.01 2.01 2.03 2.05 2.05 2.03	2.89 2.88 2.93 2.93 2.94 2.95	3. 74 3. 76 3. 75 3. 74	3.25	2.88 2.87 2.90 2.90 2.89	1. 10 1. 16

¹ For coverage, see footnote 1, Table B-28.
 ² Weighted average of all farm wage rates on a per hour basis.
 ³ Nine-month average, April through December, because of new series started in April 1945.
 ⁴ Beginning 1947, data include eating and drinking places. Comparable figure excluding eating and drinking places is \$0.901 for 1947.
 ⁵ Eleven-month average; excludes data for July.

Note .--- See Note, Tables B-27 and B-28.

Sources: Department of Labor (Bureau of Labor Statistics) and Department of Agriculture.

	Total non-	Manufacturing			Con-		Whole	Bitumi-	Clase 1	Tele- phone
Year or month	agricul- tural pri- vate ¹	Total	Dura- ble goods	Non- durable goods	con- struc- tion	Retail trade	sale trade	nous coal mining	rail- roads	com- mu- nica- tion
1929		\$24. 76	\$26. 84	\$22. 47				\$25.11		
1930		23.00 20.64 16.89 16.65 18.20 19.91 21.56 23.82 22.07 23.64	24. 42 20. 98 15. 99 16. 20 18. 59 21. 24 23. 72 26. 61 23. 70 26. 19	21. 40 20. 09 17. 26 16. 76 17. 73 18. 77 19. 57 21. 17 20. 65 21. 36		 \$21.01	\$26.75 25.19 25.44 25.38 26.96 28.36 28.51 28.76	22. 04 17. 59 13. 58 14. 21 17. 45 18. 86 21. 89 22. 94 19. 78 22. 99		\$30.03 31.74 32.14
1940	\$45, 58 49, 00 50, 24	24. 96 29. 48 36. 68 43. 07 45. 70 44. 20 43. 32 49. 17 53. 12 53. 88	28. 07 33. 56 42. 17 48. 73 51. 38 48. 36 46. 22 51. 76 56. 36 57. 25	21. 83 24. 39 28. 57 33. 45 36. 38 37. 48 40. 30 46. 03 49. 50 50. 38	\$58.87 65.27 67.56	21. 34 22. 17 23. 37 24. 79 26. 77 28. 59 32. 92 33. 77 36. 22 38. 42	29. 36 31. 36 34. 28 37. 99 40. 76 42. 37 46. 05 50. 14 53. 63 55. 49	23. 74 29. 47 33. 37 39. 97 49. 32 50. 36 56. 04 63. 75 69. 18 60. 63	32. 47 34. 03 39. 34 41. 49 46. 36 46. 32 50. 00 55. 03 60. 11 62. 36	32. 67 32. 88 34. 14 36. 45 38. 54 2 40. 12 44. 29 44. 77 48. 92 51. 78
1950	53. 13 57. 86 60. 65 63. 76 64. 52 67. 72 70. 74 73. 33 75. 08 78. 78	58. 32 63. 34 67. 16 70. 47 70. 49 75. 70 78. 78 81. 59 82. 71 88. 26	62. 43 68. 48 72. 63 76. 63 76. 19 82. 19 85. 28 88. 26 89. 27 96. 05	53. 48 56. 88 59. 95 62. 57 63. 18 66. 63 70. 09 72. 52 74. 11 78. 61	69. 68 76. 96 82. 86 86. 41 88. 91 90. 90 96. 38 100. 27 103. 78 108. 41	39. 71 42. 82 43. 38 45. 36 47. 04 48. 75 50. 18 52. 20 54. 10 56. 15	58.08 62.02 65.53 69.02 71.28 74.48 78.57 81.41 84.02 88.51	67. 46 74. 69 75. 04 81. 84 77. 52 92. 13 102. 00 106. 00 97. 57 111. 34	64. 14 70. 93 74. 30 76. 33 78. 74 82. 12 88. 40 94. 24 101. 50 106. 43	54. 38 58. 26 61. 22 65. 02 68. 46 72. 07 73. 47 76. 05 78. 72 85. 46
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967	80. 67 82. 60 85. 91 88. 46 91. 33 95. 06 98. 69 101. 99	89. 72 92. 34 96. 56 99. 63 102. 97 107. 53 112. 34 114. 90	97. 44 100. 35 104. 70 108. 09 112. 19 117. 18 122. 09 123. 60	80. 36 82. 92 85. 93 87. 91 90. 91 94. 64 98. 49 102. 03	113.04 118.08 122.47 127.19 132.06 138.38 145.89 153.78	57.76 58.66 60.96 62.66 64.75 66.61 68.57 70.95	90.72 93.56 96.22 99.47 102.31 106.49 111.38 116.35	112. 41 112. 01 114. 46 121. 43 128. 91 140. 26 148. 44 153. 72	108. 84 112. 94 115. 87 118. 40 121. 80 130. 80 135. 65	89.50 93.38 98.95 102.40 105.32 109.08 113.27 112.90
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	96. 25 96. 50 97. 14 97. 41 98. 04 99. 20	110.00 110.95 110.95 111.24 112.47 112.74	119.99 120.69 121.11 121.54 121.82 122.54	95, 52 96, 88 96, 88 96, 96 98, 33 99, 23	138. 34 139. 41 143. 26 141. 34 142. 46 146. 69	67.30 67.30 67.12 67.47 67.64 69.14	108.94 109.08 109.48 110.43 111.11 111.38	144. 73 144. 79 146. 08 113. 19 155. 12 156. 56	131. 94 139. 91 135. 12 132. 75 135. 83 137. 54	110, 12 112, 87 111, 63 111, 08 111, 63 113, 15
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	99. 84 99. 71 100. 88 100. 62 99. 84 99. 97	111. 38 111. 78 114. 13 113. 85 113. 99 114. 40	119. 81 120. 96 123. 94 124. 07 123. 77 124. 62	99. 14 99. 23 99. 54 99. 94 100. 10 100. 25	150. 15 149. 77 152. 05 152. 46 144. 14 148. 83	70. 48 70. 11 69. 09 68. 87 68. 64 69. 65	112. 20 111. 38 112. 33 112. 74 113. 27 114. 52	148.03 152.44 154.09 159.80 148.13 158.30	134. 11 136. 34 135. 96 132. 99 137. 90 137. 22	114. 12 112. 33 114. 11 114. 24 117. 03 115. 31
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	99.70 99.30 99.56 99.41 100.06 101.88	113. 42 111. 88 112. 44 112. 56 113. 52 114. 49	122. 84 120. 77 121. 36 121. 18 122. 89 123. 19	99.65 99.18 100.08 100.22 100.73 101.63	149. 14 143. 60 146. 83 147. 23 149. 54 153. 56	69. 15 69. 10 69. 30 69. 80 69. 80 71. 56	114.09 114.05 114.74 115.26 115.66 116.64	155.77 148.40 147.68 150.78 151.07 156.38	137, 49 143, 77 138, 53 135, 34 140, 68 140, 92	112.97 114.62 111.36 112.22 112.03 113.87
July Aug Sept Oct Nov p Dec p	103. 18 103. 45 104. 06 103. 25 103. 63 103. 25	113. 65 114. 77 116. 57 116. 28 116. 81 119. 19	122. 40 123. 30 126. 05 125. 44 125. 66 128. 44	102. 03 102. 80 104. 66 104. 14 105. 06 106. 13	157.90 159.08 162.60 160.40 160.86 154.03	72.96 72.96 71.66 71.55 71.34 71.66	117. 62 116. 64 118. 08 118. 08 118. 48 119. 18	157.00 153.71 152.66 151.13 155.96	134.55	114. 05 111. 93 115. 13 115. 13 115. 3 113. 58

TABLE B-30.-Average gross weekly earnings in selected nonagricultural industries, 1929-67

¹ For coverage, see footnote 1, Table B–28.
 ² Nine-month average, April through December, because of new series started in April 1945.
 ³ Beginning 1947, data include eating and drinking places. Comparable figure excluding eating and drinking places is \$36.94 for 1947.

Note .--- See Note, Tables B-27 and B-28.

	All manufacturing industries						Durable goods manufac- turing industries				Nondurable goods manu- facturing industries			
	Average weekly hours		Average hourly earnings			Average weekly hours		Average hourly earnings		Average weekly hours		Average hourly earnings		
Year or month	Gross	Ex- clud- ing over- time	Gross	Ex- clud- ing over- time	Exclud- ing over- time and inter- indus- try shift (1957- 59=100)	Gross	Ex- clud- ing over- time	Gross	Ex- clud- ing over- time	Gross	Ex- clud- ing over- time	Gross	Ex- clud- ing over- time	
1939	37.7		\$0.627		32.2	37.9	<u> </u>	\$0. 691		37.4		\$0. 571		
1940	38, 1 40, 6 43, 1 45, 0 45, 2 43, 5 40, 3 40, 4 40, 0 39, 1		. 655 . 726 . 851 . 957 1. 011 1. 016 1. 075 1. 217 1. 328 1. 378	\$0. 691 . 793 . 881 . 933 2. 949 1. 035 1. 18 1. 29 1. 34	1 33. 4 1 37. 5 1 40. 8 1 43. 7 1 45. 5 1 50. 4 57. 8 63. 2 66. 1	39. 2 42. 0 45. 0 46. 5 46. 5 44. 0 40. 4 40. 4 39. 4		. 716 . 799 . 937 1. 048 1. 105 1. 099 1. 144 1. 278 1. 395 1. 453	\$0.762 .872 .966 1.019 ² 1.031 1.111 1.24 1.35 1.42	37.0 38.9 40.3 42.5 43.1 42.3 40.5 40.2 39.6 38.9		. 590 . 627 . 709 . 787 . 844 . 886 . 995 1. 145 1. 250 1. 295	\$0. 613 . 684 . 748 . 798 ² . 841 . 962 1. 11 1. 21 1. 26	
1950	40. 5 40. 6 40. 7 40. 5 39. 6 40. 7 40. 4 39. 8 39. 2 40. 3	37.6 37.5 37.2 37.6	1.440 1.56 1.65 1.74 1.78 1.86 1.95 2.05 2.11 2.19	1. 39 1. 51 1. 59 1. 68 1. 73 1. 79 1. 89 1. 99 2. 05 2. 12	68. 2 73. 6 77. 4 81. 6 84. 3 86. 9 91. 5 96. 2 100. 2 103. 5	41. 1 41. 5 41. 2 40. 1 41. 3 41. 0 40. 3 39. 5 40. 7	38. 0 37. 9 37. 6 38. 0	1.519 1.65 1.75 1.86 1.90 1.99 2.08 2.19 2.26 2.36	1.46 1.59 1.68 1.79 1.84 1.91 2.01 2.12 2.21 2.28	39.7 39.5 39.7 39.6 39.0 39.9 39.6 39.2 38.8 39.7	37. 2 37. 0 36. 6 37. 0	1.347 1.44 1.51 1.58 1.62 1.67 1.77 1.85 1.91 1.98	1. 31 1. 40 1. 46 1. 53 1. 58 1. 62 1. 72 1. 80 1. 86 1. 92	
1960. 1961	39.7 39.8 40.4 40.5 40.7 41.2 41.3 40.6	37. 3 37. 4 37. 6 37. 6 37. 6 37. 6 37. 6 37. 4 37. 2	2.26 2.32 2.39 2.46 2.53 2.61 2.72 2.83	2. 20 2. 25 2. 31 2. 37 2. 44 2. 51 2. 59 2. 72	106. 6 109. 6 112. 3 115. 2 118. 0 121. 1 125. 1 130. 9	40. 1 40. 3 40. 9 41. 1 41. 4 42. 0 42. 1 41. 2	37.7 38.0 38.1 38.2 38.1 38.1 38.1 37.8 37.7	2. 43 2. 49 2. 56 2. 63 2. 71 2. 79 2. 90 3. 00	2.36 2.42 2.48 2.54 2.60 2.67 2.76 2.88	39. 2 39. 3 39. 6 39. 6 39. 7 40. 1 40. 2 39. 7	36.7 36.8 36.9 36.9 36.8 36.8 36.8 36.5	2.05 2.11 2.17 2.22 2.29 2.36 2.45 2.57	1.99 2.05 2.09 2.15 2.21 2.27 2.35 2.47	
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	41.2 41.4 41.4 41.2 41.5 41.6	37.5 37.6 37.5 37.3 37.3 37.5 37.6	2.67 2.68 2.68 2.70 2.71 2.71	2.56 2.56 2.56 2.58 2.58 2.58 2.58	123. 3 123. 5 123. 8 124. 3 124. 5 124. 8	42. 1 42. 2 42. 2 42. 2 42. 3 42. 3 42. 4	38.0 38.0 37.9 37.9 37.9 37.9 38.0	2.85 2.86 2.87 2.88 2.88 2.88 2.88	2.72 2.72 2.73 2.74 2.74 2.74 2.75	39.8 40.2 40.2 39.9 40.3 40.5	36.7 36.9 36.9 36.6 36.9 37.0	2. 40 2. 41 2. 41 2. 43 2. 43 2. 44 2. 45	2. 31 2. 31 2. 32 2. 33 2. 34 2. 34	
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	41. 1 41. 4 41. 5 41. 4 41. 3 41. 3	37. 2 37. 4 37. 3 37. 3 37. 3 37. 4 37. 6	2.71 2.70 2.75 2.75 2.76 2.76 2.77	2.59 2.58 2.61 2.62 2.64 2.65	124. 9 124. 9 126. 0 126. 5 127. 0 127. 6	41. 6 42. 0 42. 3 42. 2 42. 1 42. 1	37.5 37.7 37.7 37.7 37.8 38.0	2.88 2.88 2.93 2.94 2.94 2.94 2.94	2.75 2.74 2.78 2.79 2.80 2.80	40. 3 40. 5 40. 3 40. 3 40. 2 40. 1	36.8 37.0 36.6 36.7 36.8 36.8	2.46 2.45 2.47 2.48 2.49 2.50	2.35 2.34 2.37 2.37 2.39 2.40	
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	40. 8 40. 1 40. 3 40. 2 40. 4 40. 6	37.4 36.9 37.1 37.1 37.2 37.3	2. 78 2. 79 2. 79 2. 80 2. 81 2. 82	2.67 2.68 2.69 2.70 2.70 2.71	128. 4 129. 0 129. 4 129. 9 130. 2 130. 5	41.5 40.8 41.0 40.8 41.1 41.2	37.8 37.4 37.6 37.6 37.8 37.8	2.96 2.96 2.96 2.97 2.99 2.99	2. 84 2. 84 2. 85 2. 86 2. 87 2. 88	39. 7 39. 2 39. 4 39. 3 39. 5 39. 5 39. 7	36.7 36.3 36.4 36.4 36.5 36.5	2.51 2.53 2.54 2.55 2.55 2.55 2.55	2. 42 2. 44 2. 45 2. 46 2. 46 2. 46	
July Aug Sept Oct Nov p Dec p	40. 3 40. 7 40. 9 40. 8 40. 7 41. 1	37.1 37.3 37.2 37.3 37.3 37.3 37.5	2.82 2.82 2.85 2.85 2.85 2.87 2.90	2.71 2.71 2.73 2.74 2.76 2.78	130. 8 131. 1 131. 9 132. 3 133. 1 133. 6	40. 8 41. 1 41. 6 41. 4 41. 2 41. 7	37.5 37.7 37.7 37.7 37.7 37.7 38.0	3.00 3.00 3.03 3.03 3.05 3.05 3.08	2.88 2.88 2.89 2.90 2.93 2.95	39.7 40.0 40.1 39.9 40.1 40.2	36.6 36.7 36.5 36.5 36.8 36.8	2.57 2.57 2.61 2.61 2.62 2.62 2.64	2. 47 2. 47 2. 50 2. 50 2. 52 2. 52 2. 53	

TABLE B-31.—Average weekly hours and hourly earnings, gross and excluding overtime, in manufacturing industries, 1939-67

¹ Annual average not available; April used. ² Eleven-month average; August 1945 excluded because of VJ Day holiday period.

Note.—See Note, Tables B-27 and B-28. See Table B-28 for seasonally adjusted average gross weekly hours.

			Average spendable weekly earnings 1							
Year or month	Average gr earn	oss weekly ings	Workers depen	with no dents	Workers with three dependents					
	Current	1957–59	Current	1957–59	Current	1957–59				
	prices	prices ²	prices	prices ²	prices	prices ²				
1947	\$45, 58	\$58, 59	\$39. 16	\$50. 33	\$44. 64	\$57.38				
1948	49, 00	58, 47	43. 11	51. 44	48. 51	57.89				
1949	50, 24	60, 53	44. 15	53. 19	49. 74	59.93				
1950	53. 13 57. 86 60. 65 63. 76 64. 52 67. 72 70. 74 73. 33 75. 08 78. 78	63. 40 63. 93 65. 57 68. 41 68. 93 72. 58 74. 70 74. 83 74. 56 77. 62	46. 02 48. 68 50. 07 52. 45 53. 76 56. 27 58. 63 60. 47 61. 83 64. 52	54. 92 53. 79 54. 13 56. 28 57. 44 60. 31 61. 91 61. 40 63. 57	52.04 55.79 57.87 60.31 60.85 63.41 65.82 67.71 69.11 71.86	62, 10 61, 65 62, 56 64, 71 67, 96 69, 50 69, 09 68, 63 70, 80				
1960	80. 67	78. 24	65.59	63. 62	72. 96	70, 77				
	82. 60	79. 27	67.08	64. 38	74. 48	71, 48				
	85. 91	81. 55	69.56	66. 00	76. 99	73, 05				
	88. 46	82. 91	71.05	66. 59	78. 56	73, 63				
	91. 33	84. 49	75.04	69. 42	82. 57	76, 38				
	95. 06	86. 50	78.99	71. 87	86. 30	78, 53				
	98. 69	87. 26	81.19	71. 79	88. 55	78, 29				
	101. 99	87. 70	83.50	71. 80	90. 98	78, 29				
1966: Jan	96, 25	86.71	79, 29	71. 43	86, 61	78.03				
Feb	96, 50	86.47	79, 49	71. 23	86, 81	77.79				
Mar	97, 14	86.73	79, 99	71. 42	87, 32	77.96				
Apr	97, 41	86.59	80, 20	71. 29	87, 53	77.80				
May	98, 04	87.07	80, 70	71. 67	88, 04	78.19				
June	99, 20	87.87	81, 58	72. 26	88, 96	78.80				
July	99. 84	88, 12	82, 07	72. 44	89. 47	78.97				
Aug	99. 71	87, 62	81, 97	72. 03	89. 37	78.53				
Sept	100. 88	88, 41	82, 86	72. 62	90. 30	79.14				
Oct	100. 62	87, 88	82, 66	72. 19	90. 09	78.68				
Nov	99. 84	87, 12	82, 07	71. 61	89. 47	78.07				
Dec	99. 97	87, 16	82, 17	71. 64	89. 58	78.10				
1967: Jan	99, 70	86. 92	81, 76	71.28	89, 16	77.73				
Feb	99, 30	86. 50	81, 46	70.96	88, 84	77.39				
Mar	99, 56	86. 57	81, 66	71.01	89, 05	77.43				
Apr	99, 41	86. 22	81, 54	70.72	88, 93	77.13				
May	100, 06	86. 56	82, 04	70.97	89, 45	77.38				
June	101, 88	87. 83	83, 42	71.91	90, 90	78.36				
July	103. 18	88, 57	84, 40	72. 45	91. 93	78. 91				
Aug	103. 45	88, 49	84, 61	72. 38	92. 15	78. 83				
Sept	104. 06	88, 86	85, 07	72. 65	92. 63	79. 10				
Oct	103. 25	88, 87	84, 45	71. 87	91. 99	78. 29				
Nov P	103. 63	87, 97	84, 74	71. 94	92. 29	78. 34				
Dec P	103. 25	87, 35	84, 45	71. 45	91. 99	77. 83				

 TABLE B-32.
 Average weekly earnings, gross and spendable, total private nonagricultural industries, in current and 1957-59 prices, 1947-67

¹ Average gross weekly earnings less social security and income taxes. ² Earnings in current prices divided by the consumer price index.

Note.—"'Total private" consists of manufacturing; contract construction; retail and wholesale trade; mining; transporta-tion and public utilities; finance, insurance, and real estate; and services. See also Note, Tables B-27 and B-28.
TABLE B-33.—Average weekly earnings, gross and spendable, in manufacturing industries, in current and 1957-59 prices, 1939-67

	•		Aver	age spendable	weekly earnin	igs ¹
Year or month	Average gri	oss weekiy	Worker	with no	Worker w	ith three
	earn	ings	depen	dents	depen	dents
	Current	1957–59	Current	1957–59	Current	1957–59
	prices	prices ²	prices	prices ²	prices	prices ²
1939	\$23.64	\$48, 84	\$23. 37	\$48. 29	\$23.40	\$48.35
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1946 1947 1947 1948 1948	24.96 29.48 36.68 43.07 45.70 44.20 43.32 49.17 53.12 53.88	51. 15 57. 47 64. 58 71. 43 74. 55 70. 49 63. 71 63. 20 63. 39 64. 92	24. 46 27. 96 31. 80 35. 95 37. 99 36. 82 37. 31 42. 10 46. 57 47. 21	50, 12 54, 50 55, 99 59, 62 61, 97 58, 72 54, 87 54, 11 55, 57 56, 88	24, 71 29, 19 36, 31 41, 33 43, 76 42, 79 47, 59 47, 58 52, 31 52, 95	50. 64 56. 90 63. 93 68. 54 71. 39 67. 93 62. 93 61. 16 62. 42 63. 80
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1956 1956 1957 1958 1958 1959	58, 32 63, 34 67, 16 70, 47 70, 49 75, 70 78, 78 81, 59 82, 71 88, 26	69.59 69.99 72.61 75.61 81.14 83.19 83.26 82.14 86.96	50, 26 52, 97 55, 04 57, 59 58, 45 62, 51 64, 92 66, 93 67, 82 71, 89	59.98 58.53 59.50 61.79 62.45 67.00 68.55 68.55 68.35 68.35 68.35	56, 36 60, 18 62, 98 65, 60 65, 65 69, 79 72, 25 74, 31 75, 23 79, 40	67. 26 66. 50 68. 09 70. 39 70. 14 74. 80 76. 29 75. 83 74. 71 78. 23
1960	89, 72	87. 02	72, 57	70, 39	80. 11	77,70
	92, 34	88. 62	74, 60	71, 59	82. 18	78,87
	96, 56	91. 61	77, 86	73, 87	85. 53	81,15
	99, 63	93. 37	79, 82	74, 81	87. 58	82,08
	102, 97	95. 25	84, 40	78, 08	92. 18	85,27
	107, 53	97. 84	89, 08	81, 06	96. 78	88,06
	112, 34	99. 33	91, 57	80, 96	99. 45	87,93
	114, 90	98. 80	93, 28	80, 21	101. 26	87,07
1966: Jan	110.00	99, 10	89.79	80, 89	97.58	87. 91
Feb	110.95	99, 42	90.51	81, 10	98.34	88. 12
Mar	110.95	99, 06	90.51	80, 81	98.34	87. 80
Apr	111.24	98, 88	90.73	80, 65	98.57	87. 62
May	112.47	99, 88	91.67	81, 41	99.55	88. 41
June	112.74	99, 86	91.87	81, 37	99.77	88. 37
July	111. 38	98. 31	90, 84	80, 18	98. 68	87. 10
Aug	111. 78	98. 22	91, 14	80, 09	99. 00	86. 99
Sept	114. 13	100. 03	92, 93	81, 45	100. 88	88. 41
Oct	113. 85	99. 43	92, 72	80, 98	100. 65	87. 90
Nov	113. 99	99. 47	92, 82	80, 99	100. 76	87. 92
Dec	114. 40	99. 74	93, 13	81, 19	101. 09	88. 13
1967: Jan	113. 42	98. 88	92. 16	80. 35	100.08	87, 25
Feb	111. 88	97. 46	91. 00	79. 27	98.86	86, 11
Mar	112. 44	97. 77	91. 42	79. 50	99.30	86, 35
Apr	112. 56	97. 62	91. 51	79. 37	99.40	86, 21
May	113. 52	98. 20	92. 24	79. 79	100.16	86, 64
June	114. 49	98. 70	92. 97	80. 15	100.93	87, 01
July Aug Sept Oct Novp Decp	113. 65 114. 77 116. 57 116. 28 116. 81 119. 19	97, 55 98, 18 99, 55 98, 96 99, 16 100, 84	92, 34 93, 19 94, 55 94, 33 94, 73 96, 54	79. 26 79. 72 80. 74 80. 28 80. 42 81. 68	100. 27 101. 16 102. 61 102. 80 104. 71	86. 07 86. 54 87. 63 87. 12 87. 27 88. 59

Average gross weekly earnings less social security and income taxes.
 Earnings in current prices divided by the consumer price index.

Note .--- See Note, Tables B-27 and B-28.

Source: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

TABLE B-34.-Indexes of output per man-hour and related data, private economy, 1947-67 [1957 - 59 = 100]

	[1	1				,	Man bours 2				
		Output	t per ma	in-hour				Output	1			м	an-hour	S 2	
Year 1			Nonfa	ırm indu	ustries			Nonfa	arm indu	ustries			Nonfa	arm indu	ustries
Year	Total pri- vate	Farm	Total	Man- ufac- tur- ing	Non- man- ufac- tur- ing	pri- vate	Total	Man- ufac- tur- ing	Non- man- ufac- tur- ing	Total pri- vate	Farm	Total	Man- ufac- tur- ing	Non- man- ufac- tur- ing	
						Est	ablishm	ent bas	is ^s						
1947 1948 1949	69.0 72.0 74.2	49.8 58.0 56.5	74. 1 76. 5 79. 5	72.3 76.4 79.3	75. 1 76. 3 79. 6	67.6 70.8 70.6	82. 1 91. 8 88. 9	66. 8 69. 8 69. 7	69. 3 72. 7 68. 7	65. 6 68. 3 70. 2	98. 0 98. 4 95. 1	164. 8 158. 4 157. 3	90. 1 91. 3 87. 7	95, 8 95, 1 86, 6	87.4 89.5 88.2
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	80. 3 82. 7 84. 3 87. 8 89. 9	64.4 64.7 70.3 79.6 83.7	84.4 86.3 87.0 89.6 91.6	85.0 86.9 87.3 90.2 91.8	84. 1 85. 6 86. 7 88. 8 91. 5	77.9 82.8 84.8 89.1 87.9	93.7 88.9 91.8 96.6 98.6	77.0 82.5 84.5 88.8 87.4	79.7 87.8 89.7 97.1 90.3	75.7 79.8 81.9 84.5 86.0	97.0 100.1 100.6 101.5 97.8	145.6 137.5 130.6 121.4 117.8	91. 2 95. 6 97. 1 99. 1 95. 4	93.8 101.0 102.7 107.7 98.4	90. 0 93. 2 94. 5 95. 2 94. 0
1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	93. 9 94. 1 96. 9 99. 8 103. 4	84, 4 88, 0 93, 3 103, 0 104, 8	95. 7 95. 2 97. 2 99. 7 103. 1	97.2 96.2 98.2 98.1 103.7	94. 7 94. 3 96. 7 100. 6 102. 9	95. 4 97. 2 98. 6 97. 3 104. 1	101. 0 100. 5 98. 1 100. 5 101. 9	95. 1 97. 1 98. 6 97. 2 104. 2	100. 9 101. 3 101. 7 93. 4 104. 9	92. 2 94. 9 97. 1 99. 1 103. 9	101. 6 103. 3 101. 8 97. 5 100. 7	119.6 114.2 105.1 97.6 97.2	99. 4 102. 0 101. 4 97. 5 101. 1	103.8 105.3 103.6 95.2 101.2	97.4 100.6 100.4 98.5 101.0
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	105.0 108.6 113.8 117.9 122.5	110.7 119.4 122.2 133.1 135.5	104. 4 107. 4 112. 3 115. 7 120. 0	105.5 107.9 114.3 118.9 124.7	103.9 107.4 111.5 114.3 118.0	106.6 108.6 116.0 120.8 127.8	105. 8 107. 2 106. 8 110. 1 107. 7	106. 7 108. 7 116. 5 121. 4 128. 8	106. 4 106. 0 116. 8 122. 7 131. 2	106. 8 110. 1 116. 3 120. 8 127. 7	101. 5 100. 0 101. 9 102. 5 104. 3	95.6 89.8 87.4 82.7 79.5	102. 2 101. 2 103. 7 104. 9 107. 3	100. 9 98. 2 102. 2 103. 2 105. 2	102.8 102.5 104.3 105.7 108.2
1965 1966 1967	126. 3 130. 2 132. 0	147.5 154.6 171.2	123.3 126.4 127.6	129, 5 132, 3 133, 5	120. 0 123. 2 124. 5	135.9 143.5 146.5	114. 0 108. 2 116. 4	137. 1 145. 4 148. 2	143.6 155.9 156.5	133.8 140.1 144.0	107.6 110.2 111.0	77.3 70.0 68.0	111.2 115.0 116.1	110.9 117.8 117.2	111, 4 113, 7 115, 7
							Labo	r force l	basis 4						
1947 1948 1949	67.9 70.2 71.9	49.8 58.0 56.1	72.9 74.5 76.8	2		67.6 70.8 70.6	82. 1 91. 8 88. 9	66. 8 69. 8 69. 7			99, 6 100, 8 98, 2	164. 8 158. 2 158. 6	91.6 93.7 90.8		
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	78.5 82.1 84.5 88.4 90.8	64. 1 64. 3 69. 9 79. 1 83. 3	82. 4 85. 7 87. 5 90. 4 92. 8			77. 9 82. 8 84. 8 89. 1 87. 9	93.7 88.9 91.8 96.6 98.6	77.0 82.5 84.5 88.8 87.4			99.2 100.9 100.4 100.8 96.8	146. 2 138. 3 131. 3 122. 1 118. 3	93. 4 96. 3 96. 6 98. 2 94. 2		
1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	94.7 94.6 97.2 99.4 103.4	84. 0 87. 5 93. 3 103. 1 104. 7	96. 7 95. 9 97. 7 99. 2 103. 1			95.4 97.2 98.6 97.3 104.1	101. 0 100. 5 98. 1 100. 5 101. 9	95. 1 97. 1 98. 6 97. 2 104. 2			100. 7 102. 7 101. 4 97. 9 100. 7	120. 3 114. 9 105. 2 97. 5 97. 3	98.3 101.2 100.9 98.0 101.1		
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	104. 5 107. 3 113. 0 116. 7 121. 0	110, 7 119, 9 122, 3 133, 5 135, 8	103. 8 105. 9 111. 4 114. 4 118. 4			106.6 108.6 116.0 120.8 127.8	105. 8 107. 2 106. 8 110. 1 107. 7	106. 7 108. 7 116. 5 121. 4 128. 8			102. 0 101. 2 102. 7 103. 5 105. 6	95.6 89.4 87.3 82.5 79.3	102.8 102.6 104.6 106.1 108.8		
1965 1966 1967	124. 8 129. 3 131. 5	147.7 154.4 170.4	121.5 125.2 127.0			135. 9 143. 5 146. 5	114.0 108.2 116.4	137. 1 145. 4 148. 2			108.9 111.0 111.4	77.2 70.1 68.3	112.8 116.1 116.7		

Output refers to gross national product in 1958 prices.
 Hours worked by all persons in private industry engaged in production, including man-hours of proprietors and unpaid family workers.
 Man-hours estimates based primarily on establishment data.
 Man-hours estimates based primarily on labor force data.

Note.—For information on sources, methodology, trends, and underlying factors influencing the measures, see Bureau of Labor Statistics, Department of Labor, Bulletin No. 1249 "Trends in Output per Man-Hour in the Private Economy, 1909–58" December 1959.

Source: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

PRODUCTION AND BUSINESS ACTIVITY

TABLE B-35.-Industrial production indexes, major industry divisions, 1929-67

[1957 - 59 = 100]Manufacturing Total industrial production Year or month Mining Utilities Total Durable Nondurable 1929..... 38.4 38.3 54.2 12.7 38.6 38.2 34. 8 32. 8 28. 9 32. 8 33. 8 37. 4 1930_____ 32. 0 26. 5 20. 7 24. 4 26. 6 30. 7 36. 3 39. 7 31. 4 38. 3 47.0 40.3 33,6 38.5 40.3 43.7 50.3 56.7 49.0 13. 1 12. 5 11. 7 11. 5 12. 2 13. 2 14. 9 16. 4 16. 5 18. 3 31.7 25.9 19.9 23.7 26.0 30.6 36.4 39.7 30.5 37.9 28. 4 19. 5 11. 9 15. 5 18. 8 24. 1 31. 2 25. 2 22. 6 31. 4 41.6 44.1 39.1 44.9 1937.... 1938.... 1939.... 53.8 47.3 57.6 63.7 70.7 68.2 65.6 64.8 67.2 69.5 68.3 43.9 56.4 69.3 82.9 81.7 70.5 59.5 65.7 68.4 64.7 40. 0 57. 7 79. 9 102. 9 100. 9 78. 2 54. 7 64. 3 67. 0 60. 9 60. 1 64. 8 67. 0 69. 0 74. 2 73. 0 72. 2 79. 9 84. 0 74. 5 20. 3 22. 8 25. 6 28. 3 30. 1 30. 6 31. 8 36. 5 40. 8 43. 4 1940..... 43.8 58.3 73.1 88.7 86.3 73.0 60.0 66.4 68.9 65.1 1940 1941 1942 1943 1943 1944 1945 1946 1940.... 1947.... 1948.... 1949.... 83. 2 91. 3 90. 5 92. 9 90. 2 99. 2 104. 8 104. 6 75.8 81.9 85.2 92.7 86.3 97.3 100.2 100.8 93.2 74.1 83.5 88.5 99.9 88.4 101.9 76.0 78.5 80.0 83.6 91.6 95.4 96.7 96.8 106.5 49.5 56.4 61.2 74.9 81.3 84.3 91.3 85.8 96.6 99.9 100.7 93.7 105.6 1950_____ 1951_____ 1952_____ 1953_____ 66.8 71.8 80.2 87.9 1954..... 1955..... 1956..... 104.0 104.0 1957_____ 1958_____ 93.9 90.3 105.6 95.6 99.7 93.2 106.0 98.1 108.0 1959_____ 108.7 109.7 118.3 124.3 132.3 143.4 156.3 157.8 101.6 102.6 105.0 107.9 111.5 114.8 120.5 123.4 108.5 107.0 117.9 124.5 133.5 148.4 164.8 109.5 112.9 119.8 115.6 1960.... 108.9 1961 1962 1963 1964 109.6 118.7 122.3 131.4 118.7 124.9 133.1 145.0 158.6 159.5 140. 0 151. 3 125.3 132.6 140.8 150.8 154.2 160, 9 173, 9 1965 1966..... 1967 P_____ 163.8 183.9 Seasonally adjusted 150.7 152.4 153.8 153.9 155.4 156.5 152. 9 154. 7 156. 0 156. 5 157. 8 158. 7 118. 1 118. 2 120. 4 115. 4 120. 0 121. 6 165.9 168.5 169.6 171.2 171.8 157.8 160.2 161.6 162.5 146. 8 147. 8 148. 9 148. 9 1966: Jan__ Feb...... Apr..... May.... 149.9 151.0 164. 0 164. 9 June..... 173.3 122.1 121.9 121.1 121.9 121.6 123.8 151. 5 152. 0 151. 9 152. 8 153. 6 154. 1 159.4 165. 8 175.0 July_____ 157.2 160. 0 160. 4 161. 8 161. 5 161. 7 165. 6 166. 4 167. 2 168. 9 167. 7 167. 7 176.3 178.0 178.9 178.5 179.4 Aug. Sept. 157.8 158.1 159.4 159.1 Oct..... Nov...... Dec..... 159.5 1967: Jan..... Feb..... Mar.... Apr.... May.... June... 153. 4 152. 9 152. 6 152. 8 151. 1 123, 2 122, 4 121, 5 122, 0 120, 2 123, 8 158.2 156.6 156.4 156.5 155.6 160, 1 158, 5 158, 2 158, 2 157, 2 165, 5 162, 9 162, 6 162, 5 162, 2 161, 5 180.6 180, 6 180, 5 181, 9 182, 7 182, 7 183, 2 155.6 157.0 151.4 128. 0 127. 8 124. 3 121. 2 123. 7 123. 7 July_____ 156.6 157.6 162.5 151.5 184.1 151. 5 154. 0 154. 2 154. 7 156. 5 157. 7 156, 6 158, 1 156, 8 156, 6 159, 3 157.6 159.4 158.1 158.1 160.9 162. 5 163. 6 161. 1 160. 8 164. 4 184. 8 184. 8 187. 6 188. 0 Aug_____ Sept_____ Oct Nov__

Source: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

161.6

Dec P_____

163.6

168.3

188, 0

				Final p	roducts				Materials	
Year or month	Total indus- trial		Con	sumer goo	ds 1	Equip	ment			
Tear or monun	pro- duc- tion	Total	Total	Auto- motive prod- ucts	Home goods	Total, includ- ing defense	Busi- ness	Total	Dura- ble goods	Non- durable goods
1947	65. 7	64. 2	67. 1	69. 4	68.8	55. 4	69. 9	67.0	68.2	64. 9
1948	68. 4	66. 6	69. 2	72. 6	71.7	58. 3	72. 6	70.2	71.0	68. 2
1949	64. 7	64. 5	68. 8	72. 0	66.3	52. 0	63. 5	64.8	64.2	64. 2
1950	74.9	72.8	78.6	90.6	91.4	56.4	68. 0	76. 9	79.5	73. 3
1951	81.3	78.6	77.8	80.1	78.7	78.4	83. 1	83. 8	87.8	78. 8
1952	84.3	84.3	79.5	72.1	78.8	94.1	94. 1	84. 3	88.9	79. 0
1953	91.3	89.9	85.0	91.3	90.2	100.5	96. 6	92. 6	100.7	84. 1
1953	85.8	85.7	84.3	85.0	86.0	88.9	85. 1	85. 9	88.4	83. 3
1955	96. 6	93. 9	93.3	118.3	97.3	95.0	91. 9	99.0	104.7	93.0
1956	99. 9	98. 1	95.5	97.8	100.9	103.7	104. 7	101.6	105.3	97.7
1957	100. 7	99. 4	97.0	105.2	96.6	104.6	105. 3	101.9	104.8	98.9
1958	93. 7	94. 8	96.4	86.7	92.8	91.3	89. 8	92.7	90.0	95.4
1959	105. 6	105. 7	106.6	108.1	110.7	104.1	104. 9	105.4	105.1	105.7
1960	108.7	109.9	111.0	123.2	110. 8	107.6	110, 2	107.6	106.6	108.7
1961	109.7	111.2	112.6	111.8	112. 2	108.3	110, 1	108.4	104.8	112.2
1962	118.3	119.7	119.7	131.1	122. 2	119.6	122, 1	117.0	114.1	120.0
1963	124.3	124.9	125.2	141.2	129. 6	124.2	128, 3	123.7	121.2	126.3
1964	132.3	131.8	131.7	145.1	141. 1	132.0	139, 1	132.8	131.2	134.4
1965.	143. 4	142.5	140. 3	167.2	154, 8	147.0	156.7	144. 2	144. 3	144. 1
1966.	156. 3	155.5	147. 5	163.0	168, 9	172.6	181.2	157. 0	156. 9	157. 2
1967 ₽	157. 8	158.2	148. 2	149.3	166, 1	179.5	182.7	157. 5	151. 9	163. 2
					Seasonail	y adjusted	i			. <u> </u>
1966: Jan	150. 7	150. 0	144. 6	168. 1	166. 8	161. 5	170. 5	150, 8	149.9	151.6
Feb	152. 4	151. 8	145. 9	167. 9	165. 7	164. 5	173. 7	152, 5	152.5	152.5
Mar	153. 8	152. 7	146. 5	170. 0	164. 1	166. 2	175. 4	154, 4	154.9	153.9
Apr	153. 9	153. 2	146. 8	168. 2	168. 9	166. 9	175. 9	154, 4	156.4	152.2
May	155. 4	154. 0	146. 7	160. 7	169. 6	169. 8	178. 3	157, 0	157.9	156.2
June	156. 5	155. 3	147. 3	162. 1	168. 0	172. 6	181. 4	158, 1	158.1	158.2
July	157. 2	155. 3	146. 4	153. 3	168. 0	174. 3	182. 7	158.9	158. 8	159.0
Aug	157. 8	156. 0	146. 5	145. 8	168. 7	176. 3	184. 4	159.2	159. 2	159.3
Sep	158. 1	156. 6	146. 9	150. 7	168. 1	177. 4	185. 7	159.6	159. 1	160.1
Oct	159. 4	158. 7	149. 3	168. 5	170. 0	179. 0	187. 2	159.7	159. 1	160.3
Nov	159. 1	159. 0	149. 2	162. 8	169. 4	180. 0	187. 8	159.0	157. 8	160.2
Dec	159. 5	159. 6	149. 8	162. 6	168. 1	180. 7	188. 9	159.2	156. 8	161.6
1967: Jan	158.2	158.1	148. 0	147.0	168. 0	179.9	186.9	157.9	154. 2	161. 6
Feb	156.6	157.0	146. 1	135.7	164. 1	180.3	186.6	155.8	151. 3	160. 4
Mar	156.4	157.1	146. 6	144.6	162. 7	179.6	184.4	155.5	151. 5	159. 7
Apr	156.5	157.3	147. 1	151.3	158. 9	179.2	183.5	156.0	151. 0	161. 1
May	155.6	156.3	146. 0	145.8	158. 5	178.5	182.1	154.6	149. 7	159. 6
June	155.6	156.8	146. 9	151.2	156. 6	178.1	181.3	154.9	148. 9	161. 1
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec p	156.6 158.1 156.8 156.6 159.3 161.6	157. 1 158. 2 157. 0 156. 5 159. 6 161. 4	147.1 148.6 147.0 147.4 149.7 152.2	155. 2 161. 1 142. 1 145. 2 152. 8 171	157. 3 163. 4 164. 1 166. 3 171. 2	178. 4 178. 9 178. 6 176. 0 180. 9 181. 2	180. 8 180. 6 179. 8 176. 5 182. 7 183	156. 1 157. 9 156. 7 156. 6 159. 3 161. 6	149.7 151.8 148.5 148.9 152.2 156	162. 6 164. 2 165. 2 165. 2 166. 5 168

[1957-59=100]

¹ Also includes apparel and consumer staples, not shown separately.

			Dura	ble manuf	actures			No	ndurable r	nanufactu	res
Year or month	Pri- mary metals	Fabri- cated metal prod- ucts	Ma- chinery	Trans- porta- tion equip- ment	Instru- ments and re- lated prod- ucts	Clay, glass and lumber	Furni- ture and miscel- laneous	Textile, apparel, and leather prod- ucts	Paper and printing	Chem- ical, petro- leum, and rubber prod- ucts	Foods, bever- ages, and tobacco
1947	90, 7	75.9	65, 3	42, 9	53.7	75. 8	73.5	81. 0	66. 7	47.5	80, 7
1948	94, 3	77.2	66, 5	46, 9	55.2	79. 7	77.4	84. 5	69. 4	50.8	80, 0
1949	79, 4	69.8	59, 0	47, 1	49.2	72. 3	71.6	80. 6	69. 3	49.4	80, 8
1950	99. 9	85. 4	72.7	56.4	57.3	87.7	83.7	89. 1	76.7	60.7	83.6
1951	108. 7	91. 2	83.0	62.9	65.7	92.0	80.2	87. 4	79.4	67.4	85.4
1952	99. 3	89. 0	92.1	73.1	78.1	89.3	82.4	89. 5	77.7	69.9	87.3
1953	112. 5	100. 3	100.5	91.7	85.3	92.7	89.7	90. 7	82.6	75.2	88.2
1954	91. 3	90, 2	87.7	83.8	82.9	89.6	86.8	86. 9	85.0	74.7	89.8
1955	118.4	98. 3	96.5	102. 0	88.7	100.7	97.9	95.5	92.5	86.8	93. 1
1956	116.4	98. 8	107.1	97. 4	95.4	102.0	101.0	98.0	97.1	91.4	96. 6
1957	112.2	101. 5	104.2	106. 4	98.0	97.5	97.6	96.9	97.8	95.6	96. 7
1958	87.5	92. 9	88.8	89. 5	92.1	94.1	93.3	95.0	97.0	95.5	99. 4
1959	100.4	105. 5	107.1	104. 0	109.9	108.5	109.0	108.1	105.2	108.9	103. 9
1960	101. 3	107.6	110. 8	108.2	116.5	105.7	113. 3	107.5	109.0	113.9	106.6
1961	98. 9	106.5	110. 4	103.6	115.8	104.5	114. 1	108.4	112.4	118.9	110.2
1962	104. 6	117.1	123. 5	118.3	123.0	109.3	124. 5	115.1	116.7	131.2	113.3
1963	113. 3	123.4	129. 2	127.0	130.2	114.4	129. 1	118.5	120.1	141.8	116.8
1964	129. 1	132.7	141. 4	130.7	136.4	121.1	138. 4	125.2	127.5	152.5	120.8
1965	137.6	147.8	160. 5	149.2	151. 4	127.6	151. 8	135.8	135. 3	164.6	123. 4
1966	142.7	163.0	183. 8	166.9	176. 5	132.9	165. 0	141.6	146. 4	181.9	128. 1
1967 <i>p</i>	132.6	161.6	183. 4	165.9	184. 7	130.9	162. 4	138.9	149. 7	189.2	131. 4
					5	Seasonally	adjusted				
1966: Jan	131. 2	157.7	174. 2	163. 0	166. 8	134. 7	158.4	138.9	141.7	175.7	125. 8
Feb	137. 0	161.6	176. 2	163. 8	169. 4	135. 0	161.6	140.1	142.7	176.3	127. 2
Mar	141. 8	161.7	176. 1	165. 4	171. 9	136. 1	162.9	141.4	144.2	177.4	128. 0
Apr	142. 5	161.4	178. 1	165. 5	173. 7	136. 8	163.5	142.6	143.5	177.9	126. 9
May	145. 3	162.9	180. 5	164. 9	176. 4	135. 6	166.7	143.1	146.6	179.3	126. 4
June	147. 3	161.8	182. 7	165. 9	176. 5	133. 1	167.0	142.4	148.3	181.0	127. 6
July	147.8	162. 1	186. 7	164. 8	177.0	131.7	163.5	141. 4	149.6	181. 8	128. 2
Aug	148.0	163. 1	188. 7	163. 7	177.4	130.2	167.1	141. 3	148.6	183. 6	128. 9
Sept	146.6	163. 1	190. 0	166. 3	179.5	129.2	165.9	141. 4	147.2	185. 1	128. 1
Oct	145.0	164. 2	191. 1	172. 6	181.8	129.5	166.0	142. 3	147.9	186. 3	128. 6
Nov	140.5	164. 7	189. 8	170. 6	183.2	129.1	167.1	142. 2	148.5	188. 5	128. 9
Dec	137.6	168. 7	190. 3	169. 1	184.6	128.8	168.1	142. 2	147.4	188. 6	131. 2
1967: Jan	132.6	166. 7	190. 3	162. 6	186. 2	128.6	166. 3	140. 3	148. 4	187. 1	131. 0
Feb	131.9	165. 0	186. 8	157. 5	183. 4	128.9	163. 9	137. 6	148. 7	186. 5	131. 5
Mar	129.2	162. 9	184. 5	162. 6	185. 8	128.4	162. 4	135. 5	149. 5	186. 8	131. 1
Apr	129.1	161. 0	182. 1	165. 7	185. 2	129.8	162. 9	135. 5	149. 9	186. 4	131. 8
May	128.9	160. 8	180. 5	167. 5	185. 3	127.8	162. 3	135. 3	149. 1	182. 2	130. 9
June	129.0	160. 8	177. 5	169. 3	184. 1	126.7	161. 5	134. 8	149. 4	183. 0	131. 3
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec P	129.6 129.3 129.2 131.6 134.8 142	159.8 159.1 158.1 158.1 159.6 161	180. 0 182. 8 182. 2 179. 6 183. 2 183	170. 8 171. 9 159. 2 159. 3 165. 7 177	182. 9 183. 2 183. 1 183. 2 185. 4 185. 4 186	127. 3 126. 7 129. 6 131. 4 134. 7 137	159. 1 159. 9 161. 4 160. 9 161. 4 163	135.3 137.6 139.1 140.6 141.9 143	148.6 150.3 148.5 148.5 150.2 151	184. 0 189. 5 191. 2 190. 9 195. 3 198	130. 9 131. 0 130. 4 131. 1 130. 8 131

TABLE B-37.-Industrial production indexes, selected manufactures, 1947-67

[1957-59=100]

			ι	Itilization rate	2
Period	Output	Capacity 1	Total	Advanced products	Primary products
	1 9 57–59 o	utput=100		Percent	
1948	68. 9	76.8	89. 7	87.9	92. 2
1949	65. 1	81.1	80. 2	80.3	80. 0
1950	75.8	84. 3	90. 4	87.3	94. 8
1951	81.9	87. 4	94. 0	91.0	98. 1
1952	85.2	92. 7	91. 3	91.9	90. 4
1953	92.7	98. 4	94. 2	94.1	94. 4
1954	86.3	103. 3	83. 5	83.8	83. 0
1955	97.3	108. 4	90.0	87. 8	93. 2
	100.2	114. 3	87.7	86. 0	90. 1
	100.8	120. 7	83.6	82. 3	85. 3
	93.2	125. 8	74.0	73. 6	74. 6
	106.0	130. 1	81.5	81. 0	82. 1
1960	108. 9	134. 9	80. 6	81. 1	80. 0
1961	109. 6	139. 6	78. 5	78. 9	78. 1
1962	118. 7	144. 4	82. 1	82. 5	81. 6
1963	124. 9	149. 8	83. 3	83. 1	83. 6
1964	133. 1	155. 6	85. 7	84. 4	87. 4
1965	145. 0	164. 0	88. 5	87.6	89. 7
	158. 6	175. 0	90. 5	90.5	90. 5
	159. 5	186. 5	85. 1	85.8	84. 0
	-	Sea	asonally adjust	ed	
1961: I	103. 1	137. 9	74, 5	76. 3	72. 1
II	108. 4	139. 0	78, 0	78. 2	77. 7
II	112. 3	140. 1	80, 2	79. 7	80. 9
IV	115. 0	141. 2	81, 5	81. 3	81. 7
1962: I	116.6	142. 4	82. 0	81. 4	82, 7
II	118.6	143. 7	82. 4	82. 8	81, 9
II	119.7	145. 1	82. 4	83. 3	81, 1
IV	119.9	146. 4	81. 8	82. 5	80, 7
1963: I	121. 3	147. 8	82. 0	82, 2	81.7
II	124. 9	149. 1	83. 9	82, 9	85.2
III	126. 0	150. 5	83. 7	83, 6	83.9
IV	127. 2	151. 8	83. 7	83, 7	83.8
1964: II II	129. 4 132. 5 134. 7 135. 9	153. 3 154. 9 156. 4 158. 0	84. 5 85. 7 86. 3 86. 2	83. 8 84. 7 84. 9 84. 4	85, 5 87, 1 88, 3 88, 8
1965: I II IV	141. 4 143. 5 146. 1 148. 9	160. 1 162. 7 165. 3 167. 9	88. 5 88. 4 88. 5 88. 6	87. 2 87. 1 87. 4 88. 7	90. 2 90. 1 90. 1 88. 5
1966: I	154.5	170. 6	90. 5	90. 3	90, 9
II	157.7	173. 5	90. 9	90. 5	91, 4
III	159.9	176. 4	90. 6	90. 6	90, 6
IV	161.7	179. 3	90. 0	90. 6	89, 1
1967: p	158, 9	182, 2	87. 1	87. 8	86. 0
p	157, 5	185, 1	84. 9	86. 2	83. 1
p	158, 4	187, 9	84. 1	85. 2	82. 5
V p	160, 9	190, 8	84. 3	84. 2	84. 4

TABLE B-38.-Manufacturing output, capacity, and utilization rate, 1948-67

¹ For description and source of data see "A Revised Index of Manufacturing Capacity," Frank de Leeuw, Frank E. Hopkins, and Michael D. Sherman, "Federal Reserve Bulletin," November 1966, pp. 1605–1615. See also McGraw-Hill surveys on "Business Plans for New Plants and Equipment" for data on capacity and operating rates. ² Output as percent of capacity; based on unrounded data.

Source: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (output) and sources in footnote 1 (capacity and utilization rate).

TABLE B-39.-Business expenditures for new plant and equipment, 1939 and 1945-68

		Ma	nufacturi	ng		Transpo	ortation	Dublia	Com-
Year or quarter	Total 1	Total	Dura- ble goods	Non- durable goods	Mining	Rail- road	Other	utili- ties	cial and other ²
1939	5. 51	1.94	0.76	1, 19	0.33	0. 28	0.36	0. 52	2.08
1945	8, 69	3.98	1, 59	2,39	. 38	. 55	.57	.50	2, 70
1946	14, 85	6.79	3, 11	3 68	. 43	. 58	.92	.79	5, 33
1947	20, 61	8 70	3, 41	5,30	. 69	. 89	1.30	1.54	7, 49
1948	22, 06	9.13	3, 48	5,65	. 88	1. 32	1.28	2 54	6, 90
1949	19, 28	7.15	2, 59	4,56	. 79	1. 35	.89	3.12	5, 98
1950	20.60	7,49	3, 14	4.36	.71	1.11	1.21	3, 31	6.78
	25.64	10,85	5, 17	5.68	.93	1.47	1.49	3, 66	7.24
	26.49	11,63	5, 61	6.02	.98	1.40	1.50	3, 89	7.09
	28.32	11,91	5, 65	6.26	.99	1 31	1.56	4, 55	8.00
	26.83	11,04	5, 09	5.95	.99	.85	1.51	4, 22	8.23
1955	28. 70	11. 44	5.44	6.00	.96	.92	1.60	4, 31	9.47
1956	35. 08	14. 95	7.62	7.33	1.24	1.23	1.71	4, 90	11.05
1957	36. 96	15. 96	8.02	7.94	1.24	1.40	1.77	6, 20	10.40
1958	30. 53	11. 43	5.47	5.96	.94	.75	1.50	6, 09	9.81
1959	32. 54	12. 07	5.77	6.29	.99	.92	2.02	5, 67	10.88
1960	35. 68	14. 48	7.18	7.30	. 99	1.03	1. 94	5, 68	11. 57
	34. 37	13. 68	6.27	7.40	. 98	.67	1. 85	5, 52	11. 68
	37. 31	14. 68	7.03	7.65	1. 08	.85	2. 07	5, 48	13. 15
	39. 22	15. 69	7.85	7.84	1. 04	1.10	1. 92	5, 65	13. 82
	44. 90	18. 58	9.43	9.16	1. 19	1.41	2. 38	6, 22	15. 13
1965	51, 96	22, 45	11. 40	11. 05	1. 30	1.73	2, 81	6. 94	16. 73
1966	60, 63	26, 99	13. 99	13. 00	1. 47	1.98	3, 44	8. 41	18. 36
1967 ³	61, 48	26, 84	13. 78	13. 07	1. 43	1.55	3, 88	9. 59	18. 20
				Season	ally adjus	ted annua	l rates		
1965;	49.00	20.75	10. 40	10.40	1. 25	1.75	2.55	6.80	15.85
	50.35	21.55	10. 80	10.70	1. 30	1.55	2.70	6.85	16.40
1	52.75	23.00	11. 75	11.25	1. 25	1.70	3.00	6.75	17.00
V	55.35	24.15	12. 45	11.70	1. 35	1.95	3.00	7.30	17.55
1966; I	58.00	25. 60	13. 15	12. 45	1. 40	1.75	3. 30	8. 25	17. 70
II	60.10	26. 80	13. 85	12. 95	1. 55	2.00	3. 50	8. 30	17. 95
IV	61.25	27. 55	14. 35	13. 20	1. 45	1.85	3. 40	8. 55	18. 45
IV	62.80	27. 75	14. 50	13. 25	1. 45	2.35	3. 50	8. 50	19. 25
1967: 1	61. 65	27. 85	14. 20	13.70	1.40	1.80	3. 05	9.20	18.30
	61. 50	27. 00	13. 75	13.25	1.30	1.55	3. 90	9.70	18.05
	60. 90	26. 15	13. 50	12.65	1.45	1.40	4. 10	9.80	17.95
3	62. 05	26. 55	13. 75	12.80	1.50	1.45	4. 45	9.60	18.50
1968: ³ ³	65. 05 65. 85	27.75 28.40	14.60 15.00	13. 15 13. 40	<u>1.60</u>	1.50	4.75	11.15	18. 35

[Billions of dollars]

¹ Excludes agriculture. ² Commercial and other includes trade, service, finance, communications, and construction. ³ Estimates based on anticipated capital expenditures reported by business in late October and November 1967. The quarterly anticipations include adjustments, when necessary, for systematic tendencies in anticipatory data.

Note, —Annual total is the sum of unadjusted expenditures; it does not necessarily coincide with the average of seasonally adjusted figures.

These figures do not agree precisely with plant and equipment expenditures included in the gross national product estimates of the Department of Commerce. The main difference lies in the inclusion in the gross national product of in-vestment by farmers, professionals, institutions, and real estate firms, and of certain outlays charged to current account. These series are not available for years prior to 1939 and for 1940 to 1944.

Sources: Department of Commerce (Office of Business Economics) and Securities and Exchange Commission.

TABLE B-40.—New construction activity, 1929-67

[Value	put in	place,	millions	of	dollars]
--------	--------	--------	----------	----	----------

				Privat		Public	: constru	ction			
Year or month	Total new con-		Resid build (nonf	ential ding arm)	Nonr	esidentia other con	l buildin; istruction	g and		Fed-	State
	struc- tion	Total	Total 1	New hous- ing units	Total	Com- mer- cial ²	In- dus- trial	Other ³	Total	eraily owned	and locally owned 4
1929	10, 793	8, 307	3, 625	3, 040	4, 682	1, 135	949	2, 598	2, 486	155	2, 331
1930	8, 741 6, 427 3, 538 2, 879 3, 720 4, 232 6, 497 6, 999 6, 980 8, 198	5,883 3,768 1,676 1,231 1,509 1,999 2,981 3,903 3,560 4,389	2,075 1,565 630 470 625 1,010 1,565 1,875 1,990 2,680	1, 570 1, 320 485 290 380 710 1, 210 1, 475 1, 620 2, 270	3, 808 2, 203 1, 046 761 884 989 1, 416 2, 028 1, 570 1, 709	893 454 223 130 173 211 290 387 285 292	532 221 74 176 191 158 266 492 232 254	2, 383 1, 528 749 455 520 620 860 1, 149 1, 053 1, 163	2, 858 2, 659 1, 862 1, 648 2, 211 2, 233 3, 516 3, 096 3, 420 3, 809	209 271 333 516 626 814 797 776 717 759	2,649 2,388 1,529 1,132 1,585 1,419 2,719 2,320 2,703 3,050
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1946	8,682 11,957 14,075 8,301 5,259 5,809 12,627	5, 054 6, 206 3, 415 1, 979 2, 186 3, 411 10, 396	2,985 3,510 1,715 885 815 1,276 4,752	2, 560 3, 040 1, 440 710 570 720 3, 300	2,069 2,696 1,700 1,094 1,371 2,135 5,644	348 409 155 33 56 203 1, 153	442 801 346 156 208 642 1,689	1,279 1,486 1,199 905 1,107 1,290 2,802	3, 628 5, 751 10, 660 6, 322 3, 073 2, 398 2, 231	1, 182 3, 751 9, 313 5, 609 2, 505 1, 737 865	2, 446 2, 000 1, 347 713 568 661 1, 366
New series ⁵ 1946 1947 1948 1948	14, 308 20, 041 26, 078 26, 722	12, 077 16, 722 21, 374 20, 453	6, 247 9, 850 13, 128 12, 428	4, 795 7, 765 10, 506 10, 043	5, 830 6, 872 8, 246 8, 025	1, 153 957 1, 397 1, 182	1,689 1,702 1,397 972	2, 988 4, 213 5, 452 5, 871	2, 231 3, 319 4, 704 6, 269	865 840 1, 177 1, 488	1, 366 2, 479 3, 527 4, 781
1950	33, 575 35, 435 36, 828 39, 136 41, 380 46, 519 47, 601 49, 139 50, 153 55, 305	26, 709 26, 180 26, 049 27, 894 29, 668 34, 804 34, 869 35, 080 34, 696 39, 235	18, 126 15, 881 15, 803 16, 594 18, 187 21, 877 20, 178 19, 006 19, 789 24, 251	15, 551 13, 207 12, 851 13, 411 14, 931 18, 242 16, 143 14, 736 15, 445 19, 233	8, 583 10, 299 10, 246 11, 300 11, 481 12, 927 14, 691 16, 074 14, 907 14, 984	1,415 1,498 1,137 1,791 2,212 3,218 3,631 3,564 3,589 3,930	1,062 2,117 2,320 2,229 2,030 2,399 3,084 3,557 2,382 2,106	6, 106 6, 684 6, 789 7, 280 7, 239 7, 310 7, 976 8, 953 8, 936 8, 948	6,866 9,255 10,779 11,242 11,712 11,715 12,732 14,059 15,457 16,070	1,624 2,981 4,185 4,139 3,428 2,769 2,726 2,974 3,387 3,724	5, 242 6, 274 6, 594 7, 103 8, 284 8, 946 10, 006 11, 085 12, 070 12, 346
1960 1961 1962 1963	53, 941 55, 447 59, 576 62, 755	38, 078 38, 299 41, 707 43, 859	21, 706 21, 680 24, 292 25, 843	16, 410 16, 189 18, 638 20, 064	16, 372 16, 619 17, 415 18, 016	4, 180 4, 674 4, 955 5, 200	2, 851 2, 780 2, 949 2, 962	9, 341 9, 165 9, 511 9, 854	15, 86 3 17, 148 17, 869 18, 896	3,622 3,879 3,913 3,970	12, 241 13, 269 13, 956 14, 926
New series 6 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 7	59, 667 63, 423 66, 200 71, 912 74, 371 74, 680	41, 798 44, 057 45, 810 49, 840 50, 446 49, 560	24, 292 26, 187 26, 258 26, 266 23, 815 23, 597	18, 638 20, 385 20, 354 20, 351 17, 964 17, 902	17, 506 17, 870 19, 552 23, 574 26, 631 25, 963	5, 144 4, 995 5, 396 6, 745 6, 890 6, 971	2, 842 2, 906 3, 565 5, 128 6, 703 6, 126	9, 520 9, 969 10, 591 11, 701 13, 038 12, 866	17, 869 19, 366 20, 390 22, 072 23, 925 25, 120	3, 913 4, 010 3, 905 4, 022 3, 881 3, 369	13, 956 15, 356 16, 485 18, 050 20, 044 21, 751

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE B-40.-New construction activity, 1929-67-Continued

				Priva	ate constru	uction			Public	: constru	iction
Year or month	Total new con-		Resident ing (n	ial build- onfarm)	Nonresi	dential bi cons	uilding au truction	nd other			State
	struc- tion	Total	Total 1	New hous- ing units	Total	Com- mer- cial ²	l n- dus- trial	Other 3	Total	Fed- erally owned	and locally owned 4
		<u> </u>	·	Se	asonally a	djusted ar	nual rate	5		<u></u>	<u>.</u>
1966; Jan	77, 175	53, 085	26, 787	20, 726	26, 298	7,468	6, 397	12, 433	24, 090	4, 316	19, 774
Feb	77, 588	53, 342	26, 614	20, 482	26, 728	6,996	6, 610	13, 122	24, 246	4, 136	20, 110
Mar	78, 427	53, 896	26, 334	20, 328	27, 562	7,490	6, 578	13, 494	24, 531	4, 382	20, 149
Apr	76, 949	52, 512	25, 915	20, 112	26, 597	6,793	6, 798	13, 006	24, 437	4, 119	20, 318
May	75, 183	51, 617	25, 576	19, 842	26, 041	6,350	6, 718	12, 973	23, 566	3, 754	19, 812
June	74, 540	51, 476	24, 859	19, 067	26, 617	6,662	7, 022	12, 933	23, 064	3, 999	19, 065
July	73, 088	50, 492	24, 137	18, 216	26, 355	6, 763	7, 012	12, 580	22, 596	3, 766	18, 830
Aug	73, 369	50, 456	23, 356	17, 422	27, 100	6, 916	7, 154	13, 030	22, 913	3, 723	19, 190
Sept	73, 981	50, 107	22, 678	16, 831	27, 429	7, 078	6, 895	13, 456	23, 874	3, 694	20, 180
Oct	72, 255	47, 883	21, 587	15, 857	26, 296	6, 685	6, 673	12, 938	24, 372	3, 579	20, 793
Nov	71, 987	47, 096	20, 324	14, 640	26, 772	6, 689	6, 876	13, 207	24, 891	3, 702	21, 189
Dec	72, 169	46, 410	19, 844	14, 177	26, 566	7, 027	6, 469	13, 070	25, 759	3, 679	22, 080
1967: Jan	74, 836	48, 334	19, 928	14, 034	28, 406	7, 925	7, 130	13, 351	26, 502	3, 794	22, 708
Feb	74, 996	47, 960	20, 278	14, 335	27, 682	7, 697	7, 054	12, 931	27, 036	3, 435	23, 601
Mar	73, 084	46, 906	20, 829	14, 959	26, 077	7, 194	6, 097	12, 786	26, 178	3, 477	22, 701
Apr	71, 961	46, 042	21, 130	15, 463	24, 912	6, 926	5, 579	12, 407	25, 919	3, 061	22, 858
May	73, 904	47, 813	22, 107	16, 542	25, 706	7, 093	6, 006	12, 607	26, 091	3, 224	22, 867
June	72, 374	48, 052	22, 885	17, 318	25, 167	6, 683	5, 886	12, 598	24, 322	3, 104	21, 218
July	73, 399	49, 151	23, 652	17, 989	25, 499	6, 739	6, 154	12, 606	24, 248	3, 481	20, 767
Aug	74, 392	50, 170	24, 619	18, 932	25, 551	6, 437	6, 011	13, 103	24, 222	3, 362	20, 860
Sept	76, 295	51, 726	25, 306	19, 644	26, 420	6, 731	6, 577	13, 112	24, 569	3, 406	21, 163
Oct	76, 910	52, 195	25, 971	20, 330	26, 224	6, 991	6, 240	12, 993	24, 715	3, 336	21, 379
Nov P	77, 189	52, 064	26, 575	20, 938	25, 489	6, 860	5, 592	13, 037	25, 125	3, 526	21, 599

[Value put in place, millions of dollars]

¹ Total includes additions and alterations and nonhousekeeping units not shown separately.
 ² Office buildings, warehouses, stores, restaurants, and garages.
 ³ Farm, institutional, public utilities, and all other private.
 ⁴ Includes Federal grants-in-aid for State and locally owned projects.
 ⁵ New series in 1946 reflects differences due to the new higher level series of housing starts and farm construction expenditures and the reduced level value in place series for public utilities. See "Construction Report C30-61 (Supplement)" for a description of the differences.
 ⁶ New series differs from old in that it reflects differences in 1962 due to the introduction of new series for private non-residential buildings and differences in 1963 due to the introduction of new series of State and locally owned public construction. See "Construction Report C30-655" for a description of the differences.
 ⁷ Preliminary estimates by Council of Economic Advisers.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

TABLE B-41.—New housing starts and applications for financing, 1929-67 [Thousands of units]

				Housin	g starts					Propo	sed
	Privat pub	e and lic ¹			Priv	ate 1				home of struct	ion- ion ⁵
Year or			Total (f	arm and n	onfarm)		Nonfarm		New private housing	Appli	Pa
month	Total (farm and	Non- farm		Typ struc	e of ture ²		Gover home p	ograms	units author- ized 4	cations for FHA	quests for VA
	non- farm)		Total	One family	Two or more families	Total	FHA 3	VA		com- mit- ments ³	ap- prais- als
1929		509. 0				509.0					
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934.		330.0 254.0 134.0 93.0 126.0				330.0 254.0 134.0 93.0 126.0					
1935 1936 1937 1938 1938		221, 0 319, 0 336, 0 406, 0 515, 0				215, 7 304, 2 332, 4 399, 3 458, 4	13.2 48.8 57.0 106.8 144.7			⁶ 20. 6 47. 8 49. 8 131. 1 179. 8	
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944		602, 6 706, 1 356, 0 191, 0 141, 8				529.6 619.5 301.2 183.7 138.7	176. 6 217. 1 160. 2 126. 1 83. 6			231. 2 288. 5 238. 5 144. 4 62. 9	
New series 1945 1946 1947 1948 1949		326. 1 1, 023. 2 1, 268. 5 1, 362. 1 1, 466. 1				324.9 1,015.2 1,265.1 1,344.0 1,429.8	38.9 67.1 178.3 216.4 252.6	78.8 91.8 160.3 71.1 90.8		56.6 121.7 286.4 293.2 327.0	
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954		1, 951. 9 1, 491. 0 1, 503. 9 1, 437. 6 1, 550. 5				1, 908. 1 1, 419. 8 1, 445. 4 1, 402. 1 1, 531. 8	328. 2 186. 9 229. 1 216. 5 250. 9	191. 2 148. 6 141. 3 156. 5 307. 0		397. 7 192. 8 267. 9 253. 7 338. 6	164. 4 226. 3 251. 4 535. 4
1955 1956 1957 1958 1958 1959	1, 553. 5	1, 646. 0 1, 349. 1 1, 223. 9 1, 382. 0 1, 531. 3	1, 516. 8	i,234.3	282.5	1, 626. 6 1, 324. 9 1, 174. 8 1, 314. 2 1, 494. 6	268.7 183.4 150.1 270.3 307.0	392. 9 270. 7 128. 3 102. 1 109. 3	1, 208. 3	306. 2 197. 7 198. 8 341. 7 369. 7	620. 8 401. 5 159. 4 234. 2 234. 0
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	1,296.0 1,365.0 1,492.4 1,642.0 1,561.6	1, 274. 0 1, 336. 8 1, 468. 7 1, 614. 8 1, 534. 7	1, 252. 1 1, 313. 0 1, 462. 7 1, 610. 3 1, 529. 3	994.7 974.4 991.3 1,020.7 971.5	257.4 338.6 471.4 589.6 557.8	1, 230. 1 1, 284. 8 1, 439. 0 1, 582. 9 1, 502. 3	225.7 198.8 197.3 166.2 154.0	74.6 83.3 77.8 71.0 59.2	998.0 1,064.2 1,186.6 1,334.7 1,285.8	242. 4 243. 8 221. 1 190. 2 182. 1	142. 9 177. 8 171. 2 139. 3 113. 6
1965 1966 1967 p	1, 509. 6 1, 196. 2 1, 322. 0	1, 487. 5 1, 172. 8 1, 299. 0	1, 472. 9 1, 165. 0 1, 291. 8	963, 8 778, 5 843, 1	509, 1 386, 5 448, 7	1, 450. 6 1, 141. 5 1, 268. 4	159.9 129.1 141.9	49, 4 36, 8 52, 5	1, 239. 8 971. 9 1, 080. 9	188.9 153.0 167.2	102.1 99.2 124.3
					Monthly	totals, una	djusted				
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	81. 9 79. 0 122. 4 143. 0 133. 9 123. 8	80. 9 77. 5 120. 2 140. 7 130. 6 121. 5	79.4 76.2 118.1 140.9 130.0 120.6	46. 6 50. 4 83. 2 94. 3 84. 7 79. 8	32. 8 25. 8 34. 9 46. 6 45. 3 40. 8	78.5 74.8 115.9 138.6 126.7 118.2	10. 2 10. 2 15. 6 13. 9 12. 8 12. 2	2.8 2.2 3.2 3.0 3.3 3.9	76.0 73.1 117.8 114.1 107.0 95.0	13.6 13.8 17.7 16.0 12.8 13.0	5.9 5.4 9.1 10.1 9.4 8.8
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	100. 1 103. 7 91. 9 79. 1 75. 1 62. 3	98. 4 101. 5 89. 7 77. 0 73. 7 61. 1	99. 3 101. 8 89. 1 76. 6 72. 8 60. 2	69. 1 69. 4 59. 4 53. 5 50. 2 37. 9	30. 2 32. 4 29. 7 23. 1 22. 6 22. 3	97.6 99.6 86.9 74.4 71.4 58.9	10. 6 11. 5 8. 7 8. 3 8. 1 6. 9	3.4 3.3 3.1 3.1 3.0 2.5	77.4 79.3 65.9 61.3 56.1 48.9	10.6 11.6 13.0 9.9 8.7 12.5	8.5 10.4 8.9 9.1 7.0 6.6

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE B-41.-New housing starts and applications for financing, 1929-67-Continued

					Housing	starts	_				Prop	osed
		Priva pub	te and blic ¹			Priya	te 1			New	home struc	con- tion ⁵
,	rear or			Total (I	farm and	nonfarm)		Nonfarm		private housing units	Ap-	
	montin	Total (farm and	Non- farm	:	Typ struc	e of ture ²		Gover home p	nment rograms	author- ized 4	plica- tions for FHA	Re- quests for VA
		non- farm)		Total	One family	Two or more families	Total	FHA 3	VA		com- mit- ments ³	apprais- als
						Monthly	totals, ur	nadjusted				
1967:	Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	61.7 63.2 92.9 115.9 134.2 131.6	60. 4 62. 0 90. 7 114. 2 131. 9 129. 6	59. 1 61. 4 91. 5 113. 7 132. 0 125. 4	40. 1 40. 3 66. 6 79. 8 87. 3 87. 6	19. 0 21. 1 24. 9 33. 9 44. 7 37. 8	57.7 60.2 89.2 112.0 129.7 123.4	8.6 8.3 11.1 11.1 14.8 14.3	3.1 2.9 3.9 4.1 4.7 5.2	54. 8 53. 9 86. 4 93. 7 105. 1 109. 1	10. 1 10. 7 16. 6 14. 8 16. 0 16. 3	7.1 7.7 10.3 11.0 10.9 12.8
	July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	126. 1 130. 2 125. 8 137. 0 120. 0 83. 4	124. 9 126. 5 123. 4 134. 6 118. 3 82. 5	125. 3 127. 4 121. 9 135. 4 118. 2 80. 5	82.3 83.7 78.2 81.7 69.1 46.2	43. 0 43. 7 43. 7 53. 7 49. 1 34. 3	124. 0 123. 6 119. 5 133. 1 116. 5 79. 5	12.3 13.9 12.6 14.1 11.7 9.4	4.8 5.6 4.8 5.3 4.5 3.6	91. 8 104. 9 97. 6 105. 8 94. 5 83. 3	12.7 17.1 14.6 15.3 12.9 10.2	12.2 11.6 10.8 12.5 9.5 7.9
						rates						
1966:	Jan Feb Mar Apr May June			1,433 1,408 1,430 1,377 1,262 1,185	913 990 981 892 820 771	520 418 449 485 442 414	1,403 1,381 1,400 1,356 1,232 1,161	181 177 187 151 128 121	50 37 42 35 35 40	1,268 1,206 1,271 1,193 1,104 960	214 179 160 168 133 127	89 72 92 111 98 90
	July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec			1,079 1,108 1,048 845 975 931	725 719 694 597 686 633	354 389 354 248 289 298	1,061 1,088 1,020 824 956 910	117 113 96 94 107 105	37 31 33 34 36 37	930 852 740 718 719 761	124 119 151 122 135 203	99 106 104 119 103 104
1967:	Jan Feb Mar Apr May June			1,111 1,149 1,094 1,116 1,274 1,233	806 802 774 759 839 849	305 347 320 357 435 384	1,079 1,132 1,067 1,099 1,254 1,214	150 139 130 125 143 143	52 48 50 50 49 52	942 894 928 1,028 1,033 1,109	157 135 152 162 160 166	107 104 103 125 108 135
	July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec			1, 369 1, 407 1, 445 1, 496 1, 587 1, 256	862 875 923 913 951 788	507 532 522 583 636 468	1, 356 1, 381 1, 415 1, 478 1, 564 1, 241	139 139 147 152 154 149	52 55 55 57 54 56	1,093 1,127 1,159 1,212 1,158 1,362	150 176 178 181 194 168	145 124 129 155 136 126

[Thousands of units]

¹ Military housing starts, including those financed with mortgages insured by FHA under Section 803 of the National Housing Act, are included in publicly financed starts but excluded from total private starts and from FHA starts.
 ² Not available prior to 1959 except for nonfarm for 1929–44.
 ³ Units are for 1-4 family housing.
 ⁴ Data beginning 1963 cover approximately 12,000 permit-issuing places. Data for 1959–62 are based on reports from approximately 10,000 places. In 1963, the additional 2,000 permit-issuing places accounted for almost 50,000 new privately owned housing unit authorizations.
 ⁵ Units in mortgage applications or appraisal requests for new home construction.
 ⁶ FHA proved in June 1934 all 1934 activity included in 1935.
 ⁷ Monthly estimates for September 1945–May 1950 were prepared by Housing and Home Finance Agency.

Sources: Department of Commerce (Bureau of the Census), Department of Housing and Urban Development, Federal Housing Administration (FHA), and Veterans Administration (VA), except as noted.

TABLE B-42.-Sales and inventories in manufacturing and trade, 1947-67

Year or month	Total	manufac and trade	turing 1	Ма	nufacturi	ing	Merch	ant whole	esalers	R	etail trad	e
	Sales 1	I nven- tories ²	Ratio 3	Sales 1	Inven- tories ²	Ratio ³	Sales 1	I nven- tories ²	Ratio ³	Sales 1	I nven- tories ²	Ratio ³
1947 1948 1949	35, 260 33, 788	52, 507 49, 497	1, 4 2 1, 5 3	15, 513 17, 316 16, 126	25, 897 28, 543 26, 321	1, 58 1, 57 1, 75	6, 808 6, 514	7, 957 7, 706	1, 13 1, 19	10, 200 11, 135 11, 149	14, 241 16, 007 15, 470	1.26 1.39 1.41
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	38, 596 43, 356 44, 840 47, 987 46, 443	59, 822 70, 242 72, 377 76, 122 73, 175	1.36 1.55 1.58 1.58 1.60	18, 634 21, 714 22, 529 24, 843 23, 355	31, 078 39, 306 41, 136 43, 948 41, 612	1. 48 1. 66 1. 78 1. 76 1. 81	7, 695 8, 597 8, 782 9, 052 8, 993	9, 284 9, 886 10, 210 10, 686 10, 637	1. 07 1. 16 1, 12 1. 17 1. 18	12, 268 13, 046 13, 529 14, 091 14, 095	19, 460 21, 050 21, 031 21, 488 20, 926	1, 38 1, 64 1, 52 1, 53 1, 51
1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	51, 694 54, 063 55, 879 54, 233 59, 661	79, 516 87, 304 89, 052 86, 922 91, 891	1. 47 1. 55 1. 59 1. 60 1. 50	26, 480 27, 740 28, 736 27, 280 30, 219	45, 069 50, 642 51, 871 50, 070 52, 707	1.62 1.73 1.80 1.84 1.70	9, 893 10, 513 10, 475 10, 257 11, 491	11, 678 13, 260 12, 730 12, 739 13, 879	1. 13 1. 19 1. 23 1. 24 1. 15	15, 321 15, 811 16, 667 16, 696 17, 951	22, 769 23, 402 24, 451 24, 113 25, 305	1, 43 1, 47 1, 44 1, 43 1, 40
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 4	60, 746 61, 106 65, 594 68, 692 73, 459 79, 528 86, 323 88, 338	94, 747 95, 813 100, 627 105, 578 111, 051 120, 896 135, 549 139, 685	1. 56 1. 54 1. 50 1. 49 1. 47 1. 46 1. 48 1. 56	30, 796 30, 884 33, 308 34, 774 37, 129 40, 279 44, 037 45, 100	53, 814 55, 087 57, 753 60, 147 62, 944 68, 015 77, 897 82, 100	1.76 1.74 1.70 1.69 1.64 1.61 1.79	11, 656 11, 988 12, 674 13, 382 14, 527 15, 595 16, 979 17, 127	14, 120 14, 488 14, 936 16, 048 16, 977 18, 274 20, 691 21, 111	1. 22 1. 20 1. 16 1. 15 1. 13 1. 14 1. 14 1. 21	18, 294 18, 234 19, 613 20, 536 21, 802 23, 654 25, 306 26, 111	26, 813 26, 238 27, 938 29, 383 31, 130 34, 607 36, 961 36, 474	1, 45 1, 43 1, 38 1, 39 1, 40 1, 42 1, 39
		1	1	\$	۱S	easonall	y adjuste	ed	I	1		!
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	84, 727 84, 530 86, 991 85, 455 85, 426 86, 957	121, 570 122, 542 123, 630 124, 700 126, 179 127, 584	1. 43 1. 45 1. 42 1. 46 1. 48 1. 47	42, 665 42, 702 44, 121 43, 540 44, 071 44, 125	68, 594 69, 040 69, 648 70, 346 71, 103 71, 949	1.61 1.62 1.58 1.62 1.61 1.63	16, 981 16, 779 17, 334 16, 966 16, 880 17, 438	18, 231 18, 580 18, 881 19, 008 19, 149 19, 310	1.07 1.11 1.09 1.12 1.13 1.11	25, 081 25, 049 25, 536 24, 949 24, 475 25, 394	34, 745 34, 922 35, 101 35, 346 35, 927 36, 325	1.39 1.39 1.37 1.42 1.47 1.43
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	86, 678 86, 995 86, 775 87, 066 86, 699 87, 875	128, 714 130, 043 130, 839 132, 392 133, 856 135, 549	1. 48 1. 49 1. 51 1. 52 1. 54	44, 327 44, 206 44, 091 44, 487 44, 393 45, 511	72, 958 74, 110 74, 884 75, 788 76, 896 77, 897	1.65 1.68 1.70 1.70 1.71	16, 989 17, 217 16, 981 17, 029 16, 696 16, 996	19, 444 19, 742 19, 600 19, 924 20, 226 20, 691	1. 14 1. 15 1. 15 1. 17 1. 21 1. 22	25, 362 25, 572 25, 703 25, 550 25, 610 25, 368	36, 312 36, 191 36, 355 36, 680 36, 734 36, 961	1.43 1.42 1.41 1.44 1.43 1.46
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	87, 386 86, 299 87, 458 86, 833 87, 611 88, 549	136, 590 136, 780 137, 093 137, 351 137, 428 137, 076	1.56 1.58 1.57 1.58 1.57 1.55	44, 460 43, 932 44, 866 43, 943 44, 945 44, 888	78, 886 79, 394 79, 708 80, 330 80, 578 80, 390	1. 77 1. 81 1. 78 1. 83 1. 79 1. 79	17, 239 16, 897 16, 853 16, 972 16, 769 17, 117	20, 780 20, 742 20, 859 20, 785 20, 587 20, 599	1.21 1.23 1.24 1.22 1.23 1.20	25, 687 25, 470 25, 739 25, 918 25, 897 26, 544	36, 924 36, 644 36, 526 36, 236 36, 263 36, 087	1.44 1.44 1.42 1.40 1.40 1.36
July Aug Sept Oct Nov P Dec P	88, 991 89, 295 88, 785 87, 996 90, 777	137, 405 138, 187 138, 129 138, 643 139, 668	1.54 1.55 1.56 1.58 1.58	45, 402 45, 675 44, 723 44, 712 46, 848	80, 897 81, 370 81, 176 81, 481 82, 083	1.78 1.78 1.82 1.82 1.75	17, 145 17, 198 17, 330 17, 195 17, 462	20, 511 20, 789 20, 810 20, 945 21, 111	1. 20 1. 21 1. 20 1. 22 1. 21	26, 444 26, 422 26, 732 26, 089 26, 467 26, 343	35, 997 36, 028 36, 143 36, 217 36, 474	1.36 1.36 1.35 1.39 1.38

[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Monthly average for year and total for month.
 Seasonally adjusted, end of period.
 Inventory/sales ratio. For annual periods, ratio of weighted average inventories to average monthly sales; for monthly data, ratio of inventories at end of month to sales for month.
 Where December data not available, data for year calculated on basis of no change from November.

Note.---The inventory figures in this table do not agree with the estimates of change in business inventories included in the gross national product since these figures cover only manufacturing and trade rather than all business, and show inventories in terms of current book value without adjustment for revaluation.

Source: Department of Commerce (Office of Business Economics and Bureau of the Census).

TABLE B-43.-Manufacturers' shipments and inventories, 1947-67

(Millions of dollars)

	s	Shipment	51				in	ventories	2			
					Dura	ble good	ds indus	tries	Nond	urable go	oods indu	stries
Year or month	Total	Dura- ble goods indus- tries	Non- durable goods indus- tries	Total	Total	Mate- rials and sup- plies	Work in process	Fin- ished goods	Total	Mate- rials and sup- plies	Work in process	Fin- ished goods
1947 1948 1949	15, 513 17, 316 16, 126	6, 694 7, 579 7, 191	8, 819 9, 738 8, 935	25, 897 28, 543 26, 321	13, 061 14, 662 13, 060				12, 836 13, 881 13, 261			
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	18, 634 21, 714 22, 529 24, 843 23, 355	8, 845 10, 493 11, 313 13, 349 11, 828	9,789 11,221 11,216 11,494 11,527	31, 078 39, 306 41, 136 43, 948 41, 612	15, 539 20, 991 23, 731 25, 878 23, 710	8, 966 7, 894	10, 720 9, 721	6, 206 6, 040	15, 539 18, 315 17, 405 18, 070 17, 902	8, 317 8, 167	2, 472 2, 440	7, 409 7, 415
1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	26, 480 27, 740 28, 736 27, 280 30, 219	14, 071 14, 715 15, 237 13, 572 15, 544	12, 409 13, 025 13, 499 13, 708 14, 675	45, 069 50, 642 51, 871 50, 070 52, 707	26, 405 30, 447 31, 728 30, 095 31, 839	9, 194 10, 417 10, 608 9, 847 10, 585	10, 756 12, 317 12, 837 12, 294 12, 952	6, 348 7, 565 8, 125 7, 749 8, 143	18, 664 20, 195 20, 143 19, 975 20, 868	8, 556 8, 971 8, 775 8, 671 9, 089	2, 571 2, 721 2, 864 2, 800 2, 928	7, 666 8, 622 8, 624 8, 498 8, 857
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	30, 796 30, 884 33, 308 34, 774 37, 129	15, 817 15, 532 17, 184 18, 071 19, 231	14, 979 15, 352 16, 124 16, 704 17, 898	53, 814 55, 087 57, 753 60, 147 62, 944	32, 360 32, 646 34, 326 36, 028 38, 412	10, 286 10, 234 10, 571 10, 879 11, 688	12, 780 13, 225 14, 129 14, 857 15, 933	9, 190 9, 088 9, 593 10, 292 10, 791	21, 454 22, 441 23, 427 24, 119 24, 532	9, 113 9, 511 9, 770 9, 769 9, 619	2, 935 3, 120 3, 304 3, 479 3, 522	9, 353 9, 707 10, 246 10, 871 11, 391
1965 1966 1967 ³	40, 279 44, 037 45, 100	21, 020 23, 006 23, 000	19, 258 21, 032 22, 100	68, 015 77, 897 82, 100	42, 324 50, 037 53, 500	12, 943 14, 802 14, 800	18, 109 22, 263 24, 700	11, 272 12, 972 14, 000	25, 691 27, 860 28, 600	9, 964 10, 501 10, 500	3, 862 4, 333 4, 600	11, 865 13, 026 13, 500
					Se	easonally	adjusted	. <u></u>	·			
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	42, 665 42, 702 44, 121 43, 540 44, 071 44, 125	22, 307 22, 433 23, 238 22, 708 22, 915 22, 898	20, 358 20, 269 20, 883 20, 832 21, 156 21, 227	68, 594 69, 040 69, 648 70, 346 71, 103 71, 949	42, 589 42, 884 43, 273 43, 779 44, 275 45, 003	12, 951 13, 004 12, 988 13, 146 13, 298 13, 507	18, 285 18, 468 18, 807 19, 141 19, 302 19, 693	11, 353 11, 412 11, 478 11, 492 11, 675 11, 803	26, 005 26, 156 26, 375 26, 567 26, 828 26, 946	10, 028 10, 072 10, 153 10, 309 10, 439 10, 562	3, 876 3, 877 3, 893 3, 913 3, 991 4, 044	12, 101 12, 207 12, 329 12, 345 12, 398 12, 340
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	44, 327 44, 206 44, 091 44, 487 44, 393 45, 511	23, 031 22, 874 22, 971 23, 451 23, 237 23, 715	21, 296 21, 332 21, 120 21, 036 21, 156 21, 796	72, 958 74, 110 74, 884 75, 788 76, 896 77, 897	45, 790 46, 814 47, 568 48, 352 49, 310 50, 037	13, 653 13, 997 14, 309 14, 465 14, 599 14, 802	20, 235 20, 698 20, 949 21, 446 21, 934 22, 263	11, 902 12, 119 12, 310 12, 441 12, 777 12, 972	27, 168 27, 296 27, 316 27, 436 27, 586 27, 860	10, 506 10, 615 10, 579 10, 542 10, 571 10, 501	4, 062 4, 126 4, 169 4, 251 4, 253 4, 333	12, 600 12, 555 12, 568 12, 643 12, 762 13, 026
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	44, 460 43, 932 44, 866 43, 943 44, 945 44, 888	23, 060 22, 622 23, 137 22, 269 22, 900 23, 052	21, 400 21, 310 21, 729 21, 674 22, 045 21, 836	78, 886 79, 394 79, 708 80, 330 80, 578 80, 390	50, 620 51, 079 51, 216 51, 593 51, 784 51, 809	14, 880 14, 856 14, 748 14, 721 14, 576 14, 485	22, 643 22, 967 23, 140 23, 423 23, 592 23, 704	13, 097 13, 256 13, 328 13, 449 13, 616 13, 620	28, 266 28, 315 28, 492 28, 737 28, 794 28, 581	10, 609 10, 553 10, 637 10, 712 10, 767 10, 778	4, 349 4, 349 4, 355 4, 346 4, 366 4, 421	13, 308 13, 413 13, 500 13, 679 13, 661 13, 382
July Aug Sept Oct Nov P Dec P	45, 402 45, 675 44, 723 44, 712 46, 848	23, 192 23, 633 22, 949 22, 311 23, 654 25, 175	22, 210 22, 042 21, 774 22, 401 23, 194	80, 897 81, 370 81, 176 81, 481 82, 083	52, 346 52, 784 52, 572 52, 918 53, 505	14, 536 14, 668 14, 597 14, 718 14, 779	24, 139 24, 215 24, 143 24, 370 24, 719	13, 671 13, 901 13, 832 13, 830 14, 007	28, 551 28, 586 28, 604 28, 563 28, 578	10, 661 10, 729 10, 719 10, 586 10, 551	4, 362 4, 412 4, 429 4, 539 4, 553	13, 528 13, 445 13, 456 13, 438 13, 474

Monthly average for year and total for month.
 Book value, seasonally adjusted, end of period.
 Where December data not available, data for year calculated on basis of no change from November.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

TABLE B-44.-Manufacturers' new and unfilled orders, 1947-67

			[Amour	nts in mill	ions of dol	lars)				
		New o	rdersı		Un	filled orde	12 3	Unfill n	-ship-) 8	
Year or month		Durabl indu	e goods stries	Non- dura-		Dura-	Non- dura-		Dura-	Non- dura-
	Total	Total	Machin- ery and equip- ment	ble goods indus- tries	Total	goods indus- tries	ble goods indus- tries	Total	goods indus- tries	ble goods indus- tries
1947 1948 1949	15, 256 17, 692 15, 614	6, 388 8, 126 6, 633		8, 868 9, 566 8, 981	34, 415 30, 717 24, 506	28, 532 26, 601 20, 018	5,883 4,116 4,488			
1950 1951 1952 1953	20, 110 23, 907 23, 203 23, 533	10, 165 12, 841 12, 061 12, 105	2,084	9,945 11,066 11,142 11,428	43,055 69,785 75,649 61,178	36, 838 65, 835 72, 480 58, 637	6, 217 3, 950 3, 169 2, 541			
1954 1955 1956 1957 1958	27, 423 28, 383 27, 514 26, 901	14, 954 15, 381 14, 073 13, 170	2, 499 2, 870 2, 566 2, 354	12, 469 13, 002 13, 441 13, 731	40,200 60,004 67,375 53,183 48,882	45, 250 56, 241 63, 880 50, 352 45, 739	3, 763 3, 495 2, 831 3, 143	3. 63 3. 87 3. 35	4. 12 4. 27 4. 55 4. 00	1. 12 1. 04 . 85
1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	30, 679 30, 115 31, 061 33, 167 35, 036 37, 697	15, 951 15, 223 15, 664 17, 085 18, 300 19, 803	2, 878 2, 791 2, 854 3, 090 3, 326 3, 706	14, 728 14, 892 15, 397 16, 082 16, 736 17, 895	46, 133 48, 343 46, 784 49, 796 57, 044	50, 654 43, 401 45, 173 44, 094 46, 676 53, 958	3, 840 2, 732 3, 170 2, 690 3, 120 3, 086	2. 52 2. 44 2. 36 2. 45	3. 01 2. 94 2. 85 2. 96	. 76 . 65 . 66 . 61
1965 1966 1967 4	41, 023 45, 182 45, 300	21, 728 24, 153 23, 200	4, 140 4, 731 4, 700	19, 295 21, 029 22, 100	66, 068 79, 917 81, 800	62, 534 76, 415 78, 500	3, 534 3, 502 3, 300	2, 61 2, 95 2, 98	3. 16 3. 62 3. 68	. 64 . 58 . 54
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Seasonall	y adjusted	j	I	·	<u> </u>
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	43, 986 44, 129 45, 833 45, 064 45, 321 45, 833	23, 578 23, 741 24, 888 24, 197 24, 276 24, 593	4, 450 4, 584 4, 587 4, 788 4, 845 4, 753	20, 408 20, 388 20, 945 20, 867 21, 045 21, 240	67, 388 68, 814 70, 527 72, 049 73, 297 75, 009	63, 803 65, 110 66, 762 68, 250 69, 609 71, 308	3, 585 3, 704 3, 765 3, 799 3, 688 3, 701	2, 65 2, 71 2, 69 2, 78 2, 79 2, 86	3. 21 3. 28 3, 25 3. 37 3. 40 3. 50	0, 65 , 68 , 66 , 68 , 64 , 64
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	45, 625 44, 842 46, 318 45, 243 44, 052 45, 845	24, 371 23, 512 25, 274 24, 244 23, 027 23, 960	5, 092 4, 813 4, 906 4, 816 4, 647 4, 603	21, 254 21, 330 21, 044 20, 999 21, 025 21, 885	76, 310 76, 942 79, 170 79, 923 79, 581 79, 917	72, 651 73, 286 75, 591 76, 382 76, 170 76, 415	3, 659 3, 656 3, 579 3, 541 3, 411 3, 502	2, 83 2, 89 2, 97 3, 00 2, 99 2, 95	3, 49 3, 54 3, 64 3, 67 3, 67 3, 62	. 61 . 62 . 61 . 60 . 58 . 58
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	43, 408 43, 527 43, 700 43, 849 45, 738 46, 087	22, 072 22, 329 22, 065 22, 226 23, 857 24, 263	4, 545 4, 242 4, 315 4, 443 4, 607 4, 794	21, 336 21, 198 21, 635 21, 623 21, 881 21, 824	78, 863 78, 455 77, 290 77, 194 77, 988 79, 188	75, 427 75, 131 74, 060 74, 016 74, 973 76, 185	3, 436 3, 324 3, 230 3, 178 3, 015 3, 003	2, 95 2, 99 2, 90 3, 00 2, 95 3, 03	3. 64 3. 68 3, 58 3. 73 3. 69 3. 74	. 57 . 57 . 55 . 54 . 49 . 52

45, 977 45, 900 45, 274 45, 782 47, 088

.....

----Oct..... Nov^p..... Dec^p.....

July Aug Sept

23, 715 23, 726 23, 416 23, 381 23, 843 26, 111

4, 853 5, 058 4, 665 4, 614 4, 872 5, 133

¹ Monthly average for year and total for month.
² Seasonally adjusted, end of period.
³ Ratio of unfilled orders at end of period to shipments for period. Annual figures relate to seasonally adjusted data for December. 4 Where December data not available, data for year calculated un basis of no change from November.

79, 764 79, 985 80, 537 81, 610 81, 849

76, 710 76, 801 77, 268 78, 340 78, 526

3, 054 3, 184 3, 269 3, 270 3, 323

2. 98 2. 96 3. 07 3. 12 2. 98

3. 71 3. 63 3. 78 3. 88 3. 68

22, 262 22, 174 21, 858 22, 401 23, 245

. 50 . 54 . 57 . 55 . 54

- - - -

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

PRICES

TABLE B-45.—Consumer price indexes, by major groups, 1929-67

For city wage earners and clerical workers

[1957-59=100]

Year or month	All	Food	Hou	sing	Apparel	Trans-	Medical	Personal	Reading and	Other goods
	items		Total	Rent	upkeep	tion	care	care	recrea- tion	and services
1929	59.7	55, 6		85.4	55, 3					
1930	58. 2 53. 0 47. 6 45. 1 46. 6 47. 8 48. 3 50. 0 49. 1 48. 4	52, 9 43, 6 36, 3 35, 3 39, 3 42, 1 42, 5 44, 2 41, 0 39, 9	 56. 3 57. 1 59. 1 60. 1 59. 7	83. 1 78. 7 70. 6 60. 8 57. 0 56. 9 58. 3 60. 9 62. 9 63. 0	54. 1 49. 2 43. 6 42. 1 46. 1 46. 5 46. 9 49. 3 49. 0 48. 3	49, 4 49, 8 50, 6 51, 0 49, 8	49. 4 49. 6 50. 0 50. 2 50. 2	42. 6 43. 2 45. 7 46. 7 46. 5	50, 2 51, 0 52, 5 54, 3 54, 4	52, 7 52, 6 54, 0 54, 5 55, 4
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1946 1946 1947 1948 1948 1949	48. 8 51. 3 56. 8 60. 3 61. 3 62. 7 68. 0 77. 8 83. 8 83. 0	40, 5 44, 2 51, 9 57, 9 57, 1 58, 4 66, 9 81, 3 88, 2 84, 7	59. 9 61. 4 64. 2 64. 9 66. 4 67. 5 69. 3 74. 5 79. 8 81. 0	63. 2 64. 3 65. 7 65. 9 66. 1 66. 5 68. 7 73. 2 76. 4	48.8 51.1 59.6 62.2 66.7 70.1 76.9 89.2 95.0 91.3	49. 5 51. 2 55. 7 55. 5 55. 5 55. 4 58. 3 64. 3 71. 6 77. 0	50. 3 50. 6 52. 0 54. 5 56. 2 57. 5 60. 7 65. 7 69. 8 72. 0	46. 4 47. 6 52. 2 57. 6 61. 7 63. 6 68. 2 76. 2 79. 1 78. 9	55. 4 57. 3 60. 0 65. 0 72. 0 75. 0 77. 5 82. 5 86. 7 89. 9	57. 1 58. 2 59. 9 63. 0 64. 7 67. 3 69. 5 75. 4 78. 9 81. 2
1950	83. 8 90. 5 93. 2 93. 6 93. 3 94. 7 98. 0 100. 7 101. 5	85.8 95.4 95.6 95.6 95.4 94.0 94.7 97.8 101.9 100.3	83. 2 88. 2 89. 9 92. 3 93. 4 94. 1 95. 5 98. 5 100. 2 101. 3	79. 1 82. 3 85. 7 90. 3 93. 5 94. 8 96. 5 98. 3 100. 1 101. 6	90. 1 98. 2 97. 2 96. 5 96. 3 95. 9 97. 8 99. 5 99. 8 100. 6	79.0 84.0 89.6 92.1 90.8 89.7 91.3 96.5 99.7 103.8	73. 4 76. 9 81. 1 83. 9 86. 6 88. 6 91. 8 95. 5 100. 1 104. 4	78. 9 86. 3 87. 3 88. 1 88. 5 90. 0 93. 7 97. 1 100. 4 102. 4	89. 3 92. 0 92. 4 93. 3 92. 4 92. 1 93. 4 96. 9 100. 8 102. 4	82. 6 86. 1 90. 6 92. 8 94. 3 94. 3 95. 8 95. 8 98. 5 99. 8 101. 8
1960	103. 1 104. 2 105. 4 106. 7 108. 1 109. 9 113. 1 116. 3	101. 4 102. 6 103. 6 105. 1 106. 4 108. 8 114. 2 115. 2	103. 1 103. 9 104. 8 106. 0 107. 2 108. 5 111. 1 114. 3	103. 1 104. 4 105. 7 106. 8 107. 8 108. 9 110. 4 112. 4	102. 2 103. 0 103. 6 104. 8 105. 7 106. 8 109. 6 114. 0	103. 8 105. 0 107. 2 107. 8 109. 3 111. 1 112. 7 115. 9	108. 1 111. 3 114. 2 117. 0 119. 4 122. 3 127. 7 136. 7	104. 1 104. 6 106. 5 107. 9 109. 2 109. 9 112. 2 115. 5	104. 9 107. 2 109. 6 111. 5 114. 1 115. 2 117. 1 120. 1	103.8 104.6 105.3 107.1 108.8 111.4 114.9 118.2
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	111.0 111.6 112.0 112.5 112.6 112.9	111.4 113.1 113.9 114.0 113.5 113.9	109.2 109.4 109.6 110.3 110.7 111.1	109.7 109.8 109.9 110.1 110.2 110.2	107.3 107.6 108.2 108.7 109.3 109.4	111. 2 111. 1 111. 4 112. 0 112. 0 112. 2	124. 2 124. 5 125. 3 125. 8 126. 3 127. 0	110. 4 110. 8 111. 0 111. 6 112. 0 112. 2	115.7 115.9 116.6 116.8 116.8 116.8 117.0	113. 4 113. 6 113. 8 114. 3 114. 7 114. 9
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	113.3 113.8 114.1 114.5 114.6 114.7	114.3 115.8 115.6 115.6 114.8 114.8	111.3 111.5 111.8 112.2 112.6 113.0	110.3 110.6 110.7 111.0 111.2 111.3	109. 2 109. 2 110. 7 111. 5 112. 0 112. 3	113.5 113.5 113.3 114.3 114.5 113.8	127.7 128.4 129.4 130.4 131.3 131.9	112.5 112.7 113.0 113.3 113.4 113.7	117. 2 117. 4 117. 5 118. 0 118. 3 118. 4	115.3 115.5 115.7 115.9 116.0 115.9
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	114.7 114.8 115.0 115.3 115.6 116.0	114.7 114.2 114.2 113.7 113.9 115.1	113. 1 113. 3 113. 3 113. 6 113. 9 114. 1	111. 4 111. 7 111. 8 111. 9 112. 1 112. 2	111. 3 111. 9 112. 6 113. 0 113. 8 113. 9	113. 4 113. 8 114. 2 115. 1 115. 5 115. 7	132. 9 133. 6 134. 6 135. 1 135. 7 136. 3	113. 8 114. 1 114. 4 114. 9 115. 0 115. 3	118.5 118.6 118.9 119.4 119.6 119.7	116. 2 116. 3 116. 4 116. 6 116. 7 116. 9
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	116.5 116.9 117.1 117.5 117.8 118.2	116.0 116.6 115.9 115.7 115.6 116.2	114.3 114.7 115.0 115.3 115.5 116.0	112. 4 112. 6 112. 8 113. 0 113. 2 113. 5	113.7 113.8 115.1 116.0 116.6 116.8	116.2 116.4 116.8 117.7 118.3 117.9	136. 9 137. 5 138. 5 139. 0 139. 7 140. 4	115.5 116.1 116.4 116.5 116.9 117.2	119.8 120.0 120.5 121.4 122.0 122.2	117. 8 118. 8 119. 7 120. 3 121. 0 121. 4

Source: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

TABLE B-46.—Consumer price indexes, by special groups, 1935-67

For city wage earners and clerical workers

[1957-59=100]

					C	ommoditi	ies			Services	
Year or month	All	All items	All items less	All		Commo	dities le	ss food			All
	items	food	shel- ter	com- modi- ties	Food	All	Dura- ble	Non- dura- bie	All services	Rent	ices less rent
1935	47. 8	52, 5	46. 1	45. 0	42. 1	50, 2	47. 1	48. 8	52. 2	56. 9	49. 3
1936	48. 3	53, 0	46. 7	45. 6	42. 5	50, 8	47. 8	49. 2	52. 8	58. 3	49. 0
1937	50. 0	54, 9	48. 2	47. 4	44. 2	53, 0	50. 8	51. 2	54. 4	60. 9	49. 5
1938	49. 1	55, 5	46. 8	45. 6	41. 0	53, 0	51. 7	50. 9	55. 4	62. 9	49. 9
1939	48. 4	55, 1	46. 0	44. 7	39. 9	52, 1	50. 6	50. 1	55. 5	63. 0	49. 9
1940	48, 8	55. 3	46. 3	45. 1	40, 5	52. 4	50. 2	50. 6	55.7	63. 2	50, 0
1941	51, 3	56. 9	49. 1	48. 2	44, 2	55. 0	53. 6	52. 8	56.4	64. 3	50, 6
1942	56, 8	60. 9	55. 3	55. 2	51, 9	61. 2	60. 9	58. 4	58.2	65. 7	52, 8
1943	60, 3	62. 6	59. 5	60. 1	57, 9	63. 8	62. 9	60. 9	59.3	65. 7	55, 2
1944	61, 3	65. 0	60. 5	60. 8	57, 1	67. 3	68. 7	64. 0	60.7	65. 9	57, 9
1945	62, 7	66. 5	62. 1	62. 6	58, 4	70. 0	73. 9	66. 3	61.5	66. 1	59, 1
1946	68, 0	69. 4	68. 4	69. 4	66, 9	74. 4	77. 3	71. 1	62.7	66. 5	61, 2
1947	77, 8	75. 8	79. 4	83. 4	81, 3	83. 9	83. 8	81. 7	65.3	68. 7	64, 3
1948	83, 8	81. 3	85. 6	89. 4	88, 2	90. 3	89. 9	88. 0	69.4	73. 2	68, 0
1949	83, 0	82. 1	84. 1	87. 1	84, 7	89. 0	91. 2	86. 3	72.6	76. 4	71, 4
1950	83. 8 90. 5 92. 5 93. 2 93. 6 93. 3 94. 7 98. 0 100. 7 101. 5	83. 1 88. 4 90. 5 92. 3 92. 8 93. 1 94. 7 97. 9 100. 1 102. 0	84. 7 91. 8 93. 6 93. 9 93. 9 93. 4 94. 7 97. 8 100. 7 101. 5	87.6 95.5 96.7 95.5 94.6 95.5 98.5 100.8 100.9	85.8 95.4 97.1 95.6 95.4 94.0 94.7 97.8 101.9 100.3	88. 9 95. 6 96. 4 95. 6 94. 9 95. 9 95. 9 98. 8 99. 9 101. 2	92. 2 99. 2 100. 5 99. 8 97. 3 95. 4 95. 4 98. 5 100. 0 101. 5	86. 2 92. 7 93. 2 94. 0 94. 4 94. 4 96. 5 99. 1 99. 8 101. 0	75.0 78.9 82.4 86.0 88.7 90.5 92.8 96.6 100.3 103.2	79. 1 82. 3 85. 7 90. 3 93. 5 94. 8 96. 5 98. 3 100. 1 101. 6	73. 4 77. 8 81. 5 84. 9 87. 4 91. 9 96. 1 100. 2 103. 6
1960	103. 1	103.7	103. 0	101. 7	101. 4	101. 7	100. 9	102. 6	106.6	103. 1	107. 4
	104. 2	104.8	104. 2	102. 3	102. 6	102. 0	100. 8	103. 2	108.8	104. 4	110. 0
	105. 4	106.1	105. 4	103. 2	103. 6	102. 8	101. 8	103. 8	110.9	105. 7	112. 1
	106. 7	107.4	106. 7	104. 1	105. 1	103. 5	102. 1	104. 8	113.0	106. 8	114. 5
	108. 1	108.9	108. 0	105. 2	106. 4	104. 4	103. 0	105. 7	115.2	107. 8	117. 0
	109. 9	110.4	109. 6	106. 4	108. 8	105. 1	102. 6	107. 2	117.8	108. 9	120. 0
	113. 1	113.0	112. 9	109. 2	114. 2	106. 5	102. 7	109. 7	122.3	110. 4	125. 0
	116. 3	116.8	115. 9	111. 2	115. 2	109. 2	104. 3	113. 1	127.7	112. 4	131. 1
1966: Jan	111.0	111. 1	110. 8	107. 4	111. 4	105.3	101.9	108, 0	119.5	109.7	121.8
Feb	111.6	111. 3	111. 4	108. 0	113. 1	105.4	101.8	108, 3	119.7	109.8	122.0
Mar	112.0	111. 6	111. 9	108. 4	113. 9	105.6	102.0	108, 6	120.1	109.9	122.5
Apr	112.5	112. 2	112. 4	108. 8	114. 0	106.0	102.3	109, 0	121.1	110.1	123.6
May	112.6	112. 5	112. 4	108. 8	113. 5	106.3	102.5	109, 3	121.5	110.2	124.1
June	112.9	112. 8	112. 6	109. 0	113. 9	106.4	102.6	109, 5	122.0	110.2	124.8
July	113. 3	113. 2	113. 1	109.3	114.3	106.7	103. 0	109.7	122.6	110.3	125.5
Aug	113. 8	113. 4	113. 6	109.8	115.8	106.6	103. 0	109.6	123.0	110.6	125.9
Sept	114. 1	113. 8	113. 9	110.0	115.6	107.0	102. 7	110.5	123.5	110.7	126.5
Oct	114. 5	114. 4	114. 3	110.3	115.6	107.6	103. 5	110.9	124.1	111.0	127.1
Nov	114. 6	114. 8	114. 4	110.2	114.8	107.8	103. 5	111.3	124.7	111.2	127.7
Dec	114. 7	114. 9	114. 3	110.1	114.8	107.7	103. 1	111.4	125.2	111.3	128.3
1967: Jan	114.7	114. 8	114.2	109.9	114.7	107.3	102.7	111.0	125. 5	111. 4	128. 8
Feb	114.8	115. 2	114.3	109.9	114.2	107.6	102.8	111.5	125. 9	111. 7	129. 2
Mar	115.0	115. 4	114.6	110.0	114.2	107.8	102.9	111.8	126. 3	111. 8	129. 5
Apr	115.3	115. 9	114.8	110.2	113.7	108.4	103.4	112.4	126. 6	111. 9	130. 0
May	115.6	116. 3	115.1	110.5	113.9	108.7	103.9	112.7	127. 0	112. 1	130. 4
June	116.0	116. 5	115.6	111.0	115.1	108.9	104.1	112.7	127. 4	112. 2	130. 8
July	116. 5	116. 8	116. 1	111.5	116. 0	109.1	104. 4	112. 8	127.7	112.4	131. 2
Aug	116. 9	117. 1	116. 5	111.9	116. 6	109.4	104. 7	113. 2	128.2	112.6	131. 7
Sept	117. 1	117. 7	116. 7	112.0	115. 9	110.0	104. 8	114. 1	128.7	112.8	132. 3
Oct	117. 5	118. 2	117. 1	112.4	115. 7	110.6	105. 7	114. 5	129.1	113.0	132. 7
Nov	117. 8	118. 7	117. 5	112.6	115. 6	111.1	106. 0	115. 2	129.6	113.2	133. 2
Dec	118. 2	118. 9	117. 7	112.9	116. 2	111.1	106. 1	115. 2	130.1	113.5	133. 8

Source: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

TABLE B-47.-Consumer price indexes, selected commodities and services, 1935-67

For city wage earners and clerical workers

[1957-59=100]

		Durab	le comn	nodities		Nond it	urable co ies less f	mmod- ood		Servi	ces less	rent	
Year or month	Total	New cars	Used cars	House- hold dura- bles	House fur- nish- ings	Total	Apparel com- mod- ities	Non- dura- bles less food and apparel	Total	House- hold serv- ices less rent	Trans- porta- tion serv- ices	Med- ical care serv- ices	Other 1
1935 1936 1937 1938 1939	47. 1 47. 8 50. 8 51. 7 50. 6	40. 3 40. 6 41. 4 43. 4 42. 4		51. 2 52. 1 56. 7 56. 7 55. 6	48. 0 48. 8 52. 8 52. 4 51. 3	48.8 49.2 51.2 50.9 50.1	46. 7 47. 2 49. 8 49. 4 48. 6	51. 4 51. 9 53. 2 53. 1 52. 4	49.3 49.0 49.5 49.9 49.9		46. 6 46. 2 45. 9 46. 2 46. 4	46.3 46.5 47.1 47.2 47.3	
1940 1941 1942 1943 1945 1946 1947 1947 1947 1949	50, 2 53, 6 60, 9 62, 9 68, 7 73, 9 77, 3 83, 8 89, 9 91, 2	42. 5 45. 7 		54. 9 58. 7 65. 7 68. 2 74. 6 80. 3 84. 9 93. 9 99. 9 97. 2	50. 9 54. 4 61. 9 63. 6 69. 1 73. 9 80. 6 93. 4 99. 1 95. 7	50. 6 52. 8 58. 4 60. 9 64. 0 66. 3 71. 1 81. 7 88. 0 86. 3	49. 2 51. 7 60. 4 63. 2 67. 6 71. 2 78. 5 90. 9 96. 5 92. 7	52. 9 54. 7 57. 8 60. 2 61. 9 63. 1 65. 8 74. 9 81. 8 81. 9	50. 0 50. 6 52. 8 55. 2 57. 9 59. 1 61. 2 64. 3 68. 0 71. 4		46. 3 46. 6 49. 1 49. 1 49. 0 49. 1 50. 1 51. 7 57. 7 64. 2	47.3 47.6 49.0 51.6 53.7 55.2 58.4 63.3 67.6 70.1	
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1956 1957 1958 1959	92. 2 99. 2 100. 5 99. 8 97. 3 95. 4 95. 4 98. 5 100. 0 101. 5	81. 8 85. 7 93. 1 94. 0 92. 5 89. 2 91. 7 96. 5 99. 6 103. 9	108.4 92.2 87.2 83.9 94.0 97.4 108.8	98.4 107.8 105.0 103.8 101.0 98.3 97.9 99.6 100.3 100.2	96. 3 106. 8 104. 2 103. 7 101. 9 100. 0 98. 9 100. 5 99. 8 99. 8	86. 2 92. 7 93. 2 94. 0 94. 4 96. 5 99. 1 99. 8 101. 0	91. 6 100. 2 99. 1 98. 0 97. 5 97. 0 98. 6 99. 7 99. 7 100. 6	82.5 87.6 89.3 91.6 92.5 92.8 95.1 98.8 99.9 101.3	73. 4 77. 8 81. 5 84. 9 87. 4 89. 4 91. 9 96. 1 100. 2 103. 6	90. 4 95. 7 100. 8 103. 6	68.4 74.8 80.1 85.2 88.9 89.1 90.5 94.8 100.8 104.3	71.7 75.3 80.1 83.0 85.5 88.0 91.4 95.3 100.0 104.8	93. 5 97. 2 100. 2 102. 6
1960	100, 9	102.5	101.6	100, 1	100, 1	102.6	102. 0	102. 8	107.4	108. 0	107.0	109. 1	106.2
1961	100, 8	102.5	105.6	98, 9	99, 5	103.2	102. 6	103. 3	110.0	109. 2	109.5	113. 1	109.7
1962	101, 8	102.1	115.2	98, 8	98, 9	103.8	103. 0	104. 2	112.1	110. 6	111.2	116. 8	112.6
1963	102, 1	101.5	116.6	98, 5	98, 5	104.8	104. 0	105. 3	114.5	113. 0	112.4	120. 3	115.3
1964	103, 0	101.2	121.6	98, 4	98, 4	105.7	104. 9	106. 2	117.0	114. 8	115.0	123. 2	118.5
1965	102, 6	99.0	120.8	96, 9	97, 9	107.2	105. 8	108. 0	120.0	117. 0	119.3	127. 1	121.8
1966	102, 7	97.2	117.8	96, 8	98, 8	109.7	108. 5	110. 3	125.0	121. 5	124.3	133. 9	126.5
1967	104, 3	98.1	121.5	98, 2	100, 8	113.1	113. 0	113. 1	131.1	127. 0	128.4	145. 6	131.5
1966: Jan	101. 9	97. 4	114. 8	96. 1	97.6	108.0	106. 2	109. 1	121. 8	117. 9	122.5	129. 5	123. 8
Feb	101. 8	97. 2	114. 0	96. 1	97.8	108.3	106. 5	109. 3	122. 0	118. 1	122.6	129. 9	124. 1
Mar	102. 0	97. 1	115. 4	96. 2	98.0	108.6	107. 1	109. 4	122. 5	118. 5	122.6	130. 8	125. 0
Apr	102. 3	97. 4	117. 4	96. 4	98.3	109.0	107. 6	109. 8	123. 6	120. 2	123.0	131. 4	125. 5
May	102. 5	97. 0	117. 5	96. 7	98.5	109.3	108. 3	110. 0	124. 1	120. 9	123.0	132. 1	125. 9
June	102. 6	96. 8	118. 2	96. 7	98.6	109.5	108. 3	110. 1	124. 8	121. 7	123.2	133. 0	126. 4
July	103. 0	96. 7	120. 3	96. 9	98. 8	109.7	108.1	110.6	125. 5	122. 1	125. 0	133. 9	126.7
Aug	103. 0	95. 8	122. 1	97. 0	98. 9	109.6	107.9	110.5	125. 9	122. 4	125. 3	134. 7	127.1
Sept	102. 7	94. 4	120. 1	97. 3	99. 3	110.5	109.7	111.0	126. 5	123. 0	125. 5	136. 2	127.5
Oct	103. 5	98. 4	120. 8	97. 4	99. 5	110.9	110.4	111.2	127. 1	123. 5	125. 9	137. 4	128.2
Nov	103. 5	99. 3	119. 3	97. 6	99. 9	111.3	110.9	111.5	127. 7	124. 2	126. 1	138. 6	128.5
Dec	103. 1	98. 6	114. 2	97. 7	100. 0	111.4	111.2	111.6	128. 3	124. 9	126. 5	139. 4	128.9
1967: Jan	102. 7	97.6	113.0	97.6	99.7	111.0	110.1	111.6	128. 8	125. 1	126. 9	140. 6	129. 1
Feb	102. 8	97.3	114.0	97.7	100.0	111.5	110.7	111.9	129. 2	125. 5	127. 2	141. 6	129. 4
Mar	102. 9	97.2	115.9	97.8	100.3	111.8	111.5	112.0	129. 5	125. 6	127. 4	142. 9	129. 7
Apr	103. 4	97.0	118.8	98.0	100.6	112.4	111.9	112.7	130. 0	126. 0	127. 6	143. 6	130. 3
May	103. 9	96.9	121.4	98.1	100.6	112.7	112.7	112.6	130. 4	126. 5	127. 7	144. 4	130. 8
June	104. 1	96.8	122.4	98.0	100.7	112.7	112.8	112.7	130. 8	126. 7	128. 1	145. 2	131. 3
July	104. 4	97.0	124. 8	98. 1	100. 8	112. 8	112.6	113.0	131. 2	127. 0	128. 3	146. 0	131.6
Aug	104. 7	96.9	125. 2	98. 2	100. 8	113. 2	112.7	113.4	131. 7	127. 5	128. 8	146. 7	131.9
Sept	104. 8	96.1	126. 2	98. 4	101. 2	114. 1	114.1	114.1	132. 3	128. 1	128. 9	148. 0	132.4
Oct	105. 7	101.1	126. 0	98. 7	101. 5	114. 5	115.1	114.2	132. 7	128. 4	129. 2	148. 7	133.1
Nov	106. 0	101.4	125. 6	98. 8	101. 8	115. 2	115.7	114.8	133. 2	128. 6	130. 0	149. 6	133.9
Dec	106. 1	101.3	124. 8	99. 1	102. 1	115. 2	115.9	114.7	133. 8	129. 1	130. 4	150. 4	134.3

¹ Includes the services components of apparel, personal care, reading and recreation, and other goods and services. Source: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

[1957-59=100]

					Indus	trial commo	dities	
Year or month	All com- modities	Farm products	Processed foods and feeds	Totai	Textile products and apparel	Hides, skins, leather, and related products	Fuels and related products, and power	Chemicals and allied products
1929	52.1	63.9		51.7	67.8	56.6	61.5	
1930	47.3 39.9 35.6 36.1 41.0 43.8 44.2 47.2 43.0 42.2	54. 0 39. 6 29. 4 31. 3 39. 9 48. 0 49. 4 52. 7 41. 9 39. 9		48. 1 42. 4 39. 7 40. 2 44. 2 44. 0 44. 9 48. 1 46. 1 46. 0	60. 3 49. 8 41. 2 48. 6 54. 7 53. 3 53. 7 57. 3 50. 1 52. 3	52.0 44.7 38.0 42.0 44.9 46.5 49.5 54.3 48.2 49.6	58. 2 50. 0 52. 1 49. 3 54. 3 54. 5 56. 5 57. 5 56. 6 54. 2	46. 6 48. 8 50. 9 51. 2 53. 6 51. 0 50. 7
1940	43. 0 47. 8 54. 0 56. 5 57. 9 66. 1 81. 2 87. 9 83. 5	41. 3 50. 1 64. 6 74. 8 75. 3 90. 6 109. 1 117. 1 101. 3	92. 6 99. 1 90. 0	46. 8 50. 3 53. 9 55. 6 56. 3 61. 7 75. 3 81. 7 80. 0	55. 4 63. 7 72. 8 73. 1 73. 9 75. 1 87. 3 105. 7 110. 3 100. 9	52. 3 56. 1 61. 1 60. 5 61. 3 70. 7 96. 5 97. 5 92. 5	53. 2 56. 6 58. 2 59. 9 61. 6 62. 3 66. 7 79. 7 93. 8 89. 3	51, 6 56, 1 62, 3 63, 1 63, 8 64, 2 69, 4 92, 2 94, 4 86, 2
1950	86. 8 96. 7 94. 0 92. 7 92. 9 93. 2 96. 2 99. 0 100. 4 100. 6	106. 4 123. 8 116. 8 105. 9 104. 4 97. 9 96. 6 99. 2 103. 6 97. 2	93. 2 103. 5 102. 3 97. 6 99. 3 95. 0 94. 8 97. 6 102. 5 99. 9	82. 9 91. 5 89. 4 90. 1 90. 4 96. 5 99. 2 99. 5 101. 3	104. 8 116. 9 105. 5 102. 8 100. 6 100. 7 100. 7 100. 8 98. 9 100. 4	99. 9 114. 8 92. 8 94. 1 89. 9 89. 5 94. 8 94. 9 96. 0 109. 1	90. 2 93. 5 93. 3 95. 9 94. 6 94. 5 97. 4 102. 7 98. 7 98. 7	87.5 100.1 95.0 96.1 97.3 96.9 97.5 99.6 100.4 100.0
1960	100. 7 100. 3 100. 6 100. 3 100. 5 102. 5 105. 9 106. 1	96. 9 96. 0 97. 7 95. 7 94. 3 98. 4 105. 6 99. 7	100. 0 101. 6 102. 7 103. 3 103. 1 106. 7 113. 0 111. 7	101. 3 100. 8 100. 8 100. 7 101. 2 102. 5 104. 7 106. 3	101. 5 99. 7 100. 6 100. 5 101. 2 101. 8 102. 1 102. 1	105. 2 106. 2 107. 4 104. 2 104. 6 109. 2 119. 7 115. 8	99.6 100.7 100.2 99.8 97.1 98.9 101.3 103.6	100. 2 99. 1 97. 5 96. 3 96. 7 97. 4 97. 8 98. 4
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	104. 6 105. 4 105. 4 105. 5 105. 6 105. 7	104. 5 107. 4 106. 8 106. 4 104. 5 104. 2	111, 5 113, 0 112, 2 111, 5 111, 8 112, 0	103. 5 103. 8 104. 0 104. 3 104. 7 104. 9	101. 9 102. 0 102. 1 102. 2 102. 2 102. 2 102. 2	116. 0 117. 8 118. 7 120. 6 122. 8 122. 9	100. 5 100. 3 99. 9 100. 0 100. 4 101. 5	97. 6 97. 6 97. 6 97. 6 97. 6 97. 7 97. 6
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	106. 4 106. 8 106. 8 106. 2 105. 9 105. 9	107. 8 108. 1 108. 7 104. 4 102. 5 101. 8	113. 8 115. 7 115. 5 113. 9 112. 6 112. 8	105. 2 105. 2 105. 2 105. 3 105. 5 105. 5	102. 4 102. 4 102. 2 102. 2 102. 1 102. 1 101. 8	122. 7 121. 2 119. 9 118. 7 117. 5 117. 3	101. 4 102. 0 102. 2 102. 6 102. 7 102. 4	97. 9 97. 9 98. 0 97. 9 98. 0 98. 2
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	- 106.2 - 106.0 - 105.7 - 105.3 - 105.8 - 106.3	102. 6 101. 0 99. 6 97. 6 100. 7 102. 4	112. 8 111. 7 110. 6 110. 0 110. 7 112. 6	105.8 106.0 106.0 106.0 106.0 106.0	102. 0 102. 0 101. 8 101. 8 101. 6 101. 6	117. 9 118. 0 116. 9 115. 7 115. 2 115. 6	102. 6 103. 4 103. 7 103. 3 104. 4 104. 0	98. 4 98. 5 98. 5 98. 8 98. 8 98. 8 98. 5
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	- 106. 5 - 106. 1 - 106. 2 - 106. 1 - 106. 2 - 106. 8	102. 8 99. 2 98. 4 97. 1 96. 4 98. 9	113. 1 112. 1 112. 7 111. 7 110. 9 111. 5	106. 0 106. 3 106. 5 106. 8 107. 1 107. 4	101. 5 101. 7 102. 0 102. 2 103. 0 103. 8	115. 2 114. 4 114. 4 114. 8 115. 4 116. 0	103. 9 104. 7 104. 5 103. 0 102. 8 102. 6	98. 3 98. 0 97. 9 98. 2 98. 2 98. 4

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE B-48.—Wholesale price indexes, by major commodity groups, 1929–67.—Continued [1957-59=100]

T

				nuustriai co	ommournes-	-conunse	u 		
Year or month	Rubber and rubber products	Lumber and wood products	Pulp, paper, and allied products	Metals and metal products	Machin- ery and equip- ment	Furni- ture and house- hold durables	Nonme- tallic mineral products	Trans- portation equip- ment: Motor vehicles and equip- ment ¹	Miscel- laneous products
1929	57.6	26.4		44.1		56.4	53, 4	42.8	
1930	50. 4 42. 8 37. 1 39. 0 45. 5 45. 8 49. 4 58. 1 57. 1 59. 3	24. 1 19. 6 16 9 20. 0 23. 5 22. 6 23. 6 27. 9 25. 4 26. 1		39.7 35.7 32.8 33.6 37.1 37.0 37.8 43.2 41.6 41.2	46. 2	55, 5 51, 1 45, 0 45, 1 49, 0 48, 6 49, 3 54, 7 53, 4 53, 2	53. 2 49. 7 46. 5 52. 6 52. 6 52. 7 53. 9 52. 2 51. 2	40, 3 38, 3 37, 3 35, 6 37, 5 36, 0 35, 7 38, 2 40, 8 40, 0	
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1946 1947 1948 1948	55. 3 59. 6 69. 4 71. 3 70. 4 68. 3 68. 6 68. 3 70. 5 68. 3	28, 9 34, 5 37, 5 39, 7 42, 8 43, 4 49, 7 77, 4 88, 5 81, 9	75. 3 78. 6 75. 2	41 4 42, 2 42, 8 42, 7 42, 7 43, 4 48, 5 60, 2 68, 5 69, 0	46. 3 47. 1 47. 8 47. 4 47. 4 47. 2 51. 9 60. 0 65. 1 68. 2	54, 4 57, 8 62, 5 62, 1 63, 8 63, 9 67, 8 77, 8 82, 5 83, 8	51. 2 52. 4 54. 5 55. 8 58. 1 61. 8 69. 1 74. 7 76. 7	41. 3 44. 2 48. 2 48. 2 48. 5 49. 4 57. 2 65. 5 72. 4 77. 4	80, 3 83, 6 85, 2
1950	83. 2 102. 1 92. 5 86. 3 87. 6 99. 2 100. 6 100. 2 100. 1 99. 7	94, 1 102, 5 99, 5 99, 4 97, 6 102, 3 103, 8 98, 5 97, 4 104, 1	77. 1 91. 3 89. 0 88. 7 88. 8 91. 1 97. 2 99. 0 100. 1 101. 0	72. 7 80. 9 81. 0 83. 6 84. 3 90. 0 97. 8 99. 7 99. 1 101. 2	70. 5 78. 8 78. 9 80. 7 82. 1 84. 6 91. 5 97. 9 100. 0 102. 1	85.6 92.8 91.1 93.9 93.9 94.3 96.9 99.4 100.2 100.4	78.6 83.5 83.5 88.8 91.3 95.2 98.9 99.9 101.2	77.0 81.1 85.8 85.4 85.6 88.2 93.2 97.2 100.3 102.5	86. 6 91. 7 93. 6 94. 4 94. 5 95. 8 98. 6 100. 6 100. 8
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967	99. 9 96. 1 93. 3 93. 8 92. 5 92. 9 94. 8 97. 0	100. 4 95. 9 96. 5 98. 6 100. 6 101. 1 105. 6 105. 4	101. 8 98. 8 100. 0 99. 2 99. 0 99. 9 102. 6 104. 0	101. 3 100. 7 100. 0 100. 1 102. 8 105. 7 108. 3 109. 5	102.9 102.8 102.9 103.1 103.8 105.0 108.2 111.8	100. 1 99. 5 98. 8 98. 1 98. 5 98. 0 99. 1 101. 0	101. 4 101. 8 101. 8 101. 3 101. 5 101. 7 102. 6 104. 3	101. 0 100. 8 100. 8 100. 0 100. 5 100. 7 100. 8 102. 1	101. 7 102. 0 102. 4 103. 3 104. 1 104. 8 106. 8 109. 2
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	93. 7 94. 1 94. 3 95. 4 95. 4 95. 4	102. 8 103. 7 105. 6 108. 4 109. 6 107. 7	101. 2 101. 3 101. 8 102. 3 102. 7 103. 0	107. 0 107. 5 108. 0 108. 2 108. 4 108. 7	106. 0 106. 5 106. 9 107. 2 107. 8 108. 1	98. 3 98. 4 98. 4 98. 6 98. 9 98. 9	102. 0 102. 1 102. 1 102. 3 102. 4 102. 5	100, 5 100, 4 100, 3 100, 2 100, 9 100, 7	105. 4 105. 4 106. 5 106. 7 106. 8 106. 9
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	95. 1 95. 1 94. 7 94. 6 95. 0 95. 0	106. 6 106. 2 105. 9 104. 8 103. 0 102. 5	103. 2 103. 2 103. 1 103. 1 103. 0 103. 0	108. 8 108. 5 108. 4 108. 6 109. 0 109. 0	108. 3 108. 5 108. 9 109. 4 110. 2 110. 7	99.0 99.1 99.2 99.7 100.3 100.4	102. 7 102. 7 103. 0 103. 2 103. 3 103. 3	100. 7 100. 5 100. 1 101. 7 101. 7 101. 7	107. 1 107. 1 107. 1 107. 2 107. 4 107. 5
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	95, 6 95, 8 95, 9 95, 9 95, 8 95, 8	102. 6 103. 6 103. 6 104. 1 104. 2 104. 7	103. 1 103. 3 103. 6 103. 9 103. 9 103. 9	109. 4 109. 6 109. 4 109. 1 108. 9 108. 9	111.1 111.2 111.5 111.6 111.6 111.6	100. 4 100. 4 100. 6 100. 6 100. 8 100. 8	103. 6 103. 7 103. 8 103. 9 103. 8 103. 9	101. 6 101. 6 101. 6 101. 6 101. 6 101. 6 101. 4	107. 9 108. 0 107. 7 108. 0 108. 0 109. 6
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	95. 8 97. 8 98. 2 98. 8 99. 1 99. 2	105. 3 106. 1 108. 7 107. 3 106. 7 107. 6	104. 1 104. 0 104. 1 104. 3 104. 6 104. 8	109.0 109.2 109.6 109.8 110.5 111.0	111.6 111.8 111.9 112.2 112.6 113.2	100. 9 101. 0 101. 2 101. 7 102. 0 102. 1	104. 2 104. 5 104. 7 104. 9 105. 1 105. 3	101.3 101.3 101.5 103.7 104.0 104.0	109.7 110.0 110.2 110.5 110.6 110.7

Industrial commodities—Continued

¹ Index for total transportation equipment not available.

Source: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

TABLE B-49.-Wholesale price indexes, by stage of processing, 1947-67

11907-09 = 100	- 11	957	-59	=1	100
----------------	------	-----	-----	----	-----

<u> </u>				<u> </u>		Inte	rmediate	materia	ls, suppli	ies, and c	omponei	nts 1
	ΔU		Crude m	aterials			M	aterials ma	and comp nufactur	onents f ing	Or	Mate-
Year or month	com- modi- ties	Total	Food- stuffs and feed- stuffs	Non- food mate- rials, except fuel	Fuel	Total	Total	Mate- rials for food manu- factur- ing	Mate- rials for non- durable manu- factur- ing	Mate- rials for durable manu- factur- ing	Com- ponents for manu- factur- ing	rials and com- ponents for con- struc- tion
1947	81. 2	100. 8	113.0	86. 5	73.6	76. 5	75.5	102.6	94. 0	58.8	63.0	69.6
1948	87. 9	110. 5	122.2	96. 2	87.0	82. 7	81.5	105.8	99. 5	66.4	68.0	77.0
1949	83. 5	95. 6	101.5	87. 5	86.5	79. 4	78.0	91.0	90. 7	68.2	69.3	77.2
1950	86. 8	104. 2	108.9	100, 0	86.1	83.0	81. 8	94.7	95.2	72, 1	71.9	81. 2
1951	96. 7	119. 6	126.0	115, 3	87.7	93.0	92. 7	105.5	110.3	80, 1	81.6	88. 8
1952	94. 0	109. 9	118.6	99, 9	88.3	90.3	88. 8	101.4	99.3	80, 3	81.8	88. 2
1953	92. 7	101. 5	106.2	95, 6	91.4	90.8	90. 2	101.6	98.5	83, 9	83.3	89. 7
1954	92. 9	100. 6	106.2	93, 8	87.3	91.3	90. 4	100.7	96.9	85, 7	83.7	90. 1
1955	93. 2	96.7	96. 2	99.1	87.1	93. 0	92.6	97.5	97.3	90.0	87.4	93.7
1956	96. 2	97.2	94. 2	102.8	93.3	97. 1	96.9	97.9	98.8	95.7	95.4	98.5
1957	99. 0	99.4	98. 4	101.4	98.6	99. 4	99.3	99.7	100.1	98.8	99.1	99.1
1958	100. 4	101.6	104. 2	97.6	99.8	99. 6	99.7	102.0	99.1	99.5	99.9	99.1
1959	100. 6	99.0	97. 4	101.0	101.6	101. 0	101.0	98.3	100.8	101.8	101.1	101.8
1960	100.7	96.6	96. 2	96. 8	102.5	101. 0	101. 0	99.5	100. 8	101.9	100. 6	101. 1
1961	100.3	96.1	94. 9	97. 9	102.3	100. 3	99. 8	102.6	98. 6	100.5	99. 6	99. 7
1962	100.6	97.1	96. 8	97. 4	101.8	100. 2	99. 2	100.5	98. 0	100.4	98. 8	99. 3
1963	100.3	95.0	94. 0	96. 2	103.0	100. 5	99. 4	105.5	97. 1	100.5	98. 8	99. 6
1964	100.5	94.1	91. 9	97. 8	102.5	100. 9	100. 4	104.0	97. 8	102.5	99. 7	100. 6
1965	102.5	98.9	98.3	99.8	103. 3	102, 2	102.0	106.6	98.7	104.6	101.3	101.4
1966	105.9	105.3	107.2	101.9	106. 4	104, 8	104.0	111.3	99.5	106.6	104.9	104.1
1967	106.1	99.6	101.2	95.5	110. 3	105, 6	104.7	109.2	99.0	108.0	107.9	105.4
1966: Jan	104. 6	105.2	106.8	102. 2	105.6	103. 4	102.8	109.7	98. 9	105.5	102.5	102.3
Feb	105. 4	107.5	109.6	103. 8	105.9	103. 8	103.2	111.1	99. 0	105.8	102.9	102.7
Mar	105. 4	106.9	108.3	104. 6	105.2	103. 9	103.4	110.8	99. 2	106.1	103.3	103.4
Apr	105. 5	106.3	107.5	104. 5	104.0	104. 3	103.7	110.1	99. 4	106.6	104.1	104.3
May	105. 6	105.7	106.5	104. 5	105.0	104. 8	104.1	109.8	99. 7	106.8	104.8	104.8
June	105. 7	105.6	106.0	105. 1	105.3	104. 9	104.1	110.0	100. 0	106.7	105.0	104.5
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	106. 4 106. 8 106. 8 106. 2 105. 9 105. 9	107.8 107.4 106.1 103.6 101.1 100.8	109.1 111.2 109.9 106.2 102.5 102.3	105.7 100.2 98.9 98.2 97.6 97.4	105.5 106.2 107.0 108.1 108.9 109.7	105. 4 105. 8 105. 6 105. 3 105. 3 105. 4	104. 4 104. 8 104. 6 104. 3 104. 4 104. 5	111.9 114.8 113.6 111.6 111.2 110.9	100. 2 100. 1 99. 8 99. 5 99. 2 99. 2 99. 2	106.6 106.9 106.8 106.8 107.0 107.1	105. 1 105. 4 105. 5 105. 9 106. 6 107. 1	104. 5 104. 6 104. 6 104. 5 104. 3 104. 3
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	106.2 106.0 105.7 105.3 105.8 106.3	101. 9 100. 8 99. 7 98. 0 100. 6 101. 4	104.2 102.7 101.3 99.2 103.1 104.2	97.0 96.5 95.7 94.6 94.7 95.1	109. 4 109. 3 109. 4 110. 2 110. 3 109. 8	105.6 105.5 105.5 105.5 105.3 105.3	104.7 104.8 104.6 104.6 104.4 104.4	110, 1 109, 0 108, 7 108, 1 109, 1 110, 2	99. 3 99. 3 99. 1 99. 1 98. 9 98. 9 98. 6	107.6 107.9 107.7 107.7 107.4 107.4	107.5 107.6 107.9 107.9 107.6 107.5	104. 4 104. 7 104. 8 104. 9 104. 8 104. 9
July	106.5	101. 7	104.7	94. 6	110.2	105. 4	104. 4	110. 2	98. 4	107. 5	107. 5	105. 2
Aug	106.1	99. 5	101.4	94. 5	110.3	105. 4	104. 5	109. 9	98. 4	107. 7	107. 9	105. 5
Sept	106.2	98. 5	99.9	94. 3	111.0	105. 7	104. 7	110. 0	98. 4	108. 2	108. 0	106. 3
Oct	106.1	97. 9	99.1	94. 2	110.9	105. 7	104. 8	108. 6	98. 8	108. 4	108. 1	106. 2
Nov	106.2	96. 5	96.1	95. 9	111.3	105. 9	105. 2	108. 0	99. 3	108. 8	108. 6	106. 3
Dec	106.3	98. 6	98.3	98. 4	111.5	106. 3	105. 6	108. 1	99. 8	109. 3	109. 1	106. 8

See footnotes at end of table.

			Finishe	d goods			Special	groups of in products	ndustrial
Year or month		Co	onsumer fir	.		Inter-	Con-		
	Total	Total	Foods	Other non- durable goods	Du- rable goods	ducer finished goods	Crude mate- rials ²	mediate materials, supplies, and com- ponents ³	sumer finished goods ex- cluding foods
1947	80. 1	86. 1	90. 7	86.5	75.9	61.8	79.2	73. 4	83. 1
1948	86. 4	92. 6	99. 0	92.0	81.1	67.4	92.5	79. 8	88. 4
1949	84. 0	88. 3	91. 0	88.2	83.2	70.7	84.0	77. 8	86. 5
1950	85.5	89.8	92.8	89.6	84.1	72.4	93.6	81. 4	87. 8
1951	93.6	98.2	104.2	96.5	89.7	79.5	102.9	91. 2	94. 2
1952	93.0	97.0	103.3	94.1	90.4	80.8	93.1	88. 3	92. 9
1953	92.1	95.4	97.9	95.0	91.1	82.1	92.4	89. 4	93. 7
1954	92.3	95.3	97.1	95.3	91.8	83.1	88.0	89. 8	94. 1
1955	92.5	94.7	94. 7	95.8	92. 8	85.6	96.6	92.5	94. 8
1956	95.1	96.1	94. 5	97.7	95. 9	92.0	102.3	97.0	97. 1
1957	98.6	98.9	97. 8	99.9	98. 7	97.7	100.9	99.6	99. 5
1958	100.8	101.0	103. 5	99.3	100. 1	100.2	96.9	99.4	99. 6
1959	100.6	100.1	98. 7	100.8	101. 3	102.1	102.3	101.0	100. 9
1960	101. 4	101. 1	100.8	101.5	100.9	102.3	98. 3	101. 4	101.3
1961	101. 4	100. 9	100.4	101.5	100.5	102.5	97. 2	100. 1	101.2
1962	101. 7	101. 2	101.3	101.6	100.0	102.9	95. 6	99. 9	101.0
1963	101. 4	100. 7	100.1	101.9	99.5	103.1	94. 3	99. 6	101.0
1964	101. 8	100. 9	100.6	101.6	99.9	104.1	97. 1	100. 2	101.9
1965	103.6	102.8	104.5	102.8	99.6	105.4	100.9	101.5	101.6
1966	106.9	106.4	111.2	104.8	100.2	108.0	104.5	103.6	103.2
1967	108.2	107.0	109.5	107.2	101.7	111.5	100.0	104.8	105.2
1966: Jan	105.6	105. 2	109. 5	103. 9	99.7	106.2	104. 0	102. 4	102. 4
Feb	106.3	106. 0	111. 5	104. 0	99.7	106.6	105. 7	102. 6	102. 4
Mar	106.4	106. 1	111. 5	104. 1	99.7	106.8	106. 6	102. 9	102. 5
Apr	106.3	105. 9	110. 7	104. 3	99.8	107.0	106. 1	103. 4	102. 8
May	106.2	105. 6	109. 6	104. 5	100.2	107.6	105. 9	103. 8	103. 0
June	106.4	105. 7	109. 5	104. 9	100.1	107.9	106. 5	103. 9	103. 2
July	107. 0	106. 4	111. 2	105, 0	100, 2	108. 1	106. 4	104. 0	103. 3
Aug	107. 5	107. 1	112. 8	105, 2	100, 1	108. 3	103. 3	104. 2	103. 4
Sept	108. 1	107. 8	114. 5	105, 4	100, 0	108. 4	102. 8	104. 1	103. 5
Oct	107. 8	107. 2	112. 2	105, 5	100, 9	109. 1	102. 8	104. 1	103. 9
Nov	107. 8	107. 0	111. 3	105, 7	101, 2	109. 8	102. 7	104. 1	104. 1
Dec	107. 6	106. 6	110. 5	105, 5	101, 3	110. 2	101. 6	104. 1	104. 0
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	107.7 107.6 107.2 107.0 107.6 108.4	106. 6 106. 5 106. 0 105. 7 106. 4 107. 4	110. 3 109. 3 107. 9 106. 9 108. 5 110. 9	105. 8 106. 3 106. 4 106. 4 106. 9 107. 2	101.3 101.3 101.3 101.3 101.3 101.3 101.0	110.5 110.6 110.7 110.8 111.1 111.2	101. 4 101. 1 100. 2 99. 3 99. 4 99. 4	104. 4 104. 6 104. 6 104. 7 104. 6 104. 5	104. 2 104. 5 104. 5 104. 6 104. 8 104. 9
July	108.7	107.7	111.5	107. 4	101. 1	111. 2	99.0	104. 5	105. 1
Aug	108.3	107.2	109.6	108. 0	101. 2	111. 4	99.0	104. 6	105. 5
Sept	108.7	107.6	110.5	108. 0	101. 4	111. 6	99.5	104. 9	105. 6
Oct	108.6	107.2	108.8	107. 8	102. 8	112. 6	99.4	105. 0	106. 0
Nov	108.9	107.5	109.1	107. 9	103. 0	113. 0	100.6	105. 3	106. 1
Dec	109.3	107.9	110.1	108. 0	103. 0	113. 4	101.3	105. 7	106. 2

TABLE B-49.-Wholesale price indexes, by stage of processing, 1947-67-Continued [1957 - 59 = 100]

Includes, in addition to subgroups shown, processed fuels and lubricants, containers, and supplies.
 Excludes crude toodstuffs and feedstuffs, plant and animal fibers, oilseeds, and leaf tobacco.
 Excludes intermediate materials for food manufacturing and manufactured animal feeds.

Note.—For a listing of the commodities included in each sector, see Table 2B, "Wholesale Prices and Price Indexes," 1963 (BLS Bulletin 1513).

Source: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

MONEY SUPPLY, CREDIT, AND FINANCE

TABLE B-50.-Money supply, 1947-67

[Averages of daily figures, billions of dollars]

	Total Money supply T		Time Total money supply	Total money	Mo	ney sup	ply	Time	U.S. Gov-			
Ye	ar and month	and time depos- its ad- justed Seasonally adjusted				de- posits ad- just- ed ³	supply and time depos- its ad- justed	Total	Cur- rency com- po- nent ¹	De- mand deposit com- ponent ²	de- posits ad- just- ed ³	ern- ment de- mand de- pos- its 4
			Season	ally adj	usted				Unadj	usted		
1947 :	Dec	148.5	113.1	26. 4	86.7	35. 4	151. 0	115.9	26. 8	89. 1	35. 1	1.0
1948 :	Dec	147.5	111.5	25. 8	85.8	36. 0	150. 0	114.3	26. 2	88. 1	35. 7	1.8
1949 :	Dec	147.6	111.2	25. 1	86.0	36. 4	150. 0	113.9	25. 5	88. 4	36. 1	2.8
1950:	Dec	152.9	116. 2	25.0	91. 2	36.7	155.6	119.2	25.4	93.8	36.4	2.4
1951:	Dec	160.9	122. 7	26.1	96. 5	38.2	163.8	125.8	26.6	99.2	38.0	2.7
1952:	Dec	168.5	127. 4	27.3	100. 1	41.1	171.7	130.8	27.8	103.0	40.9	4.9
1953:	Dec	173.3	128. 8	27.7	101. 1	44.5	176.3	132.1	28.2	103.9	44.2	3.8
1953:	Dec	180.6	132. 3	27.4	104. 9	48.3	183.6	135.6	27.9	107.7	48.0	5.0
1955:	Dec	185. 2	135.2	27.8	107.4	50.0	188.2	138.6	28.4	110.2	49.6	3.4
1956:	Dec	188. 8	136.9	28.2	108.7	51.9	191.7	140.3	28.8	111.5	51.4	3.4
1957:	Dec	193. 3	135.9	28.3	107.6	57.4	196.0	139.3	28.9	110.4	56.7	3.5
1958:	Dec	206. 5	141.1	28.6	112.6	65.4	209.3	144.7	29.2	115.5	64.6	3.9
1959:	Dec	209. 3	141.9	28.9	113.1	67.4	212.2	145.6	29.5	116.1	66.6	4.9
1960:	Dec	213. 9	141. 1	28, 9	112.1	72.9	216. 8	144.7	29.6	115. 2	72.1	4.7
1961:	Dec	228. 1	145. 4	29, 6	115.9	82.7	231. 2	149.4	30.2	119. 2	81.8	4.9
1962:	Dec	245. 2	147. 4	30, 6	116.8	97.8	248. 2	151.6	31.2	120. 3	96.7	5.6
1963:	Dec	265. 2	153. 0	32, 5	120.5	112.2	268. 2	157.3	33.1	124. 1	111.0	5.1
1964:	Dec	285. 9	159. 3	34, 2	125.1	126.6	289. 2	164.0	35.0	129. 1	125.2	5.5
1965:	Dec	313.7	1 66. 8	36. 3	130. 5	146, 9	317.3	172.0	37.1	134.9	145.2	4.6
1966:	Dec	329.0	170. 4	38. 3	132. 1	158, 6	332.7	175.8	39.1	136.7	156.9	3.4
1967:	Dec p	365.3	181. 5	40. 4	141. 1	183, 8	369.0	187.2	41.2	146.0	181.8	5.0
1966 :	Jan	315.5	167.9	36.6	131. 4	147.5	320. 4	173.0	36.5	136.5	147.4	3. 8
	Feb	316.6	168.3	36.7	131. 6	148.3	316. 4	167.7	36.4	131.3	148.7	5. 1
	Mar	319.0	169.2	36.9	132. 3	149.8	318. 1	167.8	36.5	131.2	150.4	4. 5
	Apr	322.3	170.5	37.1	133. 4	151.8	323. 9	171.5	36.8	134.7	152.4	3. 0
	May	323.6	170.2	37.3	132. 9	153.4	320. 9	166.7	37.0	129.7	154.2	7. 1
	June	\$ 324.5	170.6	37.4	133. 2	\$154.0	\$ 323. 1	168.6	37.3	131.4	*154.4	6. 1
	July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	325.7 327.1 327.9 327.7 327.5 329.0	169.9 170.1 170.5 170.1 170.1 170.1 170.4	37.7 37.8 37.9 38.0 38.1 38.3	132. 3 132. 4 132. 6 132. 1 132. 0 132. 1	155.7 156.9 157.4 157.6 157.4 158.6	324. 2 324. 5 327. 1 327. 6 327. 6 327. 6 332. 7	168. 0 167. 0 169. 7 170. 5 171. 5 175. 8	37.8 37.8 37.9 38.1 38.5 39.1	130. 1 129. 2 131. 8 132. 4 133. 0 136. 7	156. 2 157. 4 157. 4 157. 1 156. 1 156. 9	8.0 5.1 4.3 4.8 3.7 3.4
1967:	Jan	331.1	170.3	38.5	131. 8	160. 8	336.0	175.3	38.5	136. 8	160. 7	4. 1
	Feb	335.0	171.5	38.7	132. 8	163. 5	334.6	170.6	38.3	132. 3	164. 0	5. 0
	Mar	339.2	173.1	38.9	134. 2	166. 1	338.7	171.9	38.5	133. 4	166. 7	4. 9
	Apr	340.8	172.7	39.1	133. 6	168. 1	342.4	173.6	38.7	134. 9	168. 8	4. 8
	May	344.4	174.5	39.2	135. 3	170. 0	341.9	171.1	38.9	132. 2	170. 8	6. 5
	June	348.6	176.2	39.3	136. 8	172. 4	347.3	171.1	39.3	135. 1	173. 0	3. 9
	July	352.5	177.9	39.5	138.4	174.6	351.0	175. 8	39.6	136. 2	175. 1	5. 6
	Aug	356.3	179.1	39.6	139.6	177.2	353.6	175. 9	39.6	136. 2	177. 7	4. 3
	Sept	358.2	179.2	39.8	139.5	178.9	357.3	178. 4	39.8	138. 6	178. 9	5. 0
	Oct	361.1	180.3	39.9	140.3	180.8	360.8	180. 6	40.0	140. 6	180. 3	6. 2
	Nov	363.7	181.2	40.0	141.2	182.5	363.6	182. 5	40.4	142. 1	181. 1	5. 0
	Dec *	365.3	181.5	40.4	141.1	183.8	369.0	187. 2	41.2	146. 0	181. 8	5. 0

¹ Currency outside the Treasury, the Federal Reserve System, and the vaults of all commercial banks. ² Demand deposits at all commercial banks, other than those due to domestic commercial banks and the U.S. Govern-ment, less cash items in process of collection and Federal Reserve float, plus foreign demand balances at Federal Reserve

a Time deposits adjusted are time deposits at all commercial banks other than those due to domestic commercial banks
 a Time deposits adjusted are time deposits at all commercial banks other than those due to domestic commercial banks
 c Deposits at all commercial banks.
 b Effective June 1966, balances accumulated for payment of personal loans are reclassified for reserve purposes and are excluded from time deposits reported by member banks.
 (\$1.1 billion) is excluded from time deposits adjusted thereafter.

TABLE B-51.-Bank loans and investments, 1929-67 [Billions of dollars]

		All comme	rcial banks		Weekly re-
End of year or month ¹	Total Joans		invest	ments	commercial banks ³
	and invest- ments ²	Loans 2	U.S. Govern- ment securities	Other securities	Business Ioans4
1929 5	49.4	35.7	4.9	8.7	
1930 5	48.9	34. 5	5.0	9.4	
1931 ⁸	44.9 36.1	29.2 21.8	6.0	9.7 8.1	
1933 8	30. 4	16.3	7.5	6.5	
1934 5	32. / 36. 1	15.7 15.2	10.3	5./ 7.1	
1936	39.6	16.4	15.3	7.9	
1937	38.4	16.4	14. 2	7.2	4.2
1939	40.7	17.2	16.3	7.1	4.7
1940	43.9 50.7	18.8 21.7	17.8	7.4	5.3
1942	67.4	19.2	41.4	6.8	6.3
1943	85.1 105.5	19.1 21.6	59.8 77.6	6.1 6.3	6.4
1945	124.0	26.1	90.6	7.3	7.3
1946 1947	114.0	31. 1 38. 1	/4.8 69.2	8.1 9.0	11.3
1948	114.2	42.4	62.6	9.2	15.6
1948	113.0	41.5	62.3	9.2	15.6
1949	118.7	42.0	66.4	10.3	13.9
1950	124.7	56.5	60.4	13.4	21.6
1952	139.1	62.8	62.2	14.2	23.4
1955	153.1	69.1	67.6	16.4	22.4
1955	157.6	80.6 88.1	60.3	16.8 16.3	26.7
1957	166.4	91.5	56.9	17.9	31.8
1958 1959	181.2 185.9	95.6 107.5	65.1 57.9	20.5	31.7
1960	194.5	113.8	59.8	20.8	32.2
1961 1962 ¢	209.6	120.5	64.5	23.9	32.9
1963 6	246.2	149.7	61.5	35.0	38.8
1964	267.2 294.4	167.7	57.3	44.8	3 53. 1
1966	7 310.2	7 207.8	53.7	7 48.7	60.7
1967 •	344.4 297.0	10/ 6	57.4	45.0	52.9
Feb	298.6	196.7	56.5	45.5	53.6
Mar	300.1 302.1	198.4	55.3	45.7	55.0
May	303.7	202.3	54.1	47.3	56.0
June	4 JUO. 8	⁷ 203. 4	54.9	40. J	58 7
Aug	309.9	204. 5	56.0	48.0	58.3
Sept	309.4	206.2	54.9	48.3	59.4
Nov	309.3	207.5	53.4	48.4	60.0
Dec	310.2	207.8	53.7	48. /	60.7
1967: Jan	314.4 318.0	210, 4	54.2	49.9	60.4
Mar	321.4	211.3	57.8	52.3	62.0
мрг	323.2	213.5	56.1	55.0	61.8
June	. 325.6	213.9	55.4	56.3	63.8
July	332.4	217.1	58.8	56.5	63.7
Sept	339.5	220.2	61.6	57.7	63.4
Oct P	342.6	221.8	62.3	58.6	63.1
Dec 6	344.4	224. 0	60.0	60.4	65.8

TABLE B-52.—Selected liquid assets held by the public, 1946-67 1

		Demand	Time d	eposits		0	U.S.	U.S. Govern-
End of year or month	Total	Demand deposits and currency ²	Com- mercial banks ³	Mutual savings banks	Postal savings system	Savings and Ioan shares	Govern- ment savings bonds 4	ment securities maturing within 1 year 4
1946 1947 1948 1949	239. 1 246. 2 254. 1 262. 1	108.5 112.4 110.5 110.4	33. 9 35. 3 35. 9 36. 3	16. 9 17. 8 18. 4 19. 3	3. 3 3. 4 3. 3 3. 2	8.5 9.7 11.0 12.5	48.6 50.9 53.4 55.0	19. 4 16. 6 21. 6 25. 5
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	271. 4 281. 0 296. 0 311. 5 320. 3	115.5 120.9 125.5 127.3 130.2	36.6 38.2 41.2 44.6 48.2	20. 1 20. 9 22. 6 24. 4 26. 3	2.9 2.7 2.5 2.4 2.1	14.0 16.1 19.2 22.8 27.2	55. 8 55. 4 55. 7 55. 6 55. 6	26. 4 26. 8 29. 3 34. 4 30. 6
1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	332. 5 343. 2 356. 0 373. 1 393. 9	133. 3 134. 6 133. 5 138. 8 139. 7	49.7 52.0 57.5 65.4 67.4	28. 1 30. 0 31. 6 33. 9 34. 9	1.9 1.6 1.3 1.1 .9	32.0 37.0 41.7 47.7 54.3	55. 9 54. 8 51. 6 50. 5 47. 9	31. 6 33. 2 38. 8 35. 6 48. 8
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	399. 2 424. 6 459. 0 495. 4 530. 5	138. 4 142. 6 144. 8 149. 6 156. 7	73. 1 82. 5 98. 1 112. 9 127. 1	36. 2 38. 3 41. 4 44. 5 49. 0	.8 .6 .5 .5	61. 8 70. 5 79. 8 90. 9 101. 4	47.0 47.4 47.6 49.0 49.9	41. 9 42. 6 46. 8 48. 1 46. 1
1965 1966 1967 <i>p</i>	573.0 601.7 648.8	164. 0 168. 6 180. 3	147.1 159.6 182.5	52.6 55.2 59.9	.3	109. 8 113. 4 123. 8	50. 5 50. 9 51. 9	48. 6 53. 9 50. 5
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	578.5 577.6 585.6 587.1 585.9 ₅ 589.5	164. 8 162. 7 167. 0 166. 4 163. 7 166. 5	149.2 149.4 151.1 152.5 153.6 3 153.9	52. 8 53. 0 53. 1 53. 1 53. 3 53. 3 53. 6	.3 .3 .3 .3 .3 .2	109.9 110.7 111.6 111.1 111.3 111.5	50, 5 50, 3 50, 3 50, 4 50, 4 50, 4 50, 4	51. 1 51. 0 52. 1 53. 3 53. 3 53. 4
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	588.6 592.9 594.5 596.2 600.6 601.7	164. 3 167. 0 166. 1 166. 0 168. 0 168. 6	156. 1 156. 6 156. 7 156. 6 158. 3 159. 6	53. 7 53. 9 54. 2 54. 6 54. 8 55. 2	.2 .2 .2 .2 .1 .1	110. 9 111. 4 112. 3 112. 2 113. 0 113. 4	50, 6 50, 6 50, 5 50, 6 50, 6 50, 9	52, 8 53, 3 54, 5 56, 0 55, 8 53, 9
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	605. 1 604. 7 615. 1 613. 2 619. 7 620. 6	166. 9 165. 8 171. 0 168. 6 172. 9 173. 7	163. 6 165. 3 167. 6 168. 6 170. 7 172. 4	55.5 55.9 56.3 56.8 57.4 57.8	.1 .1 .1 .1 .1	113.7 114.8 116.3 117.1 118.0 118.9	51.0 50.9 51.0 51.1 51.1 51.2	54. 2 51. 7 52. 9 50. 9 49. 5 46. 5
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	623. 0 630. 2 635. 3 638. 1 645. 7 648. 8	171.9 174.1 176.2 175.7 177.8 180.3	174. 7 177. 2 178. 1 180. 1 183. 7 182. 5	58. 4 58. 7 58. 9 59. 5 59. 9 59. 9	.1 .1 .1 	119.9 121.0 122.4 123.0 123.7 123.8	51.3 51.3 51.4 51.4 51.4 51.5 51.9	46. 7 47. 8 48. 2 48. 3 49. 1 50. 5

[Billions of dollars, seasonally adjusted]

¹ Excludes holdings of the U.S. Government, Government agencies and trust funds, domestic commercial banks, and Federal Reserve banks. Adjusted wherever possible to avoid double counting.
 ² Agrees in concept with the money supply, Table B-53, except for deduction of demand deposits held by mutual savings banks and loan associations. Data are for last Wednesday of month.
 ³ Time deposits at all commercial banks other than those due to domestic commercial banks and the U.S. Government (same concept as in Table B-50). Data are for last Wednesday of month, except that June 30, and December 31 call data are used where available.
 ⁴ Excludes holdings of Government agencies and trust funds, domestic commercial and mutual savings banks, Federal Reserve banks, and beginning February 1960, savings and loan associations.
 ⁵ Effective June 1966, balances accumulated for the payment of personal loans (about \$1.1 billion) are excluded from time deposits at all commercial banks and from total liquid assets.

	Rese	erve Bank cr	edit outstand	ling	Memt	Member bank free		
Year and month	Total	U.S. Govern- ment se- curities	Member bank borrow- ings	All other, mainly float	Total	Re- quired	Excess	reserves (excess reserves less bor- rowings)
1929: Dec	1,643	446	801	396	2, 395	2, 347	- 48	-753
1930: Dec	1, 273	644	337	292	2, 415	2, 342	73	264
	1, 950	777	763	410	2, 069	2, 010	60	703
	2, 192	1, 854	281	57	2, 435	1, 909	526	245
	2, 669	2, 432	95	142	2, 588	1, 822	1,766	671
	2, 472	2, 430	10	32	4, 037	2, 290	1,748	1,738
	2, 494	2, 430	6	58	5, 716	2, 733	2,983	2,977
	2, 498	2, 434	7	57	6, 665	4, 619	2,046	2,039
	2, 628	2, 565	16	47	6, 879	5, 808	1,071	1,055
	2, 618	2, 564	7	47	8, 745	5, 520	3,226	3,219
	2, 612	2, 510	3	99	11, 473	6, 462	5,011	5,008
1940: Dec. 1941: Dec. 1942: Dec. 1943: Dec. 1944: Dec. 1945: Dec. 1947: Dec. 1948: Dec. 1949: Dec.	2, 305	2, 188	3	114	14, 049	7,403	6, 646	6, 643
	2, 404	2, 219	5	180	12, 812	9,422	3, 390	3, 385
	6, 035	5, 549	4	483	13, 152	10,776	2, 376	2, 372
	11, 914	11, 166	90	659	12, 749	11,701	1, 048	958
	19, 612	18, 693	265	654	14, 168	12,884	1, 284	1, 019
	24, 744	23, 708	334	702	16, 027	14,536	1, 491	1, 157
	24, 746	23, 767	157	821	16, 517	15,617	900	743
	22, 858	23, 905	224	729	17, 261	16,275	986	762
	23, 978	23, 002	134	842	19, 990	19,193	797	663
	19, 012	18, 287	118	607	16, 291	15,488	803	685
1950: Dec. 1951: Dec. 1952: Dec. 1953: Dec. 1954: Dec. 1955: Dec. 1956: Dec. 1957: Dec. 1958: Dec. 1959: Dec. 1959: Dec.	21, 606	20, 345	142	1, 119	17, 391	16, 364	1, 027	885
	25, 446	23, 409	657	1, 380	20, 310	19, 484	826	169
	27, 299	24, 400	1,593	1, 306	21, 180	20, 457	723	870
	27, 107	25, 639	441	1, 027	19, 920	19, 227	693	252
	26, 317	24, 917	246	1, 154	19, 279	18, 576	703	457
	26, 853	24, 602	839	1, 412	19, 240	18, 646	594	245
	27, 156	24, 765	688	1, 703	19, 535	18, 883	652	36
	26, 186	23, 982	710	1, 494	19, 420	18, 843	577	133
	28, 412	26, 312	557	1, 543	18, 899	18, 383	516	41
	29, 435	27, 036	906	1, 493	2 18, 932	18, 383	482	424
1960: Dec	29,060 31,217 33,218 36,610 39,873 43,853 46,864 51,268	27, 248 29, 098 30, 546 33, 729 37, 126 40, 885 43, 760 48, 891	87 149 304 327 243 454 557 238	1,725 1,970 2,368 2,554 2,504 2,514 2,514 2,547 2,139	19, 283 20, 118 20, 040 20, 746 21, 609 22, 719 23, 830 25, 256	18, 527 19, 550 19, 468 20, 210 21, 198 22, 267 23, 438 24, 915	756 568 572 536 411 452 392 341	669 419 268 209 168 -2 -165 103
1966: Jan	43, 449	40, 626	402	2, 421	22, 750	22, 392	358	-44
Feb	43, 116	40, 635	478	2, 003	22, 233	21, 862	371	-107
Mar	42, 943	40, 398	551	1, 994	22, 160	21, 855	305	-246
Apr	43, 339	40, 629	626	2, 084	22, 528	22, 170	358	-268
May	43, 891	41, 129	722	2, 040	22, 487	22, 117	370	-352
June	44, 498	41, 672	674	2, 152	22, 534	22, 212	322	-352
July	45, 737	42, 221	766	2, 750	23, 090	22, 682	408	-358
Aug	45, 348	42, 280	728	2, 340	22, 655	22, 317	338	-390
Sept	45, 631	42, 735	766	2, 130	23, 240	22, 842	398	-368
Oct	45, 604	42, 837	733	2, 034	23, 333	23, 031	302	-431
Nov	46, 087	43, 347	611	2, 129	23, 251	22, 862	389	-222
Dec	46, 864	43, 760	557	2, 547	23, 830	23, 438	392	-165
1967: Jan	46, 802	44, 066	389	2, 347	24, 075	23, 702	373	-16
Feb	46, 587	44, 215	362	2, 010	23, 709	23, 351	358	-4
Mar	46, 524	44, 620	199	1, 705	23, 405	22, 970	435	236
Apr	46, 902	45, 082	134	1, 686	23, 362	23, 053	309	175
May	47, 323	45, 699	101	1, 523	23, 284	22, 914	370	269
June	47, 547	45, 844	123	1, 580	23, 518	23, 098	420	297
July	48, 590	46, 807	87	1, 696	23, 907	23, 548	359	272
Aug	48, 210	46, 612	89	1, 509	23, 791	23, 404	387	298
Sept	48, 147	46, 398	90	1, 659	24, 200	23, 842	358	268
Oct	48, 993	47, 367	126	1, 500	24, 608	24, 322	286	160
Nov	49, 752	48, 010	133	1, 609	24, 738	24, 337	403	270
Dec P	51, 268	48, 891	238	2, 139	25, 256	24, 915	341	103

TABLE B-53.-Federal Reserve Bank credit and member bank reserves, 1929-67 [Averages of daily figures, millions of dollars]

Data from March 1933 through April 1934 are for licensed banks only.
 Beginning December 1959, total reserves held include vault cash allowed.

TABLE B-54.—Bond yields and interest rates, 1929-67

[Percent per annum]

	U.S	. Governm	ient securi	ities	Corporate bonds (Moody's)		High- grade munic-	Average rate on short- term	Prime com-	Fed- eral Reserve	FHA new
Year or month	3-month Treas- ury bills 1	9–12 month issues ²	35 year issues ³	Taxable bonds 4	Aaa	Baa	ipal bonds (Stand- ard & Poor's)	bank loans to busi- ness— selected cities	cial paper, 4–6 months	Bank dis- count rate	home mort- gage yields 5
1929	(6)				4.73	5, 90	4. 27	(7)	5, 85	5. 16	
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934	(6) 1. 402 . 879 . 515 . 256		2.66 2.12		4, 55 4, 58 5, 01 4, 49 4, 00	5.90 7.62 9.30 7.76 6.32	4. 07 4. 01 4. 65 4. 71 4. 03	00000	3.59 2.64 2.73 1.73 1.02	3.04 2.11 2.82 2.56 1.54	
1935 1936 1937 1938 1939	. 137 . 143 . 447 . 053 . 023		1.29 1.11 1.40 .83 .59		3.60 3.24 3.26 3.19 3.01	5.75 4.77 5.03 5.80 4.96	3. 41 3. 07 3. 10 2. 91 2. 76	000021	. 76 . 75 . 94 . 81 . 59	1.50 1.50 1.33 1.00 1.00	
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944	.014 .103 .326 .373 .375	0. 75 . 79	.50 .73 1.46 1.34 1.33	2. 46 2. 47 2. 48	2.84 2.77 2.83 2.73 2.72	4. 75 4. 33 4. 28 3. 91 3. 61	2.50 2.10 2.36 2.06 1.86	2.1 2.0 2.2 2.6 2.4	. 56 . 53 . 66 . 69 . 73	1.00 1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00	
1945 1946 1947 1948 1948	. 375 . 375 . 594 1. 040 1. 102	.81 .82 .88 1.14 1.14	1.18 1.16 1.32 1.62 1.43	2. 37 2. 19 2. 25 2. 44 2. 31	2.62 2.53 2.61 2.82 2.66	3. 29 3. 05 3. 24 3. 47 3. 42	1.67 1.64 2.01 2.40 2.21	2.2 2.1 2.1 2.5 2.68	. 75 . 81 1. 03 1. 44 1. 49	8 1.00 8 1.00 1.00 1.34 1.50	4. 34
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	1. 218 1. 552 1. 766 1. 931 . 953	1.26 1.73 1.81 2.07 .92	1.50 1.93 2.13 2.56 1.82	2. 32 2. 57 2. 68 2. 94 2. 55	2.62 2.86 2.96 3.20 2.90	3. 24 3. 41 3. 52 3. 74 3. 51	1.98 2.00 2.19 2.72 2.37	2.69 3.11 3.49 3.69 3.61	1.45 2.16 2.33 2.52 1.58	1.59 1.75 1.75 1.99 1.60	4, 17 4, 21 4, 29 4, 61 4, 62
1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	1.753 2.658 3.267 1.839 3.405	1.89 2.83 3.53 2.09 4.11	2.50 3.12 3.62 2.90 4.33	2, 84 3, 08 3, 47 3, 43 4, 08	3.06 3.36 3.89 3.79 4.38	3. 53 3. 88 4. 71 4. 73 5. 05	2.53 2.93 3.60 3.56 3.95	3.70 4.20 4.62 4.34 9 5.00	2. 18 3. 31 3. 81 2. 46 3. 97	1.89 2.77 3.12 2.16 3.36	4.64 4.79 5.42 5.49 5.71
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	2. 928 2. 378 2. 778 3. 157 3. 549	3. 55 2. 91 3. 02 3. 28 3. 76	3. 99 3. 60 3. 57 3. 72 4. 06	4.02 3.90 3.95 4.00 4.15	4. 41 4. 35 4. 33 4. 26 4. 40	5. 19 5. 08 5. 02 4. 86 4. 83	3.73 3.46 3.18 3.23 3.22	5. 16 4. 97 5. 00 5. 01 4. 99	3.85 2.97 3.26 3.55 3.97	3, 53 3, 00 3, 00 3, 23 3, 55	6. 18 5. 80 5. 61 5. 47 5. 45
1965 1966 1967	3. 954 4. 881 4. 321	4.09 5.17 4.84	4. 22 5. 16 5. 07	4.21 4.65 4.85	4. 49 5. 13 5. 51	4.87 5.67 6.23	3. 27 3. 82 3. 96	5.06 6.00 10 6.00	4.38 5.55 5.10	4. 04 4. 50 4. 19	5.46 6.29 6.55
1965: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	3. 828 3. 929 3. 942 3. 932 3. 895 3. 810	3.87 3.97 4.03 4.00 3.99 3.98	4.06 4.08 4.12 4.12 4.11 4.09	4. 14 4. 16 4. 15 4. 15 4. 14 4. 14	4. 43 4. 41 4. 42 4. 43 4. 44 4. 46	4. 80 4. 78 4. 78 4. 80 4. 81 4. 85	3. 06 3. 10 3. 18 3. 17 3. 19 3. 26	4. 97 4. 99	4.25 4.27 4.38 4.38 4.38 4.38 4.38	4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00	5. 45 5. 45 5. 45 5. 45 5. 45 5. 45
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	3. 831 3. 836 3. 912 4. 032 4. 082 4. 362	3.96 4.00 4.11 4.18 4.29 4.66	4. 10 4. 19 4. 24 4. 33 4. 46 4. 77	4, 15 4, 19 4, 25 4, 28 4, 34 4, 43	4.48 4.49 4.52 4.56 4.60 4.68	4. 88 4. 88 4. 91 4. 93 4. 95 5. 02	3. 26 3. 25 3. 36 3. 42 3. 47 3. 56	5.00	4.38 4.38 4.38 4.38 4.38 4.38 4.65	4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.42	5, 44 5, 44 5, 45 5, 46 5, 49 5, 51

See footnotes at end of table.

	U.S	U.S. Government securities					High- grade munic-	Average rate on short- term	Prime com-	Fed- eral	FHA new home
Year or month	3-month Treas- ury bills 1	9–12 month issues ²	3–5 year issues ³	Taxable bonds 4	Aaa	Baa	ipal bonds (Stand- ard & Poor's)	loans to busi- ness	mer- cial paper, 4-6 months	Bank dis- count rate	home mort- gage yields ⁵
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	4. 596 4. 670 4. 626 4. 611 4. 642 4. 539	4.83 4.92 4.96 4.87 4.90 4.94	4.89 5.02 4.94 4.86 4.94 5.01	4. 43 4. 61 4. 63 4. 55 4. 57 4. 63	4, 74 4, 78 4, 92 4, 96 4, 98 5, 07	5.06 5.12 5.32 5.41 5.48 5.58	3. 52 3. 63 3. 72 3. 59 3. 68 3. 77	5. 55	4, 82 4, 88 5, 21 5, 38 5, 39 5, 51	4, 50 4, 50 4, 50 4, 50 4, 50 4, 50 4, 50	5. 62 5. 70 6. 00 6. 32
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	4. 855 4. 932 5. 356 5. 387 5. 344 5. 007	5. 17 5. 52 5. 80 5. 57 5. 45 5. 10	5. 22 5. 58 5. 62 5. 38 5. 43 5. 07	4.75 4.80 4.79 4.70 4.74 4.65	5. 16 5. 31 5. 49 5. 41 5. 35 5. 39	5.68 5.83 6.09 6.10 6.13 6.18	3. 94 4. 17 4. 11 3. 97 3. 93 3. 83	6. 30 6. 31	5.63 5.85 5.89 6.00 6.00 6.00	4, 50 4, 50 4, 50 4, 50 4, 50 4, 50 4, 50	6.45 6.51 6.58 6.63 6.81
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	4, 759 4, 554 4, 288 3, 852 3, 640 3, 480	4.71 4.64 4.35 4.03 4.09 4.40	4.71 4.73 4.52 4.46 4.68 4.96	4. 40 4. 47 4. 45 4. 51 4. 76 4. 86	5. 20 5. 03 5. 13 5. 11 5. 24 5. 44	5. 97 5. 82 5. 85 5. 83 5. 96 6. 15	3.58 3.56 3.60 3.66 3.92 3.99	¹⁰ 6. 13 5. 95	5, 73 5, 38 5, 24 4, 83 4, 67 4, 65	4, 50 4, 50 4, 50 4, 10 4, 00 4, 00	6.77 6.62 6.46 6.35 6.29 6.44
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	4. 308 4. 275 4. 451 4. 588 4. 762 5. 012	4.98 5.10 5.21 5.32 5.55 5.69	5. 17 5. 28 5. 40 5. 52 5. 73 5. 72	4, 86 4, 95 4, 99 5, 19 5, 44 5, 36	5. 58 5. 62 5. 65 5. 82 6. 07 6. 19	6.26 6.33 6.40 6.52 6.72 6.93	4.05 4.03 4.15 4.31 4.36 4.49	5.95 5.96	4. 92 5. 00 5. 07 5. 28 5. 56	4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.18 4.50	6.51 6.53 6.60 6.63 6.65 6.77

TABLE B-54.-Bond yields and interest rates, 1929-67-Continued

[Percent per annum]

1 Rate on new issues within period. Issues were tax exempt prior to March 1, 1941, and fully taxable thereafter. For the

¹ Rate on new issues within period. Issues were tax exempt prior to March 1, 1941, and fully taxable thereafter. For the period 1934-37, series includes issues with maturities of more than 3 monthats.
² Certificates of indebtedness and selected note and bond issues (fully taxable).
³ Selected note and bond issues. Issues were partially tax exempt prior to 1941, and fully taxable thereafter.
⁴ First issued in 1941. Series includes bonds which are neither due nor callable before a given number of years as follows: April 1953 to date, 10 years; April 1952-March 1953, 12 years; October 1941-March 1952, 15 years.
⁵ Data for first of the month, based on the maximum permissable interest rate (6 percent beginning October 1966) and, thru July 1961, 25-year mortgages paid in 12 years and, thereafter, 30-year mortgages paid in 15 years.
⁶ Treasury bills were first issued in December 1929 and were issued irregularly in 1930.
⁷ Not available on same basis as for 1939 and subsequent years.
⁸ From October 30, 1942, to April 24, 1946, a preferential rate of 0.50 percent was in effect for advances secured by Government securities maturing in 1 year or less.
⁹ Beginning 1959, series revised to exclude loans to nonbank financial institutions.
¹⁰ Beginning February 1967, series revised to incorporate changes in coverage, in the sample of reporting banks, and in the reporting period (shifted to the middle month of the quarter).

Note. - Yields and rates computed for New York City except for short-term bank loans.

Sources: Treasury Department, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Moody's Investors Service, Stand-ard & Poor's Corporation, and Federal Housing Administration.

TABLE	B-55Short-	and	intermediate-term	consumer	credit	outstanding,	192967
			(Millions of do	llars]			

			Ins	alment cr	Noninstalment credit				
End of year or month	Total	Total	Auto- mobile paper	Other con- sumer goods paper	Repair and modern- ization loans 1	Per- sonal loans	Total	Charge ac- counts	Other ²
929	7,116	3, 524	1, 384	1, 544	27	569	3, 592	1, 996	1, 596
930	6, 351 5, 315 4, 026 3, 885 4, 218 5, 190 6, 375 6, 949	3,022 2,463 1,672 1,723 1,999 2,817 3,747 4,119	986 684 356 493 614 992 1, 372	1,432 1,214 834 799 889 1,000 1,290	25 22 18 15 37 253 364 219	579 543 464 416 459 572 721	3, 329 2, 852 2, 354 2, 162 2, 219 2, 373 2, 628 2, 628	1,833 1,635 1,374 1,286 1,306 1,354 1,428	1, 496 1, 217 980 876 913 1, 019 1, 200
938	6, 370 7, 222	3,686	1,099	1, 505	219 218 298	900 927	2,630	1, 504	1, 326
940	8, 338	5, 514	2, 071	1,827	371	1, 245	2, 824	1, 471	1, 353
	9, 172	6, 085	2, 458	1,929	376	1, 322	3, 087	1, 645	1, 442
	5, 983	3, 166	742	1,195	255	974	2, 817	1, 444	1, 373
	4, 901	2, 136	355	819	130	832	2, 765	1, 440	1, 325
	5, 111	2, 176	397	791	119	869	2, 935	1, 517	1, 418
	5, 665	2, 462	455	816	182	1, 009	3, 203	1, 612	1, 591
	8, 384	4, 172	981	1,290	405	1, 496	4, 212	2, 076	2, 136
	11, 598	6, 695	1, 924	2,143	718	1, 910	4, 903	2, 381	2, 522
	14, 447	8, 996	3, 018	2,901	853	2, 224	5, 451	2, 722	2, 729
	17, 364	11, 590	4, 555	3,706	898	2, 431	5, 774	2, 854	2, 920
950	21, 471 22, 712 27, 520 31, 393 32, 464 38, 830 42, 334 44, 970 45, 129 51, 542	14, 703 15, 294 19, 403 23, 005 23, 568 28, 906 31, 720 33, 867 33, 642 39, 245	6,074 5,972 7,733 9,835 9,809 13,460 14,420 15,340 14,152 16,420	4, 799 4, 880 6, 174 6, 779 6, 751 7, 641 8, 606 8, 844 9, 028 10, 630	1,016 1,085 1,385 1,610 1,616 1,693 1,905 2,101 2,346 2,809	2,814 3,357 4,111 4,781 5,392 6,112 6,789 7,582 8,116 9,386	6, 768 7, 418 8, 117 8, 388 9, 924 10, 614 11, 103 11, 487 12, 297	3, 367 3, 700 4, 130 4, 274 4, 485 4, 795 4, 795 5, 106 5, 104	3, 401 3, 718 3, 987 4, 114 4, 411 5, 129 5, 619 5, 619 6, 427 7, 193
960	56, 028	42, 832	17, 688	11, 525	3, 139	10, 480	13, 196	5, 329	7,867
	57, 678	43, 527	17, 223	11, 857	3, 191	11, 256	14, 151	5, 324	8,827
	63, 164	48, 034	19, 540	12, 605	3, 246	12, 643	15, 130	5, 684	9,446
	70, 461	54, 158	22, 433	13, 856	3, 405	14, 464	16, 303	5, 871	10,432
	78, 442	60, 548	25, 195	15, 593	3, 532	16, 228	17, 894	6, 300	11,594
	87, 884	68, 565	28, 843	17, 693	3, 675	18, 354	19, 319	6, 745	12,573
	94, 786	74, 656	30, 961	19, 834	3, 751	20, 110	20, 130	7, 144	12,986
	99, 100	77, 900	31, 300	21, 200	3, 700	21, 700	21, 200	7, 600	13,600
966: Jan	87, 027	68, 314	28, 789	17, 566	3, 634	18, 325	18, 713	6, 107	12,606
Feb	86, 565	68, 279	28, 894	17, 386	3, 603	18, 396	18, 286	5, 505	12,781
Mar	87, 059	68, 827	29, 248	17, 450	3, 597	18, 532	18, 232	5, 393	12,839
Apr	88, 184	69, 543	29, 597	17, 597	3, 602	18, 747	18, 641	5, 670	12,971
May	89, 092	70, 209	29, 908	17, 732	3, 642	18, 927	18, 883	5, 860	13,023
June	90, 070	71, 194	30, 402	17, 959	3, 677	19, 156	18, 876	5, 908	12,968
July	90, 650	71,862	30, 680	18, 165	3, 711	19, 306	18, 788	5,888	12,900
Aug	91, 483	72,640	30, 918	18, 390	3, 755	19, 577	18, 843	5,973	12,870
Sept	91, 639	72,829	30, 793	18, 564	3, 771	19, 701	18, 810	5,993	12,817
Oct	91, 899	73,073	30, 852	18, 714	3, 770	19, 737	18, 826	6,107	12,719
Nov	92, 498	73,491	30, 937	18, 945	3, 772	19, 837	19, 007	6,199	12,808
Dec	94, 786	74,656	30, 961	19, 834	3, 751	20, 110	20, 130	7,144	12,986
1967: Jan	93, 479	74, 015	30, 689	19, 649	3, 703	19, 974	19, 464	6, 472	12, 992
Feb	92, 517	73, 598	30, 530	19, 426	3, 666	19, 976	18, 919	5, 824	13, 095
Mar	92, 519	73, 591	30, 527	19, 369	3, 648	20, 047	18, 928	5, 809	13, 119
Apr	93, 089	73, 840	30, 635	19, 376	3, 636	20, 193	19, 249	5, 923	13, 326
May	93, 917	74, 290	30, 852	19, 442	3, 670	20, 326	19, 627	6, 231	13, 396
June	94, 813	75, 051	31, 208	19, 580	3, 696	20, 567	19, 762	6, 334	13, 428
July	95, 115	75, 348	31, 364	19,607	3, 711	20, 666	19, 767	6, 346	13, 421
Aug	95, 684	75, 889	31, 455	19,755	3, 743	20, 936	19, 795	6, 368	13, 427
Sept	95, 886	76, 039	31, 296	19,914	3, 742	21, 087	19, 847	6, 387	13, 460
Oct	96, 094	76, 223	31, 237	20,042	3, 746	21, 198	19, 871	6, 471	13, 400
Nov	96, 802	76, 680	31, 217	20,340	3, 748	21, 375	20, 122	6, 614	13, 508
Dec ⁴	99, 100	77, 900	31, 300	21,200	3, 700	21, 700	21, 200	7, 600	13, 600

¹ Holdings of financial institutions only; holdings of retail outlets are included in "other consumer goods paper."
 ² Single-payment loans and service credit.
 ³ Year-end figures are annual statement asset values; month-end figures are book value of ledger assets. These loans are not included in consumer credit series.
 ⁴ Preliminary; December by Council of Economic Advisers.

Sources: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and Institute of Life Insurance (except as noted).

TABLE B-56.—Instalment	t credit	extended	and	repaid,	194667
------------------------	----------	----------	-----	---------	--------

Year or month	Τo	tal	Autor pa	nobile per	Other co goods	onsumer paper	Repair a ernizatio	nd mod- on loans	Personai Ioans	
tear or month	Ex-	Re-	Ex-	Re-	Ex-	Re-	Ex-	Re-	Ex-	Re-
	tended	paid	tended	paid	tended	paid	tended	paid	tended	paid
1946	8, 495	6, 785	1, 969	1, 443	3, 077	2, 603	423	200	3, 026	2, 539
1947	12, 713	10, 190	3, 692	2, 749	4, 498	3, 645	704	391	3, 819	3, 405
1948	15, 585	13, 284	5, 217	4, 123	5, 383	4, 625	714	579	4, 271	3, 957
1949	18, 108	15, 514	6, 967	5, 430	5, 865	5, 060	734	689	4, 542	4, 335
1950	21, 558	18, 445	8, 530	7,011	7, 150	6,057	835	717	5, 043	4, 660
1951	23, 576	22, 985	8, 956	9,058	7, 485	7,404	841	772	6, 294	5, 751
1952	29, 514	25, 405	11, 764	10,003	9, 186	7,892	1,217	917	7, 347	6, 593
1953	31, 558	27, 956	12, 981	10,879	9, 227	8,622	1,344	1,119	8, 006	7, 336
1954	31, 051	30, 488	11, 807	11,833	9, 117	9,145	1,261	1,255	8, 866	8, 255
1955	38, 972	33, 634	16, 734	13,082	10,642	9,752	1, 393	1,316	10, 203	9,484
1956	39, 868	37, 054	15, 515	14,555	11,721	10,756	1, 582	1,370	11, 051	10,373
1957	42, 016	39, 868	16, 465	15,545	11,807	11,569	1, 674	1,477	12, 069	11,276
1958	40, 119	40, 344	14, 226	15,415	11,747	11,563	1, 871	1,626	12, 275	11,741
1959	48, 052	42, 603	17, 779	15,579	13,982	12,402	2, 222	1,765	14, 070	12,857
1960	49, 560	45, 972	17,654	16, 384	14, 470	13, 574	2, 213	1,883	15, 223	14, 130
	48, 396	47, 700	16,007	16, 472	14, 578	14, 246	2, 068	2,015	15, 744	14, 967
	55, 126	50, 620	19,796	17, 478	15, 685	14, 939	2, 051	1,996	17, 594	16, 206
	61, 295	55, 171	22,292	19, 400	17, 102	15, 850	2, 198	2,038	19, 703	17, 883
	67, 505	61, 121	24,435	21, 676	19, 473	17, 737	2, 204	2,078	21, 393	19, 630
1965	75, 508	67, 495	27,914	24, 267	21, 454	19, 355	2,238	2,096	23, 902	21,777
1966	78, 896	72, 805	28,491	26, 373	23, 502	21, 361	2,136	2,060	24, 767	23,011
1967 1	81, 200	78, 000	27,200	26, 900	25, 700	24, 300	2,100	2,100	26, 200	24,700
					Seasonall	y adjusted	1	·	·	
1966: Jan	6, 544	5, 947	2, 340	2, 115	1,983	1,778	176	176	2,045	1,878
Feb	6, 492	5, 954	2, 340	2, 135	1,957	1,781	171	174	2,024	1,864
Mar	6, 673	6, 024	2, 479	2, 216	1,959	1,708	183	176	2,052	1,924
Apr	6, 505	5, 974	2, 302	2, 145	1,958	1,729	180	175	2,065	1,925
May	6, 472	5, 979	2, 298	2, 159	1,933	1,784	186	172	2,055	1,864
June	6, 675	6, 126	2, 419	2, 211	1,944	1,767	189	176	2,123	1,972
July	6, 732	6, 168	2, 383	2, 238	2,050	1,803	189	174	2, 110	1,953
Aug	6, 689	6, 087	2, 431	2, 223	1,995	1,792	187	172	2, 076	1,900
Sept	6, 578	6, 103	2, 387	2, 213	1,958	1,784	175	168	2, 058	1,938
Oct	6, 522	6, 142	2, 378	2, 244	1,941	1,820	166	169	2, 037	1,909
Nov	6, 657	6, 213	2, 461	2, 255	1,947	1,836	166	169	2, 083	1,953
Dec	6, 433	6, 112	2, 297	2, 225	1,928	1,796	159	161	2, 049	1,953
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	6, 501 6, 497 6, 510 6, 606 6, 554 6, 823	6, 221 6, 281 6, 246 6, 393 6, 361 6, 531	2,240 2,177 2,199 2,217 2,238 2,338	2, 202 2, 217 2, 193 2, 235 2, 219 2, 281	2,031 2,099 2,049 2,095 2,032 2,032 2,081	1,882 1,915 1,899 1,968 1,948 1,948 1,995	157 169 169 170 180 190	167 176 170 179 178 184	2,073 2,052 2,093 2,124 2,104 2,214	1,970 1,973 1,984 2,011 2,016 2,071
July	6, 776	6, 551	2, 266	2, 228	2, 147	2,074	175	175	2, 188	2,074
Aug	6, 929	6, 585	2, 285	2, 240	2, 212	2,079	175	171	2, 257	2,095
Sept	6, 973	6, 689	2, 322	2, 280	2, 234	2,106	166	178	2, 251	2,125
Oct	6, 942	6, 631	2, 321	2, 301	2, 165	2,093	171	170	2, 285	2,067
Nov	7, 032	6, 614	2, 305	2, 240	2, 242	2,105	180	177	2, 305	2,092
Dec 1	7, 075	6, 675	2, 350	2, 250	2, 250	2,125	175	175	2, 300	2,125

[Millions of dollars]

¹ Preliminary; December by Council of Economic Advisers.

Source: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (except as noted).

TABLE B-57.—Mortg	age debt	outstanding,	by type	of	property	and	of	financing,	1939–67
		[Billions	of dollars	i)					

		Nonfarm properties									
F \ f	All			1- to	4-family h	iouses		Mul comme	ti-family rcial prop	and erties ²	Farm
End of year or quarter	prop- erties	Total		Governn	nent unde	rwritten	<u></u>			Com-	prop- erties
			Total	Total	FHA in- sured	VA guar- anteed	ven- tional 1	Total	Multi- family	cial prop- erties	
1939	35. 5	28.9	16.3	1.8	1.8		14.5	12.5	5.6	7.0	6.6
1 940 1 941 1 942 1 943 1 944	36.5 37.6 36.7 35.3 34.7	30.0 31.2 30.8 29.9 29.7	17.4 18.4 18.2 17.8 17.9	2.3 3.0 3.7 4.1 4.2	2.3 3.0 3.7 4.1 4.2		15. 1 15. 4 14. 5 13. 7 13. 7	12.6 12.9 12.5 12.1 11.8	5.7 5.9 5.8 5.8 5.6	6.9 7.0 6.7 6.2	6.5 6.4 6.0 5.4 4.9
1 945 1 946 1 947 1 948 1 949	35.5 41.8 48.9 56.2 62.7	30. 8 36. 9 43. 9 50. 9 57. 1	18.6 23.0 28.2 33.3 37.6	4.3 6.1 9.3 12.5 15.0	4. 1 3. 7 3. 8 5. 3 6. 9	0.2 2.4 5.5 7.2 8.1	14.3 16.9 18.9 20.8 22.6	12.2 13.8 15.7 17.6 19.5	5.7 6.1 6.6 7.5 8.6	6.4 7.7 9.1 10.2 10.8	4.8 4.9 5.1 5.3 5.6
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	72.8 82.3 91.4 101.3 113.7	66.7 75.6 84.2 93.6 105.4	45.2 51.7 58.5 66.1 75.7	18.9 22.9 25.4 28.1 32.1	8.6 9.7 10.8 12.0 12.8	10. 3 13. 2 14. 6 16. 1 19. 3	26.3 28.8 33.1 38.0 43.6	21.6 23.9 25.7 27.5 29.7	10. 1 11. 5 12. 3 12. 9 13. 5	11.5 12.5 13.4 14.5 16.3	6.1 6.7 7.2 7.7 8.2
1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	129. 9 144. 5 156. 5 171. 8 190. 8	120. 9 134. 6 146. 1 160. 7 178. 7	88.2 99.0 107.6 117.7 130.9	38. 9 43. 9 47. 2 50. 1 53. 8	14. 3 15. 5 16. 5 19. 7 23. 8	24.6 28.4 30.7 30.4 30.0	49.3 55.1 60.4 67.6 77.0	32.6 35.6 38.5 43.0 47.9	14.3 14.9 15.3 16.8 18.7	18.3 20.7 23.2 26.1 29.2	9.0 9.8 10.4 11.1 12.1
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	206. 8 226. 3 248. 6 274. 3 300. 1	194. 0 212. 4 233. 4 257. 4 281. 2	141. 3 153. 1 166. 5 182. 2 197. 6	56.4 59.1 62.2 65.9 69.2	26. 7 29. 5 32. 3 35. 0 38. 3	29.7 29.6 29.9 30.9 30.9	84.8 93.9 104.3 116.3 128.3	52.7 59.3 66.9 75.3 83.6	20. 3 23. 0 25. 8 29. 0 33. 2	32.4 36.4 41.1 46.2 50.4	12.8 13.9 15.2 16.8 18.9
1965 1966 <i>¤</i> 1967 <i>¤_</i>	326. 3 347. 3 369. 1	305. 1 324. 0 344. 1	213.7 224.1 235.9	73.1 76.0	42.0 44.8	31. 1 31. 2	140.6 148.1	91.4 99.9 108.1	37.0 39.5 42.8	54. 4 60. 4 65. 4	21. 2 23. 3 25. 0
1964: V	279. 4 286. 5 293. 4 300. 1	262. 1 268. 4 274. 9 281. 2	185.4 189.8 193.9 197.6	66.6 67.3 68.4 69.2	35.7 36.3 37.4 38.3	31. 0 30. 9 31. 1 30. 9	118.8 122.5 125.4 128.3	76.7 78.7 81.1 83.6	29. 8 30. 9 32. 1 33. 2	46. 9 47. 8 49. 0 50. 4	17.3 18.1 18.5 18.9
1965: V	305.3 312.5 319.5 326.3	285. 9 292. 3 298. 8 305, 1	200. 7 205. 1 209. 6 213. 7	70. 1 70. 7 72. 0 73. 1	39. 0 39. 7 40. 9 42. 0	31.1 31.0 31.1 31.1	130.6 134.4 137.5 140.6	85.2 87.2 89.3 91.4	34. 0 34. 9 36. 0 37. 0	51. 1 52. 3 53. 3 54. 4	19.5 20.2 20.7 21.2
1966: p p 1 p 1 p V p	332. 3 338. 8 343. 5 347. 3	310, 5 316, 3 320, 5 324, 0	216.9 220.2 222.4 224.1	74. 1 74. 6 75. 4 76. 0	43. 0 43. 7 44. 4 44. 8	31.1 30.9 31.0 31.2	142.7 145.7 147.0 148.1	93.7 96.1 98.2 99.9	37.8 38.5 39.1 39.5	55.8 57.6 59.1 60.4	21. 8 22. 5 23. 0 23. 3
1967: [p [p []p Vp	350, 7 356, 2 362, 4 369, 1	327. 0 332. 0 337. 8 344. 1	225. 5 228. 3 232. 1 235. 9	76. 4 77. 2 78. 3	45. 2 45. 7 46. 6	31. 2 31. 5 31. 7	149. 0 151. 1 153. 8	101. 5 103. 7 105. 7 108. 1	40. 2 41. 0 41. 8 42. 8	61. 3 62. 7 63. 9 65. 4	23. 7 24. 2 24. 6 25. 0

¹ Derived figures. ² Includes negligible amount of farm loans held by savings and loan associations.

Source: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, estimated and compiled from data supplied by various Government and private organizations.

TABLE B-58. --- Net public and private debt, 1929-671 [Billions of dollars]

								Pri	vate				
		Fed-	Chala			Corporat	e		Indiv	vidual an	d noncor	porate	
End of	Totai	eral Gov- ern-	and iocal								Non	farm	
year 2		ment and agency	gov- ern- ment ²	Total	Total	Long- term	Short- term	Total	Farm ³	Total	Mort- gage	Com- mer- cial and finan- cial 4	Con- sumer
1929	190.9	16.5	13.2	161. 2	88.9	47.3	41.6	72.3	12.2	60.1	31. 2	22. 4	6.4
1930	191. 0	16.5	14. 1	160. 4	89.3	51. 1	38. 2	71.1	11.8	59.3	32. 0	21.6	5.8
1931	181. 9	18.5	15. 5	147. 9	83.5	50. 3	33. 2	64.4	11.1	53.3	30. 9	17.6	4.8
1932	174. 6	21.3	16. 6	136. 7	80.0	49. 2	30. 8	56.7	10.1	46.6	29. 0	14.0	3.6
1933	168. 5	24.3	16. 7	127. 5	76.9	47. 9	29. 1	50.6	9.1	41.5	26. 3	11.7	3.5
1933	171. 4	30.4	15. 9	125. 1	75.5	44. 6	30. 9	49.6	8.9	40.6	25. 5	11.2	3.9
1935	174.7	34. 4	16.0	124. 2	74.8	43.6	31, 2	49. 4	8.9	40. 5	24. 8	10, 8	4.9
1936	180.3	37. 7	16.2	126. 4	76.1	42.5	33, 5	50. 3	8.6	41. 7	24. 4	11, 2	6.1
1937	182.0	39. 2	16.1	126. 7	75.8	43.5	32, 3	50. 9	8.6	42. 3	24. 3	11, 3	6.7
1938	179.6	40. 5	16.0	123. 1	73.3	44.8	28, 4	49. 8	9.0	40. 9	24. 5	10, 1	6.3
1939	183.2	42. 6	16.3	124. 3	73.5	44.4	29, 2	50. 8	8.8	42. 0	25. 0	9, 8	7.2
1940	189.9	44.8	16.5	128.6	75.6	43.7	31.9	53.0	9.1	43.9	26. 1	9.5	8.3
1941	211.6	56.3	16.3	139.0	83.4	43.6	39.8	55.6	9.3	46.3	27. 1	10.0	9.2
1942	259.0	101.7	15.8	141.5	91.6	42.7	49.0	49.9	9.0	40.9	26. 8	8.1	6.0
1943	313.6	154.4	14.9	144.3	95.5	41.0	54.5	48.8	8.2	40.5	26. 1	9.5	4.9
1944	370.8	211.9	14.1	144.8	94.1	39.8	54.3	50.7	7.7	42.9	26. 0	11.8	5.1
1945	406. 3	252.7	13.7	139. 9	85.3	38.3	47.0	54.6	7.3	47. 4	27.0	14.7	5.7
1946	397. 4	229.7	13.6	154. 1	93.5	41.3	52.2	60.6	7.6	53. 0	32.5	12.1	8.4
1947	417. 4	223.3	14.4	179. 7	108.9	46.1	62.8	70.8	8.6	62. 3	38.8	11.9	11.6
1948	433. 6	216.5	16.2	200. 9	117.8	52.5	65.3	83.1	10.8	72. 4	45.1	12.9	14.4
1948	448. 4	218.6	18.1	211. 7	118.0	56.5	61.5	93.7	12.0	81. 8	50.6	13.9	17.3
1950	490. 3	218. 7	20. 7	250. 9	142.1	60. 1	81.9	108.8	12.3	96.6	59.4	15.8	21. 4
1951	524. 0	218. 5	23. 3	282. 2	162.5	66. 6	95.9	119.7	13.6	106.2	67.4	16.2	22. 6
1952	555. 2	222. 9	25. 8	306. 5	171.0	73. 3	97.7	135.5	15.2	120.4	75.2	17.8	27. 4
1953	586. 5	228. 1	28. 6	329. 8	179.5	78. 3	101.2	150.3	16.9	133.6	83.8	18.4	31. 4
1954	612. 0	230. 2	33. 4	348. 4	182.8	82. 9	100.0	165.6	17.6	147.9	94.6	20.8	32. 5
1955	672. 3	231. 5	38. 4	402.5	212. 1	90. 0	122. 2	190. 4	18.8	171.6	108, 7	24. 0	38.9
1956	707. 5	225. 4	42. 7	439.4	231. 7	100. 1	131. 7	207. 7	19.5	188.2	121, 3	24. 4	42.5
1957	738. 9	224. 4	46. 7	467.8	246. 7	112. 1	134. 6	221. 1	20.3	200.8	131, 6	24. 3	44.8
1958	782. 6	232. 7	50. 9	499.1	259. 5	121. 2	138. 4	239. 5	23.3	216.2	144, 6	26. 5	45.1
1959	846. 2	243. 2	55. 6	547.4	283. 3	129. 3	154. 0	264. 1	23.0	241.1	160, 8	28. 7	51.5
1960	890. 2	241. 0	60. 0	589.2	302. 8	139. 1	163.6	286. 4	25. 1	261. 4	174, 5	30. 8	56. 0
1961	947. 7	248. 1	65. 0	634.6	324. 3	149. 3	175.0	310. 3	27. 5	282. 8	190, 4	34. 8	57. 7
1962	1, 016. 7	257. 5	73. 7	685.5	348. 2	161. 2	187.0	337. 3	30. 2	307. 1	206, 3	37. 6	63. 2
1963	1, 089. 5	262. 4	79. 5	747.6	376. 1	174. 4	201.7	371. 5	33. 2	338. 3	225, 5	42. 3	70. 5
1964	1, 166. 4	269. 4	85. 2	811.8	407. 7	192. 9	214.8	404. 1	36. 0	368. 1	244, 4	45. 4	78. 4
1965	1,257.6	272.5	95. 1	890. 0	451. 2	211.3	239.9	438. 8	39.3	399. 5	263. 2	48. 3	87.9
1966	1,344.9	278.7	100. 9	965. 2	497. 2	232.4	264.8	468. 0	42.1	425. 9	278. 5	52. 6	94.8
1967 ^s	1,430.3	289.9	110. 1	1, 030. 3	533. 0	261.4	271.6	497. 3	45.4	451. 9	294. 0	58. 8	99.1

¹ Net public and private debt outstanding is a comprehensive aggregate of the indebtedness of borrowers after elimina-tion of certain types of duplicating governmental and corporate debt. For a further explanation of the concept, see "Survey of Current Business," October 1950.

⁶¹ Current business, October 1950.
 ⁶² Data for State and local government debt are for June 30.
 ⁶³ Farm mortgages and farm production loans, Farmers' financial and consumer debt is included in the nonfarm categories.
 ⁶⁴ Financial debt is debt owed to banks for purchasing or carrying securities, customers' debt to brokers, and debt owed to life insurance companies by policyholders.

5 Estimates.

Note.—Revisions for 1929-39 and 1955-57 in the consumer credit data of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System have not yet been fully incorporated into this series.

Sources: Department of Commerce (Office of Business Economics and Bureau of the Census), Treasury Department, Department of Agriculture, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, and Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

GOVERNMENT FINANCE

TABLE B-59.—Federal budget receipts, outlays, financing, and debt, 1958-69

Description	Actual						
	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	
Receipts, expenditures, and net lending: 1							
Receipt-expenditure account: Receipts Expenditures (excludes net lending) Expenditure deficit (-)	79,617 81,177 1,560	79, 048 89, 693 —10, 645	92, 481 90, 385 2, 096	94, 393 96, 717 2, 324	99, 656 104, 660 5, 004	106, 578 111, 465 	
Loan account: Loan disbursements Loan repayments Net lending	6, 520 4, 976 1, 544	7, 859 5, 201 2, 659	8, 310 6, 427 1, 882	7,869 6,671 1,198	9, 621 7, 271 2, 351	9,646 9,791 —145	
Total budget: Receipts Expenditures and net lending	7 9, 6 17 82, 720	79, 048 92, 352	92, 481 92, 268	94, 393 97, 915	99,656 107,011	106, 578 111, 320	
Budget deficit (—)	-3,103	-13, 304	213	—3, 522	-7, 355	-4, 742	
Budget financing: 1 Borrowing from the public Reduction in cash balances, etc Total budget financing	6,607 —3,504 3,103	8, 331 4, 973 13, 304	1,777 -1,990 -213	1, 143 2, 379 3, 522	9, 453 -2, 098 7, 355	5, 971 1, 229 4, 742	
Outstanding debt, end of year: 1 Gross a mount outstanding Held by the public	279, 147 225, 972	286, 666 234, 303	289, 243 236, 080	290, 991 237, 223	301, 074 246, 676	308, 488 252, 647	

See footnotes at end of table.

Description	Actual				Estimate	
	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969
Receipts, expenditures, and net lending: 1						
Receipt-expenditure account: Receipts Expenditures (excludes net lending)	112, 702 118, 122	116, 855 116, 718	130, 901 130, 740	1 49, 59 1 153, 238	155, 830 169, 856	178, 108 182, 797
Expenditure deficit (-)	5, 420	137	161	3, 647	-14, 026	-4, 689
Loan account: Loan disbursements Loan repayments Net lending	10, 237 9, 693 545	10, 911 9, 662 1, 249	14, 628 10, 796 3, 832	17, 787 12, 611 5, 176	20, 869 15, 091 5, 779	20, 372 17, 106 3, 265
Total budget: Receipts Expenditures and net lending Budget deficit (—)	112, 702 118, 667 5, 965	116, 855 117, 966 1, 111	130, 901 134, 572 —3, 671	149, 591 158, 414 —8, 823	155, 830 175, 635 —19, 805	178, 108 186, 062 7, 954
Budget financing: 1 Borrowing from the public. Reduction in cash balances, etc Total, budget financing	2, 978 2, 987 5, 965	3, 953 -2, 842 1, 111	6, 031 —2, 360 3, 671	3, 551 5, 272 8, 823	20, 840 —1, 035 19, 805	8, 000 —46 7, 954
Outstanding debt, end of year: 1 Gross amount outstanding Held by the public	314, 377 255, 625	320, 806 259, 578	329, 473 265, 609	341, 343 269, 160	369, 993 290, 000	387, 167 298, 000

TABLE B-59.—Federal budget receipts, outlays, financing, and debt, 1958-69. Continued [Millions of dollars; fiscal years]

¹ Data represent results of preliminary adjustments to new budget concepts used in the "Budget of the United States Government for the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1969" and may be revised later.

Sources: Treasury Department and Bureau of the Budget.

TABLE B-60.—Federal budget receipts and outlays, 1958-69

[Millions of dollars; fiscal years]

Description	Actual						
	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	
Receipts	79, 617	79, 048	92, 481	94, 393	99, 656	106, 578	
Individual income taxes Corporation income taxes Employment taxes Unemployment insurance Premiume for other insurance and retire.	34, 724 20, 074 8, 624 1, 924	36, 719 17, 309 8, 821 2, 131	40,715 21,494 11,248 2,668	41, 338 20, 955 12, 679 2, 904	45, 571 20, 523 12, 835 3, 337	47, 588 21, 579 14, 746 4, 112	
ment. Excise taxes. Estate and gift taxes. Customs. Other receipts.	682 10, 638 1, 393 781 777	769 10,578 1,333 925 463	768 11,676 1,606 1,105 1,200	866 11, 860 1, 896 982 913	873 12, 534 2, 016 1, 142 825	944 13, 194 2, 167 1, 206 1, 042	
MEMORANDUM:							
(Excluded above; offset against expendi- tures)				1			
Interfund and intergovernmental transac- tions Proprietary receipts from the public	} 4, 119	5, 330	5, 309	6, 508	5, 654	7, 099	
Expenditures	81,177	89, 693	90, 385	96, 717	104, 660	111, 465	
National defense International affairs and finance Space research and technology Agriculture and agricultural resources Natural resources Commerce and transportation Housing and community development Health, labor, and welfare Education Veterans benefits and services Interest General government Special allowances	44, 461 2, 912 89 2, 541 1, 203 2, 922 -36 15, 763 375 5, 076 6, 936 1, 010	46, 667 2, 790 145 4, 718 1, 233 4, 367 30 18, 019 550 5, 183 7, 070 1, 159	45, 848 3, 310 2, 893 1, 084 4, 643 21 19, 105 659 5, 063 8, 299 1, 332	47, 532 3, 242 744 2, 877 1, 626 4, 929 157 22, 368 2, 368 5, 392 8, 108 1, 508	51, 179 4, 034 1, 257 3, 491 1, 736 5, 193 160 23, 963 842 5, 378 8, 321 1, 653	52, 275 4, 279 2, 552 4, 398 1, 607 5, 516 193 25, 677 953 5, 666 9, 215 1, 799	
Undistributed adjustments to amounts above	-2, 076	- 2, 239	-2,272	2, 506	-2, 547		
Net lending	1, 544	2,659	1, 882	1,198	2,351		
National defense International affairs and finance Agriculture and agricultural resources Natural resources Commerce and transportation Housing and community development Health Labor and welfare	1 433 472 3 56 165	12 418 700 6 71 1,064	-7 -235 457 11 27 1,078	41 127 462 18 74 64	528 648 21 193 490	64 95 731 18 145 1,012	
Education Veterans benefits and services General government	165 261 12	180 245 14	204 363 —15	201 296 3	231 248 8	288 146 11	
Total expenditures and net lending	82, 720	92, 352	92, 268	97, 915	107, 011	111, 320	

See Note at end of table.

TABLE B-60.—Federal budget receipts and outlays, 1958-69—Continued

[Millions of dollars; fiscal years]

Description	Actual				Estimate	
	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969
Receipts	112, 702	116, 855	130, 901	149, 591	155, 830	178, 108
Individual income taxes Corporation income taxes Employment taxes Unemployment insurance Premiume for other insurance and retire	48, 697 23, 492 16, 959 4, 045	48, 792 25, 461 17, 358 3, 819	55, 446 30, 073 20, 662 3, 777	61,526 33,971 27,823 3,652	67,700 31,300 29,730 3,660	80, 900 34, 300 34, 154 3, 594
Excise taxes	1,006 13,731 2,394 1,252 1,126	1,079 14,570 2,716 1,442 1,617	1, 126 13, 061 3, 066 1, 767 1, 923	1,853 13,719 2,978 1,901 2,168	2,049 13,848 3,100 2,000 2,443	2,275 14,671 3,400 2,070 2,744
MEMORANDUM:						
(Excluded above; offset against expendi- tures)						
Interfund and intergovernmental transac- tions Proprietary receipts from the public	} 6, 655	6, 761	7, 592	6, 588 4, 948	7, 415 4, 430	8, 241 4, 617
Expenditures	118, 122	116, 715	130, 740	153, 238	169,856	182, 797
National defense. International affairs and finances. Space research and technology Agriculture and agricultural resources. Natural resources. Commerce and transportation. Housing and community development. Health, labor, and welfare. Education. Veterans benefits and services. Interest. General government. Special allowances.	53,682 4,434 4,171 4,545 2,042 6,283 151 27,201 1,109 5,552 9,810 2,072	49, 586 4, 196 5, 091 4, 032 2, 140 7, 043 116 28, 143 1, 309 5, 634 10, 358 2, 231	56, 771 4, 343 5, 932 2, 764 2, 167 6, 789 442 33, 194 2, 449 5, 707 11, 285 2, 316	70, 095 4, 110 5, 424 3, 156 2, 113 7, 308 578 39, 512 3, 602 6, 366 12, 548 2, 452	76, 491 4, 330 4, 804 4, 412 2, 416 7, 695 698 46, 396 4, 157 6, 798 13, 535 2, 618 100	79, 792 4, 478 4, 574 4, 474 2, 483 7, 996 1, 428 51, 945 4, 364 7, 131 14, 400 2, 827 1, 950
above	2, 931	-3, 164	—3, 421	-4, 022	-4, 591	5, 049
Net lending	545	1, 249	3, 832	5, 176	5, 779	3, 265
National defense International affairs and finance Agriculture and agricultural resources Natural resources Commerce and transportation Housing and community development Housing and community development Health, labor, and welfare Education Veterans benefits and services General government	$\begin{array}{r} -31 \\ -283 \\ 642 \\ 23 \\ 139 \\ -301 \\ 2 \\ 225 \\ 129 \\ -1 \end{array}$	-3 -21 777 16 275 -147 19 229 88 88 16	1 100 911 193 1,984 32 376 214 5	3 540 1, 221 19 138 1, 708 572 445 532 2	-2 716 899 16 158 3, 257 21 384 370 -40	-4 675 1,135 7 125 1,355 -538 335 211 -37
Total expenditures and net lending	118, 667	117 , 96 6	134, 572	158, 414	175, 635	186,062

Note.—New budget concepts in the "Budget of the United States Government for the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1969," are used in this table.

Sources: Bureau of the Budget and Treasury Department.

TABLE B-61.—Relation of the receipt-expenditure accounts of the Federal Government to the Federal sector of the national income and product accounts, 1967–69

Receipts and Expenditures	1967 actual	1968 estimate	1969 estimate	
RECEIPTS				
Total receipts, budget	149.6	155. 8	178.1	
Employer share, employee retirement Other netting and grossing Adjustment to accruals Other	1.7 1.1 4.8	1.9 1.2 2.2	2.0 1.2 1.1	
Federal sector, national income and product accounts, receipts	147.6	161.1	182. 5	
EXPENDITURES				
Total expenditures, budget	153. 2	169. 9	182.8	
Employer share, employee, retirement Other netting and grossing Defense timing adjustment. Lending in the expenditure account Dollar expenditures to finance agricultural exports Other	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.7\\ 1.1\\4\\ -1.4\\8\\ 1.6 \end{array} $	1.9 1.2 .3 -1.7 7 .2	2.0 1.2 .4 -2.1 5 1.1	
Federal sector, national income and product accounts, expenditures	155.1	171.1	185.0	

[Billions of dollars; fiscal years]

Note.—See Special Analysis B, "Budget of the United States Government for the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1969," for description of these categories.

Sources: Bureau of the Budget and Department of Commerce (Office of Business Economics).
		F	Receipts					Ex	penditu	res			Sur- plus
				Indi-				Trar payn	nsfer nents			Subsi- dies less	or defi- cit
Year or quarter	Total	Per- sonal tax and non- tax re- ceipts	Cor- po- rate profits tax ac- cruals	busi- ness tax and non- tax ac- cru- als	Con- tribu- tions for social insur- ance	Total	Pur- chases of goods and serv- ices	To per- sons	To for- eign- ers (net)	Grants- in-aid to State and local govern- ments	Net in- ter- est paid	cur- rent sur- plus of gov- ern- ment enter- prises	na- tion- al in- come and prod- uct ac- counts
Fiscal year:													
1946 1947 1948 1949	38.4 42.7 43.6 40.0	16.9 18.8 20.0 16.3	8.3 10.6 11.2 11.0	7.4 7.9 7.9 8.0	5.8 5.5 4.6 4.8	55.5 29.5 30.9 39.6	40.1 13.0 13.2 19.3	8.3 8.7 8.1	1.8 2.6 5.0	0.9 1.5 1.8 2.1	3.7 4.2 4.2 4.3	2.1 .7 .5 .8	-17.1 13.2 12.7 .4
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	42.0 60.8 65.1 69.3 65.8	16.5 23.2 28.8 31.4 30.3	11.9 21.5 19.3 19.7 17.3	8.2 9.5 9.7 10.7 10.4	5.5 6.6 7.3 7.5 7.8	42. 4 44. 6 66. 0 75. 8 74. 2	19.0 25.1 46.6 56.1 53.2	11.3 8.1 8.5 9.3 10.5	4.3 3.1 2.6 2.1 1.7	2.4 2.4 2.5 2.8 2.9	4.4 4.6 4.8 4.8 5.0	1.0 1.3 1.1 .9 1.0	5 16.2 1.0 6.5 8.5
1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	67.2 75.8 80.7 77.9 85.4	29.7 33.6 36.7 36.3 38.2	18.7 21.1 20.6 17.8 21.5	10.0 10.8 11.7 11.6 11.9	8.7 10.2 11.7 12.2 13.8	67.3 69.8 76.0 83.1 90.9	43.9 45.2 47.7 50.7 54.7	12.1 12.8 14.4 17.8 19.8	2.1 1.8 1.9 1.7 1.8	3.0 3.2 3.7 4.7 6.2	4.9 5.1 5.5 5.7 5.9	1.3 1.7 2.8 2.5 2.4	1 6.0 4.7 -5.1 -5.5
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966	94.8 95.3 104.2 110.2 115.5 120.6 132 9	42.5 43.6 47.3 49.6 50.7 51.3 57.5	22.3 20.3 22.9 23.5 25.7 27.8	13.2 13.3 14.2 15.0 15.6 16.9	16.7 18.1 19.9 22.1 23.5 24.5 28.6	91.3 98.0 106.4 111.4 116.9 118.3 121.9	52.7 55.5 60.9 63.4 65.7 64.3 71.7	20.6 23.6 25.1 26.4 27.3 28.3	1.8 2.1 2.1 2.2 2.2 2.1	6.8 6.9 7.6 8.4 9.8 10.9	7.0 6.8 6.8 7.5 8.1 8.5	2.3 3.2 3.8 3.6 3.8 4.1	3.5 -2.7 -2.1 -1.2 -1.4 2.3
1967 1968 ¹ 1969 ¹ Calendar vear:	147.6 161.1 182.5	64.6 71.0 83.8	31.4 34.3 37.2	15.9 17.1 18.1	35.7 38.7 43.4	155. 1 171. 1 185. 0	84.5 92.8 99.4	37.7 44 49	2.1 .9 .9	15. 4 18. 0 20. 0	10, 1 10, 7 11, 2	4.5 5.3 4.6 4.5	-7.5 -10.0 -2.5
1946 1947 1948 1949	39.1 43.2 43.3 38.9	17.2 19.6 19.0 16.1	8.6 10.7 11.8 9.8	7.8 7.8 8.0 8.0	5.5 5.1 4.5 4.9	35.6 29.8 34.9 41.3	17.2 12.5 16.5 20.1	9.2 8.8 7.6 8.7	2.2 1.9 3.8 5.1	1.1 1.7 2.0 2.2	4.2 4.2 4.3 4.4	1.6 .6 .7 .8	3.5 13.4 8.4 2.4
1950 1951 1953 1954 1955 1955 1955 1957 1958	49.9 64.0 67.2 70.0 63.8 72.1 77.6 81.6 78.7	18.1 26.1 31.0 32.2 29.0 31.4 35.2 37.4 36.8	17.0 21.5 18.5 19.5 17.0 20.6 20.2 18.0	8.9 9.4 10.3 10.9 9.7 10.7 11.2 11.8 11.5	5.9 7.1 7.4 7.4 8.1 9.3 10.6 12.2 12.4	40.8 57.8 71.0 77.0 69.7 68.1 71.9 79.6 88.9	18.4 37.7 51.8 57.0 47.4 44.1 45.6 49.5 53.6	10.8 8.5 8.8 9.5 11.5 12.4 13.4 15.7 19.5	3.6 3.1 2.1 2.0 1.8 2.0 1.9 1.8 1.8	2.3 2.5 2.6 2.9 3.1 3.3 4.6	4.5 4.7 4.9 5.0 4.9 5.3 5.7 5.4	1.2 1.3 1.0 .8 1.1 1.5 2.4 2.6 2.7	9.1 6.2 3.8 7.0 -5.9 4.0 5.7 2.1 10.2
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967	96. 5 98. 3 106. 4 114. 5 115. 0 124. 8 143. 2 151. 5	43.6 44.7 48.6 51.5 48.6 53.8 61.7 66.5	22. 3 21. 7 21. 8 22. 7 24. 6 26. 4 29. 3 32. 3 30. 7	12. 5 13. 5 13. 6 14. 6 15. 3 16. 1 16. 5 15. 9 16. 6	14.8 17.7 18.2 20.5 23.1 23.8 25.2 33.3 37.7	93. 0 102. 1 110. 3 113. 9 118. 1 123. 4 142. 9 164. 1	53.7 53.5 57.4 63.4 64.2 65.2 66.8 77.0 89.9	20.1 21.5 24.9 25.5 27.0 27.8 30.3 33.7 40.7	1.8 1.9 2.1 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.3 2.3	6.5 7.2 8.0 9.1 10.4 11.2 14.8 15.8	7.1 6.6 7.2 7.7 8.3 8.7 9.5 10.4	2.5 3.8 4.0 3.6 4.2 4.3 5.4 5.2	-1.2 -3.8 -3.8 -3.8 -3.0 1.4 -12.6
Calendar quar- ter:					Se	asonally	adjusted	annual	rates				
1965: I II IV 1966: I II II	123.4 124.9 123.4 127.6 137.0 141.6 145.6	52.9 54.5 53.3 54.6 57.7 60.9 63.1	28.3 28.9 29.0 30.9 32.2 32.2 32.4	17.5 16.5 15.7 16.3 15.2 15.9 16.2	24.7 24.9 25.3 25.8 31.9 32.5 34.0	118.9 119.9 126.6 128.0 134.8 138.4 146.3	64.3 65.4 67.6 69.8 72.1 74.9 79.5	29.2 28.4 32.5 30.9 32.5 31.9 33.7	2.0 2.4 2.2 2.8 2.3 2.3 2.3	10.4 10.7 11.3 12.2 13.8 14.6 15.3	8.6 8.6 8.7 9.1 9.4 9.6	4.4 4.3 4.2 4.6 5.3 6.0	4.5 4.9 -3.2 4 2.2 3.2
1967: V	148.6 149.1 148.1 152.7	65.2 65.5 64.0 67.5 69.0	32. 3 30. 3 30. 3 30. 6	16.3 16.2 16.5 16.7 17.0	34.7 37.0 37.2 38.0 38.6	151.9 160.9 162.8 165.9 167.5	81. 5 87. 1 89. 5 90. 9 92. 0	36.9 40.0 40.3 41.2 41.4	1.9 2.2 2.0 2.3 2.1	15.6 15.6 15.3 16.0 16.4	10. 0 10. 4 10. 4 10. 5 10. 7	5.9 5.6 5.3 5.0 4.9	-3.3 -11.9 -14.7 -13.2

TABLE B-62. Receipts and expenditures of the Federal sector of the national income and product accounts, 1946-69 [Billions of dollars]

¹ Estimates. Note.—Includes the transactions of the trust accounts and excludes certain financial transactions. Corporate profits taxes are included in receipts on an accrual basis; expenditures are timed with the delivery; and CCC guaranteed price-support crop loans financed by banks are counted as expenditures when the loans are made, not when CCC redeems them. See Table B-61. Sources: Department of Commerce (Office of Business Economics) and Bureau of the Budget.

TABLE B-63.--Federal finances under the old concepts, fiscal years 1929-69

	Admi	nistrative b	udget	Cash recei	Gross public debt at		
Fiscal year	Net receipts	Expendi- tures	Surplus or deficit (—)	Cash receipts	Cash payments	Excess of receipts or of pay- ments (—)	end of year and guar- anteed issues
1929	3, 86 1	3, 127	734				16, 931
1930	4, 058 3, 116 1, 924 1, 997 3, 015 3, 706 3, 997 4, 956 5, 588 4, 979	3, 320 3, 577 4, 659 4, 598 6, 645 6, 497 8, 422 7, 733 6, 765 8, 841	738 -462 -2, 735 -2, 602 -3, 630 -2, 791 -4, 425 -2, 777 -1, 177 -3, 862				16, 185 16, 801 19, 487 22, 539 27, 734 32, 824 38, 497 41, 089 42, 018 45, 890
1940	5, 137 7, 096 12, 547 21, 947 43, 563 344, 362 39, 650 39, 677 41, 375 37, 663	9, 055 13, 255 34, 037 79, 368 94, 986 98, 303 60, 326 38, 923 32, 955 39, 474	-3,918 -6,159 -21,490 -57,420 -51,423 -53,941 -20,676 754 8,419 -1,811	47, 800 50, 200 43, 537 43, 531 45, 357 41, 576	94,000 95,200 61,738 36,931 36,493 40,570		48, 497 55, 332 76, 991 140, 796 202, 626 259, 115 269, 898 258, 376 252, 366 252, 798
1950	36, 422 47, 480 61, 287 64, 671 64, 420 60, 209 67, 850 70, 562 68, 550 67, 915	39, 544 43, 970 65, 303 74, 120 67, 537 64, 389 66, 224 68, 966 71, 369 80, 342	-3, 122 3, 510 -4, 017 -9, 449 -3, 117 -4, 180 1, 626 1, 596 -2, 819 -12, 427	40, 940 53, 390 68, 011 71, 495 71, 626 67, 836 77, 087 82, 105 81, 892 81, 660	43, 147 45, 797 67, 962 76, 769 71, 858 70, 537 72, 546 80, 006 83, 472 94, 752	2, 207 7, 593 49 5, 274 232 -2, 702 4, 542 2, 099 1, 580 13, 092	257, 377 255, 251 259, 151 266, 123 271, 341 274, 418 272, 825 270, 634 276, 444 284, 817
1960	77, 763 77, 659 81, 409 86, 376 89, 459 93, 072 104, 727 115, 849 118, 575 135, 587	76, 539 81, 515 87, 787 92, 642 97, 684 96, 507 106, 978 125, 718 137, 182 147, 363	1, 224 -3, 856 -6, 378 -6, 266 -8, 226 -3, 435 -2, 251 -9, 869 -18, 607 -11, 776	95, 078 97, 242 101, 865 109, 739 115, 530 119, 699 153, 596 158, 823 181, 146	94, 328 99, 542 107, 662 113, 751 120, 332 122, 395 137, 818 155, 142 175, 981 188, 725	750 -2, 300 -5, 797 -4, 012 -2, 696 -3, 337 -1, 546 -17, 157 -7, 579	286, 471 289, 211 298, 645 306, 466 312, 526 317, 864 320, 369 326, 733 351, 599 363, 540

[Millions of dollars]

¹ Estimates.

Note.—The old concepts in this table are those used in budgets of the U.S. Government for years prior to fiscal 1969. Sources: Bureau of the Budget and Treasury Department.

	(Dillions o		Interes	-bearing put	lic debt	
End of year or month	Gross public debt and	Marketat iss	ble public ues	Nonma public	rketable issues	
	guar- anteed issues 1	Short- term issues ²	Treasury bonds	United States savings bonds	Invest- ment bonds 3	Special issues 4
1929	16. 3	3. 3	11.3			0.6
1930	16.0	2.9	11.3			. 8
1931	17.8	2.8	13.5			. 4
1933	24.0	7.5	14.7			.4
1934	31.5	11.1	15.4			. 6
1935	35.1	14.2	14.3	0.2		
1937	41.9	12.5	20.5	1.0		2.2
1938	44.4	9.8	24.0	1.4		3. 2
1939	47.6	7.7	26.9	2.2		4. 2
1940	50.9	7.5	· 28.0	3.2		5.4
1941	64.3	8.0	33.4	6.1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7.0
1943	170.1	47.1	67.9	27.4		12.7
1944	232.1	69.9	91.6	40.4		16.3
1945	278.7	78.2	120.4	48.2		20.0
1940	259.5	37.1 477	117.9	52 1	1 0	24.0
1948	252.9	45.9	111.4	55.1	i.ŏ	31.7
1949	257.2	50. 2	104.8	56.7	1.0	33. 9
1950	256.7	58.3	94.0	58.0	1.0	33.7
1951	259.5	65.6	/6.9	57.6	13.0	35.9
1952	275.2	77.3	77.2	57.7	12.9	41.2
1954	278.8	76.0	81.8	57.7	12.7	42.6
1955	280.8	81.3	81.9	57.9	12.3	43.9
1990	275.0	/9.5 82 1	80.8	52 5	11.5	45.6
1958	283.0	92.2	83.4	51.2	9.0	44.8
1959	290.9	103.5	84.8	48.2	7.6	43.5
1960	290.4	109.2	79. 8	47.2	6.2	44.3
1961	296.5	120.5	75.5	47.5	5.1	43.5
1963	310.1	124.0	86.4	47.5	4.4	43.4
1964	318.7	115.5	97.0	49.7	3.4	46.1
1965	321.4	110.4	104.2	50.3	2.8	46.3
1960	329.8	118.9	99.2	51.6	2.7	57.0
1966 · Jan	322 4	113 5	104.2	50.3	2.0	44 4
Feb	323.7	114.5	103.2	50.3	2.8	45.8
Mar	321.5	112.0	103.1	50.4	2.8	46.0
Apr	320.1	111.9	103.1	50.4	2.7	44.9
June	320.4	107.2	101.9	50.5	2.7	51.1
July	319, 8	107.2	101.9	50,6	2.7	50.7
Aug	324.9	110.8	100.6	50.6	2.7	53.2
Sept	325.2	111.3	100.5	50.6	2.7	53. I 51 Q
Nov	329.9	118.1	99.2	50.8	2.7	52.5
Dec	329.8	118.9	99.2	50.8	2.7	52.0
1967: Jan	329.4	119.7	99.1	50.8	2.7	51.3
reb Mar	330.1	120.2	99.1	50.9	2.6	51.5
Apr	328.3	118, 1	99.0	51.0	2.6	51.6
May	331.4	118.8	97.9	51.1	2.6	55. 2
June	326.7	113. 3	97.4	51.2	2.6	56.2
July	331.2	117.6	97.4	51.3	2.6	56.2
Aug	336.4	120.9	97.4	51.4	2.6	58.3
Oct.	341.0	121.3	97.3	51.4	2.6	57.2
Nov	345.6	130.8	95. 3	51.6	2.6	57.4
Dec	345. 2	131. 2	95.2	51.6	2.6	57. <u>2</u>

TABLE B-64.-U.S. Government debt, by kind of obligation, 1929-57 I Dillions of doll

¹ Total includes non-interest-bearing debt, fully guaranteed securities (except those held by the Treasury), Postal Savings bonds, prewar bonds, adjusted service bonds, depositary bonds, Armed Forces leave bonds, Rural Electrification Administration series bonds, foreign series certificates and notes, foreign currency certificates, notes and bonds, Treasury certificates, and U.S. retirement plan bonds, not shown separately. Not all of total shown is subject to statutory debt limitation.
 ² Bills, certificates of indebtedness, and notes.
 ³ Series A bonds through September 1965 and, beginning April 1951, series B convertible bonds.
 ⁴ Issued to U.S. Government investment accounts. These accounts also held \$18.8 billion of public marketable and nonmarkatable issues an December 31 1967.

nonmarketable issues on December 31, 1967.

Note.-See Note, Table B-66. Source: Treasury Department.

			6	ross publ	ic debt an	and guaranteed issues 2						
		Held				Held	by "the p	ublic''				
End of year or month	Total	by U.S. Govern- ment invest- ment ac- counts	Held by Federal Reserve banks	Total	Com- mercial banks ³	Mutual savings banks and in- surance com- panies	Other corpo- rations 4	State and local govern- ments ⁵	Indi- viduals ^s	Miscel- laneous inves- tors 7		
1939	47.6	6.5	2.5	38.6	15.9	9.4	2.2	0, 4	10.1	0.7		
1940	50. 9 64. 3 112. 5 170. 1 232. 1 278. 7 259. 5 257. 0 252. 9 257. 2	7.6 9.5 12.2 16.9 21.7 27.0 30.9 34.4 37.3 39.4	2.2 2.3 6.2 11.5 18.8 24.3 23.3 22.6 23.3 18.9	41. 1 52. 5 94. 0 141. 6 191. 6 227. 4 205. 2 200. 1 192. 2 198. 9	17.3 21.4 41.1 59.9 77.7 90.8 74.5 68.7 62.5 66.8	10. 1 11. 9 15. 8 21. 2 28. 0 34. 7 36. 7 35. 9 32. 7 31. 5	2.0 4.0 10.1 16.4 21.4 22.2 15.3 14.1 14.8 16.8	.57 1.0 2.1 4.3 6.5 6.3 7.3 7.9 8.1	10.6 13.6 23.7 37.6 53.3 64.1 64.2 65.7 65.5 66.3	. 7 .9 2.3 4.4 7.0 9.1 8.4 8.9 9.4		
1950	256. 7 259. 5 267. 4 275. 2 278. 8 280. 8 280. 8 276. 7 275. 0 283. 0 290. 9	39. 2 42. 3 45. 9 48. 3 49. 6 51. 7 54. 0 55. 2 54. 4 53. 7	20.8 23.8 24.7 25.9 24.9 24.8 24.8 24.2 26.3 26.6	196. 8 193. 4 196. 9 201. 0 204. 2 204. 3 197. 8 195. 5 202. 3 210. 6	61.8 61.6 63.4 63.7 69.2 62.0 59.5 59.5 67.5 60.3	29.6 26.3 25.5 25.1 24.1 23.1 21.3 20.2 19.9 19.5	19.7 20.7 19.9 21.5 19.1 23.2 18.7 17.7 18.1 21.4	8.8 9.6 11.1 12.7 14.4 15.4 16.3 16.6 16.5 18.0	66.3 64.6 65.2 64.8 63.5 65.0 65.9 64.9 63.7 69.4	10. 5 10. 6 11. 7 13. 2 15. 6 16. 1 16. 6 16. 22. 1		
960	290. 4 296. 5 304. 0 310. 1 318. 7 321. 4 329. 8 345. 2	55. 1 54. 5 55. 6 58. 0 60. 6 61. 9 68. 8 76. 0	27.4 28.9 30.8 33.6 37.0 40.8 44.3 49.1	207. 9 213. 1 217. 6 218. 5 221. 1 218. 7 216. 8 220. 1	62.1 67.2 64.3 64.0 60.8 57.5 63.7	18. 1 17. 5 17. 6 17. 1 16. 8 15. 8 14. 3 12. 9	18.7 18.5 18.6 18.7 18.2 15.8 14.9 13.0	18.7 19.0 20.1 21.1 21.2 22.9 25.0 24.3	66. 1 65. 9 66. 0 68. 2 69. 8 72. 1 74. 6 73. 9	24. 2 25. 0 28. 0 29. 2 31. 2 31. 4 30. 5 32. 3		
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	322, 4 323, 7 321, 5 320, 1 322, 8 320, 4	60. 0 61. 7 61. 7 60. 5 64. 5 66. 7	40.6 40.2 40.7 40.7 41.5 42.2	221.9 221.9 219.2 218.9 216.8 211.5	60.9 58.7 57.0 57.0 55.1 54.8	15. 9 15. 8 15. 7 15. 4 15. 2 14. 8	16.6 17.6 15.9 15.9 16.4 14.2	23.7 24.7 24.4 25.1 25.3 24.5	73.1 73.4 74.5 74.1 73.9 73.1	31. 8 31. 7 31. 5 31. 3 30. 8 30. 0		
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	319.8 324.9 325.2 327.4 329.9 329.8	66. 4 69. 3 69. 2 68. 0 68. 9 68. 8	42. 4 42. 5 42. 9 43. 0 43. 9 44. 3	211. 0 213. 1 213. 2 216. 4 217. 1 216. 8	53. 8 55. 0 54. 8 55. 3 55. 5 57. 5	14.7 14.6 14.6 14.4 14.4 14.3	14. 3 14. 5 13. 8 14. 9 16. 0 14. 9	25. 1 25. 0 25. 2 25. 2 25. 1 25. 1 25. 0	73.4 73.9 74.7 75.4 75.3 74.6	29. 7 30. 0 30. 1 31. 1 30. 7 30. 5		
1967; Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	329. 4 330. 1 331. 5 328. 3 331. 4 326. 7	68, 2 69, 6 70, 7 70, 4 74, 6 75, 8	43.5 44.0 44.9 45.5 46.1 46.7	217.6 216.6 215.9 212.4 210.8 204.2	57.8 57.4 58.1 57.2 56.4 55.5	14. 0 13. 9 13. 7 13. 3 13. 2 12. 9	14.7 14.7 14.1 12.9 13.6 11.1	24. 8 25. 0 25. 1 25. 2 25. 1 25. 0	74.9 74.6 74.0 72.7 71.9 70.9	31. 4 31. 0 30. 9 31. 1 30. 5 28. 8		
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec 8	331. 2 336. 4 336. 4 341. 0 345. 6 345. 2	75.5 77.2 76.4 75.9 76.2 76.0	46.8 46.6 46.9 47.4 48.9 49.1	208. 9 212. 6 213. 1 217. 7 220. 5 220. 1	58. 3 60. 2 61. 1 63. 6 63. 5 63. 7	12.9 13.0 13.0 12.9 12.9 12.9	11.9 12.4 10.7 11.8 13.2 13.0	24.7 25.1 24.9 24.6 24.5 24.3	70.8 71.4 72.5 73.0 73.6 73.9	30, 3 30, 5 30, 9 31, 8 32, 8 32, 3		

TABLE B-65.-Estimated ownership of U.S. Government obligations, 1939-67 [Par values,1 billions of dollars]

1 United States savings bonds, series A-F and J, are included at current redemption value. ² Excludes guaranteed securities held by the Treasury. Not all of total shown is subject to statutory debt limitation. ³ Includes commercial banks, trust companies, and stock savings banks in the United States and Territories and island possessions; figures exclude securities held in trust departments. Since the estimates in this table are on the basis of par-values and include holdings of banks in United States Territories and possessions, they do not agree with the estimates in Table B-51, which are based on book values and relate only to banks within the United States. ⁴ Exclusive of banks and insurance companies. ⁴ Exclusive of banks and insurance companies.

⁵ Includes trust, sinking, and investment funds of State and local governments and their agencies, and of Territories

Includes trust, sinking, and investment funds of state and local governments and uten agencies, and of vernicities and possessions.
 Includes partnerships and personal trust accounts.
 Includes savings and loan associations, nonprofit institutions, corporate pension trust funds, dealers and brokers, and investments of foreign balances and international accounts in this country. Beginning with December 1946, the international accounts include investments by the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, the International Monetary Fund, the International Development Association, the Inter-American Development Bank, and various United Nations' funds, in special non-interest-bearing notes and bonds issued by the U.S. Government. Beginning with June 30, 1947, includes holdings of Federal land banks.
 Preliminary estimates by Council of Economic Advisers.

Note .- See Note, Table B-66.

Source: Treasury Department (except as noted).

TABLE B-66 Average	length and	maturity	distribution a	of marketable	interest-bearing
	pu	blic debt,	1946-67		0

	Amount		N					
End of year or month	out- standing	Within 1 year	1 to 5 years	5 to 10 years	10 to 20 years	20 years and over	Average	length
			Millions	of dollars			Years	Months
Fiscal year: 1946 1947 1948 1948	189, 606 168, 702 160, 346 155, 147	61, 974 51, 211 48, 742 48, 130	24, 763 21, 851 21, 630 32, 562	41, 807 35, 562 32, 264 16, 746	17, 461 18, 597 16, 229 22, 821	43, 599 41, 481 41, 481 34, 888	9 9 9 8	1 5 2 9
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	155, 310 137, 917 140, 407 147, 335 150, 354	42, 338 43, 908 46, 367 65, 270 62, 734	51, 292 46, 526 47, 814 36, 161 29, 866	7, 792 8, 707 13, 933 15, 651 27, 515	28, 035 29, 979 25, 700 28, 662 28, 634	25, 853 8, 797 6, 594 1, 592 1, 606	8 6 5 5 5	2 7 8 4 6
1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	155, 206 154, 953 155, 705 166, 675 178, 027	49, 703 58, 714 71, 952 67, 782 72, 958	39, 107 34, 401 40, 669 42, 557 58, 304	34, 253 28, 908 12, 328 21, 476 17, 052	28, 613 28, 578 26, 407 27, 652 21, 625	3, 530 4, 351 4, 349 7, 208 8, 088	5 5 4 5 4	10 4 9 3 7
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	183, 845 187, 148 196, 072 203, 508 206, 489	70, 467 81, 120 88, 442 85, 294 81, 424	72, 844 58, 400 57, 041 58, 026 65, 453	20, 246 26, 435 26, 049 37, 385 34, 929	12, 630 10, 233 9, 319 8, 360 8, 355	7, 658 10, 960 15, 221 14, 444 16, 328	4 4 5 5	4 6 11 1 0
1965 1966 1967	208, 695 209, 127 210, 672	87, 637 89, 136 89, 648	56, 198 60, 933 71, 424	39, 169 33, 596 24, 398	8, 449 8, 439 8, 425	17, 241 17, 023 16, 797	5 4 4	4 11 7
1966:Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	217, 656 217, 690 215, 150 215, 004 213, 764 209, 127	96, 461 94, 226 91, 704 91, 820 92, 231 89, 136	60, 608 62, 893 64, 306 64, 076 62, 453 60, 933	35, 013 35, 008 33, 607 33, 603 33, 600 33, 596	8, 444 8, 443 8, 442 8, 441 8, 440 8, 439	17, 131 17, 120 17, 092 17, 065 17, 040 17, 023	4 4 4 4 4	10 11 11 10 11
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	209, 108 211, 402 211, 771 215, 313 217, 239 218, 025	89, 138 92, 238 92, 642 96, 656 104, 398 105, 218	60, 932 62, 957 62, 952 62, 495 59, 459 59, 447	33, 592 30, 783 30, 774 30, 771 28, 008 28, 005	8, 439 8, 437 8, 436 8, 435 8, 434 8, 433	17,007 16,987 16,967 16,957 16,940 16,923	4 4 4 4 4	10 11 10 8 8 7
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	218, 796 219, 245 219, 914 217, 127 216, 650 210, 672	106, 021 101, 549 102, 242 99, 670 95, 524 89, 648	59, 434 66, 717 66, 722 66, 541 70, 238 71, 424	28, 002 25, 655 25, 650 25, 645 25, 641 24, 378	8, 432 8, 431 8, 430 8, 428 8, 426 8, 425	16, 908 16, 893 16, 870 16, 843 16, 819 16, 797	4 4 4 4 4	6 6 5 5 6 7
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	214, 968 218, 258 218, 637 223, 271 226, 081 226, 476	93, 957 95, 040 95, 442 100, 208 102, 158 104, 363	71, 433 76, 244 78, 198 78, 088 77, 320 78, 159	24, 376 21, 793 19, 840 19, 837 21, 487 18, 859	8, 423 8, 422 8, 421 8, 419 8, 418 8, 417	16, 780 16, 758 16, 737 16, 719 16, 697 16, 679	4 4 4 4 4 4	5 5 4 2 1

Note.—All issues classified to final maturity except partially tax-exempt bonds, which were classified to earliest call date (the last of these bonds were called on August 14, 1962, for redemption on December 15, 1962). The concept of the public debt in this table is that used in budgets of the U.S. Government for years prior to fiscal 1969. Detail for the new concept are not yet available.

Source: Treasury Department.

TABLE B-67.—Receipts and	expenditures of the	government see	ctor of the	national income	and product
	accounts	, 1929–67			-

	Tot	al governn	nent	Feder	al Govern	ment 1	State and local government			
Calendar year or quarter	Re- ceipts	Ex- pendi- tures	Sur- plus or deficit (-), national income and prod- uct ac- counts	Re- ceipts	Ex- pendi- tures	Sur- plus or deficit (), national income and prod- uct ac- counts	Re- ceipts	Ex- pendi- tures	Sur- plus or deficit (-), national income and prod- uct ac- counts	
1929	11.3	10.3	1.0	3.8	2.6	1.2	7.6	7.8	-0.2	
1930	10.8 9.5 9.3 10.5 11.4 12.9 15.4 15.0 15.4	11.1 12.4 10.6 10.7 12.9 13.4 16.1 15.0 16.8 17.6	$\begin{array}{r}3 \\ -2.9 \\ -1.8 \\ -1.4 \\ -2.4 \\ -2.0 \\ -3.1 \\ .3 \\ -1.8 \\ -2.2 \end{array}$	3.0 2.7 2.7 3.5 5.0 5.0 5.0 6.7	2.8 4.22 4.0 6.5 8.7 7.4 8.6 8.9	.3 -2.1 -1.5 -1.3 -2.9 -2.6 -3.6 -3.6 -2.1 -2.1	7.8 7.7 7.32 8.6 9.1 9.3 9.3 9.6	8.4 8.5 7.2 8.6 8.6 8.1 9.0 9.6	6 8 3 15 .6 .5 .7 .4	
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1946 1946 1947 1948 1947 1948	17.7 25.0 32.6 49.2 51.2 53.9 56.8 56.9 56.0	18. 4 28. 8 64. 0 93. 3 103. 0 92. 7 45. 5 42. 4 50. 3 59. 1	7 -3.8 -31.4 -44.1 -51.8 -39.5 5.4 14.4 8.5 -3.2	8.6 15.4 22.9 39.3 41.0 42.5 39.1 43.2 43.3 38.9	10.0 20.5 56.1 85.8 95.5 84.6 35.6 29.8 34.9 41.3	-1.3 -5.1 -33.1 -46.6 -54.5 -42.1 3.5 13.4 8.4 -2.4	10.0 10.4 10.6 11.1 11.6 12.9 15.3 17.6 19.3	9.3 9.1 8.8 8.4 9.0 11.0 14.3 17.4 20.0	.6 1.3 1.8 2.5 2.7 2.6 1.9 1.0 .1 .7	
1950	68.7 84.8 99.8 94.3 89.7 100.4 109.0 115.6 114.7 128.9	60.8 79.0 93.7 101.2 96.7 97.6 104.1 114.9 127.2 131.0	7.8 5.8 -3.8 -6.9 -7.0 2.7 4.9 -12.5 -2.1	49.9 64.0 67.2 70.0 63.8 72.1 77.6 81.6 78.7 89.7	40.8 57.8 71.0 77.0 69.7 68.1 71.9 79.6 88.9 91.0	9.1 6.2 3.8 7.0 5.9 4.0 5.7 2.1 10.2 1.2	21. 1 23. 3 25. 2 27. 2 28. 8 31. 4 34. 7 38. 2 41. 6 46. 0	22.3 23.7 25.3 27.0 29.9 32.7 35.6 39.5 44.0 46.8	$\begin{array}{c} -1.2 \\4 \\ (3) \\ .1 \\ -1.1 \\ -1.3 \\9 \\1.4 \\ -2.3 \\8 \end{array}$	
1960	139. 8 144. 6 157. 0 168. 8 174. 1 188. 8 213. 0 227. 3	136. 1 149. 0 159. 9 166. 9 175. 4 186. 1 209. 8 240. 0	3.7 -4.3 -2.9 1.8 -1.4 2.7 3.2 -12.7	96. 5 98. 3 106. 4 114. 5 115. 0 124. 8 143. 2 151. 5	93. 0 102. 1 110. 3 113. 9 118. 1 123. 4 142. 9 164. 1	3.5 -3.8 -3.8 -3.0 1.4 .3 -12.6	49.9 53.6 58.6 63.4 69.5 75.1 84.7 91.6	49.6 54.1 57.6 62.2 67.8 73.9 81.8 91.7	.2 5 .9 1.2 1.7 1.2 2.9 1	
			Se	easonally a	adjusted a	nnual rate				
1965: I II III IV	185.4 188.3 188.3 193.2	179.8 182.0 190.0 192.6	5.6 6.3 -1.7 .6	123. 4 124. 9 123. 4 127. 6	118.9 119.9 126.6 128.0	4.5 4.9 3.2 4	72 4 74.1 76.2 77.8	71.3 72.8 74.7 76.8	1.2 1.2 1.5 1.1	
1966: I II III IV	204.3 210.6 216.3 220.9	199. 8 204. 4 213. 7 221. 2	4.5 6.2 2.6 3	137. 0 141. 6 145. 6 148. 6	134. 8 138. 4 146. 3 151. 9	2.2 3.2 7 -3.3	81.1 83.6 86.0 87.9	78, 8 80, 6 82, 7 84, 9	2.4 2.9 3.3 3.0	
1967; I II III IV P	222. 8 223. 2 229. 3	233.6 238.1 242.6 246.2	$ \begin{array}{r} -10.8 \\ -14.9 \\ -13.3 \end{array} $	149. 1 148. 1 152. 7	160. 9 162. 8 165. 9 167. 5	-11.9 -14.7 -13.2	89. 3 90. 4 92. 6	88.3 90.6 92.7 95.1	1.0 2 1	

[Billions of dollars]

¹ See Note, Table B-62. ² Surplus of \$32 million. ³ Deficit of \$41 million.

Note.—Federal grants-in-aid to State and local governments are reflected in Federal expenditures and State and local receipts and expenditures. Total government receipts and expenditures have been adjusted to eliminate this duplication. Source: Department of Commerce, Office of Business Economics.

		G	eneral re	venues b	y source		General expenditures by function ²					
Fiscal year 1	Total	Prop- erty taxes	Sales and gross re- ceipts taxes	Indi- vidual income taxes	Corpo- ration net income taxes	Reve- nue from Federal Govern- ment	All other reve- nue ³	Total	Edu- cation	High- ways	Public wel- fare	All other ⁴
1927	7, 271	4, 730	470	70	92	116	1, 793	7, 210	2, 235	1, 809	151	3, 015
1932	7, 267	4, 487	752	74	79	232	1,643	7, 765	2, 311	1, 741	444	3, 269
1934	7, 678	4, 076	1, 008	80	49	1,016	1,449	7, 181	1, 831	1, 509	889	2, 952
1936	8, 395	4, 093	1, 484	153	113	948	1,604	7, 644	2, 177	1, 425	827	3, 215
1938	9, 228	4, 440	1, 794	218	165	800	1,811	8, 757	2, 491	1, 650	1, 069	3, 547
1940	9, 609	4, 430	1, 982	224	156	945	1, 872	9, 229	2, 638	1, 573	1, 156	3, 862
1942	10, 418	4, 537	2, 351	276	272	858	2, 123	9, 190	2, 586	1, 490	1, 225	3, 889
1944	10, 908	4, 604	2, 289	342	451	954	2, 269	8, 863	2, 793	1, 200	1, 133	3, 737
1946	12, 356	4, 986	2, 986	422	447	855	2, 661	11, 028	3, 356	1, 672	1, 409	4, 591
1948	17, 250	6, 126	4, 442	543	592	1, 861	3, 685	17, 684	5, 379	3, 036	2, 099	7, 170
1950	20, 911	7, 349	5, 154	788	593	2,486	4, 541	22, 787	7, 177	3, 803	2, 940	8, 867
1952	25, 181	8, 652	6, 357	998	846	2,566	5, 763	26, 098	8, 318	4, 650	2, 788	10, 342
1953	27, 307	9, 375	6, 927	1, 065	817	2,870	6, 252	27, 910	9, 390	4, 987	2, 914	10, 619
1954	29, 012	9, 967	7, 276	1, 127	778	2,966	6, 897	30, 701	10, 557	5, 527	3, 060	11, 557
1955	31, 073	10, 735	7,643	1,237	744	3, 131	7, 584	33, 724	11,907	6,452	3, 168	12, 197
1956	34, 667	11, 749	8,691	1,538	890	3, 335	8, 465	36, 711	13,220	6,953	3, 139	13, 399
1957	38, 164	12, 864	9,467	1,754	984	3, 843	9, 252	40, 375	14,134	7,816	3, 485	14, 940
1958	41, 219	14, 047	9,829	1,759	1,018	4, 865	9, 699	44, 851	15,919	8,567	3, 818	16, 547
1959	45, 306	14, 983	10,437	1,994	1,001	6, 377	10, 516	48, 887	17,283	9,592	4, 136	17, 876
1960	50, 505	16, 405	11, 849	2,463	1,180	6, 954	11,634	51,876	18, 719	9,428	4, 404	19, 324
1961	54, 037	18, 002	12, 463	2,613	1,266	7, 131	12,563	56,201	20, 574	9,844	4, 720	21, 063
1962	58, 252	19, 054	13, 494	3,037	1,308	7, 871	13,489	60,206	22, 216	10,357	5, 084	22, 549
1963	62, 890	20, 089	14, 456	3,269	1,505	8, 722	14,850	64,816	23, 776	11,136	5, 481	24, 423
1962-63 ⁵	62, 269	19, 833	14, 446	3, 267	1,505	8,663	14, 555	63, 977	23, 729	11, 150	5, 420	23, 678
1963-64 ⁵	68, 443	21, 241	15, 762	3, 791	1,695	10,002	15, 952	69, 302	26, 286	11, 664	5, 766	25, 586
1964-65 ⁵	74, 000	22, 583	17, 118	4, 090	1,929	11,029	17, 251	74, 546	28, 563	12, 221	6, 315	27, 447
1965-66 ⁵	83, 036	24, 670	19, 085	4, 760	2,038	13,120	19, 363	82, 843	33, 287	12, 770	6, 757	30, 029

TABLE B-68.—State and local government revenues and expenditures, selected fiscal years 1927-66 [Millions of dollars]

Fiscal years not the same for all governments. See footnote 5.
 Excludes revenues or expenditures of publicly owned utilities and liquor stores, and of insurance-trust activities. Intergovernmental receipts and payments between State and local governments are also excluded.
 Includes licenses and other taxes and charges and miscellaneous revenues.
 Includes expenditures for health, hospitals, police, local fire protection, natural resources, sanitation, housing and urban renewal, local parks and recreation, general control, financial administration, interest on general debt, and other

unallocable expenditures. ⁵ Data for fiscal year ending in the 12-month period through June 30. Data for 1963 and earlier years include local govern-ment amounts grouped in terms of fiscal years ended during the particular calendar year.

Note.—Data are not available for intervening years. See Table B-58 for net debt of State and local governments.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

CORPORATE PROFITS AND FINANCE

TABLE B-69.—Profits before and after taxes, all private corporations, 1929-67

	Cort	oorate invento	profits ry valua	(before ation ac	taxes) ljustment	and			Corp ai	orate p iter taxe	rofits es		
		Mai	nufactur	ing	Trans-		Cor- po- rate	Cor- po-				Corpo- rate capital	Profits plus capital
Year or quarter	All in- dus- tries	Total	Dur- able goods in- dus- tries	Non- dur- able goods in- dus- tries	porta- tion, com- muni- cation, and public utilities	All other in- dus- tries	prof- its be- fore taxes	tax lia- bil- ity 1	Total	Divi- dend pay- ments	Un- dis- trib- uted prof- its	con- sump- tion allow- ances ²	con- sump- tion allow- ances ³
1929	10.5	5. 2	2.6	2.6	1.8	3.4	10.0	1.4	8.6	5.8	2.8	4.2	12.8
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1936 1937 1938 1939	7.0 2.3 -1.32 -1.7 3.4 5.68 4.9 6.3	3.9 1.54 1.1 1.1 2.2 3.3 3.3 1.54 1.1 2.2 3.3 3.54 3.54 3.54 3.54 3.54 3.54 3.54	1.5 -1.0 4 .9 1.7 1.7 .8 1.7	2.4 1.3 .5 1.1 1.5 2.1 1.6 1.7	1.2 .5 .2 .4 .4 .7 .8 .5 1.0	1.9 9 8 .9 1.7 2.2 2.1 2.0	3.7 -2.3 -2.3 1.0 2.3 6.3 6.8 6.8 4.0 7.0	.8 .5 .7 1.0 1.4 1.5 1.0 1.4	2.997 -2.74 -2.74 1.6693396 2.97 -2.74 5.396	5.5 4.1 2.5 2.6 2.8 4.5 4.7 3.2 3.8	$\begin{array}{r} -2.6 \\ -4.9 \\ -5.2 \\ -1.6 \\ -1.0 \\2 \\ .6 \\2 \\ 1.8 \end{array}$	4.3 4.0 3.8 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.7 3.7	7.25 3.3 1.4 5.6 3 5 9 8 8 6 9 3
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1946 1946 1947 1948 1948	9.8 15.2 20.3 24.4 23.8 19.2 19.3 25.6 33.0 30.8	5.5 9.5 11.8 13.2 9.7 9.0 13.6 17.6 16.2	3.1 6.2 7.2 8.1 4.5 4.5 5.8 7.5 8.1	2.4 3.1 4.6 5.7 5.9 5.2 6.6 7.8 10.0 8.1	1.3 2.0 3.4 4.4 3.9 2.7 1.8 2.2 3.0 3.0	3.0 3.7 5.2 6.7 6.7 9.9 12.5 11.6	10.0 17.7 21.5 25.1 24.1 19.7 24.6 31.5 35.2 28.9	2.8 7.6 11.4 14.1 12.9 10.7 9.1 11.3 12.5 10.4	7.2 10.1 10.1 11.1 11.2 9.0 15.5 20.2 22.7 18.5	4.0 4.4 4.3 4.6 5.6 5.6 7.0 7.2	3.2 5.9 6.6 6.5 4.4 9.9 13.9 15.6 11.3	3.8 4.2 5.0 5.4 6.1 6.4 4.7 5.8 7.0 7.9	11.0 14.4 15.2 16.4 17.2 20.2 26.0 29.7 26.5
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1958	37.7 42.7 39.9 39.6 38.0 46.9 46.1 45.6 41.1 51.7	20.9 24.6 21.6 22.0 19.9 26.0 24.7 24.0 19.3 26.3	12.0 13.2 11.7 11.9 10.5 14.3 12.8 13.3 9.3 13.6	8.9 11.4 9.9 10.1 9.4 11.8 11.9 10.7 10.0 12.7	4.0 4.69 5.7 5.69 5.89 5.89 7.0	12.7 13.5 13.3 12.6 13.4 15.2 15.6 15.8 15.9 18.4	42.6 43.9 38.9 40.6 38.3 48.6 48.8 47.2 41.4 52.1	17.8 22.3 19.4 20.3 17.7 21.6 21.7 21.2 19.0 23.7	24.9 21.6 19.6 20.4 20.6 27.0 27.2 26.0 22.3 28.5	8.8 8.6 8.9 9.3 10.5 11.3 11.7 11.6 12.6	16.0 13.0 11.0 11.5 11.3 16.5 15.9 14.2 10.8 15.9	8.8 10.3 11.5 13.2 15.0 17.4 18.9 20.8 22.0 23.5	33. 31. 31. 33. 35. 44. 46. 46. 44. 52.
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1964 1965 1966 1967 P	49.9 50.3 55.7 58.9 66.3 74.9 82.2 79.1	24. 4 23. 3 26. 6 28. 8 32. 7 38. 7 43. 1 39. 0	12.0 11.4 14.1 15.8 17.8 22.2 24.4 21.0	12.4 11.9 12.5 13.0 14.9 16.5 18.7 18.0	7.5 7.9 8.5 10.1 11.2 11.9 12.0	17.9 19.1 20.5 20.6 23.5 25.0 27.2 28.1	49. 7 50. 3 55. 4 59. 4 66. 8 76. 6 83. 8 80. 1	23.0 23.1 24.2 26.3 28.3 31.4 34.5 33.0	26.7 27.2 31.2 33.1 38.4 45.2 49.3 47.2	13.4 13.8 15.2 16.5 17.8 19.8 21.5 22.8	13. 2 13. 5 16. 0 16. 6 20. 6 25. 4 27. 8 24. 4	24. 9 26. 2 30. 1 31. 8 33. 9 36. 5 39. 0 41. 4	51. 6 53. 61. 64. 72. 81. 88. 88.
					Sea	sonally	adjuste	d annua	I rates			, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1965: I II III IV	72.6 73.4 74.9 78.7	37.5 37.7 38.6 41.0	21.6 21.6 22.1 23.7	15.9 16.0 16.5 17.4	10,6 10,9 11,2 12,0	24.5 24.8 25.2 25.6	74.0 75.6 75.8 80.8	30.3 30.9 31.1 33.1	43.7 44.6 44.8 47.7	18.7 19.4 20.2 20.9	25.0 25.2 24.6 26.8	35.2 36.0 36.9 37.8	78, 9 80, 6 81, 7 85, 5
1966: I II III IV	81.1 81.3 81.9 84.6	42.7 42.5 42.7 44.4	24.3 24.0 23.9 25.3	18.3 18.5 18.8 19.2	11.7 12.0 11.8 12.0	26.7 26.8 27.3 28.2	83.7 83.6 84.0 83.9	34.5 34.5 34.6 34.6	49.2 49.2 49.4 49.3	21.4 21.6 21.6 21.2	27.8 27.6 27.8 28.2	38, 3 38, 7 39, 2 39, 8	87.5 87.9 88.6 89.1
1967: I II III IV P	78.1 78.3 79.2	39.6 38.9 38.2	21.1 21.1 20.5	18.4 17.8 17.7	11.7 11.9 12.1	26.9 27.5 28.9	79.0 78.9 80.0	32.5 32.5 32.9	46.5 46.5 47.1	22.2 23.1 23.4 22.4	24.2 23.4 23.6	40. 3 40. 9 41. 8 42. 5	86.7 87.4 88.8

[Billions of dollars]

Federal and State corporate income and excess profits taxes.
 Includes depreciation and accidental damages.
 Corporate profits after taxes plus corporate capital consumption allowances.

Note.—Beginning 1962 data reflect the new depreciation guidelines issued by the Treasury Department July 11, 1962, and the investment tax credit provided in the Revenue Act of 1962.

Source: Department of Commerce, Office of Business Economics.

TABLE B-70.-Sales, profits, and stockholders' equity, all manufacturing corporations (except newspapers), 1947-67

		Ail ma corp	nufactur orations	ing	Dı	irable go	ods indu	stries	Nondurable goods industries				
Year or quarter		Pro	fits	Stock-	0.1	Pro	fits	Stock-	Calas	Pro	fits	Stock-	
	(net)	Before taxes	After taxes	holders' equity 1	(net) Before taxes		After taxes	holders' equity 1	(net)	Before taxes	After taxes	holders' equity ¹	
1947	150. 7	16.6	10. 1	65. 1	66.6	7.6	4.5	31. 1	84. 1	9.0	5.6	34. 0	
1948	165. 6	18.4	11. 5	72. 2	75.3	8.9	5.4	34. 1	90. 4	9.5	6.2	38. 1	
1949	154. 9	14.4	9. 0	77. 6	70.3	7.5	4.5	37. 0	84. 6	7.0	4.6	40. 6	
1950	181. 9	23. 2	12.9	83.3	86.8	12.9	6.7	39. 9	95. 1	10. 3	6. 1	43. 5	
1951	245. 0	27. 4	11.9	98.3	116.8	15.4	6.1	47. 2	128. 1	12. 1	5. 7	51. 1	
1952	250. 2	22. 9	10.7	103.7	122.0	12.9	5.5	49. 8	128. 0	10. 0	5. 2	53. 9	
1953	265. 9	24. 4	11.3	108.2	137.9	14.0	5.8	52. 4	128. 0	10. 4	5. 5	55. 7	
1954	248. 5	20. 9	11.2	113.1	122.8	11.4	5.6	54. 9	125. 7	9. 6	5. 6	58. 2	
1955	278. 4	28. 6	15. 1	120. 1	142. 1	16.5	8.1	58.8	136. 3	12. 1	7.0	61. 3	
1956	307. 3	29. 8	16. 2	131. 6	159. 5	16.5	8.3	65.2	147. 8	13. 2	7.8	66. 4	
1957	320. 0	28. 2	15. 4	141. 1	166. 0	15.8	7.9	70.5	154. 1	12. 4	7.5	70. 6	
1958	305. 3	22. 7	12. 7	147. 4	148. 6	11.4	5.8	72.8	156. 7	11. 3	6.9	74. 6	
1959	338. 0	29. 7	16. 3	157. 1	169. 4	15.8	8.1	77.9	168. 5	13. 9	8.3	79. 2	
1960	345. 7	27.5	15. 2	165. 4	173. 9	14. 0	7.0	82. 3	171. 8	13.5	8.2	83. 1	
1961	356. 4	27.5	15. 3	172. 6	175. 2	13. 6	6.9	84. 9	181. 2	13.9	8.5	87. 7	
1962	389. 9	31.9	17. 7	181. 4	195. 5	16. 7	8.6	89. 1	194. 4	15.1	9.2	92. 3	
1963	412. 7	34.9	19. 5	189. 7	209. 0	18. 5	9.5	93. 3	203. 6	16.4	10.0	96. 3	
1964	443. 1	39.6	23. 2	199. 8	226. 3	21. 2	11.6	98. 5	216. 8	18.3	11.6	101. 3	
1965	492. 2	46.5	27.5	211.7	257.0	26. 2	14. 5	105. 4	235. 2	20. 3	13.0	106.3	
1966	554. 2	51.8	30.9	230.3	291.7	29. 2	16. 4	115. 2	262. 4	22. 6	14.6	115.1	
1965: I	114.9	10.7	6.2	205. 4	60, 0	6.1	3.3	102. 2	54.9	4.6	2.9	103. 2	
II	124.0	12.3	7.2	209. 7	66, 0	7.2	4.0	104. 6	58.0	5.1	3.2	105. 1	
III	121.5	11.0	6.6	213. 6	62, 0	5.8	3.3	106. 4	59.4	5.2	3.3	107. 2	
IV	131.9	12.5	7.5	218. 1	69, 0	7.1	4.0	108. 2	62.9	5.4	3.5	109. 9	
1966: 1	129, 9	12.4	7.2	222. 4	68.0	7.0	3.8	110.0	61.9	5.4	3.4	112. 4	
II	141, 0	14.0	8.4	228. 6	75.4	8.2	4.6	114.2	65.6	5.8	3.7	114. 3	
III	137, 8	12.3	7.4	233. 4	71.1	6.5	3.7	117.1	66.7	5.8	3.7	116. 3	
IV	145, 5	13.1	7.9	236. 8	77.3	7.5	4.2	119.3	68.2	5.6	3.7	117. 5	
1967: 1	137.0	11. 4	6.7	240. 9	71. 1	6.2	3.4	121.6	65. 9	5. 2	3.3	119. 3	
II	145.1	12. 6	7.6	245. 6	77. 0	7.2	4.1	123.7	68. 2	5. 4	3.5	121. 8	
III	141.5	11. 0	6.7	249. 7	72. 6	5.4	3.1	126.0	68. 9	5. 6	3.6	123. 6	

[Billions of dollars]

¹ Annual data are average equity for the year (using four end-of-quarter figures).

Note.—For explanatory notes concerning compilation of the series, see "Quarterly Financial Report for Manufacturing Corporations," Federal Trade Commission and Securities and Exchange Commission. Data are not necessarily comparable from one period to another due to changes in accounting procedures, industry classifications, sampling procedures, etc. Specific information about the effects of the more significant changes and re-visions is contained in the following issues of the "Quarterly Financial Report": third quarter 1953, third quarter 1956. Comparability for certain industries was affected by changes noted in the following reports: fourth quarter 1952, first quarter 1955, second quarter 1960, third quarter 1960, fourth quarter 1965, and second quarter 1966.

Sources: Federal Trade Commission and Securities and Exchange Commission.

	All .	Durable goods industries												
Year or quarter	man- ufac- tur- ing cor- pora- tions (ex- cept news- pap- ers)	Total dur- able ¹	Mo- tor vehi- cles and equip- ment	Air- craft and parts	Elec- trical ma- chin- ery, equip- ment, and sup- plies	Ma- chin- ery (ex- cept elec- trical)	Fab- ri- cated metal prod- ucts	Pri- mary iron and steel in- dus- tries	Pri- mary non- fer- rous metal in- dus- tries	Stone, clay, and glass prod- ucts	Fur- niture and fix- tures	Lum- ber and wood prod- ucts (ex- cept furni- ture)	In- stru- ments and re- lated prod- ucts	Mis- cella- neous man- ufac- tur- ing (in- clud- ing ord- nance)
		Ra	tio of pr	ofits aft	er Fede	ral taxe	s (annu	al rate)	to stoc	holders	equity	-perce	nt ²	
1947 1948 1949	15.6 16.0 11.6	14.4 15.7 12.1	16.4 19.9 22.1	 	19. 0 16. 1 13. 6	15.7 16.3 11.6	17.6 17.0 10.4	12. 0 14. 7 10. 0	12.4 14.2 8.1	14.0 15.0 13.1	18.0 15.9 8.1	22.9 19.2 9.1	14.4 14.0 12.1	14.0 12.2 7.2
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	15. 4 12. 1 10. 3 10. 5 9. 9 12. 6 12. 3 10. 9 8. 6 10. 4	16.9 13.0 11.1 11.1 10.3 13.8 12.8 11.3 8.0 10.4	25. 3 14. 3 13. 9 13. 9 14. 1 21. 7 13. 1 14. 2 8. 2 14. 5	17. 7 13. 2 8. 1	20.9 14.0 13.7 13.1 12.4 12.3 11.4 12.5 10.2 12.5	14. 1 13. 0 11. 3 9. 8 8. 6 10. 3 12. 6 10. 7 6. 9 9. 7	16.0 13.4 10.1 9.8 7.6 10.0 10.7 9.3 7.3 8.0	14. 3 12. 3 8. 5 10. 7 8. 1 13. 5 12. 7 11. 4 7. 2 8. 0	15. 1 13. 8 11. 6 11. 1 10. 4 15. 5 16. 4 9. 3 6. 0 7. 9	17.7 14.2 11.7 11.8 12.5 15.6 14.9 12.4 10.2 12.7	15.2 11.3 8.6 8.2 6.0 9.2 11.6 8.5 6.3 8.9	17.5 11.9 8.5 7.1 6.3 11.1 8.7 4.7 5.7 9.4	16.7 13.2 11.6 11.4 12.3 12.5 12.4 12.0 10.6 13.1	12.3 9.7 7.0 8.2 7.5 8.5 11.6 7.7 8.2 9.3
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966	9.2 8.9 9.8 10.3 11.6 13.0 13.4	8.5 8.1 9.6 10.1 11.7 13.8 14.2	13.5 11.4 16.3 16.7 16.9 19.5 15.9	7.3 9.8 12.7 11.3 12.2 15.2 14.4	9.5 8.9 10.0 10.1 11.2 13.5 14.8	7.5 7.8 9.1 9.6 12.5 14.1 15.0	5.6 5.9 7.9 8.3 10.1 13.2 14.7	7.2 6.1 5.4 7.0 8.8 9.8 10.2	7.1 7.5 7.6 9.8 11.9 14.8	9.9 8.9 8.7 9.6 10.3 9.9	6.5 4.9 7.9 8.3 10.1 13.4 14.2	3.6 4.1 5.6 8.2 9.9 10.1 10.0	11.6 10.6 12.0 12.1 14.4 17.5 20.9	9, 2 9, 9 9, 4 8, 8 9, 5 10, 7 15, 4
1966:1 11 111 111 1V	13.0 14.7 12.7 13.4	14.0 16.2 12.6 14.1	20.6 19.7 5.5 17.8	14.6 15.9 12.7 14.4	14.3 15.5 14.6 14.6	14, 4 17, 0 14, 8 14, 0	13.9 16.6 15.6 12.8	9.1 12.2 9.7 10.0	14.0 16.2 13.6 15.2	5.9 12.9 12.3 8.4	12.4 15.9 14.5 14.1	8.1 14.6 11.2 6.2	17.6 20.5 22.0 23.2	12.2 13.4 15.7 19.6
1967: I II III	11.2 12.4 10.8	11.2 13.2 9.8	12.5 16.4 3.8	11.7 12.5 12.4	12. 2 12. 8 11. 9	12. 3 15. 2 12. 2	12.7 14.2 11.7	7.9 7.9 6.0	13.7 12.8 7.8	3.3 9.4 10.4	10.6 12.0 12.4	5.5 8.6 10.5	15.7 16.9 18.7	12.8 12.5 13.2
		<u></u>			Profit	s after t	axes pe	r dollar	of sales	-cents	·			
1947 1948 1949	6.7 7.0 5.8	6.7 7.1 6.4	6.0 6.9 7.9	 	6.3 5.9 5.7	7.2 7.3 6.4	7.4 7.1 5.1	6.6 7.6 6.5	8.9 9.0 6.9	7.9 8.6 8.6	6.0 5.5 3.3	11.4 9.9 5.9	7.7 7.8 7.1	6.3 5.6 3.6
1950	7.1833 4.3354 5.5428 4.355 4.4.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.5 4.2 5.5 4.35 5.5 5.5 5.5 4.2 5.5	7.35524.6 4.4.6725.2898 0.9945176 6.2224 4.3.4 4.555.5.55555555555555555555555555	8.377 3.51 5.524 6.29 5.524 6.3 5.59 5.59 6.90 7.22 7.31 5.53 6.90 7.22 7.31 5.33 5.54 5.53 7.22 6.33 5.53 5.54 5.53 7.72 6.33 7.72 6.33 7.72 7.73 7.73 7.73 7.73 7.73 7.73 7	2.9 2.4 1.6 1.8 2.4 2.6 3.3 3.0 3.1 2.27 2.9 2.5	7.0551 4.554 4.54 3.428 4.334 3.3557 8288 4.548 4.557 8288 4.54 4.54 4.54 4.54 4.54 4.54 4.54 4.	7.558244.14 5.48789145.782439145.54.8789145.54.8789145.54.87856.6.394973	6.006 5.006 3.3.4.06 3.3.4.3.3.2.2.5.1 2.2.3.3.4.9 4.5.5.12 4.69 4.5.12 4.69	7.5.4.5.5.7.6.6.5.5.5.4.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5	10.28736.68339.6678439.555555555555555555555555555555555555	10.1 7.16.5 7.8.62 7.8.62 7.6.8 7.6.8 7.6.8 7.6.8 5.5.6 5.5.6 5.5.6 5.5.6 5.5.6 5.5.6 5.5.6 5.5.6 5.5.6 5.3.6 5.5.6 5.3.6 5.5.6 5.3.6 5.5.5 5.3.6 5.5.5 5.3.6 5.5.5 5.3.6 5.5.5 5.3.6 5.5.5 5.5.	5.14762.2.1942.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.	9.55 4.51 3.3.44 5.39 2.2.2 4.179 3.300 8.322 4.3 3.320 8.322 4.3 3.321 5.425 3.300 8.3221 5.425 4.55 4.55 4.55 4.55 4.55 4.55 4.5	8.61 4.65 5.57 5.55 5.55 5.59 4.90 7.85 5.31 9.89 10.9 8.99 10.9 8.99 8.99	5.677988 3.22283.16 3.2505 5.6436 3.333.33 3.34. 4.2517 4.21 4.21
iii	4.7	4.3	1.9	2.6	4.2	5.5	4.2	3.9	5.3	5.7	3.6	4.0	8.8	4.2

 TABLE B-71.—Relation of profits after taxes to stockholders' equity and to sales, all manufacturing corporations (except newspapers), by industry group, 1947-67

Т

See footnotes at end of table.

 TABLE B-71.—Relation of profits after taxes to stockholders' equity and to sales, all manufac-turing corporations (except newspapers), by industry group, 1947-67—Continued

1					Nondurab	le goods i	ndustries				
Year or quarter	Total non- dur- able ¹	Food and kin- dred prod- ucts	To- bacco man- ufac- tures	Tex- tile mill prod- ucts	Ap- parel and related prod- ucts	Paper and allied prod- ucts	Print- ing and pub- lish- ing (ex- cept news- pa- pers)	Chem- icals and allied prod- ucts	Petro- leum refin- ing	Rub- ber and mis- cella- neous plastic prod- ucts	Leather and leather prod- ucts
	•	Ratio	of profits	after Fed	eral taxes	(annual r	ate) to sto	ckholders	' equity	percent ²	
1947	16.6	17.6	10. 1	19.5	18.9	22. 0	17.2	15.9		12. 4	14.0
1948	16.2	12.8	13. 6	18.7	12.1	16. 4	14.7	15.8		12. 3	10.4
1949	11.2	11.8	12. 6	7.6	7.5	10. 7	11.4	13.2		8. 7	6.2
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	14. 1 11. 2 9. 7 9. 9 9. 6 11. 4 11. 8 10. 6 9. 2 10. 4	12.3 8.1 7.6 8.1 8.9 9.3 8.7 8.7 8.7 9.3	11.5 9.5 8.4 9.4 10.2 11.4 11.7 12.5 13.5 13.4	12.7 8.2 4.6 1.8 5.7 5.8 4.2 3.5 7.5	10. 1 2. 9 4. 4 5. 1 6. 1 8. 1 6. 3 4. 9 8. 6	16.2 13.9 10.5 10.1 9.9 11.5 11.6 8.9 8.1 9.5	11.5 10.3 9.1 9.4 9.2 10.2 13.0 11.7 9.0 11.4	17.8 12.2 10.9 10.7 11.6 14.7 14.2 13.3 11.4 13.7	15. 2 13. 3 13. 4 12. 7 13. 4 13. 9 12. 5 10. 0 9. 8	16.9 14.8 11.1 11.3 10.6 13.2 12.2 11.1 9.1 11.0	10.9 2.1 5.8 6.0 5.9 8.5 7.2 7.0 5.7 8.5
1960	9.8	8.7	13. 4	5.8	7.7	8.5	10.6	12. 2	10. 1	9.1	6.3
1961	9.6	8.9	13. 6	5.0	7.2	7.9	8.5	11. 8	10. 3	9.3	4.4
1962	9.9	8.8	13. 1	6.2	9.3	8.1	10.3	12. 4	10. 1	9.6	6.9
1963	10.4	9.0	13. 4	6.1	7.7	8.1	9.2	12. 9	11. 3	9.2	6.9
1964	11.5	10.0	13. 4	8.5	11.7	9.3	12.6	14. 4	11. 4	10.6	10.5
1965	12.2	10.7	13. 5	10.9	12.7	9.4	14.2	15. 2	11. 8	11.7	11.6
1966	12.7	11.2	14. 1	10.1	13.3	10,6	15.6	15. 1	12. 4	12.2	12.9
1966: I II IV	12. 1 13. 1 12. 8 12. 7	10.0 11.2 12.3 11.1	12.1 14.8 15.3 14.0	9.4 10.9 10.4 9.5	11.0 13.8 14.6 13.6	10.2 11.3 10.0 11.0	15.0 15.6 16.4 15.3	15.2 16.6 14.7 13.9	12.2 12.2 12.1 13.2	11.0 13.3 11.9 12.5	13. 2 12. 7 12. 6 13. 1
1967: I	11.2	9.4	12. 1	5.9	9.6	9.0	12, 1	13. 0	12.6	9.3	12.8
II	11.6	10.3	14. 7	7.1	8.6	9.5	13, 5	13. 7	12.3	9.0	7.9
III	11.7	11.7	15. 8	7.8	14.4	8.6	14, 1	12. 2	12.1	9.2	12.1
				Profits	after taxe	s per dolla	ar of sales	-cents			
1947	6.7	4.2	4.1	8.2	4.6	10.7	6.1	8.8		4.4	4.3
1948	6.8	3.3	5.2	8.3	3.1	8.5	5.2	8.8		4.7	3.3
1949	5.4	3.3	5.1	4.1	2.1	6.5	4.5	8.2		3.8	2.2
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1958	6.5 4.1 4.3 4.4 5.1 5.3 4.9 4.4	3.4 2.0 1.9 2.0 2.1 2.3 2.4 2.2 2.2	4.9 3.8 3.2 3.7 4.2 4.8 5.0 5.2 5.4 5.4	5.8 3.4 1.9 2.2 1.0 2.6 2.6 1.9 1.6 3.0	2.8 .6 1.0 1.2 1.1 1.3 1.6 1.3 1.0 1.5	8,8 6,6 5,7 5,4 5,6 6,1 6,1 5,0 5,7 5,2	4.5 3.7 3.34 3.4 3.6 4.2 3.7 3.1 4.0	10.3 6.5 6.1 6.8 8.3 8.0 7.6 7.0 7.9	11. 1 10. 1 10. 4 10. 6 11. 1 11. 6 10. 6 9. 5 9. 5	5.8 4.5 3.6 4.0 4.4 4.4 4.2 3.5 4.0	3.7 .6 1.8 1.9 2.5 2.1 2.0 1.7 2.2
1960	4.8	2.3	5.5	2.5	1.4	5.0	3.6	7.5	9.9	3.6	1. 6
1961	4.7	2.3	5.7	2.1	1.3	4.7	2.8	7.3	10.3	3.8	1. 1
1962	4.7	2.4	5.7	2.4	1.6	4.6	3.4	7.4	9.7	3.7	1. 8
1963	4.9	2.7	5.9	2.3	1.4	4.5	3.2	7.5	10.8	3.6	1. 8
1964	5.4	2.7	5.9	3.1	2.1	5.1	4.3	7.9	10.9	4.1	2. 6
1965	5.5	2.7	5.9	3.8	2.3	4.9	4.8	7.9	11.1	4.3	2. 8
1966	5.6	2.7	5.9	3.6	2.4	5.4	5.1	7.8	11.2	4.4	3. 0
1966: [5.5	2.6	5.4	3.4	2, 1	5.3	5.1	8.0	11.1	4.0	3. 1
	5.7	2.8	6.2	3.9	2, 5	5.6	5.1	8.2	11.0	4.6	3. 0
	5.6	2.9	6.3	3.7	2, 5	5.0	5.5	7.6	11.2	4.4	3. 0
V	5.5	2.6	5.8	3.4	2, 4	5.4	4.9	7.4	11.4	4.5	3. 0
1967: 1	5.1	2.3	5.1	2.4	1.8	4.8	4.3	6.9	11.2	3.7	3.2
11	5.2	2.5	5.8	2.7	1.7	4.9	4.6	7.0	10.9	3.4	2.1
11	5.2	2.8	6.4	2.9	2.8	4.5	4.9	6.5	10.7	3.6	3.0

Includes certain industries not shown separately.
 Annual ratios based on average equity for the year (using four end-of-quarter figures). Quarterly ratios based on equity at end of quarter only.

Note.—Ratios based on data in millions of dollars. For explanatory notes concerning compilation of the series, see "Quarterly Financial Report for Manufacturing Cor-porations," Federal Trade Commission and Securities and Exchange Commission. See also Note, Table B-70.

Sources: Federal Trade Commission and Securities and Exchange Commission.

Source or use of funds	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
Sources, total	47.2	42.0	42. 2	55, 5	47.3	54.7	63, 3	65. 9	70. 2	88, 5	97.7	92. 4
Internal sources 1	28. 9	30, 6	29. 5	35. 0	34. 4	35.6	41.8	43.9	50.5	55.7	60.3	59.8
Undistributed profits 1	13.2	11.8	8.3	12.6	10.0	10.2	12.4	13.6	18.3	22.1	24.2	20. 5
valuation adjustment	-2.7	-1.5	3	5	. 2	1	.3	5	5	-1.7	-1.6	8
allowances 1	18, 4	20. 3	21.4	22. 9	24.2	25. 4	29.2	30.8	32.8	35. 3	37.7	40.1
External sources	18, 3	11.4	12.7	20. 5	12.9	19.1	21.5	22. 0	19.7	32.7	37.4	32.6
Stocks Bonds Mortgages Bank loans, n.e.c Other loans Trade debt Profits tax liability Other liabilities	2.3 3.6 .4 4.4 * 5.7 -2.0 3.9	2.4 6.3 .4 1.1 .7 .5 -2.1 2.2	2.1 5.7 1.2 6 .2 4.3 -2.6 2.4	2.2 3.0 1.2 3.0 .3 4.9 2.4 3.6	1.6 3.5 .7 1.3 1.0 3.1 -2.2 4.0	2.5 4.6 1.8 .1 .3 6.6 1.2 1.9	.6 4.6 2.9 2.5 .7 4.4 1.1 4.7	3 3.9 3.5 2.9 .5 6.0 1.5 4.0	1.4 4.0 3.3 3.6 1.3 3.4 .9 1.8	+ 5.4 3.2 9.3 1.3 7.3 2.0 4.2	1.2 10.2 2.1 7.6 2.1 7.7 4 6.8	1.7 15.0 3.5 6.1 1.8 4.2 -4.6 4.9
Uses, total	43. 2	40.0	42.1	54.4	45.2	55.0	61.7	65, 8	66. 9	88. 0	94. 9	89. 9
Purchases of physical assets	35. 9	34.7	27.3	36.9	39.2	37.0	44.7	46.7	53.5	63.6	75.4	72. 5
Nonresidential fixed investment Residential structures Change in business inventories	30.7 .4 4.9	33. 4 .7 .6	28.4 1.4 2.5	31. 1 1. 7 4. 1	34.9 1.3 3.0	33.2 2.2 1.5	37.0 3.0 4.7	38.6 3.7 4.3	44.0 3.6 5.9	52. 2 3. 7 7. 7	60. 4 2. 7 12. 3	62. 4 3. 7 6. 4
Increase in financial assets 2	7.2	5.3	14.8	17.4	6.1	18.0	16.9	19.1	13.4	24.4	19.5	17.4
Liquid assets	-4.2	1	2.5	5.6	-3.9	3.5	4.1	4.3	.6	.7	1.1	2.9
Demand deposits and currency Time deposits	·1	:	1.5 .9	-1.0 4	5 1.3	1.7 1.9	9 3.7	8 3.9	-2.5 3.2	-1.9 3.9	_:7	.7 4.0
securities Open-market paper	-4.5 .1	4 .3	.1	6.6 .4	5.4 .7	2 .1	.5 .9	.5 .7	-1.4 1.4	-2.1 .8	-1.2 2.3	-2.7 1.0
Consumer credit Trade credit Other financial assets	.4 7.5 3.4	.2 2.6 2.5	.5 7.9 3.4	.8 7.2 3.3	.2 6.3 3.7	.1 10.0 4.6	.9 8.2 4.1	.7 8.5 4.8	1.0 9.1 2.5	1.2 13.7 8.2	1.1 10.9 5.6	1.1 7.6 5.8
Discrepancy (uses less sources)	4.1	-1.9	1	-1.1	-2.0	.3	-1.6	1	-3.3	5	-2.8	-2.5

 TABLE B-72.—Sources and uses of funds, nonfarm nonfinancial corporate business, 1956–67

 [Billions of dollars]

¹ The figures shown here for "internal sources," "undistributed profits," and "capital consumption allowances" differ from those shown for "cash flow, net of dividends," "undistributed profits" and "capital consumption allowances" in the gross corporate product table in the national income and product accounts of the Department of Commerce for the following reasons: (1) these figures include, and the statistics in the gross corporate product table exclude, branch profits remitted from foreigners net of corresponding U.S. remittances to foreigners; and (2) these figures include, the internal funds of corporations whose major activity is farming. ² Includes some categories not shown separately.

Source: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

			Cu	rrent ass	ets	·		Current liabilities					
End of year or quarter	Total	Cash on hand and in banks	U.S. Gov- ern- ment securi- ties	Re- ceiv- ables from U.S. Gov- ern- ment ¹	Notes and ac- counts receiv- able	In- ven- tories	Other cur- rent as- sets ²	Total	Ad- vances and pre- pay- ments, U.S. Gov- ern- ment ¹	Notes and ac- counts pay- able	Fed- eral in- come tax liabili- ties	Other cur- rent lia- bili- ties	Net work- ing capi- tal
1939	54.5	10.8	2. 2		22.1	18.0	1.4	30.0		21.9	1. 2	6. 9	24. 5
1940	60. 3	13.1	2.0	0.1	23. 9	19.8	1.5	32.8	0.6	22.6	2.5	7.1	27, 5
1941	72. 9	13.9	4.0	.6	27. 4	25.6	1.4	40.7	.8	25.6	7.1	7.2	32, 3
1942	83. 6	17.6	10.1	4.0	23. 3	27.3	1.3	47.3	2.0	24.0	12.6	8.7	36, 3
1943	93. 8	21.6	16.4	5.0	21. 9	27.6	1.3	51.6	2.2	24.1	16.6	8.7	42, 1
1944	97. 2	21.6	20.9	4.7	21. 8	26.8	1.4	51.7	1.8	25.0	15.5	9.4	45, 6
1945 1946	97.4 108.1	21.7 22.8	21. 1 15. 3	2.7	23. 2 30. 0	26.3 37.6	2.4 1.7	45.8 51.9	.9	24.8 31.5	10. 4 8. 5	9.7 11.8	51.6 56.2
1947	123.6	25. 0	14. 1	38	8.3	44.6	1.6	61.5	37	.6	10.7	13. 2	62. 1
1948	133.0	25. 3	14. 8	42	2.4	48.9	1.6	64.4	39	.3	11.5	13. 5	68. 6
1949	133.1	26. 5	16. 8	43	1.0	45.3	1.4	60.7	37	.5	9.3	14. 0	72. 4
1950	161.5	28, 1	19.7	1.1	55.7	55.1	1.7	79.8	.4	47.9	16.7	14.9	81.6
1951	179.1	30, 0	20.7	2.7	58.8	64.9	2.1	92.6	1.3	53.6	21.3	16.5	86.5
1952	186.2	30, 8	19.9	2.8	64.6	65.8	2.4	96.1	2.3	57.0	18.1	18.7	90.1
1953	190.6	31, 1	21.5	2.6	65.9	67.2	2.4	98.9	2.2	57.3	18.7	20.7	91.8
1954	194.6	33, 4	19.2	2.4	71.2	65.3	3.1	99.7	2.4	59.3	15.5	22.5	94.9
1955	224.0	34.6	23.5	2.3	86.6	72.8	4.2	121.0	2.3	73. 8	19.3	25.7	103.0
1956	237.9	34.8	19.1	2.6	95.1	80.4	5.9	130.5	2.4	81. 5	17.6	29.0	107.4
1957	244.7	34.9	18.6	2.8	99.4	82.2	6.7	133.1	2.3	84. 3	15.4	31.1	111.6
1958	255.3	37.4	18.8	2.8	106.9	81.9	7.5	136.6	1.7	88. 7	12.9	33.3	118.7
1958	277.3	36.3	22.8	2.9	117.7	88.4	9.1	153.1	1.7	99. 3	15.0	37.0	124.2
1960	289. 0	37. 2	20. 1	3. 1	126. 1	91. 8	10.6	160. 4	1.8	105. 0	13. 5	40. 1	128.6
1961	306. 8	41. 1	20. 0	3. 4	135. 8	95. 2	11.4	171. 2	1.8	112. 8	14. 1	42. 5	135.6
New series ³ 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965	304.6 326.5 351.7 372.2 406.6	40. 7 43. 7 46. 5 47. 3 49. 7	19.2 19.6 20.2 18.6 16.5	3.4 3.7 3.6 3.4 3.9	133. 3 144. 2 156. 8 169. 9 187. 9	95.2 100.7 107.0 113.5 125.7	12.9 14.7 17.8 19.6 22.9	155. 8 170. 9 188. 2 202. 2 226. 5	1.8 2.0 2.5 2.7 3.1	110. 0 119. 1 130. 4 140. 3 158. 0	14. 2 15. 2 16. 5 17. 0 18. 8	29. 8 34. 5 38. 7 42. 2 46. 6	148. 8 155. 6 163. 5 170. 0 180. 1
1966	439. 6	49. 8	15. 2	4.5	202.6	143. 2	24. 2	250. 2	4.4	173. 7	18. 8	53. 3	189.4
1965: 1	377.3	44.7	18. 1	3.3	173. 2	116.5	21.4	205. 1	2.8	141.7	16.6	44.0	172. 2
II	385.2	46.1	15. 9	3.2	178. 4	118.8	22.7	210. 5	2.9	146.4	15.9	45.3	174. 7
III	394.4	46.0	15. 6	3.6	183. 7	122.5	22.9	216. 6	3.1	150.7	16.9	45.9	177. 8
IV	406.6	49.7	16. 5	3.9	187. 9	125.7	22.9	226. 5	3.1	158.0	18.8	46.6	180. 1
1966: I II IV	412. 1 421. 8 429. 5 439. 6	47.3 48.1 47.3 49.8	16.7 15.0 14.3 15.2	3.9 4.0 4.2 4.5	190. 8 196. 7 201. 1 202. 6	129. 2 133. 4 138. 3 143. 2	24. 3 24. 6 24. 4 24. 2	229, 3 234, 7 241, 5 250, 2	3.3 3.5 4.0 4.4	158.3 164.0 167.8 173.7	18.9 16.5 17.7 18.8	48. 8 50. 8 52. 1 53. 3	182.7 187.1 188.0 189.4
1967:	440. 2	46. 9	14. 1	4.4	202. 6	146. 8	25. 4	248. 5	4.9	171.2	18.4	54. 1	191. 7
	441. 1	47. 4	11. 3	4.6	204. 9	147. 9	24. 9	248. 2	5.4	174.6	12.5	55. 7	192. 8
	448. 9	48. 8	10. 6	4.7	208. 9	149. 9	26. 0	252. 6	5.7	176.1	13.3	57. 4	196. 3

TABLE B-73.—Current assets and liabilities of United States corporations, 1939-67 [Billions of dollars]

¹ Receivables from and payables to U.S. Government do not include amounts offset against each other on corporations' books or amounts arising from subcontracting which are not directly due from or to the U.S. Government. Wherever possible, adjustments have been made to include U.S. Government advances offset against inventories on corporations' books. ² Includes marketable securities other than U.S. Government. ³ Generally reflects definitions and classifications used in "Statistics of Income" for 1961.

Note.—Data relate to all United States corporations, excluding banks, savings and loan associations, insurance com-panies, and beginning with the new series for 1961, investment companies. Year-end data through 1964 are based on "Statistics of Income" (Treasury Department), covering virtually all corporations in the United States. "Statistics of Income" data may not be strictly comparable from year to year because of changes in the tax laws, basis for filing returns, and processing of data for compilation purposes. All other figures shown are estimates based on data compiled from many different sources, including data on corporations registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Source: Securities and Exchange Commission.

	01.1.				Corpora	ite securi	ities offer	ed for cas	h 2		
Year or quarter	and munici-		Gross p	roceeds	3		Propo	sed uses (of net pro	ceeds 4	
Year or quarter	pal se- curities offered for cash (prin-	T 1	Com-	Pre-	Bonds	T		New mone	y	Retire-	Other
	cipal amounts)	l otai	mon stock	stock	and notes	Total	Total	Plant and equip- ment	Work- ing capi- tal	of se- curities	pur- poses
1934	939	397	19	6	371	384	57	32	26	231	95
1935	1,232	2, 332	22	86	2, 225	2, 266	208	111	96	1,865	193
1936	1,121	4, 572	272	271	4, 029	4, 431	858	380	478	3,368	204
1937	908	2, 310	285	406	1, 618	2, 239	991	574	417	1,100	148
1938	1,108	2, 155	25	86	2, 044	2, 110	681	504	177	1,206	222
1939	1,128	2, 164	87	98	1, 980	2, 115	325	170	155	1,695	95
1940	1,238	2,677	108	183	2, 386	2,615	569	424	145	1, 854	192
1941	956	2,667	110	167	2, 390	2,623	868	661	207	1, 583	172
1942	524	1,062	34	112	917	1,043	474	287	187	396	173
1943	435	1,170	56	124	990	1,147	308	141	167	739	100
1944	661	3,202	163	369	2, 669	3,142	657	252	405	2, 389	96
1945	795	6,011	397	758	4, 855	5, 902	1,080	638	442	4, 555	267
1946	1, 157	6,900	891	1, 127	4, 882	6, 757	3,279	2, 115	1, 164	2, 868	610
1947	2, 324	6,577	779	762	5, 036	6, 466	4,591	3, 409	1, 182	1, 352	524
1948	2, 690	7,078	614	492	5, 973	6, 959	5,929	4, 221	1, 708	307	722
1949	2, 907	6,052	736	425	4, 890	5, 959	4,606	3, 724	882	401	952
1950 1951 1952 1952 1953 1954	3, 532 3, 189 4, 401 5, 558 6, 969	6, 361 7, 741 9, 534 8, 898 9, 516	811 1, 212 1, 369 1, 326 1, 213	631 838 564 489 816	4, 920 5, 691 7, 601 7, 083 7, 488	6, 261 7, 607 9, 380 8, 755 9, 365	4,006 6,531 8,180 7,960 6,780	2, 966 5, 110 6, 312 5, 647 5, 110	1, 041 1, 421 1, 868 2, 313 1, 670	1, 271 486 664 260 1, 875	984 589 537 535 709
1955	5, 977	10, 240	2, 185	635	7, 420	10, 049	7, 957	5, 333	2, 624	1, 227	864
	5, 446	10, 939	2, 301	636	8, 002	10, 749	9, 663	6, 709	2, 954	364	721
	6, 958	12, 884	2, 516	411	9, 957	12, 661	11, 784	9, 040	2, 744	214	663
	7, 449	11, 558	1, 334	571	9, 653	11, 372	9, 907	7, 792	2, 115	549	915
	7, 681	9, 748	2, 027	531	7, 190	9, 527	8, 578	6, 084	2, 494	135	814
1960	7, 230	10, 154	1, 664	409	8, 081	9, 924	8,758	5, 662	3, 097	271	895
1961	8, 360	13, 165	3, 294	450	9, 420	12, 885	10,715	7, 413	3, 303	868	1, 302
1962	8, 558	10, 705	1, 314	422	8, 969	10, 501	8,240	5, 652	2, 588	754	1, 507
1963	10, 107	12, 211	1, 012	343	10, 856	12, 049	8,898	5, 340	3, 558	1, 526	1, 625
1964	10, 544	13, 957	2, 679	412	10, 865	13, 792	11,233	7, 003	4, 230	754	1, 805
1965	11, 148	15, 992	1, 547	725	13, 720	15, 801	13, 063	7,712	5, 352	996	1, 741
1966	11, 089	18, 074	1, 939	574	15, 561	17, 841	15, 806	12,430	3, 376	241	1, 795
1967 ₽	14, 241	24, 650	1, 940	890	21, 820	24, 260	22, 110	16,050	6, 060	330	1, 820
1965: I	2, 746	3,007	297	132	2, 578	2, 972	2, 427	1, 520	907	234	311
II	2, 991	5,043	665	255	4, 123	4, 977	4, 164	2, 324	1, 840	188	625
II	2, 758	3,912	231	151	3, 529	3, 869	3, 177	2, 104	1, 073	336	356
IV	2, 653	4,030	353	187	3, 490	3, 982	3, 296	1, 763	1, 533	237	449
1966: I	2, 870	5, 094	519	215	4, 359	5, 036	4, 320	3, 258	1,062	51	665
II	3, 177	5, 115	975	115	4, 025	5, 046	4, 644	3, 668	976	72	331
II	2, 434	4, 197	171	143	3, 883	4, 143	3, 663	2, 907	756	52	428
IV	2, 609	3, 669	274	101	3, 294	3, 617	3, 179	2, 597	582	67	371
1967:	4, 046	5, 464	298	92	5, 074	5, 403	5, 076	3, 808	1,268	39	287
	3, 799	6, 208	518	208	5, 482	6, 109	5, 672	4, 265	1,407	51	386
	3, 038	6, 832	447	231	6, 154	6, 716	5, 943	4, 329	1,614	133	640
V P	3, 357	6, 140	680	360	5, 110	6, 030	5, 420	3, 650	1,770	100	500

TABLE B-74.-State and municipal and corporate securities offered, 1934-671 [Millions of dollars]

¹ These data cover substantially all new issues of State, municipal, and corporate securities offered for cash sale in the United States in amounts over \$100,000 and with terms to maturity of more than 1 year. ² Excludes notes issued exclusively to commercial banks, intercorporate transactions, sales of investment company issues, and issues to be sold over an extended period, such as offerings under employee-purchase plans. ³ Number of units multiplied by offering price. ⁴ Net proceeds represents the amount received by the issuer after payment of compensation to distributors and other costs of flotation.

Sources: Securities and Exchange Commission, "The Commercial and Financial Chronicle," and "The Bond Buyer."

		Standard	& Poor's	common s	stock data			Stock m	arket credit		
Year or month		Price	index ¹		Divi- dend	Price/	Custon U	er credit (S. Govern securitie	(excluding ment s)	Bank loans to	
	Total (500 stocks)	Indus- trials (425 stocks)	Public utilities (50 stocks)	Rail- roads (25 stocks)	yield ² (per- cent)	ings ratio ³	Total	Net debit bal- ances 4	Bank Ioans to ''others'' 5	Drokers and dealers ⁶	
		1941-	43=10					Millions	of dollars		
1939	12.06	11.77	16.34	9. 82	4.05	13.80				715	
1940 1941 1942 1942 1943 1944 1945 1945 1945 1946 1947 1948 1948	11. 02 9. 82 8. 67 11. 50 12. 47 15. 16 17. 08 15. 17 15. 53 15. 23	10. 69 9. 72 8. 78 11. 49 12. 34 14. 72 16. 48 14. 85 15. 34 15. 00	15. 05 10. 93 7. 74 11. 34 12. 81 16. 84 20. 76 18. 01 16. 77 17. 87	9.41 9.39 8.81 11.81 13.47 18.21 19.09 14.02 15.27 12.83	5.59 6.82 7.24 4.86 4.17 3.85 4.93 5.54 6.59	10. 24 8. 26 8. 80 12. 84 13. 66 16. 33 17. 69 9. 36 6. 90 6. 64	1, 374 976 1, 032 968 1, 249	942 473 517 499 821	353 432 503 515 469 428	584 535 850 1,328 2,137 2,782 1,471 784 1,331 1,608	
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	18. 40 22. 34 24. 50 24. 73 29. 69 40. 49 46. 24 44. 38 46. 24 57. 38	18.33 22.68 24.78 24.84 30.25 42.40 49.80 47.63 49.36 61.45	19.96 20.59 22.86 24.03 27.57 31.37 32.25 32.19 37.22 44.15	15. 53 19. 91 22. 49 22. 60 23. 96 32. 94 33. 65 28. 11 27. 05 35. 09	6. 57 6. 13 5. 80 5. 80 4. 95 4. 08 4. 09 4. 35 3. 97 3. 23	6. 63 9. 27 10. 47 9. 69 11. 25 11. 50 14. 05 12. 89 16. 64 17. 05	1,798 1,826 1,980 2,445 3,436 4,030 3,984 3,576 4,537 4,461	1,237 1,253 1,332 1,665 2,388 2,791 2,823 2,482 3,285 3,280	561 573 648 780 1,048 1,239 1,161 1,094 1,252 1,181	1,742 1,419 2,002 2,248 2,688 2,688 2,852 2,214 2,190 2,569 2,584	
1960	55. 85 66. 27 62. 38 69. 87 81. 37 88. 17 85. 26 91. 93	59. 43 69. 99 65. 54 73. 39 86. 19 93. 48 91. 09 99. 18	46. 86 60. 20 59. 16 64. 99 69. 91 76. 08 68. 21 68. 10	30. 31 32. 83 30. 56 37. 58 45. 46 46. 78 46. 34 46. 72	3. 47 2. 98 3. 37 3. 17 3. 01 3. 00 3. 40 3. 20	17. 09 21. 06 16. 68 17. 62 18. 08 17. 08 14. 92	4, 415 5, 602 5, 494 7, 242 7, 053 7, 705 7, 443 10, 347	3, 222 4, 259 4, 125 5, 515 5, 079 5, 521 5, 329 7, 883	1, 193 1, 343 1, 369 1, 727 1, 974 ⁵ 2, 184 2, 115 2, 464	2, 614 3, 398 4, 352 4, 754 4, 631 5, 082	
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	93. 32 92. 69 88. 88 91. 60 86. 78 86. 06	99.56 99.11 95.04 98.17 92.85 92.14	74.50 71.87 69.21 70.06 68.49 67.51	53.68 54.78 51.52 52.33 47.00 46.35	3. 02 3. 06 3. 23 3. 15 3. 30 3. 36	16. 31 14. 71	7,726 7,950 7,823 7,991 7,905 8,001	5, 551 5, 753 5, 645 5, 835 5, 768 5, 770	5 2, 244 2, 270 2, 243 2, 225 2, 206 2, 235	\$ 4,095 3,621 3,864 4,542 4,380 4,652	
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	85.84 80.65 77.81 77.13 80.99 81.33	91. 95 86. 40 83. 11 82. 01 86. 10 86. 50	67. 30 63. 41 63. 11 65. 41 68. 82 68. 86	45.50 42.12 40.31 39.44 41.57 41.44	3 37 3.60 3.75 3.76 3.66 3.59	13.92 14.74	7,870 7,811 7,525 7,302 7,352 7,443	5,667 5,609 5,355 5,169 5,217 5,329	2,203 2,202 2,170 2,133 2,135 2,115	3,686 4,179 3,545 3,268 3,106 4,496	
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	84. 45 87. 36 89. 42 90. 96 92. 59 91. 43	89.88 93.35 95.86 97.54 99.59 98.61	70. 63 70. 45 70. 03 71. 70 70. 70 67. 39	44. 48 46. 13 46. 78 45. 80 47. 00 48. 19	3. 51 3. 36 3. 29 3. 24 3. 19 3. 19	17.86	7, 345 7, 415 7, 808 7, 969 8, 085 8, 333	5, 290 5, 349 5, 718 5, 819 5, 926 6, 166	2,055 2,066 2,090 2,150 2,159 2,167	4, 672 4, 045 4, 484 4, 385 4, 075 3, 813	
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	93. 01 94. 49 95. 81 95. 66 92. 66 95. 30	100. 38 102. 11 103. 84 104. 16 100. 90 103. 91	67.77 68.03 67.45 64.93 63.48 64.61	49. 91 50. 43 49. 27 46. 28 42. 95 43. 46	3. 15 3. 11 3. 07 3. 07 3. 18 3. 09	17.81	8,800 8,869 9,162 9,534 9,432 10,347	6, 603 6, 607 6, 825 7, 009 7, 055 7, 883	2, 197 2, 262 2, 337 2, 423 2, 442 2, 464	4, 195 4, 685 4, 814 4, 670 4, 296 5, 082	

Sources: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Standard & Poor's Corporation, and New York Stock Exchange.

					Busir	ness failur	es 1		
Year or month	Index of net	New business	Rusi-	Num	ber of fail	ures	Amo liabi	ount of cur lities (mil of dollars)	rent lions
	formation (1957-59=	rations (num-	ness failure		Liabili cla	ty size Iss		Liabili cla	ty size iss
		Dery	1010-2	Total	Under \$100,000	\$100,000 and over	Total	Under \$100,000	\$100,000 and over
1929			103. 9	22,909	22, 165	744	483. 3	261.5	221.8
1930			121.6	26, 355	25, 408	947	668.3	303.5	364.8
1931			133.4	28, 285 31, 822	27, 230 30, 197	1,055	736.3 928.3	354. Z 432. 6	382.2 495.7
1933 ³			100.3	19,859	18,880	979	457.5	215.5	242.0
1935			61.7	12, 244	11,691	553	310.6	135.5	175.1
1936		•••••	47.8	9,607	9,285	322	203.2	102.8	100.4
1938			61.1	12, 836	12, 553	283	246.5	140.1	106.4
1939 8		*	69.6	14, 768	14, 541	227	182.5	132.9	49.7
1940 1941			63.0 54.5	13,619	13,400	219 163	166.7	119.9	46.8
1942			44.6	9, 405	9, 282	123	100.8	80.3	20.5
1943			16.4 6.5	3, 221	3, 155	66 46	45.3	30.2	17.1
1945		100 010	4.2	809	759	50	30.2	11.4	18.8
1946		112,638	5.2 14.3	3, 474	3, 103	371	204.6	63.7	140.9
1948	123.1	96, 101	20.4	5,250	4,853	397	234.6	93.9	140.7
1949	102.2	02, 431	24.4	9,240	9.746	J30 /16	248.2	151.4	97 1
1951	102.8	83,649	30,7	8,058	7,626	432	259.5	131.6	128.0
1952	108.0	92, 819	28.7	7,611	7,081	530	283.3	131.9	151.4
1954	99.8	117, 164	42.0	11,086	10, 226	860	462.6	211.4	251.2
1955	107.6	139, 915	41.6	10,969	10,113	856	449.4	206.4	243.0
1957	98.3	137, 112	51.7	13,739	12, 547	1, 192	615.3	267.1	348.2
1958	97.1	150,781	55.9 51.8	14,964	13,499	1,465	728.3	297.6	430.7
1960	99.8	182,713	57.0	15.445	13,650	1.795	938.6	327.2	611.4
1961	95.4	181, 535	64.4	17,075	15,006	2,069	1,090.1	370.1	720.0
1963	100.6	186, 404	56.3	14, 374	12, 192	2, 182	1, 352. 6	321.0	1,031.6
1964	104.5	197,724	53.2	13,501	11,346	2,155	1,329.2	313.6	1,015.6
1966	105.5	200, 010	51.6	13,061	10, 833	2,228	1, 385. 7	321.5	1,064.1
1967			49.0	12, 364	10, 144	2,220	1, 265. 2	297.9	967.3
	Seaso	naliy adjust	ed						
1966: Jan	109.1	18, 087	50.7	1, 084	916	168	103.2	27.1	76.0
Feb Mar	109.6	17,451	44.1	946	800	146	95.5 103.5	24.2	71.3
Apr	107.6	17,057	47.4	1,106	924	182	110.1	26.1	84.1
May June	. 106.8	16,644	45.8	997 1.077	847	150	96.4	23.9	97.1
July.	104.8	16.074	52.3	1.017	879	138	69.9	26.2	43.6
Aug	103.9	16, 343	60.8	1,249	999	250	178.1	30.7	147.4
Oct	102.7	16, 233	57,2	1,150	957	193	108.0	29.6	78.4
Nov	100.6	16,206	55.6	1,112	919	193	106.7	29.0	77.8
1067 · Jan	101.4	16, 363	54.9	1,055	1 003	188	101. 5	30.2	77 9
Feb	103.2	15, 987	57.1	1,216	995	221	113.5	29.3	84.1
Mar	103.3	16,244	49.7	1,216	981	235	119.3	28.7	90.6
May	104.0	17,627	48.6	1,100	917	183	93.4	27.1	66.3
June	109.0	17,799	48.6	1,047	850	197	104.6	24.7	80.0
July Ang	108.4	16,072	43.2	843	708	135	108.9	20.8	51.7
Sept	110.2	18, 409	49.1	913	758	155	93.9	22.2	71.8
Uct Nov	110.3	17,908	47.4	949 881	782	167	70.0	22.5	48.7
Dec			43.2	831	673	158	195.4	19.6	175.8

¹ Commercial and industrial failures only. Excludes failures of banks and railroads and, beginning 1933, of real estate-insurance, holding, and financial companies, steamship lines, travel agencies, etc.
 ² Failure rate per 10,000 listed enterprises.
 ³ Series revised; not strictly comparable with earlier data.

Sources: Department of Commerce (Bureau of the Census) and Dun & Bradstreet, Inc.

AGRICULTURE

	Pe	rsonal inc	ome			Inco	me receive	ed from far	ming	
Year or quarter	rec far	eived by t m populat	otal tion	Realize	ed gross		Net to oper	o farm ators	Net inco farm, in net inv	ome per cluding entory
quarter	From all sources	From farm sources ¹	From non- farm sources ²	Total 3	Cash receipts from market- ings	Produc- tion ex- penses	Exclud- ing net inven- tory change	Includ- ing net inven- tory change4	Current prices	1967 prices ⁵
				Billions	of dollars		·		Doll	ars
1929				13.9	11.3	7.7	6.3	6.2	945	1,969
1930 1931 1932 1933 1935 1936 1936 1937 1938 1939	5.4 7.7 7.2 9.0 7.2 7.4	3.2 5.4 4.6 6.2 4.7 4.8	2.2 2.3 2.6 2.7 2.5 2.6	11.5 8.4 7.1 8.6 9.7 10.8 11.4 10.1 10.6	9.1 6.4 5.3 6.4 7.1 8.4 8.9 7.7 7.9	6.9 5.5 4.5 4.4 4.7 5.6 5.9 6.3	4.5 2.9 2.7 3.9 4.6 5.1 5.2 4.2 4.3	4, 3 3, 3 2, 0 2, 6 2, 9 5, 3 4, 3 6, 0 4, 4 4, 4	651 506 304 379 431 775 639 905 668 685	1,447 1,297 921 1,115 1,134 1,987 1,638 2,262 1,758 1,851
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1945 1945 1947 1948 1949	7.6 10.1 14.1 16.5 16.6 17.2 20.0 21.1 23.8 19.5	4.8 6.8 10.1 12.1 12.2 12.8 15.5 15.8 18.0 13.3	2.8 3.39 4.4 4.4 4.4 5.3 5.8 6.2	11. 1 13. 9 18. 8 23. 4 24. 4 25. 8 29. 5 34. 1 34. 7 31. 6	8.4 11.1 15.6 19.6 20.5 21.7 24.8 29.6 30.2 27.8	6.9 7.8 10.0 11.6 12.3 13.1 14.5 17.0 18.8 18.0	4.2 6.1 8.8 11.8 12.1 12.8 15.0 17.1 15.9 13.6	4.5 6.5 9.9 11.7 12.3 15.1 15.4 17.7 12.8	706 1,031 1,588 1,927 1,950 2,063 2,543 2,543 2,615 3,044 2,233	1, 858 2, 578 3, 452 3, 778 3, 545 3, 619 4, 037 3, 534 3, 903 2, 938
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1954 1956 1956 1957 1958 1959	20. 4 22. 7 22. 1 19. 8 18. 4 17. 6 17. 8 17. 7 19. 5 18. 1	14. 1 16. 2 15. 4 13. 4 12. 5 11. 4 11. 2 11. 0 12. 8 11. 0	6.3 6.5 6.7 6.4 9 6.6 6.6 6.7 7.0	32. 3 37. 1 36. 8 35. 0 33. 1 34. 3 34. 0 37. 9 37. 5	28. 5 32. 9 32. 5 31. 0 29. 8 29. 5 30. 4 29. 7 33. 5 33. 5	19. 4 22. 3 22. 6 21. 3 21. 6 21. 9 22. 4 23. 3 25. 2 26. 1	12.9 14.8 14.1 13.7 12.0 11.2 11.9 10.7 12.7 11.4	13.7 16.0 15.1 13.1 12.5 11.5 11.4 11.3 13.5 11.5	2, 421 2, 946 2, 896 2, 626 2, 626 2, 606 2, 463 2, 535 2, 535 2, 590 3, 189 2, 795	3, 144 3, 549 3, 448 3, 126 2, 932 2, 982 2, 982 2, 943 3, 583 3, 106
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 p	18. 7 19. 0 19. 2 18. 7 18. 0 20. 3 21. 3 20. 1	11.4 12.1 12.2 12.0 11.2 13.4 14.4 13.2	7.2 6.9 7.0 6.7 6.8 6.9 6.9	37. 9 39. 6 41. 1 42. 1 42. 4 44. 8 49. 7 48. 9	34. 0 34. 9 36. 2 37. 2 37. 1 39. 1 43. 2 42. 5	26. 2 27. 0 28. 5 29. 6 29. 4 30. 9 33. 3 34. 4	11.7 12.6 12.5 12.5 13.0 13.9 16.4 14.5	12.0 12.9 13.1 13.1 12.2 14.9 16.2 14.9	3, 043 3, 389 3, 562 3, 671 3, 510 4, 413 4, 988 4, 705	3, 381 3, 724 3, 872 3, 947 3, 774 4, 645 5, 090 4, 705
		· <u>!</u>	<u></u>	Sea	isonally ac	ijusted an	núal rates	. <u>.</u>		,
1966: I IJ III IV	-			49. 5 49. 5 50. 0 49. 9	43. 3 43. 1 43. 3 43. 2	32.6 33.1 33.5 34.0	16. 9 16. 4 16. 5 15. 9	17.3 16.2 16.1 15.3	5, 320 4, 980 4, 950 4, 710	5, 480 5, 080 5, 000 4, 760
1967: i II III IV P				49. 3 49. 1 49. 2 48. 1	42.6 42.4 42.9 42.1	34. 3 34. 5 34. 4 34. 2	15. 0 14. 6 14. 8 13. 9	14. 8 14. 5 15. 2 15. 4	4,670 4,580 4,800 4,860	4, 720 4, 580 4, 750 4, 810

TABLE B-77.-Income from agriculture, 1929-67

¹ Net income to farm operators including net inventory change less net income of nonresident operators plus wages and salaries and other labor income of farm resident workers less contributions of farm resident operators and workers to social insurance.
² Consists of income received by farm residents from nonfarm sources, such as wages and salaries from nonfarm em-ployment, nonfarm business and professional income, rents from nonfarm real estate, dividends, interest, royalties, unemployment compensation, and social security payments.
³ Cash receipts from marketings, Government payments, and nonmoney income furnished by farms.
⁴ Includes net change in inventory of crops and livestock valued at the average price for the year.
⁵ Income in current prices divided by the index of prices paid by farmers for family living items on a 1967 base.

TABLE B-78.—Farm production indexes, 1929-67

Fa		Crops									Live	stock an	d products Dairy Poul- try and eggs 75 44 76 45 78 44 79 44 79 44 78 41 78 41 78 41 79 44 79 44 79 44 79 44 79 44 79 44 79 44			
Year	Farm out- put ¹	Total ²	Feed grains	Hay and forage	Food grains	Vege- tables	Fruits and nuts	Cot- ton	To- bacco	Oil crops	Total ³	Meat ani- mals	Dairy prod- ucts	Poul- try and eggs		
1929	62	73	62	79	68	73	75	120	88	13	63	62	75	44		
1930	61	69	56	66	74	74	73	113	95	14	64	63	76	45		
1931	66	77	63	72	79	75	92	138	89	14	65	66	78	44		
1932	64	73	73	74	63	76	75	105	58	13	66	67	79	44		
1933	59	65	56	69	47	73	76	105	80	11	67	70	79	44		
1934	51	54	33	64	45	80	71	78	63	13	61	59	78	41		
1935 1936 1937 1938 1939	61 55 69 67 68	70 59 81 76 75	60 38 67 65 65	82 66 75 81 75	55 54 74 77 63	81 75 82 81 81	90 70 93 84 96	86 101 154 97 96	76 68 91 80 110	21 16 18 22 29	59 63 62 65 70	53 60 58 63 71	78 79 79 81 82	41 44 45 48		
1940	70	78	66	86	69	83	93	102	84	34	71	72	84	49		
1941	73	79	71	86	79	84	99	88	73	37	75	76	89	54		
1942	82	89	81	93	83	89	98	105	81	56	84	87	92	62		
1943	80	83	74	91	72	97	84	93	81	60	91	97	91	71		
1944	83	88	78	90	88	92	98	100	113	50	86	88	92	71		
1945	81	85	75	93	92	94	89	74	114	54	86	84	95	74		
1946	84	89	82	87	95	105	106	71	134	52	83	82	94	69		
1947	81	85	63	84	111	91	101	97	122	55	82	81	93	68		
1948	88	97	91	84	107	97	92	122	115	67	80	79	90	67		
1949	87	92	80	83	92	94	98	131	114	61	85	83	93	74		
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	86 89 92 93 93	89 91 95 94 93	81 75 79 77 81	89 92 90 92 92 92	86 85 109 100 88	96 89 90 95 93	98 100 97 98 99	82 124 124 134 111	117 135 130 119 130	71 65 63 63 71	88 92 92 93 96	89 95 95 94 98	93 92 92 97 98	78 81 82 84 87		
1955	96	96	86	98	83	96	99	120	127	78	99	103	99	86		
1956	97	95	85	94	87	102	103	108	126	92	99	100	101	94		
1957	95	93	93	101	82	98	94	89	96	91	97	96	101	95		
1958	102	104	101	102	121	102	102	93	100	111	99	98	100	101		
1958	103	103	106	97	97	100	104	118	104	98	104	106	99	104		
1960	106	108	109	103	115	103	\$8	116	112	105	102	103	101	104		
1961	107	107	99	102	106	110	109	116	119	122	106	106	103	112		
1962	108	107	100	105	98	108	98	121	134	123	107	108	104	111		
1963	112	112	110	105	102	108	102	125	135	128	111	114	103	115		
1964	112	109	97	105	114	103	111	124	129	128	113	116	105	118		
1965	115	115	111	112	117	110	110	121	107	153	111	111	103	124		
1966	113	112	111	110	118	110	122	78	107	165	114	116	100	131		
1967 P	117	116	124	115	134	112	100	62	116	171	117	118	100	139		

^[1957--59=100]

¹ Farm output measures the annual volume of farm production available for eventual human use through sales from farms or consumption in farm households. Total excludes production of feed for horses and mules.
² Includes production of feed for horses and mules and certain items not shown separately.

Table B –79.— <i>I</i>	Farm population,	employment, and	productivity	, 1929–67
-------------------------------	------------------	-----------------	--------------	------------------

	Farm po (Apri	pulation	n Farm employment (thousands) ^a		Farm output				Crop	Live- stock	
Year	Num- ber	As per- cent of total	Total	Family	Hired	Per unit of total	Pe	er man-hoi	ur	tion per acre 4	duction per breed-
	sands)	popu- lation ²		WOINEIS	HOIKEIS	input	Total	Crops	Live- stock		unit
							I	ndex, 195	7-59=100)	
1929	30, 580	25. 1	12, 763	9, 360	3, 403	63	28	28	48	69	68
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934	30, 529 30, 845 31, 388 32, 393 32, 305	24. 8 24. 9 25. 1 25. 8 25. 5	12, 497 12, 745 12, 816 12, 739 12, 627	9, 307 9, 642 9, 922 9, 874 9, 765	3, 190 3, 103 2, 894 2, 865 2, 862	63 69 69 65 59	28 30 30 28 27	27 30 30 27 27	47 47 46 43	64 72 68 61 51	70 70 69 68 62
1935 1936 1937 1938 1939	32, 161 31, 737 31, 266 30, 980 30, 840	25. 3 24. 8 24. 2 23. 8 23. 5	12, 733 12, 331 11, 978 11, 622 11, 338	9, 855 9, 350 9, 054 8, 815 8, 611	2, 878 2, 981 2, 924 2, 807 2, 727	69 62 73 74 72	31 29 33 35 35	31 28 33 35 34	44 46 48 50	66 56 76 73 74	69 70 71 75 75
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944	30, 547 30, 118 28, 914 26, 186 24, 815	23. 1 22. 6 21. 4 19. 2 17. 9	10, 979 10, 669 10, 504 10, 446 10, 219	8, 300 8, 017 7, 949 8, 010 7, 988	2, 679 2, 652 2, 555 2, 436 2, 231	72 75 82 79 82	36 39 42 42 44	37 39 43 41 44	50 51 56 58 56	76 77 86 78 83	75 80 81 78 75
1945 1946 1947 1948 1948	24, 420 25, 403 25, 829 24, 383 24, 194	17.5 18.0 17.9 16.6 16.2	10, 000 10, 295 10, 382 10, 363 9, 964	7, 881 8, 106 8, 115 8, 026 7, 712	2, 119 2, 189 2, 267 2, 337 2, 252	82 85 82 88 86	46 49 50 56 57	46 50 50 57 57	58 59 61 62 66	82 86 82 92 85	79 78 79 82 86
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	23, 048 21, 890 21, 748 19, 874 19, 019	15. 2 14. 2 13. 9 12. 5 11. 7	9, 926 9, 546 9, 149 8, 864 8, 651	7, 597 7, 310 7, 005 6, 775 6, 570	2, 329 2, 236 2, 144 2, 089 2, 081	85 86 89 90 91	61 62 68 71 74	63 61 67 69 73	68 72 74 76 80	84 85 90 89 88	86 89 89 93 92
1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	19, 078 18, 712 17, 656 17, 128 16, 592	11.5 11.1 10.3 9.8 9.4	8, 381 7, 853 7, 600 7, 503 7, 342	6, 345 5, 900 5, 660 5, 521 5, 390	2,036 1,953 1,940 1,982 1,952	94 96 96 103 101	80 86 91 103 106	77 83 90 105 105	85 89 92 100 108	91 92 93 105 102	93 95 96 100 104
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	15, 635 14, 803 14, 313 13, 367 12, 954	8.7 8.1 7.7 7.1 6.7	7,057 6,919 6,700 6,518 6,110	5, 172 5, 029 4, 873 4, 738 4, 506	1,885 1,890 1,827 1,780 1,604	105 106 107 110 109	115 120 127 135 142	114 119 124 132 133	113 120 127 137 147	109 113 116 119 116	105 108 108 111 112
1965 1966 1967 <i>p</i>	12, 363 11, 595 11, 000	6.4 5.9 5.5	5, 610 5, 214 4, 953	4, 128 3, 854 3, 693	1, 482 1, 360 1, 260	112 108 109	155 161 167	146 151 173	159 170 176	122 120 121	111 118 121

¹ Farm population as defined by Department of Agriculture and Department of Commerce, i.e., civilian population living on farms, regardless of occupation.
 ² Total population of United States as of July 1 includes Armed Forces abroad.
 ³ Includes persons doing farmwork on all farms. These data, published by the Department of Agriculture, Statistical Reporting Service, differ from those on agricultural employment by the Department of Labor (see Table B-22) because of differences in the method of approach, in concepts of employment, and in time of month for which the data are collected. For further explanation, see monthly report on "Farm Labor," September 10, 1958.
 ⁴ Computed from variable weights for individual crops produced each year.

Sources: Department of Agriculture and Department of Commerce (Bureau of the Census).

TABLE B-80.—Indexes of prices received and prices paid by farmers, and parity ratio, 1929–67 [1957-59=100]

					Prices	received	i by far	mers				
					Crops				Live	stock an	d produ	cts
Year or month	All farm prod-	All	Food	Feed and	grains hay	Cot-	To-	Oil- bear-	All live- stock	Meat	Dairy	Poul- try
	ucis ·	crops 1	grains	Total	Feed grains	ton	bacco	ing crops	and prod- ucts ¹	mals	ucts	and eggs
1929	61	61	55	74	77	57	35	62	62	50	65	102
1930	52 36 27 29 37 45 47 51 40 39	52 34 26 32 44 46 49 53 36 37	44 27 21 31 43 46 51 57 35 34	67 46 31 60 68 65 79 45 46	68 44 28 36 60 70 68 84 45 44	40 24 19 26 39 38 38 38 27 28	29 20 18 22 32 35 33 41 36 31	48 32 19 25 55 55 52 42 42	52 38 28 27 32 44 46 49 43 41	43 30 20 19 22 38 38 42 37 36	55 43 34 40 45 49 51 45 43	81 62 51 47 56 74 73 70 69 61
1940 1941 1942 1943 1945 1945 1946 1947 1948 1948	42 51 66 6 80 8 82 6 86 6 98 114 119 103	41 48 65 84 89 91 102 118 114 100	40 46 57 70 78 81 95 128 118 103	54 58 72 96 108 106 127 161 162 112	54 58 73 97 109 104 131 171 170 109	32 43 60 64 66 91 105 104 94	28 32 51 66 72 74 78 77 78 82	45 60 80 97 100 114 158 153 106	42 53 66 77 76 82 94 111 122 106	35 46 60 62 67 81 107 117 101	47 55 63 6 77 6 86 6 89 6 104 106 117 98	62 77 96 121 112 126 127 141 153 140
1950	107 125 119 105 102 96 95 97 104 100	104 119 120 108 108 104 105 101 100 99	106 115 116 111 107 106 106 98 98	122 143 147 130 128 116 115 105 97 98	123 147 150 132 130 116 116 105 97 98	108 129 119 102 105 104 103 101 97 102	83 90 89 91 90 93 96 100 104	120 148 129 122 133 109 111 106 98 96	108 130 119 104 97 90 88 94 106 100	110 133 115 94 92 80 76 89 109 102	97 112 118 104 96 96 99 101 99 100	118 144 130 140 113 121 112 102 108 90
1960	99 99 101 100 98 103 110 104	99 102 104 107 107 105 105 100	96 99 107 106 90 77 87 84	96 95 98 104 105 110 114 110	94 96 102 103 108 112 108	97 100 104 104 100 94 82 73	103 109 109 102 101 106 114 114	93 112 108 113 112 116 128 121	98 98 99 95 91 101 113 107	96 97 101 94 88 104 116 109	101 101 99 99 100 102 114 119	101 92 92 90 92 102 84
1966: Jan 15 Feb 15 Mar 15 Apr 15 May 15 June 15	109 112 112 110 109 109	103 105 104 106 107 108	81 82 81 80 82 89	111 112 110 110 111 111	108 109 107 108 110 110	86 87 89 92 92 95	111 112 113 113 113 113 113	117 121 119 121 124 128	114 118 117 113 110 110	120 124 123 119 117 117	108 108 108 106 104 104	101 108 111 103 95 94
July 15 Aug 15 Sept 15 Oct 15 Nov 15 Dec 15	111 112 112 110 107 106	110 107 105 104 103 103	96 94 93 89 89 90	115 118 120 116 115 118	114 117 119 114 113 115	96 69 69 73 71 71	114 116 117 116 115 116	138 148 133 128 128 129	111 116 116 114 110 109	115 119 115 111 105 105	112 118 125 127 126 125	97 103 106 101 103 100
1967: Jan 15 Feb 15 Mar 15 Apr 15 May 15 June 15	105 104 103 101 104 105	101 100 100 100 99 102	88 85 89 87 89 85	117 116 117 115 115 115 116	115 114 115 114 114 114 115	64 67 66 66 64 65	115 116 116 115 115 115	128 125 126 125 124 125	109 107 105 102 108 108	107 107 105 104 114 115	121 119 117 113 112 112	96 90 91 82 80 78
July 15 Aug 15 Sept 15 Oct 15 Nov 15 Dec 15	106 106 104 104 103 105	101 100 97 100 102 104	79 80 79 82 79 80	112 104 105 101 97 101	111 103 103 97 93 97	68 71 69 88 98 89	115 116 111 111 112 115	122 117 115 114 114 115	110 110 110 107 104 105	116 114 112 107 103 103	114 118 122 125 125 125	84 81 84 77 77 82

See footnotes at end of table.

	1											<u> </u>
				- 140- 00-	Prices	paid by f	armers	_			<u> </u>	
	items,			Commod	ities an	d service	s					
Year or month	in- terest, taxes.		Fam-		Proc	luction it	ems		Inter-	T	Wage	Parity ratio ³
i	and wage rates (parity index)	All items	ily living items	All produc- tion items 1	Feed	Motor ve- hicles	Farm ma- chin- ery	Fer- til- izer	est 2	Taxes a	rates4	
1929	55	55	54	56	68	36	43	85	116	56	32	92
1930	52	51	50	52	61	35	43	83	113	57	30	83
1931	44	44	43	43	43	35	42	75	108	56	24	67
1933	37	38	38	38	37	34	39	61	90	44	15	64 (66)
1934	41	43	43	44	52	36	40	69	80	38	17	75 (80)
1936	42	45	43	40	55	37	41	64	68	30	20	92 (95)
1937	45	48	45	50	62	39	43	67	64	36	22	93 (97)
1938	42	45	43	4/	4/	42	44	6/	60 58	38	22	77 (85)
1940	42	45	42	47	50	40	43	64	56	38	22	81 (88)
1941	45	48	45	50	54	42	43	64	54	38	26	93 (98)
1942	52 58	55 61	52 58	5/ 63	66 78	45	46	71	51	38	34	105 (109)
1944	62	64	61	66	87	51	49	77	43	37	54	108 (110)
1945	65	66	64	67	86	53	49	79	41	39	62	109 (111)
1947	82	85	83	85	118	63	58	88	40	43	72	115 (116)
1948	89	92	88	95	125	71	67	96	43	56	76	110 (111)
1949	00 97	00 00	00 90	91	105	70	70	90	40	60	74	100 (100)
1951	96	100	94	104	118	83	83	100	54 54	68	81	107 (108)
1952	98	100	95	104	126	87	86	102	59	71	87	100 (101)
1955	95	96	94	97	113	86	87	103	63 68	77	88	89 (89)
1955	94	95	95	96	106	87	87	101	74	81	89	84 (85)
1956	95	96	96	95	103	89	92	100	83 91	87	92	83 (84)
1958	100	101	100	100	99	100	100	100	100	100		85 (88)
1959	102	101	101	102	100	104	104	100	109	107	105	81 (82)
1960	102	101	102	101	98	102	110	100	120	117	109	80 (81)
1962	105	103	103	103	100	105	iii	100	145	132	114	80 (83)
1963	107	104	104	104	104	109	113	100	162	139	116	78 (81)
1965	110	106	107	105	104	113	119	100	206	156	125	77 (82)
1966	114	109	110	108	109	117	124	100	232	166	135	80 (86)
1966 · Jan 15	117	107	108	107	105	122	130	100	239	1/0	140	81 (87)
Feb 15	112	108	109	108	106				232	165	127	82 (88)
Mar 15. Apr 15	113	109	110	108	105	116	122	100	232	165	127	82 (88)
May 15	114	109	iio	108	106	116			232	165	138	79 (85)
June 15	114	109	110	108	106	118	124	100	232	165	138	79 (85)
July 15	114	109	110	109	110				232	165	135	80 (86)
Sept 15	115	iiĭ	iii	110	113	117	126	100	232	165	135	80 (86)
Oct 15	115	110		109	112	119	· · · · •		232	165	140	79 (85)
Dec 15	115	iiŏ	iii	109	113	118	126	100	232	166	140	76 (82)
1967: Jan 15	116	111	111	110	113				261	178	137	75 (80)
Feb 15 Mar 15	116	111		110	112	119	127	100	261	178	137	74 (80)
Apr 15	116	iii	iii	iiŏ	112			iŏĭ	261	178	146	72 (77)
May 15	117	111	112	110	110	121	120	101	261	178	146	74 (79)
Julie 15	119	112	112	111	100	121	130	101	201	178	140	74 (00)
Aug 15	117	iii	113	iio	107				257	178	148	75 (80)
Sept 15	117		113	110	107	122	132	100	257	178	148	73 (78)
Nov 15	117	111	113	109	105	124			257	1/6	152	73 (78)
Dec 15	118	112	114	110	106			100	257	178	152	73 (79)

TABLE B-80.—Indexes of prices received and prices paid by farmers, and parity ratio, 1929-67— Continued (1957 - 59 = 100)

Includes items not shown separately.
 Interest payable per acre on farm real estate debt.
 Farm real estate taxes payable per acre (levied in preceding year).
 Monthly data are seasonally adjusted.
 Percentage ratio of prices received for all farm products to parity index, on a 1910–14=100 base. The adjusted parity ratio (shown in parentheses in the table) reflects Government payments made directly to farmers.
 Includes wartime subsidy payments.

	Cro harvo (mill of ac	ops ested lions res) 1	Live- stock	Man- hours	Index numbers of inputs (1957–59 = 100) Man- hours								
Year	Total	Exclu- sive of use for feed for horses and mules	ing units (1957– 59= 100) ²	farm work (bil- lions)	Total	Farm labor	Farm real estate ³	Me- chani- cal power and ma- chinery	Ferti- lizer and lime	Feed, seed, and live- stock pur- chases 4	Miscel- laneous		
1929	365	298	92	23. 2	98	218	92	38	21	27	76		
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934	369 365 371 340 304	304 303 311 281 247	92 93 95 98 98	22. 9 23. 4 22. 6 22. 6 20. 2	97 96 93 91 86	216 220 213 212 190	91 89 86 87 86	40 38 35 32 32	21 16 11 12 14	26 23 24 24 24 24	76 78 79 76 69		
1935 1936 1937 1938 1939	345 323 347 349 331	289 269 295 301 286	86 90 87 87 93	21. 1 20. 4 22. 1 20. 6 20. 7	88 89 94 91 94	198 192 208 193 194	88 89 90 91 92	33 35 38 40 40	17 20 24 23 24	23 31 29 30 37	66 68 68 70 72		
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944	341 344 348 357 362	298 304 309 320 326	95 94 104 117 114	20, 5 20, 0 20, 6 20, 3 20, 2	97 97 100 101 101	192 188 194 191 190	92 92 91 89 88	42 44 48 50 51	28 30 34 38 43	45 46 57 63 64	73 74 75 76 76		
1945 1946 1947 1948 1949	354 352 355 356 360	322 323 329 332 332 338	109 107 104 98 99	18.8 18.1 17.2 16.8 16.2	99 99 99 100 101	177 170 162 158 152	88 91 92 95 95	54 58 64 72 80	45 53 56 57 61	72 69 73 72 69	76 77 78 74 82		
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	345 344 349 348 348	326 326 334 335 335	102 103 103 100 104	15. 1 15. 2 14. 5 14. 0 13. 3	101 104 103 103 102	142 143 136 131 125	97 98 99 99 99 100	86 92 96 97 98	68 73 80 83 88	72 80 81 80 82	85 88 88 91 91		
1955 1956 1957 1958 1958	340 324 324 324 324 324	330 315 316 317 318	106 104 101 99 100	12. 8 12. 0 11. 1 10. 5 10. 3	102 101 99 99 102	120 113 104 99 97	100 99 100 100 100	99 99 100 99 101	90 91 94 97 109	86 91 93 101 106	94 98 95 100		
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	324 303 295 300 301	319 299 291 296 297	97 98 99 100 101	9.8 9.5 9.1 8.8 8.4	101 101 101 102 103	92 89 85 83 79	100 100 101 101 102	100 97 97 99 101	110 116 124 141 155	109 123 121 124 123	106 109 113 115 120		
1965 1966 1967 <i>p</i>	298 295 308	294 291 304	100 97 97	7.9 7.5 7.4	103 105 107	74 70 70	100 99 98	101 104 108	164 185 200	124 128 126	124 127 135		

Acreage harvested (excluding duplication) plus acreages in fruits, tree nuts, and farm gardens.
 Animal units of breeding livestock, excluding horses and mules.
 Includes service buildings and improvements on land.
 Nonfarm inputs associated with farmers' purchases.

TABLE D-02. "Comparative valance sheet of agriculture, 1929-0	TABLE	B-82	-Comparative	balance	sheet	of	agriculture,	1929-68
---	-------	------	--------------	---------	-------	----	--------------	---------

(Billions	of	do	llars]
-----------	----	----	--------

	1		Assets									Claims			
			0	ther phy	sical ass	ets	Fir	ancial ass	ets						
Beginning of year	Totai	Real estate	Live- stock 1	Ma- chin- ery and motor vehi- cles	Crops 2	House- hold furnish- ings and equip- ment	De- posits and cur- rency	U.S. savings bonds	Invest- ment in co- opera- tives	Total	Real estate debt	Other debt	Pro- prie- tors' equi- ties		
1929		48.0	6. 6	3. 2							9.8				
1930 1931 1932 1933 1934	68. 5 	47.9 43.7 37.2 30.8 32.2	6.5 4.9 3.6 3.0 3.2	3.4 3.3 3.0 2.5 2.2	2.5	4.0	3.6		0.6	68. 5 	9.6 9.4 9.1 8.5 7.7	5, 0	53.9		
1935 1936 1937 1938 1939		33. 3 34. 3 35. 2 35. 2 34. 1	3.5 5.2 5.1 5.0 5.1	2.2 2.4 2.6 3.0 3.2							7.6 7.4 7.2 7.0 6.8				
1940 1941 1942 1943 1944	52.9 55.0 62.9 73.7 84.6	33.6 34.4 37.5 41.6 48.2	5.1 5.3 7.1 9.6 9.7	3.1 3.3 4.0 4.9 5.4	2.7 3.0 3.8 5.1 6.1	4.2 4.2 4.9 5.0 5.3	3.2 3.5 4.2 5.4 6.6	. 2 . 4 . 5 1. 1 2. 2	.8 .9 .9 1.0 1.1	52.9 55.0 62.9 73.7 84.6	6.6 6.5 6.4 6.0 5.4	3.4 3.9 4.1 4.0 3.5	42.9 44.6 52.4 63.7 75.7		
1945 1946 1947 1948 1949	94.2 103.5 116.4 127.9 134.9	53.9 61.0 68.5 73.7 76.6	9.0 9.7 11.9 13.3 14.4	6.5 5.4 5.3 7.4 10.1	6.7 6.3 7.1 9.0 8.6	5.6 6.1 7.7 8.5 9.1	7.9 9.4 10.2 9.9 9.6	3. 4 4. 2 4. 2 4. 4 4. 6	1.2 1.4 1.5 1.7 1.9	94.2 103.5 116.4 127.9 134.9	4.9 4.8 4.9 5.1 5.3	3.4 3.2 3.6 4.2 6.1	85.9 95.5 107.9 118.6 123.5		
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	132.5 151.5 167.0 164.3 161.2	75.3 86.6 95.1 96.5 95.0	12.9 17.1 19.5 14.8 11.7	12. 2 14. 1 16. 7 17. 4 18. 4	7.6 7.9 8.8 9.0 9.2	8.6 9.7 10.3 9.9 9.9	9.1 9.1 9.4 9.4 9.4 9.4	4.7 4.7 4.7 4.6 4.7	2.1 2.3 2.5 2.7 2.9	132.5 151.5 167.0 164.3 161.2	5.6 6.1 6.7 7.2 7.7	6.8 7.0 8.0 8.9 9.2	120. 1 138. 4 152. 3 148. 2 144. 3		
1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	165. 1 169. 6 177. 9 185. 8 202. 5	98.2 102.9 110.4 115.9 124.4	11.2 10.6 11.0 13.9 17.7	18.6 19.3 20.2 20.2 22.1	9.6 8.3 8.3 7.6 9.3	10.0 10.5 10.0 9.9 9.8	9.4 9.5 9.4 9.5 10.0	5.0 5.2 5.1 5.1 5.2	3.1 3.3 3.5 3.7 4.0	165. 1 169. 6 177. 9 185. 8 202. 5	8.2 9.0 9.8 10.4 11.1	9.4 9.8 9.6 10.0 12.6	147.5 150.8 158.5 165.4 178.8		
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	203. 5 203. 9 212. 4 220. 7 230. 0	129.9 131.4 137.4 143.6 152.3	15.6 15.6 16.4 17.3 15.8	22.3 22.0 22.5 22.7 24.1	7.8 8.0 8.7 9.2 9.9	9.6 8.9 9.1 9.0 8.8	9.2 8.7 8.8 9.2 9.2	4.7 4.6 4.5 4.4 4.2	4.4 4.7 5.0 5.3 5.7	203. 5 203. 9 212. 4 220. 7 230. 0	12.1 12.8 13.9 15.2 16.8	12.8 13.4 14.8 16.6 18.1	178.6 177.7 183.7 188.9 195.1		
1965 1966 1967 1968 p	238.5 255.7 269.5 281.2	161. 3 172. 2 182. 0 191. 5	14.4 17.5 18.8	25.5 27.1 28.9 6	8.9 9.7 10.0	8.6 8.6 8.5	9.6 10.0 10.3	4.2 4.1 4.0 22.0	6.0 6.5 7.0	238.5 255.7 269.5 281.2	18.9 21.2 23.3 25.0	18.6 20.4 22.4 24.9	201.0 214.1 223.8 231.3		

¹ Beginning with 1961, horses and mules are excluded. ² Includes ail crops held on farms and crops held off farms by farmers as security for Commodity Credit Corporation Ioans. The latter on January 1, 1967, totaled \$447 million.

INTERNATIONAL STATISTICS

TABLE B-83.—United States balance of payments, 1947-67

[Millions of dollars]

		Exports	of good	s and se	ervices		Imports of goods and service			ervices	es Bal-	
Year or quarter		Mer-	Mili-	I ncor invest	ne on ments	Other		Mer-	Mili- tary	Other	ance on goods	Remit- tances and
	Total	chan- dise ¹	tary sales	Pri- vate	Gov- ern- ment	serv- ices	Total	chan- dise ¹	ex- pend- itures	serv- ices	serv- ices	sions
1947	19, 737	16, 015	(8)	1,036	66	2,620	8,208	5, 979	455	1,774	11, 529	728
1948	16, 789	13, 193	(8)	1,238	102	2,256	10,349	7, 563	799	1,987	6, 440	631
1949	15, 770	12, 149	(8)	1,297	98	2,226	9,621	6, 879	621	2,121	6, 149	641
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	13, 807 18, 744 17, 992 16, 947 17, 759	10, 117 14, 123 13, 319 12, 281 12, 799	(8) (8) 192 182	1, 484 1, 684 1, 624 1, 658 1, 955	109 198 204 252 272	2, 097 2, 739 2, 845 2, 564 2, 551	12, 028 15, 073 15, 766 16, 561 15, 931	9, 108 11, 202 10, 838 10, 990 10, 354	576 1,270 2,054 2,615 2,642	2, 344 2, 601 2, 874 2, 956 2, 935	1,779 3,671 2,226 386 1,828	533 480 571 644 633
1955	19, 804	14, 280	200	2, 170	274	2, 880	17, 795	11, 527	2, 901	3, 367	2,009	597
1956	23, 595	17, 379	161	2, 468	194	3, 393	19, 628	12, 804	2, 949	3, 875	3,967	690
1957	26, 481	19, 390	375	2, 612	205	3, 899	20, 752	13, 291	3, 216	4, 245	5,729	729
1958	23, 067	16, 264	300	2, 538	307	3, 658	20, 861	12, 952	3, 435	4, 474	2,206	745
1958	23, 489	16, 295	302	2, 694	349	3, 849	23, 342	15, 310	3, 107	4, 925	147	815
1960	27, 325	19, 489	335	3, 001	349	4, 151	23, 324	14, 732	3,069	5, 523	4, 001	697
1961	28, 631	19, 954	402	3, 561	380	4, 334	23, 122	14, 510	2,981	5, 631	5, 509	722
1962	30, 350	20, 604	656	3, 948	471	4, 671	25, 305	16, 187	3,083	6, 035	5, 045	778
1963	32, 426	22, 071	657	4, 151	498	5, 049	26, 573	16, 992	2,936	6, 645	5, 853	891
1964	37, 099	25, 297	747	4, 929	460	5, 666	28, 637	18, 621	2,861	7, 155	8, 462	896
1965	39, 147	26, 244	844	5, 376	512	6, 171	32, 203	21, 472	2, 921	7, 810	6, 944	-1,024
1966	43, 039	29, 168	847	5, 650	595	6, 779	37, 937	25, 510	3, 694	8, 733	5, 102	-1,010
1967 ¹⁰	45, 603	30, 716	1, 173	5, 969	643	7, 101	40, 203	26, 367	4, 249	9, 587	5, 400	-1,364
					Season	ally adjı	usted anr	nual rates	6			
1965: I	35, 072	22, 512	840	5, 424	572	5, 724	28, 928	18,676	2, 684	7, 568	6, 144	928
11	40, 720	27, 520	768	5, 656	592	6, 184	32, 556	21,900	2, 844	7, 812	8, 164	1,200
III	40, 320	27, 244	920	5, 312	584	6, 260	32, 932	22,224	3, 016	7, 692	7, 388	996
IV	40, 476	27, 700	848	5, 112	300	6, 516	34, 396	23,088	3, 140	8, 168	6, 080	972
1966: I	42, 044	28, 812	836	5, 264	612	6, 520	35, 988	24, 100	3, 444	8, 444	6, 056	964
II	42, 472	28, 724	888	5, 528	612	6, 720	37, 060	24, 900	3, 644	8, 516	5, 412	980
III	43, 652	29, 528	824	5, 776	572	6, 952	39, 048	26, 320	3, 812	8, 916	4, 604	1,112
IV	43, 988	29, 608	840	6, 032	584	6, 924	39, 652	26, 720	3, 876	9, 056	4, 336	984
1967: I	45, 444	30, 704	1, 356	5, 692	620	7,072	40, 016	26, 648	4, 180	9, 188	5, 428	-1,056
II	45, 448	30, 868	1, 344	5, 544	644	7,048	40, 152	26, 232	4, 280	9, 640	5, 296	-1,580
III	45, 916	30, 576	820	6, 672	664	7,184	40, 440	26, 220	4, 288	9, 932	5, 476	-1,456

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE B-83.—United States balance of payments, 1947-67-Continued

[Millions of dollars]

	U.S.	U.S. private capital, net					Bala	ance	Changes in sele bilities (decreas		ed lia- ()) ⁵	Changes in gold convert-
Year or quarter	ern- ment grants	Direct	Other		For- eign capi-	Errors and unre- corded		Offi- cial	To for official h	eign olders 4	To	ible cur- rencies, and IMF
•	and capi- tal, net ²	invest- ment	long- term	Short- term	net 2	trans- actions	quidity basis ³	reserve trans- actions basis 4	Liquid	Non- liquid	foreign hold- ers ⁷	gold tranche position (increase (-))
1947 1948 1949	-6, 121 -4, 918 -5, 649	749 721 660	49 69 80	189 116 187	-432 -361 44	949 1, 193 786	4, 210 817 136					-3,315 -1,736 -266
1950 1951 1952 1953 1953 1954	-3, 640 -3, 191 -2, 380 -2, 055 -1, 554	-621 -508 -852 -735 -667	495 437 214 185 320	-149 103 -94 167 -635	181 540 52 146 249	-11 500 627 366 191	-3, 489 -8 -1, 206 -2, 184 -1, 541					1, 758 —33 —415 1, 256 480
1955 1956 1957 1958 1959	-2, 211 -2, 362 -2, 574 -2, 587 -1, 986	-823 -1,951 -2,442 -1,181 -1,372	-241 -603 -859 -1, 444 -926	-191 -517 -276 -311 -77	297 615 545 186 736	515 568 1, 184 511 423	1, 242 973 578 3, 365 3, 870	 				182 869 1, 165 2, 292 1, 035
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	-2, 769 -2, 780 -3, 013 -3, 581 -3, 560	-1, 674 -1, 599 -1, 654 -1, 976 -2, 435	856 1,025 1,227 1,695 1,961	-1, 349 -1, 556 -544 -785 -2, 146	365 707 1, 021 689 685	-922 904 1, 053 285 -949	-3, 901 -2, 370 -2, 203 -2, 671 -2, 800	-3, 403 -1, 347 -2, 705 -2, 044 -1, 549	9 1, 448 9 681 9 456 1, 673 1, 075	254 7 303	308 1,083 214 620 1,554	2, 145 606 1, 533 378 171
1965 1966 1967 ¹⁰	-3, 375 -3, 446 -4, 249	-3, 418 -3, 543 -2, 885	-1, 078 -257 -1, 144	753 -413 -1,023	278 2, 512 3, 897	-415 -302 -915	-1, 335 -1, 357 -2, 283	-1, 304 225 -2, 897	-18 -1, 595	100 802	131 2, 384	1, 222 568
			Seasona	ally adjus	ted annu	al rates			Quar	terly tota	ls unadji	isted
1965: 1 11 111 111 IV	-3, 312 -3, 860 -2, 880 -3, 448	-4, 832 -3, 456 -2, 512 -2, 872	-2, 640 372 -1, 360 -684	844 1, 528 332 308	1, 240 300 820 992	212 452 980 440	-3, 272 796 -1, 828 -1, 036	-3, 336 956 828 -3, 664	861 107 253 697	-23 -16 -18 157	201 149 712 633	842 68 41 271
1966: V	-3, 900 -3, 952 -3, 036 -2, 896	-2, 536 -4, 024 -3, 600 -4, 012	-1,008 -276 -20 276	380 240 108 924	1,060 4,364 1,504 3,120	-932 -792 1,108 -592	-2, 604 -488 -660 -1, 676	-1, 772 -700 3, 444 -72	852 54 598 199	25 263 111 403	475 27 1, 211 671	424 68 82 —6
1967: 1 P.	4, 804 4, 052 3, 892	-2, 488 -2, 592 -3, 576	-724 -688 -2, 020	-616 -1,268 -1,184	3, 292 4, 908 3, 492	-1, 148 -2, 212 616	-2, 116 -2, 188 -2, 544	-7,260 -3,312 1,880	78 547 281	333 562 118	—711 94 1, 302	1, 027 —419 —375

 Adjusted from customs data for differences in timing and coverage.
 Includes certain special Government transactions.
 Equals changes in liquid liabilities to foreign official holders, other foreign holders, and changes in official reserve assets consisting of gold, convertible currencies, and the U.S. gold tranche position in the IMF.
 Equals changes in liquid and nonliquid liabilities to foreign official holders and changes in official reserve assets consisting of gold, convertible currencies, and the U.S. gold tranche position in the IMF.
 Includes short-term official and banking liabilities, foreign holdings of U.S. Government bonds and notes, and certain nonliquid liabilities to foreign official holders of U.S. Government bonds and notes, and certain nonliquid liabilities to the IMF foreign holdings of U.S. Government bonds and notes, and the U.S. gold transche position in the IMF.
 Includes short-term official and banking liabilities, foreign holdings of U.S. Government bonds and notes, and certain nonliquid liabilities to foreign official holders.
 Central banks, governments, and U.S. liabilities to the IMF arising from reversible gold sales to, and gold deposits with the U.S. Data for years before 1960 include estimates of official transactions in marketable U.S. Government bonds and notes. notes.

7 Private holders; includes banks and international and regional organizations, excludes IMF.

Private induces; includes banks and incentional and regional organizations, excludes since.
 Not reported separately.
 Includes change in Treasury liabilities to certain foreign military agencies; excluding these changes, data (\$ millions) are 1,258 (1960), 741 (1961), 918 (1962). Includes changes in liabilities to international nonmonetary institutions.
 ¹⁰ Average for the first 3 quarters on a seasonally adjusted annual rates basis.

Note .-- Data exclude military grant-aid and U.S. subscriptions to International Monetary Fund.

Source: Department of Commerce, Office of Business Economics.

Table	B-84.—United	States	merchandise	exports	and	imports,	by	commodity	groups,	1958-67
				[Millions	of do	llars]				

		м	erchandi	se export	s 1				Gross			
	Total, ing ree	includ- xports ²		Domestic	exports			Gene	eral impo	rts ³		mer- chan- dise
Year or quarter				Food.	Crude		Tot	alı	Food.	Crude		trade sur- plus,
	Sea- sonally ad- justed	Unad- justed	Total 2 4	bever- ages, and to- bacco	mate- rials and fuels ⁵	Man- ufac- tured goods ⁶	Sea- sonally ad- justed	Unad- justed	bever- ages, and to- bacco	mate- rials and fuels §	Man- ufac- tured goods ⁶	sonally ad- justed 7
1958 1959		16, 373 16, 418	16, 208 16, 234	2,688 2,852	3, 051 2, 996	11, 546 11, 171		13, 262 15, 629	3, 550 3, 580	4, 062 4, 580	5, 283 7, 090	3, 111 789
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964		19, 635 20, 190 20, 973 22, 427 25, 690	19, 434 19, 944 20, 703 22, 143 25, 338	3, 167 3, 466 3, 743 4, 188 4, 637	3, 942 3, 863 3, 355 3, 774 4, 336	12, 559 12, 748 13, 655 14, 259 16, 388		15, 019 14, 716 16, 392 17, 140 18, 684	3, 392 3, 455 3, 674 3, 863 4, 022	4, 380 4, 303 4, 640 4, 692 4, 976	6, 847 6, 523 7, 626 8, 066 9, 096	4, 616 5, 474 4, 581 5, 287 7, 006
1965 1966 1967 p		26, 700 29, 379 30, 942	26, 357 28, 944 30, 555	4, 520 5, 186 4, 713	4, 274 4, 403 4, 723	17, 388 19, 108 20, 752		21, 366 25, 542 26, 816	4, 013 4, 589 4, 701	5, 385 5, 674 5, 337	11, 238 14, 413 15, 717	5, 334 3, 837 4, 126
1965: 1 11 11 11 11 11	5, 589 6, 940 6, 920 7, 090	5, 593 7, 130 6, 481 7, 496	5, 522 7, 044 6, 391 7, 400	846 1, 163 1, 177 1, 334	916 1, 170 986 1, 202	3, 766 4, 718 4, 151 4, 753	4,666 5,456 5,425 5,736	4, 609 5, 486 5, 370 5, 901	828 1, 027 912 1, 246	1,293 1,388 1,302 1,402	2, 331 2, 897 2, 752 3, 258	923 1, 484 1, 495 1, 354
1966: I II III IV	7, 194 7, 257 7, 439 7, 500	7, 078 7, 435 7, 025 7, 841	6, 978 7, 305 6, 919 7, 742	1,252 1,257 1,310 1,367	1,023 1,086 1,027 1,267	4, 643 4, 888 4, 531 5, 046	6, 021 6, 336 6, 592 6, 661	5, 894 6, 334 6, 545 6, 769	1,112 1,165 1,112 1,200	1,410 1,438 1,456 1,370	3, 184 3, 517 3, 765 3, 947	1, 173 921 847 839
1967: V	7, 775 7, 777 7, 775 7, 688	7, 685 7, 967 7, 276 8, 014	7, 588 7, 867 7, 178 7, 922	1, 129 1, 157 1, 134 1, 295	1, 159 1, 202 1, 125 1, 233	5, 200 5, 454 4, 836 5, 297	6, 688 6, 593 6, 542 7, 102	6, 620 6, 583 6, 404 7, 209	1, 212 1, 125 1, 100 1, 264	1, 385 1, 347 1, 254 1, 360	3, 812 3, 846 3, 780 4, 280	1,087 1,184 1,233 586

Beginning 1960, data have been adjusted for comparability with the revised commodity classifications effective in 1965.
 Totals exclude Department of Defense shipments of grant-aid military supplies and equipment under the Military Assistance Program.
 Total arrivals of imported goods other than intransit shipments.
 Total includes commodities and transactions not classified according to kind.

Includes fats and oils.
 Includes machinery, transportation equipment, chemicals, metals, and other manufactures. Export data for these items include military grant-aid shipments.
 Export, excluding military grant-aid, less general imports; quarterly data seasonally adjusted.

Note.—Data are as reported by the Bureau of the Census. Export statistics cover all merchandise shipped from the U.S. customs area, except supplies for U.S. Armed Forces. Export values are f.a.s. port of export and include shipments under Agency for International Development and Food for Peace programs as well as other private relief shipments. Import values are defined generally as the market value in the foreign country, excluding the U.S. import duty and transportation costs such as ocean freight and marine insurance. Because of revisions, subgroups do not include all data in the totals.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of International Commerce.

TABLE	B-85 United	States	merchandise	exports a	nd im	borts. h	v area.	1961-67
LUDDE	\mathbf{D} U U U U U U U U U U	Diancs	merenunutse	caporis a	na inp	10143,0	y area,	1301-07

[Millions of dollars]

Area	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	January– November	
							1966	1967
Exports (including reexports and special category ship-								
ments): Total	20, 999	21, 700	23, 347	26, 508	27, 478	30, 320	27, 603	28, 751
Developed countries	13, 563 7, 303	13, 985 7, 589	15, 124 8, 056	17, 202 8, 966	18, 315 9, 023	20, 010 10, 112	18, 257 9, 164	19, 400 9, 169
Canada Other Western Hemisphere Western Europe I Asia Australia and Oceania Africa	3, 826 3, 847 7, 237 133 4, 652 445 859	4, 045 3, 679 7, 633 125 4, 676 519 1, 023	4, 251 3, 692 8, 171 167 5, 448 565 1, 053	4, 915 4, 292 9, 096 340 5, 802 804 1, 259	5, 643 4, 274 9, 224 140 6, 012 956 1, 229	6, 661 4, 769 9, 805 198 6, 733 805 1, 349	6, 077 4, 315 8, 979 182 6, 094 730 1, 226	6, 551 4, 306 9, 178 182 6, 588 852 1, 094
General imports: Total	14, 716	16, 392	17, 140	18,684	21, 366	25, 542	23, 302	24, 394
Developed countries Developing countries	8, 909 5, 719	10, 250 6, 035	10, 807 6, 242	11, 895 6, 676	14, 068 7, 144	17,590 7,762	15, 987 7, 144	17,218 7,005
Canada Other Western Hemisphere Western Europe 1 Eastern Europe 1 Asia Australia and Oceania Africa Unidentified countries 2	3,270 3,725 4,062 81 2,583 320 672 3	3, 660 3, 931 4, 544 79 2, 960 440 754 24	3, 829 4, 021 4, 731 81 3, 192 502 777 7	4, 239 4, 151 5, 208 99 3, 620 440 917 10	4, 832 4, 371 6, 155 137 4, 528 453 878 12	6, 125 4, 704 7, 678 179 5, 276 594 979 7	5, 497 4, 321 6, 994 161 4, 871 551 899 8	6, 431 4, 232 7, 292 162 4, 916 529 825 7

 1 Includes Finland, Yugoslavia, Greece, and Turkey. 2 Consists of certain low-valued shipments and some uranium imports, not identified by country.

Note.—Developed countries include Canada, Western Europe, Japan, Australia, New Zealand, and the Republic of South Africa. Developing countries include rest of the world except Communist areas in Eastern Europe and Asia and unidentified countries.

Source: Department of Commerce, Bureau of International Commerce.

	Net obligations and loan authorizations												
Type of program and fiscal period	Total	Near East and South Asia	Latin America	Vietnam	East Asia	Africa	Europe	Other and non- regional					
Total economic and military													
loans and grants: 1													
1962–66 average Loans Grants 1967 ² Loans	6, 255 2, 647 3, 607 6, 938 3, 749	1,998 1,243 756 1,673 1,107	1, 213 730 483 1, 486 990	510 511 542 1	942 200 742 996 293	430 169 261 421 206	590 245 344 986 856	572 60 511 834 297					
Grants	3, 188	566	496	542	702	215	130	537					
Total economic loans and grants:													
1962–66 average Loans Grants 1967 Loans Grants	4, 702 2, 510 2, 192 5, 290 3, 046 2, 244	1, 668 1, 224 443 1, 251 994 258	1, 125 720 404 1, 398 973 425	308 308 542 1 542	526 194 332 588 292 297	407 169 238 388 200 188	239 187 52 503 490 13	429 16 413 620 100 520					
Developed countries: 3													
1962-66 average Loans Grants 1967 Loans Grants	140 110 30 520 512 8				63 63 98 98		74 46 28 322 314 8	3 1 100 100					
Less developed countries: 3													
1962–66 average Loans Grants 1967 Loans Grants	4, 562 2, 400 2, 162 4, 771 2, 535 2, 236	1, 668 1, 224 443 1, 251 994 258	1, 125 720 404 1, 398 973 425	308 * 542 1 542	462 130 332 490 194 297	407 169 238 388 200 188	165 141 24 181 176 5	427 15 412 520 520					
Economic loans and grants for	,												
less developed countries, by													
program :													
Agency for International Development:													
1962-66 average 1967	2, 301 2, 2 49	811 506	557 556	241 467	222 244	212 184	2 *	255 292					
1962–66 average 1967–	1,532 1.031	790 535	176 70	68 75	187 138	139 165	118 6	52 43					
Export-Import Bank long- term loans:	,												
1962–66 average 1967	2/0 947	5/ 196	497		19 64	34 14	176						
Other economic programs:4 1962–66 average 1967	459 543	9 14	275 276		34 44	21 25		119 185					
Addendum-Repayments and													
interest on economic and mill-													
tary loans:5							_						
1962–66 average 1967	1,208 1,591	239 341	258 367	3 52	128 166	36 53	524 581	21 31					

TABLE B-86.—United States overseas loans and grants, by type and area, fiscal years 1962-67 [Millions of dollars]

1 Includes military loans and grants averaging \$1,553 million for 1962-66 and totaling \$1,647 million in 1967. Of these amounts, \$431 million and \$595 million, respectively, were to developed countries; and \$1,122 million and \$595 million, respectively, were to developed countries; and \$1,122 million and \$595 million, respectively, were to less developed countries. Military loans and grants include grant-aid and credit assistance under the Foreign Assistance Act (FAA) on a delivery basis, direct military loans by the Export-Import Bank, and military grants under other acts. FAA military loans and grants under the Foreign Assistance Act (FAA) on a delivery basis, direct military loans by the Export-Import Bank, and military grants under "Other" are preliminary.
3 The 1967 data for military loans and grants under the Foreign Assistance Act and several minor economic programs under "Other" are preliminary.
3 Countries have been classified "less developed" on the basis of the standard list of less developed countries used by the Development Assistance Committee of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. On this basis, "less developed" countries include all countries receiving U.S. loans or grants except the following which are constidered".

considered "developed": Japan, Australia, New Zealand, Republic of South Africa, and all of Europe except Malta,

considered "developed": Japan, Austrana, new Econome, new Economics, neconomics, new Economics, neconomics, n

110000 0000 10000 10000 10000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000	TABLE B	-87	-International	reserves.	1949,	1953,	and	1962-	67
---	---------	-----	----------------	-----------	-------	-------	-----	-------	----

1		1						19	67
Area and country	1949	1953	1962	1963	1964	1 96 5	1966	Sep- tember	De- cember
All countries	45, 515	51, 780	62, 905	66, 275	68, 500	70, 245	71,615	72, 185	•••••
Developed areas	37,240	41, 390	54, 510	56, 890	58, 995	59, 465	60, 205	60, 040	
United States	26, 024	23, 458	17, 220	16, 843	16,672	15, 450	14, 881	14,649	14, 830
United Kingdom	1, 752	2,670	3, 308	3, 147	2, 316	3, 004	3, 100	2, 733	2, 695
Other Western Europe Austria France Germany Italy Netherlands Scandinavian coun- tries (Denmark, Finland, Norway,	6, 460 92 978 580 196 (¹) 434	10, 515 325 1, 144 829 1, 773 768 1, 232	27, 240 1, 081 1, 753 4, 049 6, 956 4, 068 1, 946	29, 490 1, 229 1, 940 4, 908 7, 650 3, 619 2, 102	32, 335 1, 317 2, 192 5, 724 7, 882 3, 824 2, 349	33, 625 1, 311 2, 304 6, 343 7, 429 4, 800 2, 416	34, 965 1, 333 2, 320 6, 733 8, 028 4, 911 2, 448	35, 685 1, 439 2, 551 6, 750 8, 014 5, 445 2, 475	1, 484 2, 530 6, 994 8, 155 5, 460 2, 619
and Sweden) Spain Switzerland Other ²	537 (1) 1,692 1,225	1,026 150 1,768 1,500	1,610 1,045 2,871 1,861	1,875 1,147 3,074 1,946	2, 380 1, 513 3, 120 2, 034	2,324 1,409 3,244 2,045	2, 340 1, 205 3, 324 2, 326	2, 391 1, 108 3, 156 2, 356	2, 238 3, 555
Canada	1, 197	1, 902	2, 547	2,603	2, 881	3, 027	2, 69 3	2,682	2,709
Japan	(1)	89 2	2, 022	2, 058	2, 019	2, 152	2, 119	2,047	2,030
Australia, New Zealand, and South Africa	1, 582	1, 952	2, 175	2, 748	2,773	2, 205	2, 448	2, 244	2, 307
Less developed areas 3	8, 280	10, 390	8, 395	9, 385	9, 505	10, 7 80	11, 415	12, 145	
Latin America Middle East Other Asia Other Africa	2,775 1,475 3,395 4290	3, 400 1, 200 3, 840 1, 800	2,230 1,775 2,795 1,505	2,715 2,250 3,085 1,255	2, 845 2, 315 3, 055 1, 220	3, 280 2, 675 3, 380 1, 385	3, 165 2, 845 3, 830 1, 510	3,280 3,270 3,955 1,575	

(Millions of dollars; end of period)

¹ Not available separately. ² In addition to other Western European countries, includes unpublished gold reserves of Greece and an estimate of gold to be distributed by the Tripartite Commission for the Restitution of Monetary Gold. ³ Includes unpublished gold holdings not allocable by area. ⁴ Estimate.

Note.—Includes gold holdings, reserve positions in the International Monetary Fund, and foreign exchange of all countries except U.S.S.R., other Eastern European countries, Communist China, Cuba (after March 1964), and Indonesia (after July 1965).

Beginning 1959, when most of the major currencies of the world became convertible, data exclude known holdings of inconvertible currencies, balances under payments agreements, and the bilateral claims arising from liquidation of the European Payments Union.

Source: International Monetary Fund, "International Financial Statistics."

.	Total reserve	Gold	stock 1	Convertible	Reserve position in International Monetary Fund	
End of year or month	assets	Total 2	Treasury	foreign currencies ³		
1946 1947 1948 1949	20, 706 24, 021 25, 758 26, 024	20, 706 22, 868 24, 399 24, 563	20, 529 22, 754 24, 244 24, 427		1, 153 1, 359 1, 461	
1950	24, 265 24, 299 24, 714 23, 458 22, 978	22, 820 22, 873 23, 252 22, 091 21, 793	22,706 22,695 23,187 22,030 21,713		1,44 1,420 1,462 1,367 1,18	
1955	22, 797 23, 666 24, 832 22, 540 21, 504	21, 753 22, 058 22, 857 20, 582 19, 507	21, 690 21, 949 22, 781 20, 534 19, 456		1,044 1,608 1,975 1,958 1,958	
1960 1961 1962 1963 1964	19, 359 18, 753 17, 220 16, 843 16, 672	17,804 16,947 16,057 15,596 15,471	17,767 16,889 15,978 15,513 15,388	116 99 212 432	1,555 1,690 1,064 1,035 769	
1965 1966 1967	15, 450 14, 882 14, 830	³ 13, 806 13, 235 12, 065	⁵ 13, 733 13, 159 11, 982	781 1, 321 2, 345	5 863 326 420	
1966: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	15, 224 14, 962 15, 026 14, 916 14, 905 14, 958	⁵ 13, 811 13, 811 13, 738 13, 668 13, 582 13, 529	⁵ 13, 732 13, 730 13, 634 13, 632 13, 532 13, 433	639 377 559 522 628 722	5 774 774 729 720 699 707	
July	15, 148 15, 015 14, 876 14, 880 14, 715 14, 882	13, 413 13, 319 13, 356 13, 311 13, 262 13, 235	13, 332 13, 259 13, 258 13, 257 13, 159 13, 159	1,093 1,299 1,148 1,213 1,108 1,321	642 397 372 356 34 34	
1967: Jan Feb Mar Apr May June	14, 196 13, 998 13, 855 13, 906 13, 943 14, 274	13, 202 13, 161 13, 184 13, 234 13, 214 13, 169	13, 157 13, 107 13, 107 13, 109 13, 109 13, 110	645 480 314 315 363 738	34 35 35 35 35 35 36 36	
July Aug Sept Oct Nov Dec	14, 224 14, 605 14, 649 14, 927 15, 438 14, 830	13, 136 13, 075 13, 077 13, 039 12, 965 12, 065	13, 108 13, 008 13, 006 12, 905 12, 908 11, 982	719 1,162 1,200 1,509 2,092 2,345	365 368 372 379 381 421	

TABLE B-88.—United States reserve assets: gold stock, holdings of convertible foreign currencies, and reserve position in the International Monetary Fund, 1946-67

(Millions of dollars)

¹ Includes gold sold to the United States by the International Monetary Fund with the right of repurchase which amounted to \$800 million on December 31, 1967. Beginning September 1965 also includes gold deposited by the IMF to mitigate the impact on the U.S. gold stock of purchases by foreign countries for gold subscriptions on incraased IMF quotas. Amount outstanding was \$233 million on December 31, 1967. The United States has a corresponding gold liability

quotas. Amount outstanding was \$233 million on December 31, 1967. The United States has a corresponding gold liability to the IMF.
Includes gold in Exchange Stabilization Fund.
Includes holdings of Treasury and Federal Reserve System.
In accordance with Fund policies the United States has the right to draw foreign currencies equivalent to its reserve position in the Fund virtually automatically if needed. Under appropriate conditions the United States could draw additional amounts equal to the United States quota.
Reserve position includes, and gold stock excludes, \$259 million gold subscription to the Fund in June 1965 for a US. quota increase which became effective on February 23, 1966. In figures published by the Fund from June 1965 through January 1966, this gold subscription was included in the U.S. gold stock and excluded from the reserve position.

Note.-Gold held under earmark at Federal Reserve Banks for foreign and international accounts is not included in the gold stock of the United States.

Sources: Treasury Department and Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

TABLE B-89Price	changes in	international	trade,	1959-67
-----------------	------------	---------------	--------	---------

[1958=100]

									1967	
Area or commodity class	1959	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	Third quarter	
			l	Jnit valı	ie indexes by area					
Developed areas										
Total:										
Exports Terms of trade 1	99 102	100 103	101 104	101 105	102 104	103 104	104 104	106 104	106 106	
United States ²										
Exports Terms of trade 1	100 102	101 101	103 105	102 107	102 105	103 104	106 106	107 105	⁸ 105 8 104	
Developing areas										
Total:										
Exports Terms of trade 1	97 99	98 99	95 97	93 95	95 97	97 97	97 97	99 97	99 97	
Latin America										
Exports Terms of trade 1	95 95	95 96	93 95	91 93	94 97	101 103	101 102	103 103	3 100 3 98	
Southern and Eastern Asia 4										
Exports Terms of trade 1	106 108	111 109	104 104	101 102	102 102	103 100	104 100	106 103	3 106 3 100	
	World export price indexes ⁵							<u> </u>		
Primary commodities: Total	97	97	95	94	100	103	100	101	98	
Foodstuffs	93	91	90	90	103	106	99	100	99	
Coffee, tea, and cocoa Cereals	83 97	77 96	72 98	70 103	73 102	87 105	80 101	84 107	80 108	
Other agricultural commodities 6	105	107	103	99	103	105	104	105	99	
Fats, oils, and oilseeds Textile fibers Wool Rubber	100 98 106 134	94 104 108 141	97 105 107 107	89 101 106 102	95 112 127 95	98 116 131 91	108 105 110 93	105 106 115 99	89 100 99 85	
Minerals Metal ores	94 97	93 98	92 100	92 99	92 96	94 104	96 110	97 110	95 102	
Manufactured goods: Total 5	99	101	102	102	103	104	106	108	109	
Nonferrous base metals 4	111	114	110	109	110	135	155	178	153	

¹ Terms of trade indexes are unit value indexes of exports divided by unit value indexes of imports.
 ² Includes foreign trade of Alaska, Hawaii, and Puerto Rico.
 ³ Data are for second quarter 1967.
 ⁴ Excludes Japan.
 ⁶ Data for manufactured goods are unit value indexes.
 ⁶ Includes nonfood fish and forest products.

Note .-- Data exclude trade of Communist areas in Eastern Europe (except Yugoslavia) and Asia. Sources: United Nations and Department of Commerce (Bureau of International Commerce).

 TABLE B-90.
 Consumer price indexes in the United States and other major industrial countries, 1955–67

Period	United States	Canada	Japan	France	Germany	Italy	Nether- lands	United Kingdom
1955	90, 5	90. 9	92.7	75.5	91. 4	91. 2	88	87. 8
1956	91, 9	92. 3	93.0	76.9	93. 7	94. 3	89	92. 1
1957	95, 1	95. 2	95.9	79.0	95. 6	95. 5	95	95. 6
1958	97, 7	97. 7	95.5	90.9	97. 7	98. 2	97	98. 5
1959	98, 5	98. 8	96.5	96.5	98. 6	97. 8	98	99. 0
1960	100. 0	100. 0	100. 0	100. 9	100. 0	100. 0	100	100. 0
1961	101. 1	100. 9	105. 3	103. 3	102. 3	102. 1	101	103. 4
1962	102. 2	102. 1	112. 5	108. 3	105. 4	106. 9	103	107. 8
1963	103. 5	103. 9	121. 1	113. 5	108. 5	114. 8	107	110. 0
1964	104. 9	105. 8	125. 7	117. 4	111. 1	121. 6	113	113. 6
1965	106.6	108.4	134. 1	120, 3	114.9	127. 1	119	119.0
1966	109.7	112.4	140. 9	123, 5	118.9	130. 1	126	123.7
1967 1	112 8	116.4	146. 4	126, 9	120.6	134. 4	129	126.7
1964:	104. 5	105. 0	122. 4	116.3	110.3	119. 1	110	111. 3
I	104. 7	105. 6	125. 1	116.6	110.9	120. 5	114	113. 4
II	105. 0	106. 2	126. 1	117.5	111.3	122. 5	114	114. 3
IV	105. 4	106. 3	128. 8	118.2	111.8	124. 3	114	115. 3
1965:	105. 6	107.2	131. 5	119. 1	113. 0	125. 7	115	116. 4
I	106. 4	108.0	134. 1	120. 4	114. 4	126. 5	120	119. 3
II	106. 8	108.9	134. 1	120. 6	115. 6	127. 7	120	119. 8
IV	107. 4	109.4	136. 7	121. 2	116. 2	128. 5	120	120. 6
1966: 1 V	108.2 109.3 110.3 111.2	110. 9 112. 1 113. 1 113. 7	139. 1 140. 9 141. 0 142. 4	122. 2 123. 1 123. 8 124. 6	117.8 119.2 119.0 119.5	129. 4 129. 7 130. 1 131. 2	123 128 126 126	121. 4 123. 8 124. 2 125. 2
1967: 	111. 4 112. 1 113. 3 114. 3	114. 2 115. 8 117. 6 117. 8	145. 0 144. 9 145. 6 150. 0	125. 7 126. 1 127. 0 128. 6	120. 3 121. 0 120. 7 120. 2	133. 1 133. 9 134. 9 135. 5	127 131 130 129	125. 9 126. 9 126. 3 127. 5

[1960 = 100]

¹ Eleven month average except United States. ² For other than United States, data are averages of October and November.

Sources: Department of Labor and Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development.